

Lattice Models with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetry

Paul Fendley¹, Kareljan Schoutens² and Jan de Boer²

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Virginia,
Charlottesville, VA 22904-4714 USA
fendley@virginia.edu*

² *Institute for Theoretical Physics,
University of Amsterdam, Valckenierstraat 65,
1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
kjs@science.uva.nl, jdeboer@science.uva.nl
(Dated: October 16, 2002)*

We introduce lattice models with explicit $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry. In these interacting models, the supersymmetry generators Q^\pm yield the Hamiltonian $H = \{Q^+, Q^-\}$ on any graph. The degrees of freedom can be described as either fermions with hard cores, or as quantum dimers. The Hamiltonian of our simplest model contains a hopping term and a repulsive potential, as well as the hard-core repulsion. We discuss these models from a variety of perspectives: using a fundamental relation with conformal field theory, via the Bethe ansatz, and using cohomology methods. The simplest model provides a manifestly-supersymmetric lattice regulator for the supersymmetric point of the massless 1+1-dimensional Thirring (Luttinger) model. We discuss the ground-state structure of this same model on more complicated graphs, including a 2-leg ladder, and discuss some generalizations.

Supersymmetry is an exceptionally powerful theoretical tool. It often allows exact computations in field theory and string theory, even when interactions are strong. In this paper we introduce $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric lattice models describing interacting fermions and monomer-dimer systems. We show that the continuum limit of the simplest of these models, defined on a one-dimensional lattice, is a well-known 1 + 1-dimensional quantum field theory with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal symmetry.

Our definition of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry is that the Hamiltonian H is built from two nilpotent fermionic generators denoted Q^+ and $Q^- = (Q^+)^\dagger$ [1]. It is

$$H = \{Q^+, Q^-\}. \quad (1)$$

The fact that Q^+ and Q^- commute with H follows from the nilpotency $(Q^+)^2 = (Q^-)^2 = 0$. Our models also have a fermion-number symmetry generated by F with $[F, Q^\pm] = \pm Q^\pm$. We shall show how, in at least some cases, this lattice supersymmetry extends to a space-time super(conformal) symmetry in the field theory describing the continuum limit. Lattice models with a symmetry involving fermionic generators, such as the t - J model at $J=\pm 2t$, are often called “supersymmetric” in the condensed-matter literature, but do not have a Hamiltonian of the form eq. (1).

All eigenvalues E of the Hamiltonian eq. (1) satisfy $E \geq 0$. All eigenstates form either singlet or doublet representations of the supersymmetry algebra. All states $|g\rangle$ with $E = 0$ must be singlets: $Q^+|g\rangle = Q^-|g\rangle = 0$ [1]. Conversely, all singlets must have $E = 0$. All the other eigenstates of H can be decomposed into doublets under the supersymmetry, and conversely any doublet representation is an eigenstate. This is simple to prove: a doublet consists of two states $|s\rangle, Q^+|s\rangle$, where $Q^-|s\rangle = 0$.

It follows from the definition of H and the nilpotency of Q^\pm that both of these states are eigenstates of H with the same eigenvalue. All eigenstates can be decomposed into doublets: the four-dimensional representation $(|s'\rangle, Q^-|s'\rangle, Q^+|s'\rangle, Q^+Q^-|s'\rangle)$ is reducible. Let

$$|s\rangle \equiv |s'\rangle - \frac{1}{E_s} Q^+ Q^- |s'\rangle$$

where $E_s > 0$ is defined by $H|s'\rangle = E_s|s'\rangle$. Then $Q^-|s\rangle = 0$, and $(|s\rangle, Q^+|s\rangle)$ and $(Q^-|s'\rangle, Q^+Q^-|s'\rangle)$ form two irreducible doublets.

