# The $D_n$ Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

Kai Chen<sup>1</sup>, Bo-yu Hou<sup>2</sup> Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China

#### Abstract

The Lax pair of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model with interaction potential of trigonometric type based on  $D_n$  Lie algebra is presented. We give a general form for the Lax pair and prove partial results for small n. Liouville integrability of the corresponding system follows a series of involutive Hamiltonians generated by the characteristic polynomial of the Lax matrix. The rational case appears as a natural degeneration and the nonrelativistic limit exactly leads to the well-known Calogero-Moser system associated with  $D_n$  Lie algebra.

**Keywords:** Lax pair;  $D_n$  Lie algebra; Ruijsenaars-Schneider(RS) model

**PACS:** 02.20.+b, 11.10.Lm, 03.80.+r

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: kai@phy.nwu.edu.cn <sup>2</sup>E-mail: byhou@phy.nwu.edu.cn

### 1 Introduction

Since a relativistic version of the Calogero-Moser(CM) model was first introduced by Ruijsenaars and Schneider[1, 2, 3], much interest have been focused on this model and its nonrelativistic counterpart. It is completely integrable many-body Hamiltonian system describing a one-dimensional n-particle system with pairwise interaction. The study has lead to fascinating mathematics and application from lattice models in statistics physics[4, 5], to the field theory and gauge theory [6]. e.g. to the Seiberg-Witten theory[7] etc. For a review see [8, 9, 10], and references therein.

Recently, the Lax pairs for the CM models in various root system have been constructed by Olshanetsky and Perelomov[11] using reduction on symmetric space, further given by Inozemtsev in [12]. Afterwards, D'Hoker and Phong[13] succeeded in constructing the Lax pairs with spectral parameter for each of the finite dimensional Lie algebra, as well as the introduction of untwisted and twisted Calogero-Moser systems. Bordner et al[14, 15, 16] give two types universal realization for the Lax pairs associated to all of the Lie algebra: the root type and the minimal type, with and without spectral parameters. Even for all of the Coxeter group, the construction has been obtained in [17]. All of them do not apply the reduction method for under which condition one will confront some obstruction[18] but using pure Lie algebra construction. In [18], Hurtubise and Markman utilize so called "structure group", which combines semi-simple group and Weyl group, to construct the CM systems associate with Hitchin system, which in some degree generalizes the results of Refs. [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Furthermore, the quantum version of the generalization have been developed in [19, 20] at least for degenerate potentials of trigonometric after the works of Olshanetsky and Perelomov[21].

So far as for the RS model, only the Lax pair of the  $A_{N-1}$  type RS model was obtained [2, 5, 22, 23, 24, 25] and succeeded in recovering it by applying Hamiltonian reduction procedure on two-dimensional current group [26]. Although the commutative operators for RS model based on various type Lie algebra have been given by Komori and co-workers [27], Diejen[28, 29] and Hasegawa *et al*[4, 30], the Lax integrability (or the Lax representation) of the other type RS model is still an open problem[7].

In recent work of Refs. [31] and [32], we have succeeded in constructing the Lax pairs for the rational and trigonometric  $C_n$  and  $BC_n$  RS systems. Following that, the r-matrix structure for them have been derived by Avan et al in [33]. Moreover we give the more general elliptic  $C_n$  and  $BC_n$  RS systems in [34] and calculate their spectral curves. In this paper, we concentrate on generalizing the construction to the  $D_n$ -type trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. It turns out that there surely exists a Lax pair for this system. By revealing the symmetry property of this model, we shall give a general form of the Lax pair for generic n and verify its rationality at least for small n such as n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Its integrability in Liouville sense is also depicted by giving n involutive integrals of motion. We also perform its non-relativistic limit that coincides exactly with the previous known result for the  $D_n$  Calogero-Moser system. The rational degeneration of this system is also remarked.

