A geometry for the electroweak field

Peter Morgan*
30, Shelley Road, Oxford, OX4 3EB, England.†
(Dated: April 25, 2020)

The structure of the electroweak theory is suggested by classical geometrical ideas. A nonlinear map is constructed, from a 12-dimensional linear space of three Weyl spinors onto the 12-dimensional tangent bundle of the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal tetrads associated with the Lorentz group — except, inevitably, for a set of measure zero. In the approach of this paper, the electroweak field is more natural than the Dirac field. This may be just a curiosity since it may not survive quantization, but it suggests a path to bosonization of the electroweak field in (3+1) dimensions.

PACS numbers: 12.10.Dm,11.10.Lm

This paper suggests that a classical, pre-quantization version of the electroweak dynamics can be understood as a nonlinear dynamics for an orthonormal tetrad field model. We will take as a starting point the construction from a classical Dirac field of an orthonormal tetrad field and its rate of change along the time-like 4-vector of the tetrad:

$$T^{\mu}_{(0)} = \frac{\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(1)} = \frac{\text{Re}[\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(2)} = \frac{\text{Im}[\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(3)} = \frac{\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$S^{\mu\nu\alpha}T_{\alpha(0)} = S^{\mu\nu} = \overline{\psi}i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi,$$

assuming that $\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^2}$ is non-zero almost everywhere, so that we can take $T^{\mu}_{(i)}$ to be defined by continuity wherever $\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^2}$ is zero. [Notation: γ^{μ} is a generating basis for the Dirac algebra (which is a Clifford algebra over the complex numbers), satisfying $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$, where the metric has signature (1,-1,-1,-1); $\gamma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu})$; $\gamma^{0123} = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$.] $T^{\mu}_{(i)}$ and $S^{\mu\nu}_{(i)}$ given on a time-like hypersurface are sufficient to determine Cauchy initial conditions for a second order differential equation for $T^{\mu}_{(i)}$ in a manifestly Lorentz covariant way (since the values given at a point are independent of which time-like hypersurface is chosen). It is well-known that $T^{\mu}_{(0)}$ is time-like and orthogonal to $T^{\mu}_{(3)}$, but not as well-known that taken

with $T_{(1)}^{\mu}$ and $T_{(2)}^{\mu}$, constructed using the charge conjugate of W, which is determined only up to a complex phase, they form an orthonormal tetrad. As a result of Fierz identities, the six degrees of freedom of $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$ and the six degrees of freedom of $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$ are not independent — inevitably, since they derive from the eight degrees of freedom of W. The orthonormal tetrad $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$ leaves the scale and relative phase $\overline{\psi_R\psi_L}$ of the left and right components of W undetermined. A first order classical dynamics for W can be understood to be equivalent to a constrained higher order dynamics for the tetrad $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$.

To represent the six degrees of freedom of $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$ and the six degrees of freedom of spinors, we will follow the structure of the prequantization electroweak field, introducing two left-handed Weyl spinor fields, a right-handed Weyl spinor field, and a Higgs field:

$$\Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1L} \\ \psi_{2L} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi_R, \qquad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we can construct two Dirac fields,

$$\psi = \Phi^{\dagger} \Psi_L + \psi_R, \qquad \chi = \Phi^{c\dagger} \Psi_L + \psi_R,$$

where $\Phi^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a conjugate field orthogonal to Φ and of the same scale, which is again determined only up to a complex phase. From these we can construct an orthonormal tetrad field as above,

$$T^{\mu}_{(0)} = \frac{\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(1)} = \frac{\text{Re}[\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(2)} = \frac{\text{Im}[\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(3)} = \frac{\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi}{\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^{2} + (\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^{2}}},$$

and construct an independent antisymmetric tensor $S^{\mu\nu}$ for the rate of change of $T^{\mu}_{(1)}$ along the time-like 4-vector

^{*}Electronic address: peter.morgan@philosophy.oxford.ac.uk †URL: http://users.ox.ac.uk/~sfop0045; Mail address until August 2003: 207 von Neumann Drive, Princeton NJ08540, USA.

 $T^{\mu}_{(0)}$ as a linear combination of $\overline{\chi}i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi$ and $\overline{\chi}^{c}\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi$ that is determined by the scale and relative phase $\xi = \overline{\psi}_R \Phi^{\dagger} \Psi_L$,

$$S^{\mu\nu\alpha}T_{\alpha(0)} = S^{\mu\nu} = |\xi|^2 \overline{\chi} i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi + \text{Re}[\xi \overline{\chi^c} \gamma^{0123} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi].$$

With this construction, $T_{(i)}^{\mu}$ and $S^{\mu\nu}$ are entirely independent. This is a little surprising, since Φ has played no essential rôle: $\Phi^{\dagger}\Psi_L$ and $\Phi^{c\dagger}\Psi_L$ could have been written just as two left handed spinors, so that a 12-dimensional linear space is mapped nonlinearly and covariantly onto the 12-dimensional tangent bundle of the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal tetrads associated with the Lorentz group — except, inevitably, for a set of measure zero.