The models we introduce can be defined on any lattice (or actually, any graph) in any dimension. The simplest model involves a single species of fermion c_i , placed at any site i of the lattice. The fermion obeys the usual anti-commutator $\{c_i, c_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$, and the operator $F = \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i$ counts the number of fermions. We impose the restriction that the fermions have hard cores, meaning that fermions are not allowed on neighboring sites. A hard-core fermion is created by $c_i^\dagger \mathcal{P}_{< i >}$, where the projection operator $\mathcal{P}_{< i >}$ requires all sites neighboring i to be empty:

$$\mathcal{P}_{< i >} = \prod_{j \text{ next to } i} (1 - c_j^\dagger c_j). \quad (2)$$

On this space of states, the supersymmetry operators are defined by

$$Q^+ = \sum_i c_i^\dagger \mathcal{P}_{< i >} \quad Q^- = \sum_i c_i \mathcal{P}_{< i >}. \quad (3)$$

It is easy to verify that $(Q^+)^2 = (Q^-)^2 = 0$. The Hamiltonian is therefore

$$H = \sum_i \sum_{j \text{ next to } i} \mathcal{P}_{< i >} c_i^\dagger c_j \mathcal{P}_{< j >} + \sum_i \mathcal{P}_{< i >}. \quad (4)$$

The first term in the Hamiltonian allows fermions to hop to neighboring sites, with the projectors maintaining the hard-core repulsion. The second term favors having more fermions, as long as they are more than two sites from each other. Thus one can view it as a repulsive potential for fermions, in addition to the hard core.

There are two key questions to try to answer. The first is: what properties can be computed exactly using the supersymmetry? We have already noted the positive energy and the pairing in the excited-state spectrum, but these are just the simplest consequences of the supersymmetry. The second question is: what (if any) field theory describes the model in the continuum limit?

To illustrate the power of supersymmetry, we find the ground states for a chain of six sites and periodic boundary conditions. First, we count all the states. There is one state $|0\rangle$ with $f=0$, and six states $c_i^\dagger|0\rangle$ with $f=1$, while because of the hard cores, there are nine states with $f=2$, and two with $f=3$. The vacuum obeys $Q^-|0\rangle = 0$ and $Q^+|0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^6 c_i^\dagger|0\rangle$, so $(|0\rangle, Q^+|0\rangle)$ make up a doublet. The remaining five states with $f=1$ are all annihilated by Q^- , and Q^+ acts non-trivially on them. There are thus five doublets with $(f, f+1) = (1, 2)$. The states with $f=3$ are both annihilated by Q^+ , and Q^- acts non-trivially on both, giving two doublets with $(f, f+1) = (2, 3)$. This accounts for all the states in the theory, except for two states with $f=2$. These two cannot form a doublet, because they have same fermion number. They therefore must be singlets, so there are two $E=0$ ground states in this theory, both with $f=2$. With a little more work, one finds that they have eigenvalues $\exp(\pm i\pi/3)$ under translation by one site.

A basic quantity in a supersymmetric theory is the Witten index [1]

$$W = \text{tr} [(-1)^F e^{-\beta H}] . \quad (5)$$

Because the two states in a doublet have the same energy, their contribution to W cancels, leaving the trace only over ground states and W independent of β . W can thus be found by evaluating (5) in the $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit, where all states contribute with weight $(-1)^F$. For example, for the six-site chain discussed above, we confirm that $W = 1 - 6 + 9 - 2 = 2$. For our model on the cube, one finds $W = 1 - 8 + 16 - 8 + 2 = 3$.

Computing W for the model eq. (4) on a general graph poses a fascinating combinatorial problem. Cohomology theory is a powerful tool to compute the number of ground states at any fermion number, and therefore also W . The supersymmetry generator Q^+ satisfies $(Q^+)^2 = 0$, and the $E = 0$ ground states are precisely the states $|s\rangle$ that satisfy $Q^+|s\rangle = 0$ and that cannot be written in the form $|s\rangle = Q^+|s'\rangle$. Those states form what is called the cohomology of the operator Q^+ and for its computation a variety of techniques are available. These include the ‘spectral sequence’ technique, which can be

