Course:	ECON21000 003 - Prin Of Economics	Department:	SMAT
		Responses / Expected:	13 / 46 (28.26%)

1a - Demographics

	ECON21000 - 003									
	Responses						Course			
	F	S	JR	SR	G	O	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q1 YOUR CLASS	4	5	1	3	0	0	**13**	**2.0**	2	1.12

Responses: [**F**] Fresh=1 [**S**] Soph=2 [**JR**] Jr=3 [**SR**] Sr=4 [**G**] Grad=5 [**O**] Other=6

1a - Demographics

	ECON21000 - 003										
	Responses							Course			
	A	B	C	D	F	P	F	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q2 EXPECTED GRADE	2	7	3	0	0	1	0	**13**	**5.9**	6	1.21

Responses: [**A**] A=7 [**B**] B=6 [**C**] C=5 [**D**] D=4 [**F**] F=3 [**P**] Pass=2 [**F**] Fail=1

1a - Demographics

	ECON21000 - 003						
	Responses			Course			
	RFY	RBS	E	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q3 COURSE REQUIRED	5	5	3	**13**	**1.8**	1,2	.77

Responses: [**RFY**] Required for your major/minor=1 [**RBS**] Required by school/University=2 [**E**] Elective=3

1a - Demographics

	ECON21000 - 003													
	Responses										Course			
	AG	ED	E	HHS	LA	M	P	SCI	T	VM	U	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q4 YOUR SCHOOL	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	7	0	0	**13**	**3.4**	3	2.10

Responses: [**AG**] AG=11 [**ED**] ED=10 [**E**] ENGR=9 [**HHS**] HHS=8 [**LA**] LA=7 [**M**] MGMT=6 [**P**] PHARM=5 [**SCI**] SCI=4 [**T**] TECH=3 [**VM**] VET MED=2 [**U**] UNDECLARED=1

2a - University questions about your course

	ECON21000 - 003								
	Responses					Course			
	E	G	F	P	VP	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q5 Overall, I would rate this course as:	2	8	3	0	0	**13**	**3.9**	4	.62

Responses: [**E**] Excellent=5 [**G**] Good=4 [**F**] Fair=3 [**P**] Poor=2 [**VP**] Very Poor=1

2b - University questions about your instructor

	Daniel Kebede								
	Responses					Individual			
	E	G	F	P	VP	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q6 Overall, I would rate this instructor as:	7	4	1	1	0	**13**	**4.6**	5	.91

Responses: [**E**] Excellent=5 [**G**] Good=4 [**F**] Fair=3 [**P**] Poor=2 [**VP**] Very Poor=1

3b - PICES course based questions

	ECON21000 - 003								
	Responses					Course			
	SA	A	U	D	SD	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q7 Grading is clear and tied to key learning objectives.	6	5	2	0	0	**13**	**4.4**	5	.72

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=5 [**A**] Agree=4 [**U**] Undecided=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**SD**] Strongly Disagree=1

MGMT standard questions about course

	ECON21000 - 003								
	Responses					Course			
	E	G	F	P	VP	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q8 The AMOUNT THAT I LEARNED in this course is:	4	6	2	0	1	**13**	**4.1**	4	1.07

Responses: [**E**] Excellent=5 [**G**] Good=4 [**F**] Fair=3 [**P**] Poor=2 [**VP**] Very Poor=1

MGMT standard questions about course		ECON21000 - 003								
		Responses					**Course**			
		SA	A	U	D	SD	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q9 Course text/readings/cases are helpful in learning course material.		3	9	1	0	0	13	4.1	4	.53
Q10 Course assignments/exams/projects are aimed at key learning objectives.		3	7	2	1	0	13	4.0	4	.83

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=5 [**A**] Agree=4 [**U**] Undecided=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**SD**] Strongly Disagree=1

Q11 - What parts of the course did you like best?

