

Unit 2

1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_p)'$ be a r.v. with non-singular HND ($Z > 0$). We are interested in performing inference on the distribution, assuming its parameters being totally or partially unknown, based on a simple random sample obtained from it ("observations" given in terms of independent and identically distributed r.v. with same distribution as Σ)

$$\{\Sigma_d : d = 1, \dots, N\}, \quad (N = \text{"sample size"})$$

with Σ_{di} being the i -th component of d -th observation.

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \cdots & \Sigma_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Sigma_{N1} & \cdots & \Sigma_{Np} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Sample mean vector: $\bar{\Sigma} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \Sigma_d = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \Sigma_{d1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \Sigma_{dp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\Sigma}_p \end{pmatrix}$

$\bar{\Sigma}$ can be seen as the "centroid" (random) of the sample in \mathbb{R}^p .

- Sample dispersion matrix: $A := \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_d - \bar{\Sigma})(\Sigma_d - \bar{\Sigma})' =$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_{d1} - \bar{\Sigma}_1)^2 & \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_{d1} - \bar{\Sigma}_1)(\Sigma_{d2} - \bar{\Sigma}_2) & \cdots & \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_{di} - \bar{\Sigma}_i)(\Sigma_{dp} - \bar{\Sigma}_p) \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_{dp} - \bar{\Sigma}_p)(\Sigma_{di} - \bar{\Sigma}_i) & \cdots & \cdots & \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_{dp} - \bar{\Sigma}_p)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Sample covariance matrix: $S_N = \frac{A}{N} = (S_{ij})_{i,j=1 \dots p}$

- Sample quasi-covariance matrix: $S_{N-1} = \frac{A}{N-1}$

- Sample correlation matrix: $R = D^{-1/2} S_N D^{-1/2} = \left(\frac{S_{ij}}{S_{ii}^{1/2} S_{jj}^{1/2}} \right)$
 $D = \text{diag}(s_{11}, \dots, s_{pp})$

Lemma: Let $\{\Sigma_d : d = 1, \dots, N\}$ be a r.s. of p -dimensional

distribution, and let $\bar{\Sigma}$ be the sample mean vector. Then, $\forall b \in \mathbb{R}^p$

$$\sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_d - b)(\Sigma_d - b)' = \sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma_d - \bar{\Sigma}) + N(\bar{\Sigma} - b)(\bar{\Sigma} - b)' = A + N(\bar{\Sigma} - b)(\bar{\Sigma} - b)'$$

Def: At first, remember that the sample mean vector is

$$\bullet \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d \Rightarrow N\bar{x} = \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d$$

$$\bullet A = \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})'$$

so, we have that:

$$\sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - b)(\mathbf{x}_d - b)' = \cancel{\sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{x} - b)(\bar{x} - b)'} \quad \text{cancel}$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - b)] [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - b)']' =$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - b)] [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})' + (\bar{x} - b)'] =$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})' + \sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{x} - b)(\bar{x} - b)' +$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - b)' + \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})'(\bar{x} - b) \quad \text{④}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})' = A$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{x} - b)(\bar{x} - b)' = N(\bar{x} - b)(\bar{x} - b)'$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - b)' = \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\bar{x}' - b') =$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_d \bar{x}'} - \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d b' - \sum_{d=1}^N \bar{x} \bar{x}' + \sum_{d=1}^N \bar{x} b' =$$

$$= N \cancel{\bar{x} \bar{x}'} - N \cancel{\bar{x} b'} - N \cancel{\bar{x} \bar{x}'} + N \cancel{\bar{x} b'} = 0$$

\bar{x} and b
does not
depends of d

(4) Analogus

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - b)(\mathbf{x}_d - b)' = A + N(\bar{x} - b)(\bar{x} - b)'$$

$$\text{Not: } \bullet \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d \Rightarrow N\bar{x} = \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d$$

$$\bullet (\mathbf{x}_d - b) = (\mathbf{x}_d + \bar{x} - \bar{x} + b)' = (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - b)$$

• \bar{x} and b does not depends of d .

2. Maximum-likelihood estimators of μ and Σ in MND (I)

Let $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$, $\{\mathbf{x}_d: d=1, \dots, N\}$ be a simple random sample of this distribution. The likelihood function is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \Sigma, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) &= f_{\mu, \Sigma}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \prod_{d=1}^N f_{\mu, \Sigma}(\mathbf{x}_d) = \\ &= \prod_{d=1}^N \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu) \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{pN/2} |\Sigma|^{N/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Through algebraic operations and using the generalized multivariate moments formula ($\mathbf{v} = \mu$, $\sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)(\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)' = \mathbf{A} + N(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)'$),

we have that:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{pN/2} |\Sigma|^{N/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{A}}) - \frac{N}{2} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) \right\}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})'$ (sample dispersion matrix evaluated at the given realization of the sample)

Dem: From the likelihood function expression, we have that:

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{pN/2} |\Sigma|^{N/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu) \right\}$$

We only need to prove that the exponential part is the same.

$$\sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \mu) = \cancel{\sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})}$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)]' \Sigma^{-1} [(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)] =$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) +$$

$$+ \sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) = \textcircled{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d=1}^N (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) &= \sum_{d=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_d - \sum_{d=1}^N \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}_d - \sum_{d=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \\ &+ \sum_{d=1}^N \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \cancel{N \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}}} - \cancel{N \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}}} - \cancel{N \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}}} + \cancel{N \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d = N \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

~~REMARKS~~

$$\bullet \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mu) = \left[\sum_{d=1}^N (\Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu))' (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right]' =$$

↓

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N [(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})]' = 0$$

Σ symmetric

- $(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ is an scalar, so it's equal to its trace
 $\forall d = 1, \dots, N$

$$\textcircled{*} = \sum_{d=1}^N \underbrace{\text{tr}((\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}}))}_{A} + N(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) =$$

$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^N \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})') + N(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) =$$

$$= \text{tr}\left(\sum_{d=1}^N \cancel{\Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})'}\right) + N(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) =$$

$$= \text{tr}\left(\cancel{\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{d=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})'}\right) + N(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)$$

\tilde{A}

Remember: • Starts with likelihood function.