The paper is organized as follows. The basic materials of the  $D_n$  RS model are introduced in Section 2, where we propose a self-consistent dynamical system associating with the root system of  $D_n$ . This includes construction of Hamiltonian for the  $D_n$  RS system together with its symmetry analysis etc. The main results are showed in Sections 3. In Section 3, we present a Lax pair and obtain an explicit general form for the Lax pair by imposing some additional symmetry constraints. Section 4 is devoted to deriving the non-relativistic counterpart, the Calogero-Moser model. Following is some remarks for the degenerate limit of rational case. We conclude with some remarks on our constructions in the last section.

## 2 Model and equations of motion

Let us first review the basic materials about the  $D_n$  RS model. Though much progress have been made for generalization about the RS model[3, 27, 28, 31, 32, 34], there is no any result for the system which associates with the root system of  $D_n$ . Even up to now we do not know how to define its Hamiltonian. But now we will give a reasonable definition for this system which will be seen later.

In terms of the canonical variables  $p_i$ ,  $x_i(i, j = 1, ..., n)$  enjoying in the canonical Poisson bracket

$${p_i, p_j} = {x_i, x_j} = 0,$$
  ${x_i, p_j} = \delta_{ij},$  (2.1)

we give firstly the Hamiltonian of  $D_n$  RS system

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( e^{p_i} \prod_{k \neq i}^{n} (f(x_{ik})f(x_i + x_k)) + e^{-p_i} \prod_{k \neq i}^{n} (g(x_{ik})g(x_i + x_k)) \right), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$f(x) : = \frac{\sin(x - \gamma)}{\sin(x)},$$
  
 $g(x) : = f(x)|_{\gamma \to -\gamma}, \qquad x_{ik} := x_i - x_k,$  (2.3)

and  $\gamma$  denotes the coupling constant. Then the canonical equations of motion could be

$$\dot{x}_{i} = \{x_{i}, H\} = e^{p_{i}}b_{i} - e^{-p_{i}}b'_{i}, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$\dot{p}_{i} = \{p_{i}, H\} = \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \left(e^{p_{j}}b_{j}(h(x_{ji}) - h(x_{j} + x_{i}))\right)$$

$$+e^{-p_{j}}b'_{j}(\hat{h}(x_{ji}) - \hat{h}(x_{j} + x_{i}))$$

$$-e^{p_{i}}b_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} (h(x_{ij}) + h(x_{i} + x_{j}))\right)$$

$$-e^{-p_{i}}b'_{i}\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} (\hat{h}(x_{ij}) + \hat{h}(x_{i} + x_{j}))\right), \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$h(x) := \frac{d \ln f(x)}{dx}, \qquad \hat{h}(x) := \frac{d \ln g(x)}{dx},$$

$$b_i = \prod_{k \neq i}^n \left( f(x_i - x_k) f(x_i + x_k) \right),$$

$$b'_i = \prod_{k \neq i}^n \left( g(x_i - x_k) g(x_i + x_k) \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Here, of course  $x_i = x_i(t)$ ,  $p_i = p_i(t)$  and the superimposed dot denotes t-differentiation.

For the convenience of analysis of symmetry, let us first give vector representation of  $D_n$  Lie algebra. Introducing an n-dimensional orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$e_j \cdot e_k = \delta_{j,k}, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
 (2.7)

then the sets of roots  $\Delta$  and vector weights  $\Lambda$  of  $D_n$  are:

$$\Delta = \{ \pm (e_j - e_k), \pm (e_j + e_k) : j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } j < k \},$$
 (2.8)

$$\Lambda = \{e_j, -e_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \tag{2.9}$$

The dynamical variables are canonical coordinates  $\{x_j\}$  and their canonical conjugate momenta  $\{p_j\}$  with the Poisson brackets of Eq.(2.1). In a general sense, we denote them by n dimensional vectors x and p,

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

So that the scalar products of x and p with the roots  $\alpha \cdot x$ ,  $p \cdot \beta$ , etc. can be defined. The Hamiltonian of Eq.(2.2) can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \left( \exp\left(\mu \cdot p\right) \prod_{\Delta \ni \beta = \mu - \nu} f(\beta \cdot x) + \exp\left(-\mu \cdot p\right) \prod_{\Delta \ni \beta = -\mu + \nu} g(\beta \cdot x) \right), \tag{2.10}$$

Here, the condition  $\Delta \ni \beta = \mu - \nu$  means that the summation is over roots  $\beta$  such that for  $\exists \nu \in \Lambda$ 

$$\mu - \nu = \beta \in \Delta. \tag{2.11}$$

So does for  $\Delta \ni \beta = -\mu + \nu$ .

