On Massive Mixed Symmetry Tensor Fields in Minkowski space and (A)dS

Yu. M. Zinoviev *
Institute for High Energy Physics
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142284, Russia

Abstract

In this paper we give explicit gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation for massive theories based on mixed symmetry tensors $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$, $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$ and $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$ both in Minkowski as well as in (Anti) de Sitter space. In particular, we study all possible massless and partially massless limits for such theories in (A)dS.

^{*}E-mail address: ZINOVIEV@MX.IHEP.SU

Introduction

In four-dimensional flat Minkowski space-time massive particles are characterized by one parameter — spin s and the most simple and economic description of such particles is the one based on completely symmetric (spin)-tensors. But moving to the dimensions greater than four, one faces the fact that representations of appropriate groups require more parameters and as a result in many interesting cases such as supergravity theories, superstrings and (supersymmetric) high spin theories one has to consider different mixed symmetry (spin)-tensors [1]-[9]. In (Anti) de Sitter space the problem becomes even more complicated because high spin fields in (A)dS reveal a number of peculiar features such as unitary forbidden regions (i.e. not all possible values of mass and cosmological constant are allowed) and appearance of partially massless theories [10]-[16]. Moreover, not all fields admit strictly massless limit [17] making the very definition of mass for such fields problematic.

In our previous work on this subject [18] we use gauge invariant description for massive high spin particles using completely symmetric tensor fields. Such formulation being unitary and gauge invariant from the very beginning turns out to be very well suited for the investigation of unitarity, gauge invariance and partial masslessness. In the present paper we extended our previous results to the case of mixed symmetry tensors $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$, $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$ and $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$. In all three cases our strategy will be as follows. We start with the massless theory in flat Minkowski space fixing the massless Lagrangian and the structure of gauge transformations. Then by adding appropriate number of Goldstone fields we construct gauge invariant formulation for massive particle. Note that gauge transformations for mixed tensors often turn out to be reducible and the definition of appropriate set of Goldstone fields is not so trivial as in the case of symmetric tensors. After that we consider a deformation of the constructed model to the (A)dS. In contrast with the massless theories our massive gauge invariant models admit smooth deformation to (A)dS without introduction any additional fields. At last, having in our disposal massive theory we study all possible massless as well as partially massless limits.

1 $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$ tensor

Our first example will be the third rank tensor $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$ antisymmetric on the first two indices and satisfying the relation $\Phi_{[\mu\nu,\alpha]} = 0$ Using these properties it is easy to check that the following free (quadratic) Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^{\alpha}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial^{\beta}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\beta} + \partial_{\mu}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial^{\beta}\Phi_{\beta\nu,\alpha} + +2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu} + \partial^{\alpha}\Phi^{\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\beta} - (\partial\Phi)(\partial\Phi)$$
(1)

is invariant under the two gauge transformations

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = \partial_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2\partial_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} \tag{2}$$

where parameter $x_{\{\alpha\beta\}}$ is symmetric while $y_{[\alpha\beta]}$ — antisymmetric. Note that these gauge transformations are reducible in a sense that if one set

$$x_{\alpha\beta} = 3(\partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha}) \qquad y_{\alpha\beta} = -\partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} \tag{3}$$

then $\delta \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = 0$.

In is not possible to rewrite our Lagrangian as a square of some gauge invariant quantity because there is no combination of the first derivatives of $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$ that would be invariant under both gauge transformations (that will require two derivatives [8, 9]). As is rather well known one can however introduce tensor $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$

$$T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = \partial_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - \partial_{\nu}\Phi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\beta} \tag{4}$$

which is invariant under the $x_{\alpha\beta}$ -transformations but not invariant under the $y_{\alpha\beta}$ -ones. Then one rewrite the Lagrangian in the following simple form:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{6} T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} + \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \tag{5}$$

where $T_{[\mu\nu]} = T_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{\alpha}$.

It is interesting that there exist one more possibility. Namely, one can introduce another tensor $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$

$$R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \partial_{\mu}\Phi_{\alpha\beta,\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Phi_{\alpha\beta,\nu} \tag{6}$$

which is invariant under the $y_{\alpha\beta}$ -transformations but not under the $x_{\alpha\beta}$ -ones and rewrite the same Lagrangian in a very suggestive form:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{8} [R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - 4R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^2]$$
 (7)

Let us turn now to the massive case. We have two gauge invariances with the parameters $x_{\alpha\beta}$ and $y_{\alpha\beta}$ so we introduce two Goldstone fields: symmetric tensor $h_{\{\alpha\beta\}}$ and antisymmetric one $B_{[\alpha\beta]}$ with their usual kinetic terms:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} h_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial h)^{\mu} (\partial h)_{\mu} + (\partial h)^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} h - \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} h \partial_{\mu} h + \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} B_{\alpha\beta} + \partial^{\mu} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} B_{\beta\mu}$$

$$(8)$$

and their own gauge transformations:

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta} x_{\alpha} \qquad \delta B_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} y_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} y_{\alpha} \tag{9}$$

With the help of these fields it is easy to check that the sum of massless Lagrangians supplemented with the following low derivatives terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = -m\sqrt{2}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial^{\mu}h^{\nu\alpha} + \Phi_{\mu}(\partial h)^{\mu} - \Phi_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}h) - \frac{m\sqrt{6}}{2}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial^{\alpha}B^{\mu\nu} + 2\Phi_{\mu}(\partial B)^{\mu}) + \frac{m^{2}}{2}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - m^{2}\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu}$$

$$(10)$$

is still invariant under the $x_{\alpha\beta}$, $y_{\alpha\beta}$ transformations provided