• $(\mathbf{x}_d - \mu) = (\mathbf{z} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)$ and develop

• $(\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_d - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ is an scalar so its equal to its trace.

• $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$

More conveniently, for maximization purposes, is common to use

$$\ln(L(\mu, \Sigma)) = -\frac{PN}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln(\det(\Sigma)) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \tilde{A}) - \frac{N}{2} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)$$

($-\ln(L(\mu, \Sigma))$ for minimization).

Result: Let $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$, and let $\{\mathbf{z}_l : l=1, \dots, N\}$ be a simple random sample of the distribution. Then, the maximum-likelihood estimators (MLE) of μ and Σ are,

respectively, $\bar{\Sigma}$ and $\frac{A}{N} = S_N$ (under the condition of A be positive definite)

Dem:

* Maximization of $\ln(L(\mu, \Sigma))$ in μ

From the form obtained of $\ln(L(\mu, \Sigma))$, it follows that, regardless the value of Σ , the maximum is reached when the quadratic form $(\mathbf{z} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mu)$ is minimized. Now, since Σ^{-1} is positive definite ($\Sigma > 0$), the quadratic form reaches the minimum value 0 for $\mathbf{z} - \mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{z} = \mu$. Therefore, the static defined by $\hat{\mu} := \bar{\Sigma}$ is the (unique) MLE of μ .

* Maximization of $\ln(L(\mu, \Sigma))$ in Σ

Before it, we need the Watson's lemma.

Watson's lemma: Let $f(\mathbf{G}) = -N \ln(|\mathbf{G}|) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{D})$, with

② a ^{given} symmetric positive definite matrix, and argument \mathbf{G} a symmetric positive definite matrix, both $\mathbf{G} > 0$.

Then, \exists_1 maximum of f with respect to \mathbf{G} and it's reached at $\mathbf{G} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{D}$.

$$f\left(\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{D}\right) = pN \ln(N) - N \ln(|\mathbf{D}|) - pN$$

Dem: Take $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}'$, with \mathbf{E} non-singular and

$$\text{define } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{E}' \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \Rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{-1} = (\mathbf{E}')^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{E}^{-1} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{E}' \Rightarrow |\mathbf{G}| = |\mathbf{E}| |\mathbf{H}^{-1}| |\mathbf{E}'| = |\mathbf{H}^{-1}| |\mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}'| = \frac{|\mathbf{D}|}{|\mathbf{H}|}$$

non-singular

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{D}) &= \text{tr}(\underbrace{\mathbf{G}^{-1}}_{\mathbf{A}} \underbrace{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{B}}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{E}' \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\quad \text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA) \end{aligned}$$

Take $f(a) = g(H) = -N \ln(101) + N \ln(|H|) = \text{tr}(H)$

\downarrow using previous results.

Decompose H with Cholesky's decomposition: $H = T^T T$,

T : upper triangular matrix, with positive diagonal elements.

Now, $f(a) = g(H) = h(T) = -N \ln(101) + N \ln(|T^T T|) = \text{tr}(T^T T)$

$$\bullet |T^T T|^2 = \prod_{i=1}^p t_{ii}^2 \Rightarrow \ln(|T^T T|^2) = \sum_{i=1}^p \ln(t_{ii}^2) = N \ln(|T^T T|^2) = N \sum_{i=1}^p \ln(t_{ii}^2)$$

\downarrow

upper triangular

$$\bullet \text{tr}(T^T T) = \sum_{i=1}^p t_{ii}^2 + \sum_{i < j} t_{ij}$$

As a consequence, we have that:

$$h(T) = -N \ln(101) + \sum_{i=1}^p [N \ln(t_{ii}^2) - t_{ii}^2] - \sum_{i < j} t_{ij}^2$$

The function reaches maximum when:

$$\bullet t_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \Rightarrow T \text{ diagonal}$$

$$\bullet t_{ii}^2 = N, \forall i \Rightarrow T = \sqrt{N} I_p$$

$$\downarrow \quad y = N \ln x - x$$

$$y' = \frac{N}{x} - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow x = N$$

$$y'' = -\frac{N}{x^2} < 0, \forall x$$

$\Rightarrow x = N$ maximum

So, we get the maximum at $H = T^T T = (\sqrt{N} I_p)^T (\sqrt{N} I_p) = N I_p$.

