| SU18 (2018) | | | | | | | | | | | West | Lafayette |
|-------------------|---------------|---------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----|---------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Course: | ECON25100 Y03 | - Micro | econon | nics | | | | | Dej | partment: | SMAT | |
| | | | | | | | F | Respo | nses / l | Expected: | 26 / 80 (32. | 50%) |
| | | | | | | | ECC | DN25100 |) - Y03 | | | |
| 1a - Demographics | | | Res | ponses | | | | | | C | ourse | |
| | F | s | JR | SR | | G | 0 | N | | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q1 YOUR CLASS | 5 | 8 | 9 | 3 | | 0 | 1 | 26 | | 2.5 | 3 | 1.15 |
| | | | | | | Resi | | | h=1 [S] So | | 3 [SR] Sr=4 [G] Gra | d=5 [0] Other |
| 1a - Demographics | | | | Respo | onses | | | | | | Course | |
| | | A | В | С | D | F | Р | F | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q2 EXPECTED GRADE | | 11 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 6.3 | 6 | .67 |

| | | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------------------|-----|---|----------|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a · | Demographics | Responses Course | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | RFY | RBS | E | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | | |
| Q3 | COURSE REQUIRED | 15 | 6 | 5 | 5 26 1.4 | | 1 | .79 | | | | | |

Responses: [RFY] Required for your major/minor=1 [RBS] Required by school/University=2 [E] Elective=3

| | | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|------------------|----|-----|----|---|---|-----|---|----|---|----|------------|------|------------|
| 1a - Demographics | | Responses Course | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AG | ED | E | ннѕ | LA | М | Р | SCI | т | VM | U | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev |
| Q4 YOUR SCHOOL | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 7.0 | 9 | 2.53 |

Responses: [AG] AG=11 [ED] ED=10 [E] ENGR=9 [HHS] HHS=8 [LA] LA=7 [M] MGMT=6 [P] PHARM=5 [SCI] SCI=4 [T] TECH=3 [VM] VET MED=2 [U] UNDECLARED=1

| | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|---|---|----|----|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2a - University questions about your course | questions about your course Responses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | E | G | F | Р | VP | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | |
| Q5 Overall, I would rate this course as: | 9 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.2 | 4 | .72 | | | | |

Responses: [E] Excellent=5 [G] Good=4 [F] Fair=3 [P] Poor=2 [VP] Very Poor=1

Responses: [A] A=7 [B] B=6 [C] C=5 [D] D=4 [F] F=3 [P] Pass=2 [F] Fail=1

| | Mason Reasner | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----|---|---|----|----|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2b - University questions about your instructor | structor Responses Individ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | E | G | F | P | VP | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | | |
| Q6 Overall, I would rate this instructor as: | 14 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 4.6 | 5 | .74 | | | | | |

Responses: [E] Excellent=5 [G] Good=4 [F] Fair=3 [P] Poor=2 [VP] Very Poor=1

| | | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------------|---|---|----|----|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3b - PICES course based questions | | Responses Course | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SA | A | U | D | SD | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | | | |
| Q7 Grading is clear and tied to key learning objectives. | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.6 | 5 | .50 | | | | | | |

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [U] Undecided=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

| | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----|---|---|----|----|------------|--------|------------|--|--|--|
| MGMT standard questions about course | Responses | | | | | | | Course | | | | |
| | E | G | F | Р | VP | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | |
| Q8 The AMOUNT THAT I LEARNED in this course is: | 12 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.4 | 4 | .57 | | | |

Responses: [E] Excellent=5 [G] Good=4 [F] Fair=3 [P] Poor=2 [VP] Very Poor=1

| | ECON25100 - Y03 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---|---|----|----|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| MGMT standard questions about course | | Responses Course | | | | | ourse | | | | | | |
| | SA | A | U | D | SD | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | |
| Q9 Course assignments/exams/projects are aimed at key learning objectives. | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.7 | 5 | .49 | | | | |

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [U] Undecided=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q10 - What parts of the course did you like best?

Response Rate:

61.54% (16 of 26)

- 1 the flexibility when doing homework & tests
- options for exams on campus or online
- There were actually video recorded lectures
- The lectures were very helpful.
- The lectures were great and clear. I liked their organization, and the examples were, for the most part, extremely helpful in understanding concepts.
- 6 The helpfully written explanations on the homework
- The flexibility of being able to go online whenever I felt like it.
- 8 Simplicity and communication.
- Professor Reasner was beyond helpful in providing extra information to his students. He went the extra mile in giving us study materials for exams and for an online course that is much appreciated.
- 10 Interactive homework
- Im retaking this course and I definitely liked taking it online better because 1) its separated by a single topic/subject 2) I can rewind/replay those certain parts I missed. I 11 think during lecture it was too much information at once and I would miss every other learning objective while trying to keep up (I should have used boilercast more but
- I liked the setup of exams, especially how their were two exam times so you could work your schedule around the exam. I also liked how the past exams were provided to 12 help students prepare and study for the exams
- 13 I liked the content, and the way it was delivered. I've immensely enjoyed learning about the material in this class.
- 14 | I have enjoyed all aspects of this course. Specifically, I enjoy working at an individualized pace and completing tasks using the MyEconLab learning system.
- I enjoyed the note packets and the inclusive learning environment
- 16 Homework

Q11 - What parts of the course did you like least?