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = m\sqrt{2}x_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \delta B_{\alpha\beta} = m\sqrt{6}y_{\alpha\beta} \tag{11}$$

But our two Goldstone fields $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ are the gauge fields themselves, so we have to take care about their own gauge transformations with the parameters x_{α} and y_{α} . At first sight it seems that one needs two vector fields to achieve this goal. But due to reducibility of gauge transformations for $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ field mentioned above it turns out that it is enough to introduce only one additional vector fields, the role of the second one playing the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ itself. Indeed, by introducing vector field A_{μ} and adding to the Lagrangian the following additional terms:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^2 + m\beta [h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - h(\partial A) + \sqrt{3}B^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta}] -$$

$$-m^2\sqrt{2}\beta\Phi^{\mu}A_{\mu} - m^2\frac{d-1}{d-3}A_{\mu}^2$$
(12)

we managed not only keep the invariance under the $x_{\alpha\beta}$ and $y_{\alpha\beta}$ transformations, but also to achieve the invariance under the x_{α} and y_{α} transformations, provided:

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = m\alpha[(g_{\nu\alpha}x_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}x_{\nu}) + \sqrt{3}(g_{\nu\alpha}y_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}y_{\nu})]$$

$$\delta A_{\mu} = m\beta[x_{\mu} + \sqrt{3}y_{\mu}]$$
 (13)

where $\alpha = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(d-3)}}$, $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{d-2}{d-3}}$. Not that while the structure of massless Lagrangians does not depend on the dimension of space-time d, the structure of massive ones does. In this section we will assume that d > 4.

One could note that the vector field A_{μ} is also a gauge field and it seems necessary to introduce one more Goldstone field, namely the scalar one. Once again it is important to note that the gauge transformations for $B_{\alpha\beta}$ are also reducible because if one set $y_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha}\Lambda$ then $\delta B_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. As a result one can check that without introduction of any additional fields the Lagrangian obtained already invariant under the appropriate transformations which look like:

$$\delta A_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \Lambda \qquad \delta h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{(d-2)(d-3)}} g_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda$$
 (14)

Collecting all pieces together we have the description of massive particle in terms of four fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$, $h_{\alpha\beta}$, $B_{\alpha\beta}$ and A_{μ} which is invariant under five gauge transformations with the parameters $x_{\alpha\beta}$, $y_{\alpha\beta}$, x_{α} , y_{α} and Λ . Note that in d=4 the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ does not describe any physical degrees of freedom, while the fields $h_{\alpha\beta}$, A_{μ} and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ in the massless limit provide helicities ± 2 , ± 1 and 0, respectively. So in d=4 our theory is just alternative description of the usual massive spin-2 particle. But in d>4 the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ does introduce additional physical degrees of freedom, so such theory corresponds to massive representation different from the one described by usual Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian.

Now let us turn to the (Anti) de Sitter space. We denote covariant derivative as D_{μ} and use the normalization

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]A_{\alpha} = R_{\mu\nu,\alpha}{}^{\beta}A_{\beta}, \quad R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = -\Omega(g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta}g_{\nu\alpha}), \quad \Omega = \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)(d-2)}$$
(15)

where Λ — cosmological constant. As is well known in the (A)dS even for the massless fields gauge invariance requires that the non-derivative mass-like terms were present in the

Lagrangian. Moreover, in many cases it is not even possible to have strictly massless limit at all. So it is convenient to organize the calculations just by the number of derivatives exactly as in flat space. Then the procedure looks as follows. We start with the sum of "massless" Lagrangians for all fields (i.e. the Lagrangians that would describe massless fields in flat space)

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_0(h_{\alpha\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(B_{\alpha\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(A_\mu)$$
(16)

in which all derivatives are replaced by the covariant ones¹. Let us consider the gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0 \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu} x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu} x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha} y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu} y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu} y_{\mu\alpha}$$

$$\delta_0 h_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + D_{\beta} x_{\alpha} \qquad \delta_0 B_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha} y_{\beta} - D_{\beta} y_{\alpha} \qquad \delta_0 A_{\mu} = D_{\mu} \Lambda$$

$$(17)$$

where all derivatives are also covariant ones. Because the covariant derivatives do not commute the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 is not invariant under such transformations, but as the form of Lagrangian and gauge transformations is the same as in flat space the residue contains only terms with one derivative:

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_0 = -2\Omega x^{\alpha} [2(Dh)_{\alpha} + (d-3)D_{\alpha}h] + +2\Omega x^{\alpha\beta} [(2d-3)D^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + dD_{\alpha}\Phi_{\beta} - dg_{\alpha\beta}(D\Phi)] + +3\Omega y^{\alpha\beta} [3D^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - 2(d-6)D_{\alpha}\Phi_{\beta}]$$
(18)

These terms do not influent the calculations of variations with two derivatives, so we keep the same structure of the terms in the Lagrangian with one derivative as in flat case:

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = -\alpha_{1} \left[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} D^{\mu} h^{\nu\alpha} + \Phi_{\mu} (Dh)^{\mu} - \Phi_{\mu} D^{\mu} h \right] - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} \left[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} D^{\alpha} B^{\mu\nu} + 2\Phi_{\mu} (DB)^{\mu} \right] + \beta_{1} \left[h^{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - h(DA) \right] + \beta_{2} B^{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha} A_{\beta}$$

$$(19)$$

as well as the form of non-derivative terms in the transformation laws:

$$\delta_{1}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2(d-3)}(g_{\nu\alpha}x_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}x_{\nu}) - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2(d-3)}(g_{\nu\alpha}y_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}y_{\nu})$$

$$\delta_{1}h_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha_{1}x_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\beta_{1}}{d-2}g_{\alpha\beta}\Lambda$$

$$\delta_{1}B_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha_{2}y_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \delta_{1}A_{\mu} = \beta_{1}x_{\mu} + \beta_{2}y_{\mu}$$

$$(20)$$

Due to such a choice all the variations with two derivatives cancel each other and we obtain:

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = \Omega x^{\alpha} \left[\alpha_1 \frac{d^2 - 7d + 9}{d - 3} \Phi_{\alpha} + 2\beta_1 (d - 1) A_{\alpha} \right] - \Omega \alpha_2 \frac{d^2 - 3d + 3}{d - 3} y^{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} + \Omega \alpha_1 x^{\alpha\beta} (dh_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta}h) - 3\Omega \alpha_2 (d - 2) y^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$(21)$$

¹Note that due to non-commutativity of covariant derivatives there is an ambiguity because the resulting Lagrangian depends on the order of derivatives in the initial one. Different choices lead to slightly different form of mass-like terms, but all choices correspond to physically equivalent theories.

Now we add the most general mass-like terms to the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{c_{1}}{2} \Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \frac{c_{2}}{2} \Phi^{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} + c_{3} \Phi^{\mu} A_{\mu} + \frac{c_{4}}{2} A_{\mu}^{2} + \frac{c_{5}}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{c_{6}}{2} h^{2} + \frac{c_{7}}{2} B^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}$$
 (22)

and require the cancellation of all variations with one derivative (taking into account $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_0$) and without derivatives (including $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0$). This allows one to express all the parameters in the Lagrangian and the gauge transformations in terms of α_1 and α_2 :

$$2c_1 = \alpha_1^2 + 2\Omega(2d - 3), \quad c_2 = -\alpha_1^2 - 2\Omega d, \quad c_3 = -\alpha_1\beta_1, \quad c_4 = -\frac{d - 1}{d - 2}\beta_1^2,$$

$$c_5 = -\Omega d$$
, $c_6 = \Omega$, $c_7 = 3\Omega(d-2)$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{d-2}{6(d-3)}}\alpha_2$, $\beta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3(d-2)}{2(d-3)}}\alpha_1$

and also gives a very important relation on these two parameters:

$$3\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 + 12\Omega(d-3) = 0 (23)$$

Now, having in our disposal massive theory, we can study which massless or partially massless limits exist in such theory. First of all, let us note that in the gauge invariant formalism we use the massless limit means the situation when all Goldstone fields decouple from the main one. In the case at hand it would requires $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$. But the last relation clearly shows that for nonzero value of cosmological constant it is impossible to have both $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$ simultaneously. So, as it was already mentioned in [17], there is no fully massless limit for the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ in (A)dS. Instead, depending on the sign of the cosmological constant, we could obtain one of the two possible partially massless limits. In AdS ($\Omega < 0$) one can set $\alpha_2 = 0$. As a result the whole system of four fields decouples into two subsystems. One of them contains fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_{0}(h_{\alpha\beta}) - \alpha_{1}[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}D^{\mu}h^{\nu\alpha} + \Phi_{\mu}(Dh)^{\mu} - \Phi_{\mu}D^{\mu}h] + \frac{3\Omega}{2}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \Omega(d-6)\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu} - \frac{\Omega d}{2}h^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\Omega}{2}h^{2}$$

$$(24)$$

where $\alpha_1 = 2\sqrt{-\Omega(d-3)}$, which is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2(d-3)}(g_{\nu\alpha}x_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}x_{\mu})$$

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + D_{\beta}x_{\alpha} + \alpha_1x_{\alpha\beta}$$
(25)

As far as we know for the first time such system was considered in [17]. The rest of the fields $(B_{\alpha\beta}, A_{\mu})$ gives just the gauge invariant description of massive antisymmetric tensor with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(B_{\alpha\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(A_{\mu}) + MB^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}A_{\nu} + \frac{M^2}{4}B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$$
 (26)

which is invariant under:

$$\delta B_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu}y_{\nu} - D_{\nu}y_{\mu} \qquad \delta A_{\mu} = D_{\mu}\Lambda + My_{\mu} \tag{27}$$

On the other hand in the de Sitter space we can set $\alpha_1 = 0$. Once again the whole system decouples into two subsystems. This time we obtain partially massless theory with the fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_{0}(B_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} [\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}D^{\alpha}B^{\mu\nu} + 2\Phi_{\mu}(DB)^{\mu}] + \frac{\Omega(2d-3)}{2} \Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - \Omega d\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu} + \frac{3\Omega(d-2)}{2} B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$$
(28)

where $\alpha_2 = 2\sqrt{3\Omega(d-3)}$ and corresponding set of gauge transformations

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} - \sqrt{\frac{3\Omega}{d-3}}(g_{\nu\alpha}y_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}y_{\mu})$$

$$\delta B_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha}y_{\beta} - D_{\beta}y_{\alpha} + \alpha_{2}y_{\alpha\beta}$$
(29)

The rest fields $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and A_{μ} with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(h_{\alpha\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(A_{\mu}) + \sqrt{2\Omega(d-2)}[h^{\alpha\beta}D_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - h(DA)] - \frac{\Omega d}{2}h^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\Omega}{2}h^2 - \Omega(d-1)A_{\mu}^2$$
(30)

and gauge transformations

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha} x_{\beta} + D_{\beta} x_{\alpha} + \sqrt{\frac{2\Omega}{d-2}} g_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda \qquad \delta A_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha} + \sqrt{2\Omega(d-2)} x_{\alpha}$$
 (31)

is just the gauge invariant description [18] of rather well known [10]-[16] partially massless spin-2 theory in de Sitter space.