Then, $a_1 = E H^{-1} E^T = \frac{1}{N} E E^T = \frac{I_p}{N}$ is the maximum of

$$f \text{ respect } a_1, f\left(\frac{I_p}{N}\right) = -N \ln\left(1 \frac{1}{N}\right) - \text{tr}\left(\left(\frac{I_p}{N}\right)^{-1} \frac{I_p}{N}\right) =$$

$$= p N \ln N - N \ln(101) - p N$$

Remember: Take $D = E E^T$ non singular and $H = E^T C_1^{-1} E$ and

make $f(a) = g(H)$. Then, take $H = T^T T$, T upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal and $g(H) = h(T)$

* Maximization of $\ln(L(\bar{x}, \Sigma))$ in Σ :

We try to maximize the function

$$\ln(L(\bar{x}, \Sigma)) = -\frac{PN}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2} \ln(|\Sigma|) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda})$$

Identify the notation of "Watson's lemma":

$$G := \Sigma, D := \tilde{\Lambda}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Sigma) &:= 2 \left[\ln(L(\bar{x}, \Sigma)) + \frac{PN}{2} \ln(2\pi) \right] = PN \ln(2\pi) + 2 \ln(L(\bar{x}, \Sigma)) \\ &\quad = -N \ln(|\Sigma|) - \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the maximum of f in Σ is reached

for $\Sigma = \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}}{N} = \tilde{S}_N$. Then, the statistic defined

by $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\lambda}{N} = S_N$ is the NLE of Σ .

It is verified that the maximum value reached by the likelihood function at the point $(\mu, \Sigma) = (\bar{x}, \tilde{S}_N)$ of the parametric space is

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi e)^{\frac{PN}{2}} |\tilde{S}_N|^{N/2}} = \left[\frac{N}{(2\pi e) |\tilde{\Lambda}|^{1/p}} \right]^{\frac{PN}{2}}$$

(Pendiente de probar)

In relation to the previous result on the NLE of the parametric pair (μ, Σ) , the following question arises: formally, it may happen that for a particular realization of the random sample the matrix $\tilde{\Lambda}$ turns out to be singular (positive-semidefinite). With what probability can this case occur?

Theorem (Dykstra): Let $\mathbf{z} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ($\Sigma > 0$). Let $\{\mathbf{z}_\lambda : \lambda = 1, \dots, N\}$

be a simple random ~~vector~~ sample of this distribution and

let $A = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N (\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \bar{\mathbf{z}})(\mathbf{z}_\lambda - \bar{\mathbf{z}})^T$ be the corresponding sample

dispersion matrix. Then, A is positive definite, with probability 1, if and only if $N > p$.

Lemma 1: Let $\{\mathbf{z}_d: d=1, \dots, N\}$ be vectors (random or not) of dimension p . Let $C = (c_{dp})_{d,p=1, \dots, N}$ be an orthogonal matrix.

Then, defining $\mathbf{y}_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mathbf{x}_{\beta}, d=1, \dots, N$ we have that.

$$\sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d' = \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{y}_d \mathbf{y}_d'$$

→ Lo tomas en función
de otra variable que no
refleja ya respuesta

Dem:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{y}_d \mathbf{y}_d' &= \sum_{d=1}^N \left[\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mathbf{x}_{\beta} \right) \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^N c_{d\gamma} \mathbf{x}_{\gamma} \right)' \right] \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^N \sum_{\beta=1}^N \sum_{\gamma=1}^N c_{dp} \mathbf{x}_{\beta} c_{d\gamma} (\mathbf{x}_{\beta})' \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^N \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \mathbf{x}_{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{\beta}' \left(\sum_{d=1}^N c_{dp} c_{d\gamma} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta=1}^N \mathbf{x}_{\beta} \mathbf{x}_{\beta}' \end{aligned}$$

↳ La derivadas
a la variable sin
reflejar el valor transpuesto

Remember: It's directly.

Lemma 2: Let $\{\mathbf{z}_d: d=1, \dots, N\}$ be random vectors of dimension p , with $\mathbf{z}_d \sim N_p(\mu_d, \Sigma)$, $d=1, \dots, N$ (some covariance, but maybe different mean vector), independents. Let $C = (c_{dp})_{d,p=1, \dots, N}$ be an orthogonal matrix. Defining $\mathbf{y}_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mathbf{z}_{\beta}, d=1, \dots, N$,

we have that:

- $\mathbf{y}_d \sim N_p(\nu_d, \Sigma)$ with $\nu_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mu_{\beta}, d=1, \dots, N$

• The vectors $\{\mathbf{y}_d: d=1, \dots, N\}$ are independents.

Dem: $\mathbf{y}_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mathbf{z}_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} I_p \mathbf{z}_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta=1}^N A_{dp} \mathbf{z}_{\beta}$ where

$$A_{dp} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1p} & & 0 \\ c_{2p} & \ddots & \\ 0 & \ddots & c_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and, because the } \mathbf{z}_{\beta} \text{ are independents,}$$

we have that $\mathbf{y}_d \sim N_p \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N (A_{dp} \mu_{\beta}), \sum_{\beta=1}^N (A_{dp} \Sigma A_{dp}') \right)$ and,

because A_{dp} diagonal, we get that:

$$\mathbf{y}_d \sim N_p \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp} \mu_{\beta}, \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{dp}^2 \Sigma \right) = N_p(\nu_d, \Sigma)$$

Because is orthogonal, the

square sum is 1.

