

# Descendants of the Chiral Anomaly

R. Jackiw

*Center for Theoretical Physics*

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

*Cambridge, MA 02139-4307*

Dirac Medalist Meeting, Trieste, Italy, November 2000

MIT-CTP#3051

## Abstract

Chern-Simons terms are well-known descendants of chiral anomalies, when the latter are presented as total derivatives. Here I explain that also Chern-Simons terms, when defined on a 3-manifold, may be expressed as total derivatives.

The axial anomaly, that is, the departure from transversality of the correlation function for fermion vector, vector, and axial vector currents, involves  $\epsilon F F$ , an expression constructed from the gauge fields to which the fermions couple. Specifically, in the Abelian case one encounters

$$*F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} = -4 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the covariant electromagnetic tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (2a)$$

while  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are the electric and magnetic fields

$$E^i = F^{io}, \quad B^i = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}. \quad (2b)$$

The non-Abelian generalization reads

$$*F^{\mu\nu a} F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\alpha\beta}^a \quad (3)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu}^a$  is the Yang-Mills gauge field strength

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \quad (4)$$

and  $a$  labels the components of the gauge group, whose structure constants are  $f^{abc}$ .

The quantity  $\int F \wedge F$  is topologically interesting. Its integral over 4-space is quantized, and measures the topological class (labeled by integers) to which the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  belongs. Consequently, the integral of  $\int F \wedge F$  is a topological invariant and we expect that, as befits a topological invariant, it should be possible to present  $\int F \wedge F$  as a total derivative, so that its 4-volume integral becomes converted by Gauss' law into a surface integral, sensitive only to long distance, global properties of the gauge fields. That a total derivative form for  $\int F \wedge F$  indeed holds is seen when  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is expressed in terms of potentials. In the Abelian case, we use (2a) and find immediately

$$\frac{1}{2} \int F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu (\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma) . \quad (5)$$

For non-Abelian fields, (4) establishes the result we desire:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int F^{\mu\nu a} F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} (A_\alpha^a \partial_\beta A_\gamma^a + \frac{1}{3} f^{abc} A_\alpha^a A_\beta^b A_\gamma^c) . \quad (6)$$

The quantities whose divergence gives  $\int F \wedge F$  are called Chern-Simons terms. By suppressing one dimension they become naturally defined on a 3-dimensional manifold (they are 3-forms), and we are thus led to consider the Chern-Simons terms in their own right [1]:

$$\text{CS}(A) = \varepsilon^{ijk} A_i \partial_j A_k \quad (\text{Abelian}) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{CS}(A) = \varepsilon^{ijk} (A_i^a \partial_j A_k^a + \frac{1}{3} f^{abc} A_i^a A_j^b A_k^c) \quad (\text{non-Abelian}). \quad (8)$$

The 3-dimensional integral of these quantities is again topologically interesting. When the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term is evaluated on a pure gauge, non-Abelian vector potential

$$A_i = g^{-1} \partial_i g \quad (9)$$

the 3-dimensional volume integral of  $\int \text{CS}(g^{-1} \partial g)$  measures the topological class (labeled by integers) to which the group element  $g$  belongs. The integral in the Abelian case – the case of electrodynamics – is called the magnetic helicity  $\int d^3r \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ , and measures the linkage of magnetic flux lines. An analogous quantity arises in fluid mechanics, with the local fluid velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  replacing  $\mathbf{A}$ , and the vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$  replacing  $\mathbf{B}$ . Then the integral  $\int d^3r \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$  is called kinetic helicity [2].

I shall not review here the many uses to which the Chern-Simons terms, Abelian and non-Abelian, introduced in [1], have been put. The applications range from the mathematical characterizations of knots to the physical descriptions of electrons in the quantum Hall effect [3], vivid evidence for the deep significance of the Chern-Simons structure and of its antecedent, the chiral anomaly.