applied in this context as follows: one splits the lattice in two sublattices, with corresponding fermion number operators F_1 and F_2 , so that $F = F_1 + F_2$. Q^+ can also be split as $Q_1^+ + Q_2^+$, so that Q_i^+ increases F_i by one. The two operators Q_1^+ and Q_2^+ are nilpotent and anti-commute. The first step in the spectral sequence is to compute the cohomology of Q_1^+ . Q_2^+ becomes an operator acting on this cohomology, and the second step is to compute the cohomology of Q_2^+ on this subspace. Often the process terminates here, and the result is the cohomology of Q^+ . In general the procedure continues for a finite number of additional steps, see e.g. [2] for details. A similar procedure exists for a decomposition of a lattice into n sublattices, and in particular when every sublattice consists of a single site. Applying this to the N -site periodic chain, with F_1 consisting of every third site, we find ground states solely at fermion number $f = \text{int}((N+1)/3)$. For a chain with $N = 3p$ with p integer, we find two ground states (so $W = 2(-1)^p$), while for $N = 3p \pm 1$, there is a single ground state (so $W = (-1)^p$).

We now address our questions in the one-dimensional case, where the Hamiltonian eq. (4) is on an N -site chain:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[P_{i-1} \left(c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i \right) P_{i+2} + P_{i-1} P_{i+1} \right] \quad (6)$$

where $P_i \equiv 1 - c_i^\dagger c_i$ is the projector on a single site. We take periodic boundary conditions, so indices are defined mod N . The translation operator T commutes with both H and F , and its eigenvalues t satisfying $t^N = 1$ characterize the eigenstates of H . The Hamiltonian eq. (6) resembles a lattice version of the Thirring model, a 1+1-dimensional field theory with a four-fermion interaction term. Below we will make the connection precise.

Before we apply the Bethe ansatz, we indicate how the space of states \mathcal{H}_N of the model can be obtained by applying a systematic ‘finitization’ procedure [3] to the chiral spectrum of a specific $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theory (SCFT) with central charge $c = 1$. This construction follows two steps. In the first step, the full chiral Hilbert space of the SCFT is written in a ‘quasi-particle’ basis, with the fundamental quasi-particles forming a supersymmetry doublet with charges $(1/3, -2/3)$. In the second step, the momenta of the individual quasi-particles are constrained to a maximum value in the order of N , corresponding to a discretization of the space direction of the SCFT with spacing of the order $1/N$. This then leads to a truncated or ‘finitized’ partition sum $Q_N(q, w)$, which is closely related to the partition sum $Z_N(q, w) = \text{Tr}(q^{\frac{N}{2\pi i} \log T} w^{(N-3F)})$, which keeps track of the eigenvalues of the translation operator T and the fermion number F of the lattice model eq. (6). This entire construction, to be detailed elsewhere, respects the supersymmetry. This gives a rationale for the existence of supersymmetry generators on the space \mathcal{H}_N , and it pro-

in the continuum limit. The continuum limit of the XXZ chain is described by the massless Thirring model [8], or equivalently a free massless boson Φ with action [9]

$$S = \frac{2g}{\pi} \int dx dt [(\partial_t \Phi)^2 - (\partial_x \Phi)^2].$$

The continuum limit of the $\Delta = 1/2$ model has $g = 2/3$; this is the simplest field theory with $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ superconformal symmetry [9]. The $(2, 2)$ means that there are two left and two right-moving supersymmetries: in the continuum limit the fermion decomposes into left- and right-moving components over the Fermi sea. The boson also can be decoupled into left and right pieces, so that $\Phi = \Phi_L + \Phi_R$, while its dual $\tilde{\Phi} = g(\Phi_L - \Phi_R)$. The states of the field theory are given by the vertex operators $V_{m,n} = \exp(im\Phi + in\tilde{\Phi})$, of conformal dimensions $h_{L,R} = (m \pm gn)^2/(4g)$. The four components of the Dirac fermion in the Thirring model are $V_{\pm 1, \pm 1/2}$, while the supersymmetry generators are $Q_L^\pm = V_{\pm 1, \pm 3/2}$ and $Q_R^\pm = V_{\pm 1, \mp 3/2}$. In a finite size \mathcal{L} , the lowest-energy state is in the Neveu-Schwarz sector, where the Thirring fermion has anti-periodic boundary conditions. This state has $E_{NS} = -\pi/(6\mathcal{L})$. The lowest-energy states in the Ramond (periodic boundary conditions) sector are given by $|\pm\rangle_R = V_{0, \pm 1/2}|0\rangle_{NS}$; both have energy zero. States in this conformal field theory can be built up by operating with the “spinons” $V_{\pm 1/3, \pm 1/2}$.