From the above-mentioned data, we can see that the definition for the Hamiltonian is reasonable and well-defined whose form Eq.(2.2) or Eq.(2.10) is similar to the one given in [31, 32, 34].

### 3 Construction of the Lax pair

In this section, we concentrate our treatment to the explicit form of the Lax pair for the  $D_n$  RS system. Therefore, some previous results, as well as new results, could now be obtained in a more straightforward manner by using the Lax pair.

### 3.1 Derivation of the Lax matrix for the $D_n$ RS model

Similar to the definitions of the Lax matrixes for the  $C_n$  and  $BC_n$  RS models given in [32], we suppose the Lax matrix for the  $D_n$  RS model is one  $2n \times 2n$  matrix as follows:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where A, B, C, D are  $n \times n$  matrixes(hereafter, we use the indices  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ )

$$A_{ij} = e^{p_j} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ij} + \gamma)}, \qquad D_{ij} = e^{-p_j} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ji} + \gamma)},$$

$$B_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) e^{-p_j} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_i + x_j + \gamma)} + \delta_{ij} e^{-p_i} \frac{b_i'}{w_i} \widetilde{B}_{ii},$$

$$C_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) e^{p_j} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(-x_i - x_j + \gamma)} + \delta_{ij} e^{p_i} \frac{b_i}{w_i'} \widetilde{C}_{ii}.$$

$$(3.2)$$

Here, the notations of  $w_i, w'_i, v_i$  are

$$w_{i} := \prod_{j \neq i}^{n} \sin(x_{i} + x_{j} + \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} + \gamma),$$

$$w'_{i} := \prod_{j \neq i}^{n} \sin(x_{i} + x_{j} - \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} - \gamma),$$

$$v_{i} := \prod_{j \neq i}^{n} \sin(x_{i} + x_{j}) \sin(x_{ij}),$$
(3.3)

and  $\widetilde{B}_{ii}$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_{ii}$ , the diagonal part of block matrixes B and C, are unknown and have to be solved later.

In order to obtain the explicit form of  $\widetilde{B}_{ii}$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_{ii}$ , we also assume the inverse of L the following  $2n \times 2n$  matrix(similar to the form for the  $C_n$  and  $BC_n$  cases)

$$L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{A} & \widehat{B} \\ \widehat{C} & \widehat{D} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\widehat{A}$ ,  $\widehat{B}$ ,  $\widehat{C}$ ,  $\widehat{D}$  are  $n \times n$  matrixes

$$\widehat{A}_{ij} = e^{-p_i} b'_j \frac{-\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ij} - \gamma)}, \qquad \widehat{D}_{ij} = e^{p_i} b_j \frac{-\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ji} - \gamma)},$$

$$\widehat{B}_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) e^{-p_i} b_j \frac{-\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_i + x_j - \gamma)} + \delta_{ij} e^{-p_i} \frac{b_i}{w'_i} \widetilde{C}_{ii},$$

$$\widehat{C}_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij})e^{p_i}b'_j \frac{-\sin\gamma}{\sin(-x_i - x_j - \gamma)} + \delta_{ij}e^{p_i}\frac{b'_i}{w_i}\widetilde{B}_{ii}.$$
(3.5)

If we impose an additional condition for  $\widetilde{B}_{ii}$  and  $\widetilde{C}_{ii}$  as following

$$\widetilde{C}_{ii} = \widetilde{B}_{ii}|_{\gamma \to -\gamma},\tag{3.6}$$

then the equation

$$L \cdot L^{-1} = Id \tag{3.7}$$

can be solved and the solution reads

$$\widetilde{B}_{ii} = \frac{w_i}{b_i'} \left( 1 - b_i b_i' - \sin^2 \gamma \sum_{j \neq i}^n \left( \frac{b_i' b_k}{\sin^2 (x_{ik} + \gamma)} + \frac{b_i' b_k'}{\sin^2 (x_i + x_k + \gamma)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\widetilde{C}_{ii} = \widetilde{B}_{ii}|_{\gamma \to -\gamma}.$$
(3.8)