Consider the vector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)'$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{PN}$ with $t_d \in \mathbb{R}^P$ for $d=1, \dots, N$

$$\phi_\gamma(t) = E[e^{it'\gamma}] = E\left[e^{i\sum_{d=1}^N t_d \gamma_d}\right] = E\left[e^{i\sum_{d=1}^N t_d' \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{\beta \gamma_d} \zeta_\beta\right)}\right] =$$

\uparrow

$$\gamma_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{\beta \gamma_d} \zeta_\beta \quad \text{ζ_1, \dots, ζ_N independents}$$

$$= E\left[e^{i\sum_{\beta=1}^N \left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) \zeta_\beta}\right] = E\left[\prod_{\beta=1}^N e^{i\left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) \zeta_\beta}\right] =$$

$$= \prod_{\beta=1}^N E\left[\exp\left(i\left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) \zeta_\beta\right)\right] = \prod_{\beta=1}^N \phi_{\zeta_\beta}\left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) =$$

$$= \prod_{\beta=1}^N \exp\left\{i\left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) \mu_\beta - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right)^2 \Sigma \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^N t_\gamma c_{\gamma \beta}\right)\right\} =$$

$$= \exp\left\{i\sum_{\beta=1}^N \left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right) \mu_\beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^N \left(\sum_{d=1}^N t_d c_{\beta \gamma_d}\right)^2 \Sigma \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^N t_\gamma c_{\gamma \beta}\right)\right\} =$$

$$= \exp\left\{i\sum_{d=1}^N t_d' \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{\beta \gamma_d} \mu_\beta\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^N \sum_{\gamma=1}^N \underbrace{\left[t_d' \Sigma t_\gamma \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N c_{\beta \gamma_d} c_{\beta \gamma_\gamma}\right)\right]}_{\text{if } \gamma=d \\ 0 \text{ if } \gamma \neq d}\right\}.$$

$$= e^{i\sum_{d=1}^N t_d' v_d - \frac{1}{2} t_d' I t_d} = \prod_{d=1}^N \phi_{v_d}(t_d)$$

Remember: i) $c_{\beta \gamma} \mu_\beta = A_{\gamma \beta}$ and apply linearity
 iii) use the characteristic function and try to calculate.
 $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)', (t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{PN}, t_d \in \mathbb{R}^P$

Let's go back with Dykstra's theorem proof.
Dem: Let us consider, in our case, a matrix $B = (b_{d\beta})_{d,\beta=1, \dots, N}$
 orthogonal, whose last row is $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$. That's,
 the rest of the rows must be vectors orthogonal with
 this one and with each other with norm equal 1. Define

$$v_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{d\beta} \zeta_\beta, \quad d=1, \dots, N$$

From the previous lemmas, we get that:

Si no es el mismo es 0

$$v_d \sim N_p(r_d, \Sigma)$$

$$r_d = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{d\beta} \mu = \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{d\beta} \right) \mu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d=1, \dots, N-1 \\ \sqrt{N} & \text{if } d=N \end{cases}$$

④ Because rows of B are orthogonal, $\langle b_d, b_n \rangle = 0$
 $\forall d=1, \dots, N$, then $\langle b_d, b_n \rangle = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{d\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{d\beta} = 0$

Si es el mismo es menor
 la última fila que
 es $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$

The vectors are independents ($\{z_\lambda : \lambda = 1, \dots, N\}$ independents)

$$z_N = \sum_{\beta=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \xi_\beta = \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\beta=1}^N \xi_\beta \right) = \sqrt{N} \bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} z_N$$

rationalizing

$$A = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N (z_\lambda - \bar{\xi})(z_\lambda - \bar{\xi})' = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N z_\lambda z_\lambda' - \sum_{\lambda=1}^N z_\lambda \bar{\xi}' - \sum_{\lambda=1}^N \bar{\xi} z_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda=1}^N \bar{\xi} \bar{\xi}' =$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=1}^N z_\lambda z_\lambda' - N \bar{\xi} \bar{\xi}' - N \bar{\xi} z_\lambda' + N \bar{\xi} z_\lambda' = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N z_\lambda z_\lambda' - N \bar{\xi} \bar{\xi}' =$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=1}^N z_\lambda z_\lambda' - N \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} z_N \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} z_N' = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N-1} z_\lambda z_\lambda'$$

↓ (Lemma 2)

$$z_\lambda = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{\lambda\beta} \xi_\beta$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} z_\lambda' = \sum_{\beta=1}^N (b_{\lambda\beta} \xi_\beta)' \\ \text{(product)} = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{\lambda\beta}^2 \xi_\beta \xi_\beta' \end{array} \right\}$$

$b_{\lambda\beta}$ because ξ_β orthogonal

Because A only depends on z_λ , $\lambda = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $\bar{\xi}$ only depends on z_N and, because of z_λ are independents, we get that A and $\bar{\xi}$ are independents.

Finally, let us note that we can write $A = Z'Z$, with

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ a } (N-1) \times p \text{ matrix with } Z' \text{ a } p \times (N-1) \text{ matrix.}$$

This is equivalent to the matrix A being non-negative definite.

On the other hand, it holds that $\text{rank}(Z) = \text{rank}(Z') = \text{rank}(A)$.

So we only need to prove that $\text{rank}(Z) = p$ with

probability 1, if and only if, $N > 1$.

Remember up to this point: $B = (b_{\lambda\beta})_{\lambda,\beta=1, \dots, N}$ orthogonal and

the last row is $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$. Let $z_\lambda = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{\lambda\beta} \xi_\beta$. Apply

lemma 1 and 2 (Remember that $\langle b_\lambda, b_N \rangle = \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{\lambda\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{\beta=1}^N b_{\lambda\beta} = 0$)

calculate A and $\bar{\xi}$ and see they are independents. You can

write $A = Z'Z$ (that's A non-negative definite) and it holds

$$\text{rank}(Z) = \text{rank}(Z') = \text{rank}(A).$$

We have to prove that: $\text{rank}(Z) = p$ with probability 1 $\Leftrightarrow p \leq N$

\Rightarrow If $N \leq p \Rightarrow N-1 < p \Rightarrow \text{rank}(Z) \leq N-1 < p$!!