2 $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$ tensor

Our next example — tensor field $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$ antisymmetric on the first three indices and satisfying the constraint $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha,\beta]}=0$. In flat Minkowski space we will use the following massless Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\rho} T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} \partial_{\rho} T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} - \frac{3}{2} (\partial T)^{\nu\alpha,\beta} (\partial T)_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} \partial^{\rho} T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\rho} + + 3 \partial_{\beta} T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} \partial_{\mu} T_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{3}{2} \partial^{\rho} T^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} T_{\mu\nu} + 3(\partial T)^{\mu} (\partial T)_{\mu}$$
(32)

where $T_{[\mu\nu]} = T_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{\alpha}$, which is invariant under two gauge transformations:

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = 3\partial_{\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\alpha}\eta_{\mu\nu\beta} + \partial_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \\
+ \partial_{\mu}\chi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - \partial_{\nu}\chi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}\chi_{\mu\nu,\beta} \tag{33}$$

where parameter $\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ completely antisymmetric on all indices, while $\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ — mixed tensor antisymmetric on first two indices and satisfying $\chi_{[\mu\nu,\alpha]} = 0$. For what follows it is important to note that these gauge transformations are also reducible, namely if one set

$$\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = \partial_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2\partial_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha}$$

$$\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu})$$
(34)

where $x_{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric and $y_{\alpha\beta}$ is antisymmetric, then $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$ remains invariant.

To obtain gauge invariant description of corresponding massive field we introduce two Goldstone fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ with the same symmetry properties as $\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$, respectively. The kinetic terms for these fields:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2} \partial^\beta C^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\beta C_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{3}{2} (\partial C)^{\mu\nu} (\partial C)_{\mu\nu}$$
 (35)

where $\mathcal{L}_0(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha})$ is the same Lagrangian as we use in the previous section. Both fields have its own gauge symmetries:

$$\delta \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = \partial_{\mu} x_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu} x_{\mu\alpha} + 2\partial_{\alpha} y_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu} y_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu} y_{\mu\alpha}
\delta C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \partial_{\mu} z_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu} z_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_{\alpha} z_{\mu\nu}$$
(36)

with $x_{\alpha\beta}$ symmetric, while $y_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z_{\alpha\beta}$ antisymmetric on their indices.

By straightforward calculations one can easily check that with the addition of the following low derivatives terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = m\sqrt{3}[T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}\partial^{\mu}\Phi^{\nu\alpha,\beta} - T_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} - 2T_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\mu}\Phi^{\nu}] +
+ \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}}[T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}\partial^{\beta}C^{\mu\nu\alpha} - 3T_{\mu\nu}(\partial C)^{\mu\nu}] -
- \frac{m^{2}}{2}[T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} - 3T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}]$$
(37)

the whole Lagrangian remains to be invariant under the $\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ transformations provided the Goldstone fields are transformed as foolows:

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = m\sqrt{3}\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} \qquad \delta C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 2m\sqrt{3}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} \tag{38}$$

But our Goldstone fields are the gauge fields themselves, so one has to take care about their own gauge symmetries with the parameters $x_{\alpha\beta}$, $y_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z_{\alpha\beta}$. At first sight it seems that we need three more Goldstone fields one symmetric second rank tensor and two antisymmetric ones. But due to reducibility of gauge transformations for the field $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$ it turns out to be enough to introduce only one additional field, namely antisymmetric tensor $B_{[\mu\nu]}$. Indeed with the addition to the Lagrangian the following new terms

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} B_{\alpha\beta} + \partial^{\mu} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} B_{\beta\mu} -$$

$$-m \sqrt{\frac{d-3}{d-4}} \left[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} B^{\mu\nu} + 2 \Phi_{\mu} (\partial B)^{\mu} + 2 C_{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial^{\mu} B^{\nu\alpha} \right] +$$

$$+m^{2} \left[-\sqrt{\frac{3(d-3)}{d-4}} T^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{d-2}{d-4} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \right]$$
(39)

we managed not only to keep invariance under the $\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ transformations, but also achieve the invariance under the transformations $x_{\alpha\beta}$, $y_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z_{\alpha\beta}$ as well, provided

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}(d-4)} [g_{\alpha\beta}y_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}y_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}y_{\nu\alpha} + g_{\alpha\beta}z_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}z_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}z_{\nu\alpha} + g_{\alpha\beta}z_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}z_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}z_{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\delta B_{\alpha\beta} = 2m\sqrt{\frac{d-3}{d-4}}(y_{\alpha\beta} - z_{\alpha\beta})$$

$$(40)$$

One can see that our construction works for d > 4 only, because in d = 4 the trace part of the $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$ completely decouples in the massless Lagrangian. So we will assume that $d \ge 5$.

It is still not the end of the story because our new Goldstone field $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is a gauge field itself. As we have already mentioned in the previous section gauge transformations for the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ are also reducible, as a result there is no need to introduce any new fields. Indeed, it easy to check that the Lagrangian obtained so far already invariant under one more gauge transformation with vector parameter z_{μ} having the form:

$$\delta B_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} z_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} z_{\alpha} \qquad \delta \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = -\frac{m}{\sqrt{(d-3)(d-4)}} (g_{\nu\alpha} z_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha} z_{\nu}) \tag{41}$$

Thus we have full massive theory with four fields $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$, $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$, $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ which is invariant under the six gauge transformations with parameters $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$, $x_{\alpha\beta}$, $y_{\alpha\beta}$, $z_{\alpha\beta}$ and z_{α} . Let us turn now to (A)dS. We will follow the same procedure as in the previous case and start with the sum of (covariantized) "massless" Lagrangians for all four fields