So that

$$B_{ii} = e^{-p_i} \frac{b'_i}{w_i} \widetilde{B}_{ii},$$

$$C_{ii} = e^{p_i} \frac{b_i}{w'_i} \widetilde{C}_{ii} = B_{ii}|_{\gamma \to -\gamma, p_i \to -p_i},$$
(3.9)

#### Remarks:

The above solution of Eq.(3.8) and Eq.(3.9) is obtained only by diagonal part of Eq.(3.7). It is not easy to verify if the off-diagonal part is consistent to the diagonal part due to the complicated functional relations. But for small n such as n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 we can surely check it is the very unique solution. In addition, it is unfortunate that we are not able to give more simple forms for  $B_{ii}$  and  $C_{ii}$ . Here only for n = 2, 3, 4 we work out the following results to shed a light on its appearance:

• for n=2

$$\widetilde{B}_{ii} = \sin^2 \gamma, 
\widetilde{C}_{ii} = \sin^2 \gamma,$$
(3.10)

• for n=3

$$\widetilde{B}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \left( w_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j + \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} + \gamma)} + v_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij})} \right),$$

$$\widetilde{C}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \left( w_i' \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j - \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} - \gamma)} + v_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij})} \right)$$

$$= \widetilde{B}_{ii}|_{\gamma \mapsto -\gamma}, \tag{3.11}$$

• for n=4

$$\widetilde{B}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \left( w_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j + \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} + \gamma)} + v_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij})} - \sin^2 \gamma \sin^2(2x_i + \gamma) \right),$$

$$\widetilde{C}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \left( w_i' \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j - \gamma) \sin(x_{ij} - \gamma)} + v_i \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{1}{\sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij})} - \sin^2 \gamma \sin^2(2x_i - \gamma) \right)$$

$$= \widetilde{B}_{ii}|_{\gamma \mapsto -\gamma}.$$
(3.12)

With this Lax matrix L of Eq. (3.1), we could rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e^{p_j} b_j + e^{-p_j} b_j') = trL.$$
(3.13)

The involutive n Hamiltonians can be generated by the characteristic polynomial of the Lax matrix

$$\det(L - v \cdot Id) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j (v^j + v^{2n-j}) H_j + (-v)^n H_n, \tag{3.14}$$

with

$${H_i, H_j} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (3.15)

e.g. for n=2,

$$\det(L - v \cdot Id) = v^4 - H_1 v^3 + H_2 v^2 - H_1 v + 1, \tag{3.16}$$

the function-independent Hamiltonian flows H and  $H_2$  are

$$H_{1} = H = e^{p_{1}} f(x_{12}) f(x_{1} + x_{2}) + e^{-p_{1}} g(x_{12}) g(x_{1} + x_{2})$$

$$+ e^{p_{2}} f(x_{21}) f(x_{2} + x_{1}) + e^{-p_{2}} g(x_{21}) g(x_{2} + x_{1}),$$

$$H_{2} = 2 \left( f(x_{12}) g(x_{12}) + f(x_{1} + x_{2}) g(x_{1} + x_{2}) \right)$$

$$e^{p_{1} + p_{2}} f(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2} + e^{-p_{1} - p_{2}} g(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2}$$

$$+ e^{p_{1} - p_{2}} f(x_{12})^{2} + e^{p_{2} - p_{1}} g(x_{12})^{2} + const,$$

$$(3.18)$$

where const = -2. For n = 3, we have

$$\det(L - v \cdot Id) = v^6 - H_1 v^5 + H_2 v^4 - H_3 v^3 + H_2 v^2 - H_1 v^1 + 1, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$H_{1} = H = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( e^{p_{i}} \prod_{k \neq i}^{3} f(x_{ik}) f(x_{i} + x_{k}) + e^{-p_{i}} \prod_{k \neq i}^{3} g(x_{ik}) g(x_{i} + x_{k}) \right),$$