\Leftarrow Suppose $p < N$ and suppose $N = p+1 \Rightarrow N-1 = p$. In this case, Z' is of dimension $p \times (N-1) = p \times p$, $Z' = (z_1, \dots, z_p)$ with $z_i \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$, independents.

Let's consider P_{z_1}, \dots, P_{z_p} the probability matrix of the joint vector (z_1, \dots, z_p) .

$$P[\text{rank}(Z) \leq p] = P[z_1, \dots, z_p \text{ linearly-dependent}] \leq$$

$$\sum_i P[z_i \in S(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_p)]$$

↑
linearly envelopment

Because z_1, \dots, z_p are identically distributed and independents (prob. way),

$$P[z_i \in S(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_p)] = P[z_i \text{ has value } c] \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{therefore,}$$

$$P[\text{rank}(Z) \leq p] \leq p \cdot P[z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} P[z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)] &= E_p \left[I_{\substack{\downarrow \\ [z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)]}} \right] = \\ &= \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^p \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^p \\ (n)}} I_{[z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)]} (z_1, \dots, z_p) P_{z_1} \dots P_{z_p} (dz_1 \dots dz_p) = \\ &= \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{p-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^p \\ (n-1)}} \left(\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^p \\ z_1}} I_{[z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)]} (z_1, \dots, z_p) P_{z_1} (dz_1) \right) P_{z_2} \dots P_{z_p} (dz_2 \dots dz_p) = \\ &= \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{p-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^p \\ (n-1)}} \underbrace{P_z}_{0} \underbrace{I_{[z_1 \in S(z_2, \dots, z_p)]}}_{\text{because } Z \text{ is a continuous distribution and } S(z_1, \dots, z_p) \text{ is an hyperplane with null-lebesgue-measure.}} P_{z_2} \dots P_{z_p} (dz_2 \dots dz_p) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, $P[\text{rank}(Z) \leq p] = 0 \Rightarrow P[\text{rank}(Z) = p] = 1$.

Suppose now ~~is~~ true for $N \geq p+1$. Let's see it holds for $N+1$.

Let Z^N be the matrix based on the sample $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N$ and

Z^{N+1} the matrix based on the dates $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N, \Sigma_{N+1}$ with Σ_{N+1} added independently from the rest.

Because Z^N has been built through an orthogonal matrix

B^N with b_2 independent vectors and orthogonal each others,

$$\text{Define } B^{N+1} \text{ for } Z^{N+1} \text{ as: } B^{N+1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{(N+1)1} & \cdots & b_{(N+1)N} \\ \hline b_{21} & \cdots & b_{2N} \\ \hline \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{hypothesis} \\ \text{matrix} \\ \text{last row} \\ \text{added} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Independent and} \\ \text{orthogonal vector} \end{matrix}$$

So, we get that $P[\text{rank}(Z^N) = p] = 1 \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow P[\text{rank}(Z^{N+1}) = p] = 1.$$

Remember:

Theorem (Fisher): (From the previous proof, we also get the proof for this theorem). Given a simple random sample $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N$ of distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, the sample mean vector $\bar{\Sigma}$ is distributed according to $N_p(\mu, \frac{\Sigma}{N})$.

and the sample dispersion matrix is the same way

as $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N-1} Z_\lambda Z_\lambda'$ with Z_λ independent and identically

distributed to $N_p(0, \Sigma)$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, N-1$, with

the vector $\bar{\Sigma}$ and the matrix Σ being independent.

$$Z_N \sim N_p(\sqrt{N}\mu, \Sigma) \xrightarrow{1/\sqrt{N}} N_p(\mu, \frac{\Sigma}{N})$$

3. Maximum - likelihood estimators of μ and Σ in a MND (II)

Def: Let $\{\mathbf{x}_d : d=1, \dots, N\}$ be a random sample of a distribution depending on a parameter $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^K$. An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is a measurable function of the sample, $\hat{\theta} = T(\mathbf{x})$. It is said to be unbiased if $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta} - \theta] = 0$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$, i.e., $E_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$.

Let $\mathbf{x} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$.

- Sample mean vector: $\hat{\mu} := \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is an unbiased estimator of μ .

$$\text{Dem: } \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d \Rightarrow E[\bar{\mathbf{x}}] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \mathbf{x}_d\right] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N E[\mathbf{x}_d] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^N \mu = \mu \quad \text{linearity}$$

$\rightarrow E[\bar{\mathbf{x}}] = \mu$, because $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ and \mathbf{x}_d is a random sample of Σ , independent and identically distributed.

$$\text{Remember: } E[\bar{\mathbf{x}}] = \dots = \dots = \dots = \mu \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{linearity} \quad \mathbf{x}_d \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$$

- Sample covariance matrix: $\hat{\Sigma} := S_N$ is not an unbiased estimator of Σ .