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0(T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_0(C_{\mu\nu\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_0(B_{\mu\nu})$$

as well as the following initial gauge transformations:

$$\delta_{0}T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = 3D_{\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} + D_{\alpha}\eta_{\mu\nu\beta} + D_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\alpha\beta} - D_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\alpha\beta} + D_{\mu}\chi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - D_{\nu}\chi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + D_{\alpha}\chi_{\mu\nu,\beta}
+ D_{\mu}\chi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - D_{\nu}\chi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + D_{\alpha}\chi_{\mu\nu,\beta}
\delta_{0}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha}
\delta_{0}C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = D_{\mu}z_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}z_{\mu\alpha} + D_{\alpha}z_{\mu\nu}
\delta_{0}B_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha}z_{\beta} - D_{\beta}z_{\alpha}$$
(42)

Now as the structure of Lagrangians and gauge transformations is the same as in the flat case all variations with three derivatives cancel each other leaving us with terms containing one derivative only (and proportional to cosmological constant):

$$\delta_{0}\mathcal{L}_{0} = -3\Omega\chi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}[(3d-8)(DT)_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - (2d-3)D_{\alpha}T_{\mu\nu} - 2(2d-3)g_{\nu\alpha}(DT)_{\mu}] - \\
-4\Omega\eta^{\mu\nu\alpha}[4D^{\beta}T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} + 3(d-9)D_{\mu}T_{\nu\alpha}] + 9\Omega(d-3)z^{\alpha\beta}(DC)_{\alpha\beta} + \\
+2\Omega x^{\alpha\beta}[(2d-3)D^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + dD_{\alpha}\Phi_{\beta} - dg_{\alpha\beta}(D\Phi)] + \\
+3\Omega y^{\alpha\beta}[3D^{\mu}\Phi_{\alpha\beta,\mu} - 2(2d-6)D_{\alpha}\Phi_{\beta}] \tag{43}$$

These terms do not contribute to calculations of variations with two derivatives, so we keep the same structure of the Lagrangian terms with one derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \alpha_{1} [T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} D^{\mu} \Phi^{\nu\alpha,\beta} - T_{\mu\nu} D_{\alpha} \Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} - 2T_{\mu\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi^{\nu}] +
+ \frac{\alpha_{2}}{3} [T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} D^{\beta} C^{\mu\nu\alpha} - 3T_{\mu\nu} (DC)^{\mu\nu}] -
- \frac{\alpha_{5}}{2} [\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} D^{\alpha} B^{\mu\nu} + 2\Phi_{\mu} (DB)^{\mu}] - \alpha_{6} C_{\mu\nu\alpha} D^{\mu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
(44)

as well as the same structure of non-derivative transformations for all fields:

$$\delta_{1}T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = \alpha_{3}[g_{\alpha\beta}y_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}y_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}y_{\nu\alpha}] + \\
+ \alpha_{4}[g_{\alpha\beta}z_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}z_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}z_{\nu\alpha}] \\
\delta_{1}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = \alpha_{1}\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \alpha_{7}(g_{\nu\alpha}z_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}z_{\nu}) \\
\delta_{1}C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \alpha_{2}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} \qquad \delta_{1}B_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha_{5}y_{\alpha\beta} + \alpha_{6}z_{\alpha\beta}$$
(45)

In this, all variations with two derivatives indeed cancel each other provided:

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{2\alpha_1}{3(d-4)}, \qquad \alpha_4 = \frac{\alpha_2}{3(d-4)}, \qquad \alpha_7 = -\frac{\alpha_5}{2(d-3)}$$

and we obtain non-derivative terms only:

$$\delta_{0}\mathcal{L}_{1} + \delta_{1}\mathcal{L}_{0} = -\Omega\alpha_{1}\chi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}[(2d-3)\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - 2dg_{\nu\alpha}\Phi_{\mu}] + \Omega\alpha_{2}(d-3)\eta^{\mu\nu\alpha}C_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \\ + \Omega[2\alpha_{1}(d-5) - 6\alpha_{3}(d-1)]y^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} - 3\Omega\alpha_{5}(d-2)y^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} - \\ -2\Omega[\alpha_{2}(d-2) + 3\alpha_{4}(d-1)]z^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + \Omega[2\alpha_{7}d - \alpha_{5}(d-1)]z^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu}$$
(46)

At last we add to the Lagrangian the most general mass-like terms for all fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{c_{1}}{2} T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} + \frac{c_{2}}{2} T^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} + c_{3} T^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{4}}{2} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{5}}{2} \Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \frac{c_{6}}{2} \Phi^{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} + \frac{c_{7}}{2} C^{\mu\nu\alpha} C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$$

$$(47)$$

and require the cancellation of all variations with one derivative (including $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_0$) and without derivatives (taking into account $\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0$). This allows us to express all the parameters in the Lagrangian and gauge transformations in terms of α_1 and α_2

$$\alpha_5^2 = \frac{4(d-3)}{3(d-4)}\alpha_1^2 + 12\Omega(d-3), \qquad \alpha_6^2 = \frac{d-3}{3(d-4)}\alpha_2^2 - 12\Omega(d-3)$$

$$c_1 = -\frac{\alpha_1^2}{3} - \Omega(3d-8), \qquad c_2 = \alpha_1^2 + 3\Omega(2d-3), \qquad c_3 = -\frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{2}$$

$$c_4 = \frac{d-2}{4(d-3)}\alpha_5^2, \qquad c_5 = \Omega(2d-3), \qquad c_6 = -2\Omega d, \qquad c_7 = -\Omega(d-3)$$

and gives us an important relation on this parameters:

$$4\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 + 36\Omega(d-4) = 0 (48)$$

Thus we have a one parameter family of Lagrangians. But it is not evident which parameter or combination of parameters should be identified with mass because the last relation means that exactly as in the previous case for the nonzero value of cosmological constant it is not possible to set $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$ simultaneously (recall that $d \neq 4$). So there is no fully massless limit in (A)dS but there are two partially massless ones.