Instead, I pose the following question: Can one write the Chern-Simons term as a total derivative, so that (as befits a topological quantity) the spatial volume integral becomes a surface integral? An argument that this should be possible is the following: The Chern-Simons term is a 3-form on 3-space, hence it is maximal and its exterior derivative vanishes because there are no 4-forms on 3-space. This establishes that on 3-space the Chern-Simons term is closed, so one can expect that it is also exact, at least locally, that is, it can be written

as a total derivative. Of course such a representation for the Chern-Simons term requires expressing the potentials in terms of “prepotentials”, since the formulas (7), (8) in terms of potentials show no evidence of derivative structure. [Recall that the total derivative formulas (5), (6) for the axial anomaly also require using potentials to express  $\mathbf{F}$ .]

There is a physical, practical reason for wanting the Abelian Chern-Simons term to be a total derivative. It is known in fluid mechanics that there exists an obstruction to constructing a Lagrangian for Euler’s fluid equations, and this obstruction is just the kinetic helicity  $\int d^3r \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$ , that is, the volume integral of the Abelian Chern-Simons term, constructed from the velocity 3-vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . This obstruction is removed when the integrand is a total derivative, because then the kinetic helicity volume integral is converted to a surface integral by Gauss’ theorem. When the integral obtains contributions only from a surface, the obstruction disappears from the 3-volume, where the fluid equation acts [4].

It is easy to show that the Abelian Chern-Simons term can be presented as a total derivative. We use the Clebsch parameterization for a 3-vector [5]:

$$\mathbf{A} = \nabla\theta + \alpha \nabla\beta. \quad (10)$$

This nineteenth century parameterization of a 3-vector  $\mathbf{A}$  in terms of the prepotentials  $(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$  is an alternative to the usual transverse/longitudinal parameterization. In modern language it is a statement of Darboux’s theorem that the 1-form  $A_i dr^i$  can be written as  $d\theta + \alpha d\beta$  [6]. With this parameterization for  $\mathbf{A}$ , one sees that the Abelian Chern-Simons term indeed is a total derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CS}(A) &= \varepsilon^{ijk} A_i \partial_j A_k \\ &= \varepsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \theta \partial_j \alpha \partial_k \beta \\ &= \partial_i (\varepsilon^{ijk} \theta \partial_j \alpha \partial_k \beta). \end{aligned}$$

When the Clebsch parameterization is employed for  $\mathbf{v}$  in the fluid dynamical context, the situation is analogous to the force law in electrodynamics. While the Lorentz equation is written in terms of field strengths, a Lagrangian formulation needs potentials from which the field strengths are reconstructed. Similarly, Euler’s equation involves the velocity vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , but in a Lagrangian for this equation the velocity must be parameterized in terms of the prepotentials  $\theta, \alpha$ , and  $\beta$ .

In a natural generalization of the above, we ask whether a non-Abelian vector potential can also be parameterized in such a way that the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term (8) becomes a total derivative. We have answered this question affirmatively and we have found appropriate prepotentials that do the job [4, 7, 8].

In order to describe our non-Abelian construction, we first revisit the Abelian problem. As we have stated, the solution in the Abelian case is immediately provided by the Clebsch parameterization (10). However, finding the non-Abelian generalization requires an indirect construction, which we first present for the Abelian case.

Although in the Abelian case we are concerned with  $U(1)$  potentials, we begin by considering a bigger group  $SU(2)$ , which contains our group of interest  $U(1)$ . Let  $g$  be a group element of  $SU(2)$  and construct a pure-gauge  $SU(2)$  gauge potential

$$\mathcal{A} = g^{-1} dg . \quad (11)$$

We know that  $\text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3$  is a total derivative [1]; indeed, its 3-volume integral measures the topological winding number of  $g$  and therefore can be expressed as a surface integral, as befits a topological quantity. The separate  $SU(2)$  component potentials  $\mathcal{A}^a$  can be projected from (11) as

$$\mathcal{A}^a = i \text{tr} \sigma^a g^{-1} dg , \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^a \sigma^a / 2i \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2}{3} \text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3 &= \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{abc} \mathcal{A}^a \mathcal{A}^b \mathcal{A}^c \\ &= \mathcal{A}^1 \mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{A}^3 . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{A}^a$  is a pure gauge, it satisfies

$$d\mathcal{A}^a = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} \mathcal{A}^b \mathcal{A}^c . \quad (14)$$