Response Rate: 53.85% (**7** of **13**)

1	the explanation part at the start of the class as this would help review the concepts before taking the quiz.
2	interactive assignments
3	The material covered
4	The course is not limited to the scope of the exams, rather it opens up your mind to the dynamics of the economics in our society. After studying the course, I started understanding the motives of governments behind their policy making, and other stakeholders of our economy. The course was an eye-opening course that proved to be really helpful in giving a bigger concept.
5	Ressitation
6	I liked to Macroeconomic parts of the course
7	Explain concepts to us

Q12 - What parts of the course did you like least?

Response Rate: 53.85% (**7** of **13**)

1	taking the quiz.
2	Writing the reflections was something that I did not find particularly good. Since we were not given adequate knowledge of how to forecast, writing reflections that covered our methodology for making forecasts was something I liked the least.
3	The very intense microeconomic parts of the course that involved in intense calculations such as "deadweight loss". This course was not at all what I thought it was going to be.
4	The material, I do not enjoy economics.
5	Quiz are sometimes misleading in what they want to ask
6	Not sure
7	Large lecture was hard to follow sometimes

Q13 - What changes would you like to see made in future sessions of this course?

Response Rate: 53.85% (**7** of **13**)

1	none.
2	no changes
3	Not sure
4	More time with recitation sessions
5	Less upper level material
6	Doing some common example questions can help us understand better
7	As a change in the course, I would only want the instructor to give us a more in-depth know-how of the forecasting tournament before starting to make our own forecasts. In the forecasting tournament, it was hard to predict how to forecast at-first primarily because of the lack of knowledge. It would have been better if the professor first gave us a clear demonstration of how to forecast, as this would have made a substantial difference to how we forecast and made our forecasts more reasonably correct. Other than this, the course was an interesting learning experience.

MGMT standard questions about instructor		Daniel Kebede								
		Responses					**Individual**			
		SA	A	U	D	SD	N	Grp Med	Mode	Std Dev
Q14 This instructor demonstrates good knowledge of the subject matter.		6	6	0	0	0	12	4.5	4,5	.50
Q15 This instructor displays enthusiasm in teaching this course.		8	4	0	0	0	12	4.8	5	.47
Q16 The instructor's in-class explanations help clarify course material.		5	6	0	1	0	12	4.3	4	.83
Q17 This instructor is organized and well prepared for class.		5	6	1	0	0	12	4.3	4	.62

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=5 [**A**] Agree=4 [**U**] Undecided=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**SD**] Strongly Disagree=1

Q18 - We welcome your written comments below. What is something/are some things that the instructor does well, e.g., something you hope that the instructor will continue to do in the class in the future?

Faculty: Daniel Kebede

Response Rate: 38.46% (**5** of **13**)

1	the instructor made the class fun. He is very approachable and friendly. He was always well prepared for the classes.
2	I really enjoy his recitations and meetings for clarification. He is very personable. His lecturer counterpart is not.
3	I came across Daniel as a very polite instructor, having excellent knowledge of the subject being taught. Daniel went over the chapter we had covered in a week in a very concise and beautiful manner, giving the concepts in a very student-friendly manner. He respected all the students and adopted a very amicable behavior during the class. Daniel never hesitated from helping us, and satisfied the queries to his best. Due to his behavior with the class, I felt very comfortable in engaging in conversations with Daniel related to economics and improving my concepts. To sum up, I particularly enjoyed being a student of Daniel this semester, and if I get the chance to be his student again I would gladly do it.
4	Good TA with a good understanding of Economics
5	Explaining concepts

Q19 - Make a suggestion(s) for improving the course (a criticism alone is not helpful; tell your instructor how you would fix any problem).

Faculty:	**Daniel Kebede**
Response Rate:	30.77% (**4** of **13**)

1	Sometimes, making things more confusing than it needs to be
2	More organization in recitation would have been helpful
3	I think the class was very good and does not need any improvements.
4	I believe a lecturer that did not put out that he is better than his students would be good