In Anti de Sitter space ($\Omega < 0$) one can set $\alpha_2 = 0$. As a result the whole system decompose into two subsystems. One of them with the fields $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0}(T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_{0}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \alpha_{1}[T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}D^{\mu}\Phi^{\nu\alpha,\beta} - T_{\mu\nu}D_{\alpha}\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} - 2T_{\mu\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi^{\nu}] - 2\Omega T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega(d-9)T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(2d-3)\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - \Omega d\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu}$$
(49)

where $\alpha_1 = 3\sqrt{-\Omega(d-4)}$, which is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = 3D_{\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} + D_{\alpha}\eta_{\mu\nu\beta} + D_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\alpha\beta} - D_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\alpha\beta} +
+ D_{\mu}\chi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - D_{\nu}\chi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + D_{\alpha}\chi_{\mu\nu,\beta} +
+ \frac{2\alpha_{1}}{3(d-4)} [g_{\alpha\beta}y_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}y_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}y_{\nu\alpha}] +
\delta \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} + \alpha_{1}\chi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$$
(50)

gives us one more example of partially massless theory.

The other one with the fields $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ is just gauge invariant description of massive third rank antisymmetric tensor with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(C_{\mu\nu\alpha}) + \mathcal{L}_0(B_{\mu\nu}) - 2\sqrt{-3\Omega(d-3)}C_{\mu\nu\alpha}D^{\mu}B^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{\Omega(d-3)}{2}C^{\mu\nu\alpha}C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$$
 (51)

and gauge transformations

$$\delta C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = D_{\mu} z_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu} z_{\mu\alpha} + D_{\alpha} z_{\mu\nu}
\delta B_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu} z_{\nu} - D_{\nu} z_{\mu} + 2\sqrt{3\Omega(d-3)} z_{\mu\nu}$$
(52)

In the de Sitter space $(\Omega > 0)$ one can set $\alpha_1 = 0$ instead. Once again the whole system breaks into two decoupled subsystems. One of them give another partially massless theory in terms of $T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}$ and $C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_0(C_{\mu\nu\alpha}) + \frac{\alpha_2}{3} [T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} D^{\beta} C^{\mu\nu\alpha} - 3T_{\mu\nu} (DC)^{\mu\nu}] - 2\Omega T^{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} - \frac{3}{2} \Omega(d-9) T^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Omega(d-3)}{2} C^{\mu\nu\alpha} C_{\mu\nu\alpha}$$
(53)

where $\alpha_2 = 6\sqrt{\Omega(d-4)}$, which is invariant under

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu\alpha,\beta} = 3D_{\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha} + D_{\alpha}\eta_{\mu\nu\beta} + D_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\alpha\beta} - D_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\alpha\beta} + D_{\mu}\chi_{\nu\alpha,\beta} - D_{\nu}\chi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + D_{\alpha}\chi_{\mu\nu,\beta} + \frac{\alpha_{2}}{3(d-4)}[g_{\alpha\beta}z_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\beta}z_{\mu\alpha} + g_{\mu\beta}z_{\nu\alpha}] + \delta C_{\mu\nu\alpha} = D_{\mu}z_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}z_{\mu\alpha} + D_{\alpha}z_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_{2}\eta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$$

$$(54)$$

The rest fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ give exactly the same partially massless theory that we have in the previous section for the de Sitter space.

So the general pattern of such massive theory both in Minkowski space as well as in (A)dS resembles very much the one for the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ considered in the previous section. It is not hard to construct a generalization of this theory to the case of the tensor like $T_{[\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_n],\nu}$ for arbitrary n. But more general case like $R_{[\mu_1...\mu_n],[\nu_1...\nu_m]}$ contains special symmetric case m=n which requires separate study. The most simple (but may be the most interesting) example — tensor $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$ which will be the subject of our next section.

3 $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$ tensor

Our last example — tensor $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$ antisymmetric on both first as well as second pair of indices and satisfying $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}$ and $R_{[\mu\nu,\alpha]\beta} = 0$. We start with the massless case in the Minkowski space and consider the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{8} \partial^{\rho} R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} \partial_{\rho} R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial R)^{\nu,\alpha\beta} (\partial R)_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - (\partial R)^{\nu,\alpha\beta} \partial_{\beta} R_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\rho} R^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} R_{\mu\nu} + (\partial R)^{\mu} (\partial R)_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial R)^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} R + \frac{1}{8} \partial^{\mu} R \partial_{\mu} R$$
(55)

It is easy to check that this Lagrangian is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\mu} \chi_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\nu} \chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta,\mu\nu} - \partial_{\beta} \chi_{\alpha,\mu\nu}$$
 (56)

where parameter $\chi_{\mu,[\alpha\beta]}$ antisymmetric on the two indices and satisfies $\chi_{[\mu,\alpha\beta]} = 0$. Note that due to symmetry property $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}$ we have only one gauge transformation instead of two as for the previous cases. This gauge transformation is also reducible because if one set

$$\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta} = 2\partial_{\mu}y_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\alpha}y_{\beta\mu} + \partial_{\beta}y_{\alpha\mu} \tag{57}$$

where $y_{\alpha\beta}$ antisymmetric tensor, then $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ remains invariant.