$$H_{2} = \widetilde{H}_{2} - 1,$$

$$H_{3} = \widetilde{H}_{3} - 2H_{1},$$

$$(3.20)$$

$$(3.21)$$

here  $\widetilde{H}_2$  and  $\widetilde{H}_3$  are the involutive Hamiltonians defined for the  $D_3$  RS model by Diejen in [28]

$$H_{+} = e^{(-p_{1}-p_{2}+p_{3})/2} f(-x_{1}-x_{2}) f(-x_{1}+x_{3}) f(-x_{2}+x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(-p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3})/2} f(-x_{1}+x_{2}) f(-x_{1}-x_{3}) f(x_{2}-x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3})/2} f(x_{1}+x_{2}) f(x_{1}+x_{3}) f(x_{2}+x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3})/2} f(x_{12}) f(x_{13}) f(-x_{2}-x_{3}),$$

$$H_{-} = e^{(-p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3})/2} f(-x_{1}-x_{2}) f(-x_{1}-x_{3}) f(-x_{2}-x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(-p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3})/2} f(-x_{1}+x_{2}) f(-x_{1}+x_{3}) f(x_{2}+x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(p_{1}-p_{2}+p_{3})/2} f(x_{12}) f(x_{1}+x_{3}) f(-x_{2}+x_{3})$$

$$+e^{(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3})/2} f(x_{1}+x_{2}) f(x_{13}) f(x_{23}),$$

$$\widetilde{H}_{2} = H_{+}H_{-},$$

$$(3.24)$$

$$\widetilde{H}_3 = H_+^2 + H_-^2. \tag{3.25}$$

We verify that these  $H_i$  and  $\widetilde{H}_j$  strictly Poisson commute each other, which ensures the complete integrability of the  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  RS models (in Liouville sense).

#### 3.2 M operator associating with L

By comparing the symmetry of the  $D_n$  RS model and  $BC_n$  one, we propose the following ansatz for M operator associating with the Lax matrix L so that they satisfy

$$\dot{L} = \{L, H\} = [M, L].$$
 (3.26)

M suppose to be another  $2n \times 2n$  matrix with the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.27}$$

where entries of M are

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \cot(x_{ij}) \left( e^{p_j} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ij} + \gamma)} + e^{-p_i} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ij} - \gamma)} \right), \qquad j \neq i,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \cot(x_{ji}) \left( e^{-p_j} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ji} + \gamma)} + e^{p_i} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_{ji} - \gamma)} \right), \qquad j \neq i,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{ij} = \cot(x_i + x_j) \left( e^{-p_j} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_i + x_j + \gamma)} + e^{-p_i} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(x_i + x_j - \gamma)} \right), \qquad j \neq i,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \cot(-x_i - x_j) \left( e^{p_j} b_j \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(-x_i - x_j + \gamma)} + e^{p_i} b_j' \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(-x_i - x_j - \gamma)} \right), \qquad j \neq i,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{ii} = -\left( \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathcal{A}_{ij}}{\cos(x_{ij})} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{ij}}{\cos(x_i + x_j)} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{ii} = -\left( \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathcal{D}_{ij}}{\cos(x_{ji})} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_{ij}}{\cos(-x_i - x_j)} \right).$$
(3.28)