Next define an Abelian vector potential  $A$  by projecting one component of  $g^{-1} dg$

$$A = i \text{tr} \sigma^3 g^{-1} dg = \mathcal{A}^3 . \quad (15)$$

Note that  $A$  is *not* an Abelian pure gauge  $\nabla \times A = B \neq 0$ . It now follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot B \, d^3r &= A \, dA = \mathcal{A}^3 \, d\mathcal{A}^3 = -\mathcal{A}^1 \mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{A}^3 \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3 . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The last equality is a consequence of (13) and shows that the Abelian Chern-Simons term is proportional to the winding number density of the non-Abelian group element, and therefore is a total derivative. Note that the projected formula (15) involves three arbitrary functions – the three parameter functions of the  $SU(2)$  group – which is the correct number needed to represent an Abelian vector potential in 3-space.

It is instructive to see how this works explicitly. The most general  $SU(2)$  group element reads  $\exp(\sigma^a \omega^a / 2i)$ . The three functions  $\omega^a$  are presented as  $\hat{\omega}^a \omega$ , where  $\hat{\omega}^a$  is a unit  $SU(2)$  3-vector and  $\omega$  is the magnitude of  $\omega^a$ . The unit vector may be parameterized as

$$\hat{\omega}^a = (\sin \Theta \cos \Phi, \sin \Theta \sin \Phi, \cos \Theta) \quad (17a)$$

where  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$  are functions on 3-space, as is  $\omega$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$g^{-1} dg = \frac{\sigma^a}{2i} (\hat{\omega}^a d\omega + \sin \omega d\hat{\omega}^a - (1 - \cos \omega) \varepsilon^{abc} \hat{\omega}^b d\hat{\omega}^c) \quad (17b)$$

$$A = \text{tr } i\sigma^3 g^{-1} dg$$

$$= \cos \Theta d\omega - \sin \omega \sin \Theta d\Theta - (1 - \cos \omega) \sin^2 \Theta d\Phi \quad (18)$$

$$A dA = -2 d(\omega - \sin \omega) d(\cos \Theta) d\Phi = d\Omega \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega = -2\Phi d(\omega - \sin \omega) d(\cos \Theta) . \quad (20)$$

The last two equations show that our SU(2)-projected, U(1) potential possesses a total-derivative Chern-Simons term. Once we have in hand a parameterization for  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{A} d\mathbf{A}$  is a total derivative, it is easy to find the Clebsch parameterization for  $\mathbf{A}$ . In the above,

$$A = d(-2\Phi) + 2\left(1 - \left(\sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2}\right) \sin^2 \Theta\right) d\left(\Phi + \tan^{-1}\left[\left(\tan \frac{\omega}{2}\right) \cos \Theta\right]\right) . \quad (21)$$

The projected formula (18), (21) for  $\mathbf{A}$ , contains three arbitrary functions  $\omega$ ,  $\Theta$ , and  $\Phi$ ; this offers sufficient generality to parameterize an arbitrary 3-vector  $\mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, in spite of the total derivative expression for  $\mathbf{A} d\mathbf{A}$ , its spatial integral need not vanish. In our example, the functions  $\omega$ ,  $\Theta$ , and  $\Phi$  in general depend on  $\mathbf{r}$ ; however, if we take  $\omega$  to be a function only of  $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ , and identify  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$  with the polar and azimuthal angles  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbf{r}$ , then

$$\int A dA = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \frac{d}{dr}(\omega - \sin \omega)$$

$$= 4\pi(\omega - \sin \omega) \Big|_{r=0}^{r=\infty} . \quad (22)$$

Thus if  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $\omega(\infty) = \pi N$ ,  $N$  an integer, the integral is nonvanishing, giving  $4\pi^2 N$ ; the contribution comes entirely from the bounding surface at infinity [7].

With this preparation, I can now describe the non-Abelian construction [8]. We are addressing the following mathematical problem: We wish to parameterize a non-Abelian vector potential  $\mathbf{A}^a$  belonging to a group  $H$ , so that the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term (8) is a total derivative. Since we are in three dimensions, the vector potential has  $3 \times (\dim H)$  components, so our parameterization should have that many arbitrary functions.