Th. Fisher

$$\text{Dem: } S_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d' \text{ with } \mathbf{z}_d \sim N_p(0, \Sigma) \text{ independent}$$

Therefore,

$$E[S_N] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d'\right] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^{N-1} E[\mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d'] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d=1}^{N-1} \Sigma = \frac{(N-1)}{N} \Sigma \neq \Sigma \Rightarrow \text{Not unbiased.}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{z}_d \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$$

$$E[(\mathbf{z}_d - \mathbf{0})(\mathbf{z}_d - \mathbf{0})'] = \text{Var}(\mathbf{z}_d) = \Sigma = E[\mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d']$$

- $\hat{S}_{N-1} := \frac{N}{N-1} S_N$ is an ~~biased~~ unbiased estimator of Σ

Dem: The same as before.

Remember: Th. Fisher $\Rightarrow A = \sum_{d=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{z}_d \mathbf{z}_d'$, $\mathbf{z}_d \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$ independent

and follow:

Def: Let $\{\xi_i : i=1, \dots, N\}$ be a simple random sample of a distribution depending on a parameter $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)' \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$.

An estimator $\hat{\theta}_N$ ($\hat{\theta}_N = T_N(\xi)$) of the parameter θ is said to be:

- weakly consistent if $\hat{\theta}_N$ converges in probability to θ , i.e.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_\theta [\|\hat{\theta}_N - \theta\| < \epsilon] = 1$$

- strongly consistent if $\hat{\theta}_N$ converges almost surely to θ , i.e.

$$P_\theta [\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_N = \theta] = 1$$

Let $\xi \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$. It's proved that $\hat{\mu} := \bar{\xi}$, $\hat{\Sigma} := S_N$ and $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} := S_{N-1}$ are strongly consistent estimators of μ, Σ, Σ^{-1} , respectively.

Def: Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_k)'$ be an unbiased estimator of the parameter $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)' \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^k$ or a "rectangle" in \mathbb{R}^k . T is said to be efficient for $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)'$ if, for any other unbiased estimator U of θ , it holds that the difference between the covariance matrices $\text{Cov}_\theta(U) - \text{Cov}_\theta(T)$ ($\forall \theta \in \Theta$) is a non-negative definite matrix ($\text{Cov}_\theta(U) \geq \text{Cov}_\theta(T)$).

Let $\xi \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$. It's proved that:

- $\bar{\xi}$ is an efficient estimator of μ in the space \mathbb{R}^p .
- S_{N-1} is an efficient estimator of Σ in the space of symmetric positive-definite matrices of dim $p \times p$.

Theorem (Zehna): Let $P = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a family of probability distributions on the space $(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathcal{B}^p)$. Let $g: \Theta \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a given arbitrary function. If $\hat{\theta}$ is a maximum-likelihood estimator of $\Theta \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow g(\hat{\theta})$ is a maximum-likelihood estimator of $g(\theta)$.

Remark: This theorem makes use of the concept of "induced likelihood function" by g . For each $\lambda \in g(\Theta) \subseteq \Lambda$, we define

$$H(\lambda) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta), \text{ with } \Theta_\lambda = \{\theta \in \Theta : g(\theta) = \lambda\}$$

It's then understood that $g(\hat{\theta})$ is a MLE of $g(\theta)$ in the sense that $g(\hat{\theta})$ is a maximum for H .

Now, from Fisher's theorem we obtained that for a simple random sample $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ of a distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ we had that

$\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim N_p\left(\mu, \frac{\Sigma}{N}\right)$, i.e., normalizing in mean and sample size:

$$N^{-1/2}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \mu) \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$$

Now, the limiting distribution no longer depends on N .

• Asymptotic distribution of the sample mean vector, $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$

Result: Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be a sequence of p -dimensional independent and identically distributed random vectors, with mean vector μ and covariance matrix Σ (i.e. $\mathbf{x}_\alpha \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$)

Let $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \mathbf{x}_\alpha$, $\forall N \geq 1$. Then, when $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

the asymptotic distribution

$$N^{1/2}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_N - \mu) = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \mu) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} N_p(0, \Sigma)$$

• Asymptotic distribution for the sample dispersion matrix, A_N

Result: Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ be a sequence of p -dimensional independent and identically distributed random vectors, with mean vector μ and covariance matrix Σ (i.e. $\mathbf{x}_\alpha \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$), $\alpha = 1, \dots, N, \dots$ and with finite fourth-order moments.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \mathbf{x}_\alpha$ and $A_N = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_N)(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_N)'$, $\forall N \geq 1$.

Then, when $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have the asymptotic distribution

$$N^{-1/2}(A_N - N\Sigma) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} N_p(0, V)$$

(in the sense that $N^{-1/2}(\text{Vec}(A_N) - N\text{Vec}(\Sigma)) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} N_p(0, V)$)

with $V = \text{Cov}(\text{Vec}((\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \mu)(\mathbf{x}_\alpha - \mu)'))$.

Then asymptotic distribution of the sample mean vector, $\bar{\Sigma}$:

Define $\Sigma_N = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\bar{x}_\alpha - \mu)$. We need to prove that

$\phi_{\Sigma_N}(t)$ converges to $\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} t' \Sigma t\}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^p$ (converges

to the c.f. of MND but with $\mu=0$ because $\Sigma - \mu$ is
"normalized")

We know that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^p$: $\phi_{\Sigma_N}(t) = \phi_{t' \Sigma_N}(1)$,

with $t' \Sigma_N = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (t' \bar{x}_\alpha - t' \mu)$ with $t' \bar{x}_\alpha - t' \mu$

following a ~~$N(0, t' \Sigma t)$~~ , independent between them.

