Comments on Two-Loop Four-Particle Amplitude in Superstring Theory

Jun-Bao Wu School of Physics, Peking University Beijing 100871, P. R. China

Chuan-Jie Zhu*
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
P. O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, P. R. China

April 25, 2020

Abstract

It is shown that the four-particle amplitude of superstring theory at two loops obtained in [1, 2] is equivalent to the previously obtained results in [3, 4, 5]. Here the **Z**₂ symmetry in hyperelliptic Riemann surface plays an important role in the proof.

In some previous papers [1, 2, 6] we have computed explicitly the two loop **n**-particle amplitude in superstring theory for all $n \le 4$ by using the newly obtained measure of D'Hoker and Phong [7, 8, 9, 10] (for a recent review see [11, 12]). The new measure of D'Hoker and Phong is unambiguous and slice-independent. For all $n \le 3$ we proved that the **n**-particle amplitudes are identically zero. A simple expression was also obtained for the 4-particle amplitude which is independent of the insertion points of the supermoduli. Our explicit results beautifully verifies the result of D'Hoker and Phong, especially for the non-zero four-particle amplitude.

^{*}Supported in part by fund from the National Natural Science Foundation of China with grant Number 90103004.

A natural question is the relation with the previously obtained result in [3, 4, 5] which had been proved to satisfy all the conditions for a qualified 4-particle amplitude. In particular, the explicit result has also been used by Iengo [13] to prove the vanishing of the 2-loop correction to the \mathbb{R}^4 term [14], in agreement with the indirect argument of Green and Gutperle [15], Green, Gutperle and Vanhove [16], and Green and Sethi [17] that the \mathbb{R}^4 term does not receive perturbative contributions beyond one loop. Recently, Stieberger and Taylor [18] also used the result of [3, 4, 5] to prove the vanishing of the heterotic two-loop \mathbb{F}^4 term. For some closely related works we refer the reader to the reviews [19, 20].

In this paper we will show that the explicit results obtained by using different chiral measure are actually equivalent. This should be the case because they are all derived from first principle. In the proof we used the **Z**₂ symmetry of the conformal field theory on hyperelliptic Riemann surface.

Our starting point is the chiral integrand obtained in [2]:

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle : \partial X(q_1) \cdot (\partial X(q_1) + \partial X(q_2)) : \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)}. \tag{1}$$

As we said in [2], we need to symmetrize in $q_{1,2}$ to obtain an explicitly q independent result. This symmetrization is justified as follows. First we have

$$: \partial X(q_{1}) \cdot (\partial X(q_{1}) + \partial X(q_{2})) : = \frac{1}{2} : ((\partial X(q_{1}))^{2} - (\partial X(q_{2}))^{2}) :$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} : (\partial X(q_{1}) + \partial X(q_{2})) \cdot (\partial X(q_{1}) + \partial X(q_{2})) :$$

$$= -(T(q_{1}) - T(q_{2}))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} : (\partial X(q_{1}) + \partial X(q_{2})) \cdot (\partial X(q_{1}) + \partial X(q_{2})) : , \qquad (2)$$

where $T(q_i) = -\frac{1}{2} : (\partial X(q_i))^2$: is the stress energy tensor. In the above, the last term gives an \mathbf{q} independent result (see [2]) and the first term will give an vanishing contribution to the amplitude after integration over \mathbf{z}_i as we can prove as follows.

By the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry of the conformal field theory on hyperelliptic Riemann surface¹ we have

$$\langle T(q_1) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle = \langle T(q_2) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(\bar{z}_i, \tilde{\bar{z}}_i)} \rangle,$$
(3)

¹See [21, 22, 23, 24] for works on conformal field theory on \mathbb{Z}_n Riemann surface.

where \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet} is the \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet} transformed point of \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet} , i.e., \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet} and \mathbb{Z}_{\bullet} are the two identical points on the two different sheets of the hyperelliptic Riemann surface. By using this result, we have

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{4} d^{2}z_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_{i}}{y(z_{i})} \langle T(q_{1}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle \times (\text{Right Part})$$

$$= \int \prod_{i=1}^{4} d^{2}z_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_{i}}{y(z_{i})} \langle T(q_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(\bar{z}_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle \times (\text{Right Part})$$

$$= \int \prod_{i=1}^{4} d^{2}\bar{z}_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - \bar{z}_{i}}{y(\bar{z}_{i})} \langle T(q_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(\bar{z}_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle \times (\text{Right Part})$$

$$= \int \prod_{i=1}^{4} d^{2}z_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_{i}}{y(z_{i})} \langle T(q_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle \times (\text{Right Part}). \tag{4}$$