If we impose  $\mathcal{B}_{ii}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{ii}$  an additional symmetry condition with

$$\mathcal{B}_{ii} = e^{2p_i} \mathcal{C}_{ii}, \tag{3.29}$$

verbose but straightforward calculations of equations

$$\dot{L}_{ii} = \{L_{ii}, H\} = ([M, L])_{ii} 
= \sum_{k \neq i}^{2n} (M_{ik} L_{ki} - L_{ik} M_{ki}),$$
(3.30)

would yield

$$\mathcal{B}_{ii} = \frac{\sin^{2} \gamma}{C_{ii} e^{-p_{i}} - B_{ii} e^{p_{i}}} e^{-p_{i}} \left( -2b_{i} b_{i}^{'} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \left( \frac{\cos(x_{i} + x_{j})}{\sin(x_{i} + x_{j} + \gamma) \sin(x_{i} + x_{j} - \gamma)} \right) + \frac{\cos(x_{i} - x_{j})}{\sin(x_{i} - x_{j} + \gamma) \sin(x_{i} - x_{j} - \gamma)} \right) + \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{i} b_{j}^{'} \cot(x_{ik})}{\sin^{2}(x_{ik} - \gamma)} + \frac{b_{i}^{'} b_{j} \cot(x_{ik})}{\sin^{2}(x_{ik} + \gamma)} + \frac{b_{i} b_{j} \cot(x_{i} + x_{j})}{\sin^{2}(x_{i} + x_{j} - \gamma)} + \frac{b_{i}^{'} b_{j}^{'} \cot(x_{i} + x_{j})}{\sin^{2}(x_{i} + x_{j} + \gamma)} \right) \right), \quad \mathcal{C}_{ii} = e^{2p_{i}} \mathcal{B}_{ii}. \tag{3.31}$$

As for the explicit expression of  $\mathcal{B}_{ii}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{ii}$ , we have more simple form for small n:

• for n=2,

$$\mathcal{B}_{ii} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ii} = 0,$$
(3.32)

• for n=3,

$$\mathcal{B}_{ii} = \frac{2}{v_i} e^{-p_i} \cos \gamma \cos(2x_i) \sin^3 \gamma,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ii} = \frac{2}{v_i} e^{p_i} \cos \gamma \cos(2x_i) \sin^3 \gamma$$

$$= e^{2p_i} \mathcal{B}_{ii},$$
(3.33)

• for n=4,

$$\mathcal{B}_{ii} = \frac{2}{v_i} e^{-p_i} \cos \gamma \cos(2x_i) \sin^3 \gamma \Big( 2\cos x_i \sin^2 \gamma + \sum_{j \neq i}^n \sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij}) \Big),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ii} = \frac{2}{v_i} e^{p_i} \cos \gamma \cos(2x_i) \sin^3 \gamma \Big( 2\cos x_i \sin^2 \gamma + \sum_{j \neq i}^n \sin(x_i + x_j) \sin(x_{ij}) \Big)$$

$$= e^{2p_i} \mathcal{B}_{ii}.$$
(3.34)

We have checked that L, M satisfy the Lax equation of Eq.(3.26) which equivalent to the equations of motion Eq.(2.4) and Eq.(2.5) at least for n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 with the help of computer.

### 4 Nonrelativistic limit to the Calogero-Moser system

It is natural that we must verify if the nonrelativistic limit is correct. The procedure can be achieved by rescaling  $p_i \longmapsto \beta p_i$ ,  $\gamma \longmapsto \beta \gamma$  while letting  $\beta \longmapsto 0^+$  (here,  $0^+$  is to avoid undefinable limit of  $\mathcal{B}_{ii}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{ii}$  when n=2), and making a canonical transformation

$$p_i \longmapsto p_i + \gamma \left( \sum_{k \neq i}^n \left( \cot(x_{ik}) + \cot(x_i + x_k) \right) \right),$$
 (4.1)

such that

$$L \longmapsto Id + \beta L_{CM} + O(\beta^2),$$
 (4.2)

$$M \longmapsto 2\beta M_{CM} + O(\beta^2),$$
 (4.3)

and

$$H \longmapsto 2n + 2\beta^2 H_{CM} + O(\beta^2). \tag{4.4}$$

 $L_{CM}$  can be expressed as

$$L_{CM} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{CM} & B_{CM} \\ -B_{CM} & -A_{CM} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$(A_{CM})_{ij} = \delta_{ij}p_i + (1 - \delta_{ij})\frac{\gamma}{\sin(x_{ij})},$$
  

$$(B_{CM})_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij})\frac{\gamma}{\sin(x_i + x_j)}.$$
(4.6)

