به نام خدا

سطوح انتزاع
ISA

Dr. Aref Karimiafshar

A.karimiafshar@iut.ac.ir

Classes of Computers

- Desktop computers
  - General purpose, variety of software
  - Subject to cost/performance tradeoff
- Server computers
  - Network based
  - High capacity, performance, reliability
  - Range from small servers to building sized
- Embedded computers
  - Hidden as components of systems
 - Stringent power/performance/cost constraints

The processor Market

Understanding Performance

- Algorithm
  - Determines number of operations executed
- Programming language, compiler, architecture
  - Determine number of machine instructions executed per operation
- Processor and memory system
  - Determine how fast instructions are executed
- I/O system (including OS)
  - Determines how fast I/O operations are executed

Below Your Program

- Application software
  - Written in high-level language
- System software
  - Compiler: translates HLL code to machine code
  - Operating System: service code
    - Handling input/output
    - Managing memory and storage
    - Scheduling tasks & sharing resources
- Hardware
  - Processor, memory, I/O controllers

Levels of Program Code

- ## High-level language
  - Level of abstraction closer to problem domain
  - Provides for productivity and portability
- ## Assembly language
  - Textual representation of instructions
- ## Hardware representation
  - Binary digits (bits)
  - Encoded instructions and data

High-level
language
program
(in C)

```
swap(int v[], int k)
{int temp;
    temp = v[k];
    v[k] = v[k+1];
    v[k+1] = temp;
}
```

Compiler

Assembly
language
program
(for MIPS)

```
swap:
    muli  $2, $5,4
    add   $2, $4,$2
    lw    $15, 0($2)
    lw    $16, 4($2)
    sw    $16, 0($2)
    sw    $15, 4($2)
    jr    $31
```

Assembler

Binary machine
language
program
(for MIPS)

```
00000000101000010000000000011000
00000000000110000001100000100001
10001100011000100000000000000000
10001100111100100000000000000100
10101100111100100000000000000000
10101100011000100000000000000100
00000011111000000000000000001000
```

6

Inside the processor (CPU)

- Datapath: performs operations on data
- Control: sequences datapath, memory, ...
- Cache memory
  - Small fast SRAM memory for immediate access to data

Inside the processor (CPU)

- AMD Barcelona: 4 processor cores

Abstractions

- Abstraction helps us deal with complexity
  - Hides lower-level details
- Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) or Computer Architecture
  - The hardware/software interface
  - Includes instructions, registers, memory access, I/O, and so on
- Operating system hides details of doing I/O, allocating memory from programmers

Defining Performance

- Which airplane has the best performance?

Response time and Throughput

- Response time
    - How long it takes to do a task
- Throughput
    - Total work done per unit time
        - e.g., tasks/transactions/… per hour
- How are response time and throughput affected by
    - Replacing the processor with a faster version?
    - Adding more processors?
- We'll focus on response time for now…

Relative Performance

- Define Performance = 1/Execution Time
- "X is n time faster than Y"

$$\text{Performance}_X / \text{Performance}_Y$$
$$= \text{Execution time}_Y / \text{Execution time}_X = n$$

- Example: time taken to run a program
  - 10s on A, 15s on B
  - Execution Time$_B$ / Execution Time$_A$ = 15s / 10s = 1.5
  - So A is 1.5 times faster than B

Measuring Execution Time

- Elapsed time
  - Total response time, including all aspects
    - Processing, I/O, OS overhead, idle time
  - Determines system performance
- CPU time
  - Time spent processing a given job
    - Discounts I/O time, other jobs' shares
  - Comprises user CPU time and system CPU time
  - Different programs are affected differently by CPU and system performance

CPU Clocking

- Operation of digital hardware governed by a constant-rate clock

- Clock period: duration of a clock cycle
  - e.g., 250ps = 0.25ns = 250×10^{-12}s
- Clock frequency (rate): cycles per second
  - e.g., 4.0GHz = 4000MHz = 4.0×10^9Hz

CPU Time

$$\text{CPU Time} = \text{CPU Clock Cycles} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

$$= \frac{\text{CPU Clock Cycles}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- Performance improved by
    - Reducing number of clock cycles
    - Increasing clock rate
    - Hardware designer must often trade off clock rate against cycle count

CPU Time Example

- Computer A: 2GHz clock, 10s CPU time
- Designing Computer B
  - Aim for 6s CPU time
  - Can do faster clock, but causes 1.2 × clock cycles
- How fast must Computer B clock be?