In the above we have used the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariance of the integration measure d^2z_i and in the last step we have changed the dummy integration variables \mathbb{Z}_i 's back into \mathbb{Z}_i 's. We note that in the above proof we have symbolically denoted the contribution of the right part as (Right Part). For specific amplitudes the above reasoning can be justified completely. So effectively we can set $T(q_1) = T(q_2)$ in the chiral integrand, as we have used in [2]. The chiral integrand is then given as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \langle : (\partial X(q_1) + \partial X(q_2))^2 : \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \langle (\partial X^{\mu}(q_1) + \partial X^{\mu}(q_2))k_i \cdot X(z_i) \rangle$$

$$\times \langle (\partial X_{\mu}(q_1) + \partial X_{\mu}(q_2))k_j \cdot X(z_j) \rangle \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 k_i \cdot k_j \frac{1}{q - z_i} \frac{1}{q - z_j} \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)}$$

$$= \frac{s(z_1 z_2 + z_3 z_4) + t(z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3) + u(z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_4)}{2 \prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)} \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle. (5)$$

Now we recall the result obtained in [3, 4, 5] for the 4-particle chiral amplitude. We have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ \langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle -4I_{gh}(q) \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \right\} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)},$$
(6)

where

$$I_{gh}(q) = -\frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{q - a_i} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{31}{q - b_i}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{61}{q - a_i}} - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{1}{q - z_k} \right) - \frac{1}{32} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{(q - a_i)^2} - 2 \sum_{i < j}^{\frac{61}{q - a_j}} \frac{1}{q - a_j} + 8 \sum_{i < j}^{3} \frac{1}{q - b_i} \frac{1}{q - b_j} \right), \quad (7)$$

is the contribution from the ghost supercurrent. In the above expression the b_i 's are the three ghost insertion points and should be set to a_i if we choose $a_{i=1,2,3}$ as the three moduli to be integrated.

By using the result of [2], we found that the contribution to the chiral integrand from $\mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_6$ is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{1} + \mathcal{A}_{6} = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_{i}}{y(z_{i})} \left\{ \langle \partial X(q_{1}) \cdot \partial X(q_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle - \left[\partial_{q_{1}} G_{2}(q_{1}, q_{2}) + \partial_{q_{2}} G_{2}(q_{2}, q_{1}) + (G_{2}(q_{1}, q_{2}) + G_{2}(q_{2}, q_{1})) \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{1}{q - z_{k}} \right] \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle. \right\} (8)$$

The expressions that we will need are given as follows:

$$G_{2}(q_{1}, q_{2}) + G_{2}(q_{2}, q_{1}) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}(q) + \left[\frac{1}{q - p_{1}} \frac{(q - p_{2})(q - p_{3})}{(p_{1} - p_{2})(p_{1} - p_{3})} + \dots \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}(q) + \sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{1}{q - p_{a}},$$

$$\partial_{q_{1}}G_{2}(q_{1}, q_{2}) + \partial_{q_{2}}G_{2}(q_{2}, q_{1}) = \frac{3}{8} \Delta_{1}^{2}(q) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{2}(q)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{1}{q - p_{1}} \left(\frac{1}{q - p_{2}} + \frac{1}{q - p_{3}} - \Delta_{1}(q) \right) \frac{(q - p_{2})(q - p_{3})}{(p_{1} - p_{2})(p_{1} - p_{3})} + \dots \right]$$

$$= \frac{3}{8} \Delta_{1}^{2}(q) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{2}(q) - \Delta_{1}(q) \sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{1}{q - p_{a}} + \sum_{a < b}^{3} \frac{1}{(q - p_{a})(q - p_{b})}.$$
(10)

(See [1, 2] for details and notations.) By direct comparison we found the following equality:

$$\mathcal{A}_{1} + \mathcal{A}_{6} = \left\{ \langle \partial X(q_{1}) \cdot \partial X(q_{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle + 4I_{gh}(q) \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle \right\} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_{i}}{y(z_{i})},$$

$$(11)$$

if we identify $p_i = b_i$, the three ghost insertion points. We note the important "+" sign in front of $4I_{\rm gh}$. This shows clearly that $A_1 + A_6$ is not the complete expression for the chiral integrand.