The algebra and geometry of the above deserve a little expansion. For the algebra, by introducing the charge conjugate Dirac spinor into the algebra, we effectively make the Dirac representation into a real matrix representation $M_8(\mathbb{R}) \simeq M_2(\mathbb{H}_1) \otimes \mathbb{H}_2$ of the quaternionic Clifford algebra that can be constructed from the Lorentzian metric. In terms of this algebraic structure, the "j" and "k" basis elements of the quaternionic algebra H₂, together with the complex "i" considered as a basis element of H₂, give a system of bilinear constructions parallel to the constructions $\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ and $\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi$ for a Dirac spinor ψ , and related by an SU(2) group action that generalizes the U(1) group action of the Dirac algebra considered without charge conjugation. The action of this SU(2) group leaves $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ invariant, so it can be considered to be the little group of $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$, of Euclidean rotations acting on the Lorentz covariant bilinear forms $\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ and $\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi$. We could write a basis for the full set of bilinear forms in a quaternionic notation as $\overline{\psi}\psi$, $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi]$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}j\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi]$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}k\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi]$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}k\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}k\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, and $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi$, if the Dirac conjugate ψ is extended to act as a quaternionic conjugation on \mathbf{l} , \mathbf{j} , and \mathbf{k} .

For the geometry, a does not give us a sufficiently free choice to allow us to set $S^{\mu\nu} = \overline{\chi} i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi$, because of the constraint on ψ_R imposed by the construction of $T^{\mu}_{(i)}$. Recall that a given antisymmetric tensor $S^{\mu\nu}$ can be written as $\kappa A^{\mu\nu} + \lambda B^{\mu\nu}$, where $A^{\mu\nu} = T^{[\mu}Z^{\nu]}$, with $T^{\mu}T_{\mu} = 1$, $Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu} = -1$, $T^{\mu}Z_{\mu} = 0$, $A^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} = 0$; let TZ be the 2space spanned by T^{μ} and Z^{μ} . If we choose $\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi \in TZ$, as we can, the scale and phase of ξ are fixed by the direction in the 3-space V_{χ} — spanned by $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, $\overline{\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}j\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}$, and $\overline{\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}k\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]}$ — of the intersection \mathbb{Z}_{χ} of \mathbb{Z} with \mathbb{V}_{χ} . \S is effectively used to construct a stereographic projection into V_{χ} . ψ_{R} is fixed by ξ , and χ_L is fixed by the choice of $\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi$ up to a phase, which is fixed by the phase of (κ, λ) . With ψ_R and χ_L fixed, the scale of $S^{\mu\nu}_{(\xi,\chi)} = |\xi|^2 \overline{\chi} i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi + \text{Re}[\xi \overline{\chi^c} \gamma^{0123} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi]$ is fixed, which almost certainly will not be the scale required to give $S^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu}_{(\xi,\chi)}$, although we have succeeded in constructing $S^{\mu\nu}_{(\xi,\chi)}$ to be proportional to $S^{\mu\nu}$ — so we will have to choose $\nabla \gamma^{\mu} \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ carefully (unsurprisingly, since we are mapping between two manifolds of the same dimension). Changing our choice of $\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$ generally

changes the direction of the intersection \mathbb{Z}_{χ} in \mathbb{V}_{χ} ; provided \mathbb{Z} does not contain $\overline{\chi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\chi$, we can vary the direction of \mathbb{Z}_{χ} relative to $\overline{\chi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\chi$ continuously between almost parallel and almost anti-parallel, thereby changing the scale of \mathbb{Z} continuously in the range $[0,\infty]$, and hence changing the scale of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\xi,\chi)}^{\mu\nu}$. This is enough to ensure that, except for a set of measure zero, we can construct a representation in terms of three Weyl spinors for any given $\mathbb{Z}_{(i)}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{\mu\nu}$.

It is the suggestion of this paper that the dynamics of the electroweak field has its particular form because it describes a perturbation of a second order dynamics of an orthonormal tetrad field. To satisfactorily do so, the symmetries of the algebraic equations used to construct and under the action of SU(2), $\Phi = U\Phi$, $\Psi_L = U\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \alpha^{-1}\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \alpha^{-1}\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \alpha^{-1}\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and under the action of scaling, $\Phi = \alpha\Phi$, $\Psi_L = \omega\Psi_L$, and Ψ_L

The nonuniqueness of the construction above consists in a choice of an SU(2) phase for Φ , but also consists in a fixed choice of an O(3) orientation and phase for the assignment of T_1^{μ} , T_2^{μ} , and T_3^{μ} to orthogonal linear combinations of $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}i\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}j\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, and $\text{Re}[\overline{\psi}k\gamma^{0123}\gamma^{\mu}\psi]$, and finally in a fixed choice of an O(3)orientation and phase for the stereographic projection of ξ into V_{χ} . The nonuniqueness of the construction above does not affect the suggestion above. A different construction just results in a given dynamics of the electroweak field inducing a different dynamics of the orthonormal tetrad field that we construct. Perhaps no dynamics of an orthonormal tetrad field derived from a dynamics of an electroweak field will be simple to express, but a particular construction may, or may not, be picked out by the relative simplicity of equations describing the induced dynamics. The suggestion above is determined by no more than the Lorentzian structure of spinors, the number of degrees of freedom and the symmetries of the dynamics of the electroweak field, and the dimensionality of the Lorentz group. The particular representation above, of the Lorentz group acting on an orthonormal tetrad field, should not be considered essential.