Following our general procedure we introduce one Goldstone field $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$ with the same symmetry properties as $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$ with the same massless Lagrangian and its own gauge transformations as before. Then by adding the following low derivatives terms to the sum of massless Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = m[R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - 2R^{\mu\nu}(\partial\Phi)_{\mu,\nu} - 2R^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu} + R(\partial\Phi)] - \frac{m^{2}}{8}[R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - 4R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + R^{2}]$$
(58)

we can still have gauge invariance under the $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$ transformations for massive field provided

$$\delta_1 \Phi_{\alpha\beta,\mu} = m \chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta} \tag{59}$$

Recall that our Goldstone field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ has its own gauge transformations with the parameters $x_{\{\alpha\beta\}}$ and $y_{[\alpha\beta]}$. Due to reducibility of gauge transformations for $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ it turns out

that one has to introduce one new Goldstone field $h_{\{\alpha\beta\}}$ only. Indeed with the additional terms

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0}(h_{\alpha\beta}) - m\alpha_{2}[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}\partial^{\mu}h^{\nu\alpha} + \Phi_{\mu}(\partial h)^{\mu} - \Phi_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}h] + \frac{m^{2}}{2}[-\alpha_{2}R^{\mu\nu}H_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2}Rh + \frac{d-2}{d-4}(h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} - h^{2})]$$
(60)

our theory becomes invariant not only under $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$ transformations, but under $x_{\alpha\beta}$ and $y_{\alpha\beta}$ ones as well, provided

$$\delta_1 R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \frac{2m}{d-4} (g_{\mu\alpha} x_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} x_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\nu\alpha} x_{\mu\beta} + g_{\nu\beta} x_{\mu\alpha})$$

$$\delta_1 h_{\alpha\beta} = m\alpha_2 x_{\alpha\beta}$$
(61)

Here $\alpha_2 = 2\sqrt{\frac{d-3}{d-4}}$. Once again, the whole construction works for $d \geq 5$ only.

Moreover, due to the reducibility of gauge transformations of the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ the Lagrangian obtained turns out to be invariant under one more gauge transformation with vector parameter

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = -\frac{m}{\sqrt{(d-3)(d-4)}} (g_{\nu\alpha}x_{\mu} - g_{\mu\alpha}x_{\nu})$$

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}x_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}x_{\alpha}$$
(62)

So the whole massive theory requires three fields $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$, $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ only and has four gauge transformations with the parameters $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$, $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$, $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$ and χ_{α} .

Let us turn to the (A)dS case. As we have already noted our main field $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ has one gauge transformation only, so one may expect that there exist fully massless theory for this field in (A)dS. Indeed if we consider the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0(R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta})$ and gauge transformations with the parameter $\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta}$ where all the derivatives are replaced by the covariant ones, then the variation of such Lagrangian:

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_0 = -\Omega[(d+2)\chi^{\mu,\alpha\beta}(DR)_{\nu,\alpha\beta} + 10\chi^{\alpha,\beta\nu}D_{\nu}R_{\alpha\beta} + 10\chi^{\alpha}(DR)_{\alpha} + (d-8)\chi^{\alpha}D_{\alpha}R]$$
 (63)

could be perfectly canceled by the addition of the following mass-like terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = -\frac{\Omega}{8} [(d+2)R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - 20R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + (d-8)R^{2}]$$
 (64)

Now let us consider massive case. This time (due to existence of fully massless limit) we will follow the same convention that we use in the case of completely symmetric tensor fields [18], namely we will call "mass" the parameter which would be the mass in the flat space limit. So we introduce two additional fields $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ with their own massless Lagrangians and gauge transformations and add to the sum of massless Lagrangians the following terms with one derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = m[R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}D_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - 2R^{\mu\nu}(D\Phi)_{\mu,\nu} - 2R^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu} + R(D\Phi)] - \\ -\alpha_{2}[\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}D^{\mu}h^{\nu\alpha} + \Phi_{\mu}(Dh)^{\mu} - \Phi_{\mu}D^{\mu}h]$$
(65)

as well as the following non-derivative terms to the transformation laws:

$$\delta_1 R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \frac{2m}{d-4} (g_{\mu\alpha} x_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} x_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\nu\alpha} x_{\mu\beta} + g_{\nu\beta} x_{\mu\alpha})
\delta_1 \Phi_{\alpha\beta,\mu} = m \chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2(d-3)} (g_{\beta\mu} x_{\alpha} - g_{\alpha\mu} x_{\beta})
\delta_1 h_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha_2 x_{\alpha\beta}$$
(66)

Then straightforward calculations show that all variations with two derivatives cancel each other leaving us with:

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = -\Omega [3m\chi^{\mu,\alpha\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\beta,\mu} - 2m(d-6)\chi^{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} + \frac{2m(d-3)}{d-4} (dx^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} - xR) - \alpha_2 (dx^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} - xh)]$$

$$(67)$$

Now if we add the most general mass-like terms for all three fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{c_{1}}{8} R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} + \frac{c_{2}}{4} R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{3}}{8} R^{2} + \frac{c_{4}}{2} \Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha} \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + \frac{c_{5}}{2} \Phi^{\mu} \Phi_{\mu} + c_{6} R^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} + c_{7} Rh + \frac{c_{8}}{2} h^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{9}}{2} h^{2}$$

$$(68)$$

and require cancellation of all variations then we obtain the following expressions for the parameters

$$c_1 = -m^2 - \Omega(d+2),$$
 $c_2 = 2m^2 + 10\Omega,$ $c_3 = -m^2 + \Omega(d-8),$
 $c_4 = 6\Omega,$ $c_5 = 2\Omega(d-6),$ $c_6 = -\frac{m\alpha_2}{2},$ $c_7 = \frac{m\alpha_2}{4},$
 $c_8 = \frac{d-2}{d-3}\alpha_2^2 - 2\Omega,$ $c_9 = -\frac{d-2}{d-3}\alpha_2^2 - \Omega(d-3)$