To prove that \square and \square give identical scattering amplitude, we first note the following:

$$\langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \rangle \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle$$

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \langle \partial X^{\mu}(q_1) k_i \cdot X(z_i) \rangle \langle \partial X_{\mu}(q_2) k_j \cdot X(z_i) \rangle \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4k_i} \cdot k_j \frac{1}{q - z_i} \frac{1}{q - z_j} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} k_i \cdot k_j f(q_1, z_i) f(q_1, z_j) \right\} \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle, \tag{12}$$

by using the following explicit expression for the $(\partial X(q_{1,2})X(z_i,\bar{z}_i))$ correlator:

$$\langle \partial X_{\mu}(q_a) X_{\nu}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) \rangle = -\frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q_a - z_i} + f(q_a, z_i) \right) , \qquad (13)$$

where

$$f(q_a, z_i) = \frac{1}{T} \int \frac{y(z_i)}{y(q_a)} \frac{1}{q_a - z_i} \frac{(q_a - u)(q_a - v)}{(z_i - u)(z_i - v)} \left| \frac{u - v}{y(u)y(v)} \right|^2 d^2u d^2v.$$
 (14)

From eq. (12), we see that the second term will give half of the result of A when we substitute it into A. It remains to compute the rest terms in A. We denote it as A_D and it is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{D} = \left\{ \langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} k_i \cdot k_j f(q_1, z_i) f(q_1, z_j) - 4I_{gh}(q) \right\} \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)}.$$

$$(15)$$

As we proved in [3, 4, 5], the above expression is independent of \mathbf{q} after integration over all moduli. To simplify the computation we can make a

convenient choice for the insertion points $q_{1,2}$ or q. We will take the limit $q_1 \rightarrow z_1$. In this limit we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{D} = \frac{z_{12}z_{13}z_{14}}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}y(z_{i})} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{4} k_{1} \cdot k_{j} f(z_{1}, z_{j}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta_{1}(z_{1}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{z_{1} - b_{i}} \right) \right\} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \right\rangle.$$
(16)

We will prove that the second term vanishes and the first term gives the other half of \triangle . We note that this is the case only after we make this special choice for \P .

First we start with the second term. By using the result of [4], we can change the integration over the moduli $a_{1,2,3}$ and $a_{1,...,4}$ into $a_{1,...,6}$ and $a_{1,1,1}$ by fixing $a_{2,3,4}$. The chiral integrand doesn't change and it is still given by the following expression:

$$\mathcal{A}_{D2} = \frac{z_{12}z_{13}z_{14}}{2\prod_{i=1}^{4}y(z_i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{z_1 - a_i} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{z_1 - b_i}\right) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \right\rangle. \tag{17}$$

The important point is the following: the rest part of the integrand is invariant under modular transformation, i.e., symmetric under $a_i \leftrightarrow a_j$. (We note that $b_i = a_i$.) On the other hand, A_{D2} is antisymmetric under the following modular transformation:

$$a_1 \to a_4, \qquad a_2 \to a_5, \qquad a_3 \to a_6.$$
 (18)

So after integration over all a_i 's, A_{D2} gives a vanishing result. This result can also be understood from another point of view. Because of modular invariance, each term in the summation in A_{D2} gives the same result after integration over all a_i 's and all of them add to zero.

The other term in (16) is:

$$\mathcal{A}_{D1} = \frac{z_{12}z_{13}z_{14}}{2\prod_{i=1}^{4}y(z_i)} \sum_{j=2}^{4} k_1 \cdot k_j f(z_1, z_j) \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle.$$
(19)

In order to compute it explicitly, we first note the result of $T(q_1) = T(q_2)$ proved before. Explicitly we have²:

$$0 = \langle (T(q_1) - T(q_2)) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle$$

²All equality, such as $T(q_1) = T(q_2)$, should be understood as expressions inserted in the complete amplitude and integration over all moduli is implicit.

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} k_i \cdot k_j \left\{ \frac{1}{q - z_i} f(q_1, z_j) + \frac{1}{q - z_j} f(q_1, z_i) \right\} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \right\rangle,$$
(20)

by using the explicit result for the $\langle \partial X(q_a)X(z_i)\rangle$ correlator given in (13). If we set $q_1 \to z_1$, we have

$$\langle (T(q_1) - T(q_2)) \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle$$

$$\to \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{q - z_1} \sum_{j=2}^{4} k_1 \cdot k_j f(z_1, z_j) + \frac{1}{q - z_1} \sum_{j=2}^{4} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_j}{z_1 - z_j} \right\} \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle = 0.$$
(21)