 $M_{CM}$  is

$$M_{CM} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{CM} & \mathcal{B}_{CM} \\ \mathcal{B}_{CM} & \mathcal{A}_{CM} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$(\mathcal{A}_{CM})_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} \sum_{k \neq i}^{n} \left( \frac{\gamma}{\sin^{2} x_{ik}} + \frac{\gamma}{\sin^{2} (x_{i} + x_{k})} \right) + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{\gamma \cos(x_{ij})}{\sin^{2} x_{ij}},$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{CM})_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{\gamma \cos(x_{i} + x_{j})}{\sin^{2} (x_{i} + x_{j})},$$
(4.8)

which coincides with the form given in [11, 14] with the difference of a constant diagonalized matrix.

The Hamiltonian of the  $D_n$ -type CM model can be given by

$$H_{CM} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k^2 - \gamma^2 \sum_{k < i}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2 x_{ik}} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 (x_i + x_k)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} tr L^2. \tag{4.9}$$

The  $L_{CM}$ ,  $M_{CM}$  satisfy the Lax equation

$$\dot{L}_{CM} = \{L_{CM}, H_{CM}\} = [M_{CM}, L_{CM}]. \tag{4.10}$$

#### Remarks:

As far as the forms of the Lax pair for the rational-type RS and CM systems are concerned, we can get them by making the following substitutions

$$\sin x \to x, \\
\cos x \to 1, \tag{4.11}$$

for all of the above statements.

### 5 Summary and discussions

In this paper, we have presented the Lax pair for the classical n-particle trigonometric  $D_n$  Ruijsenaars-Schneider model together with its rational limit. We give one explicit form of the Lax pair for small n such as 2, 3, 4 and show the involutive Hamiltonians could be generated by the corresponding Lax matrix. For generic n we have constructed the Lax pair and given a general form for it though lacking of a complete proof. But its correctness could be checked at least for  $2 \le n \le 6$ . In the nonrelativistic limit, this system naturally leads to well known Calogero-Moser system associated with the root system of  $D_n$ .

Actually, our original aim is to expand our constructions to the dynamical systems associated with all of the root systems. As suggested in [35] and [26],  $A_{n-1}$  RS model appeared in the Hamiltonian reduction procedure applied to the cotangent bundle over centrally extended current group while the cotangent bundle over the centrally extended current algebra was used to obtain the elliptic Calogero-Moser model[36, 37]. It is natural to expect similar results to other root systems. Unfortunately, we fail in the corresponding constructions for the systems associated with the root systems other than  $A_{n-1}$ . In fact, as was analyzed in [18], there are several obstructions to extend the constructions. Alternatively, they used the so-called "structure group", which related to Weyl reflections, to process symplectic reduction to construct the CM systems associate with Hitchin system where the embedding was not even a group but a semi-direct product of groups. Moreover, one has the  $BC_n$  CM and RS systems but they do not even correspond to groups. So the more general and elegant method to universal construction for the RS systems must combine all of characters appeared in previous results and get over the obstructions mentioned above.

On the other hand, a more concrete method is to use pure algebraic construction, which has made great success for CM systems[13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. In the present paper, we try to following this idea and work out partial result for  $D_n$  RS system where some formulas such as Eq.(3.6), (3.9) have revealed some characters of Weyl reflections. Though we haven't obtained universal description of this system, we hope these results would reveal some essential

ingredient for its integrability and shed some light on universal characters for generic RS systems. At the same time, we address it an interesting aspect that the reduction procedure of using "structure group" corresponding to RS systems and fixing certain momentum map suggested in [38, 18] may be a potential method to accomplish the complete generalization for RS systems associated with all of simple Lie algebra and even to all of root systems. Moreover, the issue for getting the r-matrix structure for this model is deserved due to the success of calculation for the trigonometric  $BC_n$  RS system by Avan et al in [33].

### Acknowledgement

One of the authors K. Chen is grateful to professors K.J. Shi, L. Zhao and W.L. Yang for their encouragement and valuable discussions.