as well as the following relation on the main parameter α_2

$$\alpha_2^2 = \frac{4(d-3)}{d-4} [m^2 - \Omega(d-4)] \tag{69}$$

In (A)dS one can set m=0. In this the field $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ decouples and gives fully massless theory, while the others — $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ gives the same partially massless theory as in the first section. At the same time in the de Sitter space we have unitary forbidden region, because the last relation requires $m^2 \geq \Omega(d-4)$. The boundary of this region gives $(\alpha_2 = 0)$ partially massless theory with the fields $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$ and $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$ with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0}(R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}) + \mathcal{L}_{0}(\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) + \\
+ m[R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}D_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - 2R^{\mu\nu}(D\Phi)_{\mu,\nu} - 2R^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}\Phi_{\nu} + R(D\Phi)] - \\
- \frac{\Omega}{4}[(d-1)R^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - 2(d+1)R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + 2R^{2} - \\
- 6\Phi^{\mu\nu,\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - 4(d-6)\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu}]$$
(70)

which is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = D_{\mu}\chi_{\nu,\alpha\beta} - D_{\nu}\chi_{\mu,\alpha\beta} + D_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta,\mu\nu} - D_{\beta}\chi_{\alpha,\mu\nu} + \frac{2m}{d-4}(g_{\mu\alpha}x_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta}x_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\nu\alpha}x_{\mu\beta} + g_{\nu\beta}x_{\mu\alpha})$$

$$\delta \Phi_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = D_{\mu}x_{\nu\alpha} - D_{\nu}x_{\mu\alpha} + 2D_{\alpha}y_{\mu\nu} - D_{\mu}y_{\nu\alpha} + D_{\nu}y_{\mu\alpha} + m\chi_{\alpha,\mu\nu}$$
(71)

In this, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ just describes the usual massless spin-2 particle.

Conclusion

In this paper we have managed to construct gauge invariant formulations for massive particles described by mixed symmetry tensors $\Phi_{[\mu\nu],\alpha}$, $T_{[\mu\nu\alpha],\beta}$ and $R_{[\mu\nu],[\alpha\beta]}$. In all three cases it was crucial to take into account the reducibility of corresponding gauge transformations to determine appropriate set of Goldstone fields. We have seen that such formulations admit smooth deformation to the (Anti) de Sitter space without introduction of any additional fields. This, in turn, allows us to investigate possible massless as well as partially massless limits for such theories. Our results agree with the observations in [17] and give a number of new examples of partially massless theories both in de Sitter as well as in Anti de Sitter spaces. Here we did not try to consider generalizations to the more general mixed symmetry tensors, but we hope that three explicit examples constructed provide a good starting point for such generalizations.

References

- [1] T. Curtright, "Generalized gauge fields", Phys. Lett. **B165** (1986) 304.
- [2] T. Curtright, P. G. O. Freund, "Massive dual fields", Nucl. Phys. B172 (1986) 413.
- [3] C. S. Aulakh, I. G. Koh, S. Ouvry, "Higher spin fields with mixed symmetry", Phys. Lett. **B173** (1986) 284.
- [4] J. M. Labastida, T. R. Morris, "Massless mixed symmetry bosonic free fields", Phys. Lett. **B180** (1986) 101.
- [5] J. M. Labastida, "Massless particles in arbitrary representations of the Lorentz group", Nucl. Phys. B322 (1989) 185.
- [6] J. A. Garcia, B. Knaepen, "Couplings between generalized gauge fields", Phys. Lett. B441 (1998) 198, hep-th/9807016.
- [7] C. Burdik, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, "On the mixed symmetry irreducible representations of the Poincare group in the BRST approach", Mod. Phys. Lett. A16 (2001) 731, hep-th/0101201.
- [8] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, "Tensor gauge fields in arbitrary representations of GL(D,R): duality and Poincare lemma", hep-th/0208058.
- [9] P. de Medeiros, C. Hull, "Exotic tensor gauge theory and Duality", hep-th/0208155.
- [10] S. Deser, R. I. Nepomechie "Anomalous Propagation of Gauge Fields in Conformally Flat Spaces", Phys. Lett. **B132** (1983) 321.
- [11] S. Deser, R. I. Nepomechie "Gauge Invariance versus Masslessness in de Sitter Spaces", Ann. Phys. **154** (1984) 396.
- [12] A. Higuchi "Forbidden Mass Range for Spin-2 Field Theory in de-Sitter Space-Time", Nucl. Phys. **B282** (1987) 397.
- [13] S. Deser, A. Waldron "Gauge Invariance and Phases of Massive Higher Spins in (A)dS", Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 031601, hep-th/0102166.
- [14] S. Deser, A. Waldron "Partial Masslessness of Higher Spins in (A)dS", Nucl. Phys. **B607** (2001) 577, hep-th/0103198.
- [15] S. Deser, A. Waldron "Stability of Massive Cosmological Gravitinos", Phys. Lett. **B508** (2001) 347-353, hep-th/0103255.
- [16] S. Deser, A. Waldron "Null Propagation of Partially Massless Higher Spins in (A)dS and Cosmological Constant Speculations", Phys. Lett. **B513** (2001) 137, hep-th/0105181.
- [17] L. Brink, R. R. Metsaev, M. A. Vasiliev, "How Massless are Massless Fields in AdS_d ", Nucl. Phys. **586** (2000) 183, hep-th/0005136.
- [18] Yu. M. Zinoviev, "On Massive High Spin Particles in (A)dS", hep-th/0108192.