Substituting this result into eq. (19), we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{D1} = -\frac{z_{12}z_{13}z_{14}}{2\prod_{i=1}^{4}y(z_{i})} \sum_{j=2}^{4} \frac{k_{1} \cdot k_{j}}{z_{1} - z_{j}} \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{s(z_{1}z_{2} + z_{3}z_{4}) + t(z_{1}z_{4} + z_{2}z_{3}) + u(z_{1}z_{3} + z_{2}z_{4})}{4\prod_{i=1}^{4}y(z_{i})}$$

$$\times \langle \prod_{i=1}^{4} e^{ik_{i} \cdot X(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i})} \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{2}.$$
(22)

This proves

$$\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}.\tag{23}$$

Acknowledgments

Chuan-Jie Zhu would like to thank Roberto Iengo for suggesting the problem, reading the paper and comments. He would also like to thank E. D'Hoker and D. Phong for discussions.

References

[1] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, "Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language I: the Main Results", hep-th/0212191, to appear in Phys. Lett. B.

- [2] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, "Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language III: the Four-Particle Amplitude", hep-th/0212219.
- [3] R. Iengo and C.-J. Zhu, "Two-Loop Computation of the Four-Particle Amplitude in Heterotic String Theory", Phys. Lett. **212B** (1988) 313–319.
- [4] R. Iengo and C.-J. Zhu, "Explicit Modular Invariant Two-Loop Superstring Amplitude Relevant for R⁴", J. High Energy Phys. 0006 (1999) 011, hep-th/9905050.
- [5] C.-J. Zhu, "Two-Loop Computations in Superstring Theories", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 (1989) 3877–3906.
- [6] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, "Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language II: the Vanishing of the Cosmological Constant and the Non-Renormalization Theorem", hep-th/0212198.
- [7] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings I, Main Formulas", Phys. Lett. **B529** (2002) 241–255.
- [8] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings II, The chiral Measure on Moduli Space", Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 3–60.
- [9] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings III, Slice Independence and Absence of Ambiguities", Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 61–79.
- [10] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings IV, The Cosmological Constant and Modular Forms", Nucl. Phys. B639 (2002) 129–181.
- [11] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "The Geometry of String Perturbation Theory", Rev. Mod. Phys. **60** (1988) 917–1065.
- [12] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Lectures on Two-Loop Superstrings—Hangzhou, Beijing 2002", hep-th/0211111.
- [13] R. Iengo, "Computing the R⁴ Term at Two Superstring Loops", J. High Energy Phys. **0202** (2002) 035, hep-th/0202058.
- [14] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, "Superstring Modification of Einstein's Equations", Nucl. Phys. **B277** (1986) 1.

- [15] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, "Effects of D-instantons", Nucl. Phys. B498 (1997) 195–227, hep-th/9701093.
- [16] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, "One Loop in Eleven Dimension", Phys. Lett. 409B (1997) 277–184.
- [17] M. B. Green and S. Sethi, "Supersymmetry Constraints on Type IIB Supergravity", Phys.Rev. D59 (1999) 046006, hep-th/9808061.
- [18] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, "Non-Abelian Born-Infeld action and type I heterotic duality (I): Heterotic F⁶ terms at two loops", Nucl.Phys. B647 (2002) 49–68, hep-th/0207026; "Non-Abelian Born-Infeld Action and Type I Heterotic Duality (II): Nonrenormalization Theorems", Nucl. Phys. B648 (2003) 3, hep-th/0209064.
- [19] M. B. Green, "Interconnections Between Type II Superstrings, M Theory and N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills", hep-th/9903124.
- [20] E. Kiritsis, "Duality and Instantons in String Theory",in Proceedings of the 1999 Spring Workshop on Superstrings and Related Matters, eds. B. Greene, J. Louis, K.S. Narain and S. Randjbar-Daemi (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000), hep-th/9906018.
- [21] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, "The Conformal Field Theory of Orbifolds", Nucl. Phys. **B282** (1987) 13–73.
- [22] Al. B. Zamolodchikov, "Conform Scalar Field on the Hyperelliptic Curves and Critical Ashkin-Teller Multipoints Correlation Functions", Nucl. Phys. B285 [FS19] (1987) 481–503.
- [23] M. A. Bershadsky and A. O. Radul, "Conformal Field Theories with Additional Z_N Symmetry", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A2 (1987) 165–178; "g-Loop Amplitudes in Bosonic String Theory in Terms of Branch Points", Phys. Lett. 193B (1987) 213–218.
- [24] V. G. Knizhnik, "Analytic Fields on Riemann Surface. II", Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987) 567–590.