↳ distribution of parameters:

By the theorem of the central limit: $t' \Sigma_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\sim} N_2(0, t' \Sigma t)$

By Th. continuity of c.f. in univariate case, we

get that $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\phi_{t' \Sigma_N}(s) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} s^2 (t' \Sigma t)\right\}$$

Take $s = 1$:

$$\phi_{t' \Sigma_N}(1) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} t' \Sigma t\right\}$$

"

$$\phi_{\Sigma_N}(t)$$

Theorem of central limit: "The sampling distribution of the mean will always be normally distributed as long as the sample size is large enough". ($N \rightarrow \infty$, $\bar{\Sigma} \sim N$)

Th. continuity of c.f.: If $\varphi_n(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \varphi(t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_n \xrightarrow{D} \Sigma$$

4. Wishart distribution

Def: Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be independent and identically distributed random vectors, according to a $N_p(0, \Sigma)$ ($\Sigma \geq 0$), with $n \geq p$.

We define the Wishart centered distribution with n degrees

of freedom as the distribution of the random matrix

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i' \quad \text{and it's denoted } W_p(n, \Sigma)$$

As a consequence, in the case of the dispersion matrix

derived from simple random sample of a population $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ($\Sigma \geq 0$)

(it can be extended to $\Sigma \geq 0$), we will have that:

$$A = NS_N = (N-1)S_{N-1} \sim W_p(n, \Sigma), \text{ with } n = N-1$$

Result: If $a \sim W_p(n, \Sigma) \Rightarrow \frac{a}{\Sigma} \sim \chi_n^2$

$$\text{Dem: } f(a) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \cdot a)\}}{2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1-i}{2}\right)} =$$

$$= \frac{a^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{a}{2\Sigma^2}}}{2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\Sigma^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} = \left[\begin{array}{l} v = \frac{a}{\Sigma^2} \\ dv = \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} da \end{array} \right] =$$

$$= f(v) = \frac{(\Sigma^2 v)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-v/2}}{2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\Sigma^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \boxed{1 \cdot \Sigma^2} =$$

$$= \frac{(\Sigma^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot v^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-v/2} \cdot (\Sigma^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\Sigma^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}, v > 0 \Rightarrow v \sim \chi_n^2 \Rightarrow \frac{a}{\Sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2$$

Remember: You don't need to study the formulas, so just substitute

and apply $v = \frac{a}{\Sigma^2}$ as change.

Remark: In relation with the previous result, if $\hat{\Sigma}^2 = S_N^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

is the MLE of Σ^2 in the one-dimensional case ($p=1$),

then we have that

$$\frac{NS_N^2}{\Sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\Sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2, \text{ with } n = N-1$$

Firstly, let us see how the c.f. of a random matrix is defined in a general way:

~~Let \mathbf{Y} be a $(r \times s)$ -dimensional matrix.~~

Let \mathbf{Y} be a $(r \times s)$ -dimensional matrix. The c.f. is defined as $\phi_{\mathbf{Y}}(\Theta) = E[e^{itr(\Theta' \mathbf{Y})}]$, $\forall \Theta$ in the space of matrices of dim $r \times s$.

This expression comes from the vectorization of \mathbf{Y} and Θ :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\text{vec}(\mathbf{Y})}(\text{vec}(\Theta)) &= E[e^{i\text{vec}(\Theta)' \text{vec}(\mathbf{Y})}] = \\ &= E\left[e^{i\sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^r \Theta_{kl} Y_{kl}}\right] = E[e^{itr(\Theta' \mathbf{Y})}]\end{aligned}$$

Now, in the case of a symmetric $(r \times r)$ -dimensional matrix \mathbf{Y} , the formulation can be restricted to the set of the $\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)$ variables corresponding to the diagonal and the upper (or lower) triangular part of \mathbf{Y} , adopting the form

$$\phi_{\mathbf{Y}}^*(\Theta) = E\left[e^{i\sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{l=k}^r \Theta_{kl} Y_{kl}}\right], \forall \Theta \text{ in the space of symmetric matrices dim } r \times r$$

→ "Esto no entraña" ↗

←

Result: Let $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$. Then, $E[EA] = n\Sigma$

$$\text{Cov}(a_{ij}, a_{kl}) = n(\Sigma_{ik} \Sigma_{jl} + \Sigma_{il} \Sigma_{jk}), \quad (i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, p)$$

Result (Reproductive property with respect to degrees of freedom):

Let A_1, \dots, A_q be independent random matrices, with

$A_j \sim W_p(n_j, \Sigma)$, $j = 1, \dots, q$. Then:

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^q A_j \sim W_p(n, \Sigma), \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^q n_j$$

$$\text{Dem: } \phi_A(\Theta) = \phi_{\sum_{j=1}^q A_j}(\Theta) = E\left[e^{itr(\Theta' \sum_{j=1}^q A_j)}\right] = E\left[e^{i\sum_{j=1}^q tr(\Theta' A_j)}\right] = \prod_{j=1}^q E[e^{i\sum_{l=1}^{n_j} \Theta_{jl} A_{jl}}] = \prod_{j=1}^q \phi_{A_j}(\Theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_A(\Theta) = \prod_{j=1}^q \phi_{A_j}(\Theta) = \prod_{j=1}^q |I_{p-2i(\Theta)\Sigma^{-1/2}}|^{-n_j/2} = |I_{p-2i(\Theta)\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

~~independencia~~

$$= |I_{p-2i(\Theta)\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Rightarrow A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$$

Remember: You don't need to know ϕ_{A_j} , so just apply independence of A_j and calculate.