Response Rate: 53.85% (14 of 26)

- 1 there was no grading scale to go off of.
- short layover between deadlines/exams
- 3 not available like office hours
- 4
- The proctortrack system used for exams and how different the exams were compared to how we were taught.
- The homework sometimes felt disconnected from the exam material.
- 7 The first and second exams were too close together
- Summer courses are very faster paced which is difficult for a course with dense material.
- Only 2 exams
- 10 Obviously it being crammed into 4 weeks was not ideal.
- It was a difficult jump to make from the homeworks, where the website would walk you through the problems and you had multiple attempts, to the exams where the 11 problems seemed more complex and there was obviously no help for it. It would have been nice to have a short weekly quiz or something that was more akin to the exams. Not only would it help prepare the students for the exam and exam format, but it would also help to weight the course a little less heavily towards just the exams.
- 12 I wish there were more problems to do to get the hang of reading the graphs.
- I don't like the structure, but that's more of an issue with me. I've had to binge multiple chapters all at once, last-minute, because I've had a job and three classes simultaneously. The pacing for my other classes has been fine, but this class in particular was hard for me to pace.
- 14 I did not like Proctortrack, I know it is necessary for an online course, but it made me feel awkward during exams.

Q12 - What changes would you like to see made in future sessions of this course?

Response Rate: 57.69% (15 of 26)

- 1 na
- more discussion use
- less of a two thirds weight of exams and more equal between them and the homework.

- i would make none. deadlines have to be close due to 4 week nature of the course a grading scale at the beginning of the course. Possibly condensed information, there's so much to go through in four weeks. I feel this would be better as a six week course. Though I want to say I enjoy starting and 6 ending it quickly, I had no time to digest the material and didn't do very great on my first exam. (I got a 68 of 100, but had I had more time to study I would have done better). 7 Possibly an extra week.

 - 8 None
 - Make the first exam on the Friday of the second week
- 10 Like I mentioned above, adding weekly quizzes would be helpful in my opinion.
- 11 I would not change anything in the class.
- 12 I think the course is setup nicely and the blackboard is very easy to navigate.
- 13 I think it would be better to have the lectures distributed so there weren't 5 the week of the first exam.
- For the class during the semester, it may be more helpful to use these videos instead of the live recording because it breaks a 2 hour lecture down by subject. Its much 14 easier to find what concepts you were missing and relearn it.
- 15 Either more exams at fewer points or homework making up a larger portion of grade

| | | | E | CO | N2: | 5100 | 0 - YO3 | 3 | |
|---|----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------------|------|------------|
| MGMT standard questions about course | F | Resp | ons | es | | | Co | urse | |
| | SA | A | U | D S | D | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev |
| Throughout the course, forums were available for you to have your questions addressed - through online instructor availability, teaching assistant availability or discussion groups with other students? | 10 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 4.2 | 4,5 | .85 |

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [U] Undecided=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

| | Mason Reasner | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|---|---|----|------------|------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| MGMT standard questions about instructor | | Responses | | | | Individual | | | | | | | |
| | SA | A | U | D | SD | N | Grp Med | Mode | Std Dev | | | | |
| Q14 This instructor demonstrates good knowledge of the subject matter. | 12 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.3 | 5 | .86 | | | | |
| Q15 This instructor displays enthusiasm in teaching this course. | 12 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.4 | 5 | .72 | | | | |
| Q16 This instructor is organized and well prepared for class. | 15 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.6 | 5 | .69 | | | | |

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [U] Undecided=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Q17 - We welcome your written comments below. What is something/are some things that the instructor does well, e.g., something you hope that the instructor will continue to do in the class in the future?

Faculty: Mason Reasner Response Rate: 42.31% (11 of 26)

- 1 replied to emails fast
- continue enthusiasm about economics
- 3 always has a smile
- The instructor makes himself very accessible for questions and the online blackboard is organized well.
- The instructor is an effective teacher is very good about timely and effective responses to inquiries
- Mr. Reasner has been very attentive to my questions as I worked through the course content. The course is well documented, and I greatly appreciate the organization of the 6 Blackboard modules and other systems for this class.
- Mason was always very quick to answer emails and his answers were so clear, a follow up was never required.
- He was very organized and on top of things. He clearly knows what he's doing.
- He was great at making instructions really clear before the exams and putting us at ease with the testing software. I am currently taking other online summer classes, and ProctorTrack felt much more intimidating under other instructors, because they made it feel like any little step out of line would make me flunk the course. Mr. Reasner made me worry less about the software so I could focus on the exam, which was really nice.
- 10 Great communication, quick to respond
- 11 Always responded back to emails promptly

Q18 - Make a suggestion(s) for improving the course (a criticism alone is not helpful; tell your instructor how you would fix any problem).

Faculty: Mason Reasner Response Rate: 23.08% (6 of 26)

- somehow being more involved. it's hard cause it's online
- 2 no criticism to make at this time
- 3 no comment
- 4 More advertised opportunities for help or contact would have been nice.
- 5 No problems with the course
- I suggest changing this course from a 4-week course to a 6-week course.