## References

- [1] Ruijsenaars S N M and Schneider H 1986 Ann. Phys. 170 370
- [2] Ruijsenaars S N M 1987 Commun. Math. Phys. **110** 191
- [3] Ruijsenaars S N M 1988 Commun. Math. Phys. 115 127
- [4] Hasegawa K 1997 Commun. Math. Phys. 187 289
- [5] Nijhoff F W, Kuznetsov V B, Sklyanin E K and Ragnisco O 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29, L333
- [6] Nekrasov N 1998 Nucl. Phys. **B531** 323
- [7] Braden H W, Marshakov A, Mironov A and Morozov A 1999 Nucl. Phys. B558 371
- [8] D'Hoker E and Phong D H 1999 Lectures on supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable Systems *Preprint* hep-th/9912271
- [9] Marshakov A 1998 Seiberg-Witten Theory and Integrable Systems (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [10] Gorsky A and Mironov A 2000 Integrable many-body systems and gauge theories \*Preprint hep-th/0011197\*
- [11] Olshanetsky M A and Perelomov A M 1981 Phys. Rep. **71** 314; Perelomov A M 1990 Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser)
- [12] Inozemtsev V I 1989 Lett. Math. Phys. 17 11
- [13] D'Hoker E and Phong D H 1998 Nucl. Phys. **B530** 537

- [14] Bordner A J, Corrigan E and Sasaki R 1998 Prog. Theor. Phys. 100 1107
- [15] Bordner A J, Sasaki R and Takasaki K 1999 Prog. Theor. Phys. 101 487
- [16] Bordner A J and Sasaki R 1999 Prog. Theor. Phys. 101 799
- [17] Bordner A J, Corrigan E and Sasaki R 1999 Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 499.
- [18] Hurtubise J C and Markman E 1999 Calogero-Moser systems and Hitchin systems *Preprint* math/9912161.
- [19] Bordner A J, Manton N S and Sasaki R 2000 Prog. Theor. Phys. 103 463
- [20] Khastgir S P, Pocklington A J and Sasaki R 2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 9033
- [21] Olshanetsky M A and Perelomov A M 1983 Phys. Rep. 94 313
- [22] Bruschi M and Calogero F 1987 Commun. Math. Phys. 109 481.
- [23] Krichever I and Zabrodin A 1995 Usp. Math. Nauk 50:6 3
- [24] Suris Y B 1996 Why are the rational and hyperbolic Ruijsenaars-Schneider hierarchies governed by the same R-operators as the Calogero-Moser ones? Preprint hep-th/9602160
- [25] Suris Y B 1997 Phys. Lett. **A225** 253
- [26] Arutyunov G E, Frolov S A and Medvedev P B 1997 J. Math. Phys. 38 5682
- [27] Komori Y and Hikami K 1998 J. Math. Phys. **39** 6175
- [28] van Diejen J F 1994 J. Math. Phys. **35** 2983
- [29] van Diejen J F 1995 Compositio. Math. **95** 183
- [30] Hasegawa K, Ikeda T and Kikuchi T J. Math. Phys. 40 4549
- [31] Chen K, Hou B Y and Yang W L 2001 Chin. Phys. 10 550
- [32] Chen K, Hou B Y and Yang W L 2000 J. Math. Phys. 41 8132
- [33] Avan J and Rollet G 2000  $BC_n$  Ruijsenaars-Schneider models: R-matrix structure and Hamiltonians Preprint hep-th/0008174
- [34] Chen K, Hou B Y and Yang W L 2000 J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001) 4894
- [35] Gorsky A and Nekrasov N 1995 Nucl. Phys. **B436** 582
- [36] Gorsky A and Nekrasov N 1994 Elliptic Calogero-Moser system from two-dimensional current algebra *Preprint* hep-th/9401021

- [37] Arutyunov G E and Medvedev P B 1995 Generating equation for r-matrices related to dynamical systems of Calogero type;  $Preprint\ hep-th/9511070$
- [38] Nekrasov N 1996 Commun. Math. Phys. 180 587