The solution to our mathematical problem is to choose a large group  $G$  (compact, semi-simple) that contains  $H$  as a subgroup. The generators of  $H$  are called  $I^m$  [ $m = 1, \dots, (\dim H)$ ] while those of  $G$  not in  $H$  are called  $S^A$  [ $A = 1, \dots, (\dim G) - (\dim H)$ ]. We further demand that  $G/H$  is a symmetric space; that is, the structure of the Lie algebra is

$$[I^m, I^n] = f^{mno} I^o \quad (23a)$$

$$[I^m, S^A] = h^{mAB} S^B \quad (23b)$$

$$[S^A, S^B] \propto h^{mAB} I^m . \quad (23c)$$

Here  $f^{mno}$  are the structure constants of  $H$ . Eq. (23b) shows that the  $S^A$  provide a representation for  $I^m$  and, according to (23c), their commutator closes on  $I^m$ . The normalization of the  $H$ -generators is fixed by  $\text{tr } I^m I^n = -N \delta^{mn}$ . With  $g$ , a generic group element of  $G$ , giving rise to a pure gauge potential  $\mathbf{A} = g^{-1} dg$  in  $G$ , we define the  $H$ -vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  by projecting with generators belonging to  $H$ :

$$A = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr } I^m g^{-1} dg . \quad (24)$$

We see that the Abelian  $[U(1)]$  construction presented in (11)–(15) follows the above pattern:  $SU(2) = G \supset H = U(1)$ ;  $I^m = \sigma^3/2i$ ,  $S^A = \sigma^2/2i, \sigma^3/2i$ . Moreover, a chain of equations analogous to (13)–(16) shows that the  $H$  Chern-Simons term is proportional to  $\text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3$ , which is a total derivative [3, 7]:

$$CS(A \in H) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2 N} \text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3. \quad (25)$$

Two comments elaborate on our result. It may be useful to choose for  $H$  a direct product  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \subset G$ , where it has already been established that the Chern-Simons term of  $H_2$  is a total derivative, and one wants to prove the same for the  $H_1$  Chern-Simons term. The result (25) implies that

$$CS(A \in H_1) + CS(A \in H_2) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2 N} \text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3. \quad (26)$$

Since the right side is known to be a total derivative, and the second term on the left side is also a total derivative by hypothesis, Eq. (26) implies the desired result that  $CS(A \in H_1)$  is a total derivative. Furthermore, since the total derivative property of  $\text{tr}(g^{-1} dg)^3$  is not explicitly evident, our “total derivative” construction for a non-Abelian Chern-Simons term may in fact result in an expression of the form  $\bar{a} da$ , where  $a$  is an Abelian potential. At this stage one can appeal to known properties of an Abelian Chern-Simons term to cast  $\bar{a} da$  into total derivative form, for example, by employing a Clebsch parameterization for  $a$ . In other words, our construction may be more accurately described as an “Abelianization” of a non-Abelian Chern-Simons term.

To illustrate explicitly the workings of this construction, I present now the parameterization for an  $SU(2)$  potential  $A_i = A_i^a \sigma^a/2i$ , which contains  $3 \times 3 = 9$  functions in three dimensions. For  $G$  we take  $O(5)$ , while  $H$  is chosen as  $O(3) \otimes O(2) \approx SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ , and we already know that an Abelian  $[U(1)]$  Chern-Simons term is a total derivative. We employ a 4-dimensional representation for  $O(5)$  and take the  $O(2) \approx U(1)$  generator to be  $I^0$ :

$$I^0 = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad (27a)$$

while the  $O(3) \approx SU(2)$  generators are  $I^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3$ :

$$I^m = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^m & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27b)$$

Finally, the complementary generators of  $O(5)$ , which do not belong to  $H$ , are  $\tilde{S}^A$  and  $\tilde{S}^A$ ,  $A = 1, 2, 3$ :

$$S^A = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^A & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{S}^A = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27c)$$

There are a total of ten generators, which is the dimension of  $O(5)$ , and one verifies that their Lie algebra is as in (23).