Comparing this superconformal field theory with the lattice model on $N = 3p$ sites, we identify our two $E = 0$ ground states with the two Ramond vacua; all other states of the lattice model are in the Ramond sector as well. The $U(1)$ quantum number m corresponds to $f - N/3$ (the fermion number relative to the ground state). The spinons here have charge $\pm 1/3$, so it is natural to identify these with the fractionally-charged excitations in the lattice model.

One can define a quantum dimer model by placing the variables c_i^\dagger on the links instead of the sites of a lattice. The product in $\mathcal{P}_{<i>}$ is then over links which meet the link i , and the projections are precisely such that overlapping dimers are avoided. On the $N = 3p$ -site chain, the ground states have dimer number $N_d = N/3$, which is $2/3$ of the value for close-packed dimers. A more involved example is that of the supersymmetric dimer model on a 2-leg ladder with $N + 1$ rungs. Using cohomology techniques, we found that the number N_{GS} of ground states grows quickly with N , and that not all ground states have the same dimer number N_d , leading to (partial) cancellations in the Witten index W . For example, for $N = 7$ one finds five $E = 0$ ground states with $N_d = 5, 5, 5, 5, 6$, so $W = -3$. Our results, asymptotically precise for N large, are $N_{GS} \sim (1.395)^N$ and $W \sim (1.356)^N$. These huge degeneracies suggest additional symmetries in the model. It will be interesting to see if supersymmetry can be of use in other quantum dimer models [10].

Following the ideas above, one can obtain other super-

symmetric models by including more projectors in (3), or by including several species of fermions. Consider a two-species model, with fermions labeled by $+$ and $-$, and with the conditions that (i) a single site may not be occupied by two particles and (ii) same-type particles may not occupy nearest neighbor sites. On a periodic chain with $4n$ sites, the Witten index of this model turns out to be $W = 3$, and we have strong indications that the continuum limit of this theory is the second model (at $c = 3/2$) of the series of $\mathcal{N}=2$ minimal superconformal field theories. A typical ground state pattern is

$$\dots \circ + \circ - \circ + \circ - \circ + \circ - \circ \dots$$

and one recognizes the possibility of domain walls of charge $\pm 1/2$ ($\circ + - \circ$) and neutral defects ($+ \circ +$). The $+/-$ pattern indicates an Ising substructure in the model, in accord with the fact that the $c = 3/2$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ minimal model can be written in terms of a Majorana fermion and a free boson.

We finally remark that in our models, the space of states is made up solely of fermions on which the supersymmetry acts non-linearly. (This does not preclude a linear realization on bosons and fermions in the continuum theory.) Such realizations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ lattice supersymmetry seem very different from other known realizations, for example in lattice gauge theory [11].

Acknowledgements: It is a pleasure to thank Bernard Nienhuis, Erik Verlinde and Alexander Schrijver for discussions. This work was supported in part by the foundations FOM and NWO of the Netherlands, by NSF grant DMR-0104799, and by a DOE OJI award.

-
- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B202** (1982) 253.
 - [2] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, GTM 82, (Springer Verlag, New York, 1982).
 - [3] K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 2608 [cond-mat/9706166]; P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B **547** (1999) 501 [hep-th/9810113].
 - [4] P. Fendley and K. Schoutens, to appear.
 - [5] H. Bethe, Z. Phys. **71** (1931) 205.
 - [6] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic Press, 1982) and references therein.
 - [7] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976) 3398; J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47** (1981) 986.
 - [8] H. B. Thacker, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53** (1981) 253.
 - [9] D. Friedan and S. H. Shenker, in C. Itzykson, H. Saleur and J.B. Zuber, *Conformal invariance and applications to statistical mechanics* (World Scientific, 1988).
 - [10] R. Moessner, S.L. Sondhi, E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **65** (2002) 024504 [cond-mat/0103396], and references therein.
 - [11] A. Feo, arXiv:hep-lat/0210015 and references therein.