$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_B} = \frac{1.2 \times \text{Clock Cycles}_A}{6s}$$

$$\text{Clock Cycles}_A = \text{CPU Time}_A \times \text{Clock Rate}_A$$

$$= 10s \times 2GHz = 20 \times 10^9$$

$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{1.2 \times 20 \times 10^9}{6s} = \frac{24 \times 10^9}{6s} = 4GHz$$

Instruction Count and CPI

$$\text{Clock Cycles} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{Cycles per Instruction}$$

$$\text{CPU Time} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- Instruction Count for a program
  - Determined by program, ISA and compiler
- Average cycles per instruction
  - Determined by CPU hardware
  - If different instructions have different CPI
    - Average CPI affected by instruction mix

CPI Example

- Computer A: Cycle Time = 250ps, CPI = 2.0
- Computer B: Cycle Time = 500ps, CPI = 1.2
- Same ISA
- Which is faster, and by how much?

$$\text{CPU Time}_A = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_A \times \text{Cycle Time}_A$$
$$= I \times 2.0 \times 250ps = I \times 500ps \longleftarrow \boxed{\text{A is faster…}}$$

$$\text{CPU Time}_B = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_B \times \text{Cycle Time}_B$$
$$= I \times 1.2 \times 500ps = I \times 600ps$$

$$\frac{\text{CPU Time}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_A} = \frac{I \times 600ps}{I \times 500ps} = 1.2 \longleftarrow \boxed{\text{…by this much}}$$

18

CPI in More Detail

■ If different instruction classes take different numbers of cycles

$$\text{Clock Cycles} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (CPI_i \times \text{Instruction Count}_i)$$

■ Weighted average CPI

$$CPI = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}}{\text{Instruction Count}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(CPI_i \times \underbrace{\frac{\text{Instruction Count}_i}{\text{Instruction Count}}}_{\text{Relative frequency}} \right)$$

CPI Example

- Alternative compiled code sequences using instructions in classes A, B, C

Class	A	B	C
CPI for class	1	2	3
IC in sequence 1	2	1	2
IC in sequence 2	4	1	1

- Sequence 1: IC = 5
  - Clock Cycles
    $= 2 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 3$
    $= 10$
 - Avg. CPI = 10/5 = 2.0

- Sequence 2: IC = 6
  - Clock Cycles
    $= 4 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 1 \times 3$
    $= 9$
  - Avg. CPI = 9/6 = 1.5

Performance Summary

$$\text{CPU Time} = \frac{\text{Instructions}}{\text{Program}} \times \frac{\text{Clock cycles}}{\text{Instruction}} \times \frac{\text{Seconds}}{\text{Clock cycle}}$$

- Performance depends on
  - Algorithm: affects IC, possibly CPI
  - Programming language: affects IC, CPI
  - Compiler: affects IC, CPI
  - Instruction set architecture: affects IC, CPI, T_c

Power Trends

- In CMOS IC technology

$$Power = Capacitive\ load \times Voltage^2 \times Frequency$$

×30   5V → 1V   ×1000

Reducing Power

- Suppose a new CPU has
    - 85% of capacitive load of old CPU
    - 15% voltage and 15% frequency reduction

$$\frac{P_{new}}{P_{old}} = \frac{C_{old} \times 0.85 \times (V_{old} \times 0.85)^2 \times F_{old} \times 0.85}{C_{old} \times V_{old}^2 \times F_{old}} = 0.85^4 = 0.52$$

- The power wall
    - We can't reduce voltage further
    - We can't remove more heat
- How else can we improve performance?

Uniprocessor Performance

Constrained by power, instruction-level parallelism, memory latency

Multiprocessors

- Multicore microprocessors
  - More than one processor per chip
- Requires explicitly parallel programming
  - Compare with instruction level parallelism
    - Hardware executes multiple instructions at once
    - Hidden from the programmer
  - Hard to do
    - Programming for performance
    - Load balancing
    - Optimizing communication and synchronization

SPEC CPU Benchmark

- Programs used to measure performance
  - Supposedly typical of actual workload
- Standard Performance Evaluation Corp (SPEC)
  - Develops benchmarks for CPU, I/O, Web, …
- SPEC CPU2006
  - Elapsed time to execute a selection of programs
    - Negligible I/O, so focuses on CPU performance
  - Normalize relative to reference machine
  - Summarize as geometric mean of performance ratios
    - CINT2006 (integer) and CFP2006 (floating-point)

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Execution time ratio}_i}$$

CINT2006 for Opteron X4 2356

Name	Description	IC×10⁹	CPI	Tc (ns)	Exec time	Ref time	SPECratio
perl	Interpreted string processing	2,118	0.75	0.40	637	9,777	15.3
bzip2	Block-sorting compression	2,389	0.85	0.40	817	9,650	11.8
gcc	GNU C Compiler	1,050	1.72	0.47	24	8,050	11.1
mcf	Combinatorial optimization	336	10.00	0.40	1,345	9,120	6.8
go	Go game (AI)	1,658	1.09	0.40	721	10,490	14.6
hmmer	Search gene sequence	2,783	0.80	0.40	890	9,330	10.5
sjeng	Chess game (AI)	2,176	0.96	0.48	37	12,100	14.5
libquantum	Quantum computer simulation	1,623	1.61	0.40	1,047	20,720	19.8
h264avc	Video compression	3,102	0.80	0.40	993	22,130	22.3
omnetpp	Discrete event simulation	587	2.94	0.40	690	6,250	9.1
astar	Games/path finding	1,082	1.79	0.40	773	7,020	9.1
xalancbmk	XML parsing	1,058	2.70	0.40	1,143	6,900	6.0
Geometric mean							11.7

High cache miss rates

پایان

موفق و پیروز باشید