If we do think of the dynamics of the electroweak field as inducing a dynamics of an orthonormal tetrad field, then there is a topological invariant, corresponding to the homotopy class of the orthonormal tetrad field. The homotopy group for maps from \mathbb{S}^3 to the Lorentz group (supposing that the dynamics effectively compactifies \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{S}^3) is just \mathbb{Z} . Following the Mandelstam approach to bosonization[1], fermion operators appear as soliton operators in the framework of a bosonic theory, and fermion charges appear as topological charges of the bosonic theory[2]. For a quantized sine-Gordon field $\phi(y)$ in (1+1) dimensions, Mandelstam constructs two opera-

tors $\hat{\psi}_1(x)$ and $\hat{\psi}_2(x)$, with commutation relations, at a fixed time \mathbb{I} ,

$$[\hat{\phi}(y), \hat{\psi}_i(x)] = 2\pi\beta^{-1}\theta(x-y)\hat{\psi}_i(x);$$

 $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ also satisfy the anticommutation relations and dynamics of the 1+1 dimensional Dirac equation. $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ change the homotopy class of the sine-Gordon field $\hat{\phi}(y)$, supposing that the sine-Gordon field is effectively a map from S^1 to S^1 . Mandelstam's approach suggests 53 as a topologically nontrivial starting point for a bosonization of the Dirac equation in 1+3 dimensions, but the numbers of degrees of freedom in the 1+3 dimensional case do not match, as they do in the 1+1 dimensional case — $3 \times 2 \neq 8$ degrees of freedom, in contrast to $1 \times 2 = 2$. S^3 might nonetheless work for the 1+3 dimensional Dirac equation (sadly, there isn't a general theory that can assure us that we can in principle bosonize any given fermion field), but, at least in the light of the construction given above, SO(1,3) is the natural structure to try as the basis of a bosonization of the electroweak theory.

The topological invariant of the orthonormal tetrad field can also be thought of as a topological invariant of the first O(3) nonuniqueness discussed in the last but one paragraph, if we take that O(3) orientation and phase to be variable. There is a curious further possibility for us to introduce raising and lowering operators for the second O(3) nonuniqueness, if we take that O(3) orientation and phase also to be variable. All of this may, perhaps, allow an understanding of the relationship between the three families of leptons.

The inverse square roots don't look good from the point of view of quantization. They can be removed,

but only at the cost of diluting the topological properties of the construction above. We can construct two Dirac fields as above, $\psi = \Phi^{\dagger}\Psi_L + \psi_R$, $\chi = \Phi^{c\dagger}\Psi_L + \psi_R$, then we can construct an orthogonal tetrad field,

$$T^{\mu}_{(0)} = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi,$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(1)} = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \text{Re}[\overline{\psi^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} \psi],$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(2)} = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \text{Im}[\overline{\psi^{c}} \gamma^{\mu} \psi],$$

$$T^{\mu}_{(3)} = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \overline{\psi} i \gamma^{0123} \gamma^{\mu} \psi;$$

for each of these 4-vectors the length $T^{\mu}_{(i)}$ is $\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\sqrt{(\overline{\psi}\psi)^2+(\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0123}\psi)^2}$. We again define $\xi=\overline{\psi_R}\Phi^{\dagger}\Psi_L$, then we can construct a tensor $\mathbf{S}^{\mu\nu}$ for the rate of change of $T^{\mu}_{(0)}$ along the time-like 4-vector $T^{\mu}_{(0)}$ as

$$S^{\mu\nu} = |\xi|^2 \overline{\chi} i \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi + \text{Re}[\xi \overline{\chi^c} \gamma^{0123} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi] + T^{\beta}_{(0)} \partial_{\beta} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) g^{\mu\nu}.$$

We can use the rate of change of $\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi$ along $T^{\mu}_{(0)}$ for the symmetric part of $\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi$ because Φ satisfies a second order differential equation in the electroweak theory. This construction, which is again not unique, restores an expectation that we will find the Higgs particle.

The electroweak theory is a rather ugly construction, with its three Weyl spinors and a Higgs field. It is empirically well justified, but it has very little theoretical motivation. The construction of this paper seems a moderately natural parameterization of the tangent bundle of the Lorentz group, which may be construed as a weak theoretical motivation for the electroweak theory.

I am grateful to Jonathan Dimock for comments on earlier versions of this paper.

^[1] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D 11, 3026 (1975).

^[2] E.C.Marino, Phys. Lett. B 263, 63 (1991)