Next we construct a generic  $O(5)$  group element  $g$ , which is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix. The construction begins by choosing a special  $O(5)$  matrix  $M$ , depending on six functions, a generic  $O(3)$  matrix  $h$  with three functions, and a generic  $O(2)$  matrix  $k$  involving a single function, for a total of ten functions,

$$g = M h k \quad (28)$$

where  $M$  is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega \cdot \omega^* - \frac{1}{4}(\omega \times \omega^*)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{i}{2}(\omega \times \omega^*) \cdot \sigma & -\omega \cdot \sigma \\ \omega^* \cdot \sigma & 1 + \frac{i}{2}(\omega \times \omega^*) \cdot \sigma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

Here  $\omega$  is a complex 3-vector, involving six arbitrary functions. The  $SU(2)$  connection is now taken as in (24)

$$A^m = -\text{tr}(I^m g^{-1} dg) \quad (30a)$$

and with (28) this becomes

$$A = h^{-1} \tilde{A} h + h^{-1} dh \quad (30b)$$

$$\tilde{A} = -\text{tr}(I^m M^{-1} dM). \quad (30c)$$

We see that  $k$  disappears from the formula for  $A$ , which is an  $SU(2)$  gauge-transform (with  $h$ ) of the connection  $\tilde{A}$  that is constructed just from  $M$ . It is evident that  $\tilde{A}$  depends on the required nine parameters: three in  $h$  and six in  $M$ .

[Interestingly, the parameterization (30) of the  $SU(2)$  connection possess a structure analogous to the Clebsch parameterization of an Abelian vector. Both present their connection as a gauge transformation of another, “core” connection:  $\theta$  in the Abelian formula  $\nabla\theta + \alpha\nabla\beta$ , and  $h$  in (30b).]

The Chern-Simons term (30b) of  $A$  in (30a) relates to that of (30c) by a gauge transformation:

$$\text{CS}(A) = \text{CS}(\tilde{A}) + d \text{tr} \left( -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} h^{-1} dh \tilde{A} \right) + \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \text{tr}(h^{-1} dh)^3. \quad (31)$$

The last two terms on the right describe the response of a Chern-Simons term to a gauge transformation; the next-to-last is manifestly a total derivative, as is the last – in a “hidden” fashion. Finally,

$$\text{CS}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} a da \quad (32)$$

where

$$a = \frac{\omega \cdot d\omega^* - \omega^* \cdot d\omega}{1 + \omega \cdot \omega^* - \frac{1}{4}(\omega \times \omega^*)^2} \quad (33)$$

We remark that  $a$  can now be parameterized in the Clebsch manner, so that  $a da$  appears as a total derivative, completing our construction.

## References

- [1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Topologically Massive Gauge Theories”, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **149**, 372 (1982), (E) **185**, 406 (1985).
- [2] Fluid mechanics and magnetohydrodynamics were the contexts in which the Abelian Chern-Simons term made its first appearance: L. Woltier, “A Theorem on Force-Free Magnetic Fields”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **44**, 489 (1958).
- [3] S. Deser, “Relations Between Mathematics and Physics”, *IHES Publications in Mathematics*, 1847 (1998), “Physicomathematical Interaction: The Chern-Simons Story”, Faddeev Festschrift, *Proc. V.A. Steklov Inst. Math.* **226**, 180 (1999).
- [4] For a review, see R. Jackiw, “(A Particle Field Theorist’s) Lectures on (Supersymmetric, Non-Abelian) Fluid Mechanics (and d-Branes)”, e-print: physics/0010042.
- [5] For a review, see H. Lamb, *Hydrodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK 1932), p. 248 and Ref. [4].
- [6] A constructive discussion of the Darboux theorem is by R. Jackiw, “(Constrained) Quantization without Tears”, in *Constraint Theory and Quantization Methods*, F. Colomo, L. Lusann, and G. Marmo, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore 1994), reprinted in R. Jackiw, *Diverse Topics in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore 1996).
- [7] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, “Creation and Evolution of Magnetic Helicity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 105015 (2000).
- [8] R. Jackiw, V.P. Nair, and S.-Y. Pi, “Chern-Simons Reduction and Non-Abelian Fluid Mechanics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 085018 (2000).