Result (Reproductive property under rectangular linear transformations)

Let $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$ and let $M_{K \times p}$ be a non-random matrix of rank $K \leq p$. Then:

$$HAM' \sim W_K(n, M\Sigma M')$$

Def: $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Rightarrow} A = \sum_{d=1}^n Z_d Z_d'$, with $Z_d \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$ independents.

Take $\tilde{Z}_d := MZ_d \Rightarrow \tilde{Z}_d \sim N_p(0, M\Sigma M')$ independents

Then, we have that:

$$\sum_{d=1}^n \tilde{Z}_d \tilde{Z}_d' = \sum_{d=1}^n (MZ_d)(M Z_d)' = \sum_{d=1}^n (M Z_d)(Z_d' M') =$$

$$= M \left(\sum_{d=1}^n Z_d Z_d' \right) M' = HAM' \Rightarrow HAM' \sim W_K(n, M\Sigma M')$$

$K = \text{rank}(M)$ \blacksquare

Remember: $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma) \rightarrow$ use def.

$$Z_d := MZ_d \Rightarrow \tilde{Z}_d \sim N_p(0, M\Sigma M')$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^n \tilde{Z}_d \tilde{Z}_d' \rightarrow \text{calculate.}$$

Result (Distribution of the sample covariance and quasicovariance matrices)

Let $S_N = \frac{A}{N}$ and $S_{N-1} = \frac{A}{N-1}$ be the sample covariance

and quasicovariance matrices corresponding to a simple random sample of size N from a distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$.

$$\text{Then, } S_N \sim W_p(n, \frac{\Sigma}{N}), \quad S_{N-1} \sim W_p(n, \frac{\Sigma}{N})$$

$$n = N-1$$

Def: $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$

$$S_N = \frac{1}{N} A. \quad \text{Take } H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} I_p \Rightarrow HAM' = \frac{1}{N} A = S_N$$

$$HAM' \sim W_p(n, \frac{1}{N} I_p)$$

$$S_{N-1} = \frac{1}{N-1} A. \quad \text{Take } H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} I_p \Rightarrow HAM' \sim W_p(n, \frac{1}{N-1} \Sigma)$$

Remember: Just apply reproductive linearity with

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} I_p \quad \text{and} \quad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-1}} I_p$$

Result (Marginalization diagonal and by blocks and conditioning)

I: Let $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$. Then $\frac{a_{ii}}{\sqrt{n}} \sim N_n^2, i=1 \dots p$

Dem: consider $H_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underset{(i)}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)_{\text{exp}}$

$$\bullet H_i \Sigma H_i' = \Sigma_{ii} = \bar{\sigma}_{ii}^2, \forall i=1 \dots p$$

• $H_i H_i' = a_{ii}$ and, by reproductive linear transformation's result, we know $a_{ii} \sim W_1(n, \bar{\sigma}_{ii}^2), \forall i=1 \dots p$

By result I, we have: $\frac{a_{ii}}{\bar{\sigma}_{ii}^2} \sim \chi_n^2, \forall i=1 \dots p$

Remember: $H_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{(i)}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)_{\text{exp}}$. Apply linear reproductive and result I.

II: Let $A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$, and consider the partitioning into boxes

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with A_{12} of dim $q \times q$ and A_{22} of dim $(p-q) \times (p-q)$. Then,

• $A_{12} \sim W_q(n, \Sigma_{12})$ and $A_{22} \sim W_{p-q}(n, \Sigma_{22})$

• If $\Sigma_{12} = 0 \Rightarrow A_{11}$ and A_{22} independents.

Dem: consider $H_2 = (I_q | 0_{q \times (p-q)})$. We have then:

$$H_2 = (0_{(p-q) \times q} | I_{p-q})$$

$$\rightarrow H_2 A H_2' = A_{11} \sim W_q(n, H_2 \Sigma H_2') = W_q(n, \Sigma_{11})$$

$$\rightarrow H_2 A H_2' = A_{22} \sim W_{p-q}(n, H_2 \Sigma H_2') = W_{p-q}(n, \Sigma_{22})$$

Now, consider $\Sigma_{21} = 0 \Rightarrow I = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Because

$A \sim W_p(n, \Sigma)$, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i'$, with $Z_i \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$ independents.

• consider the partition $Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{12} \\ Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ with Z_{12} of dim q and Z_{22} of dim $p-q$ and we know $Z_{12} \sim N_p(0, \Sigma_{12})$.

are independents. Then, $A_{22} = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{12} Z_{12}' Z_{22} Z_{22}'$ and, because

$$A_{22} = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{12} Z_{12}' Z_{22} Z_{22}'$$

of independence of Z_{12} and Z_{22} $\Rightarrow A_{11}$ and A_{22} independents

Remember: i) Result linear reproductive ii) definition of A .

Result: Let $A \sim N_p(n, \Sigma)$ and let Y be a p -dimensional random vector, independent of A , s.t. $P[Y=0] = 0$. Then,

$$\frac{Y'AY}{Y'\Sigma Y} \sim \chi_n^2, \text{ being independent of } Y$$

Dem: consider $Y = y \neq 0$ (We can consider it because $P[Y=0]=0$)

$$\text{Take } H = y' \Rightarrow HYH' = y'Ay^* \sim N_p(n, y'\Sigma y)$$

Because Σ and A independents, $(Y'AY | Y=y) \stackrel{d}{=} y'(A|Y=y)y = y'Ay$

By result 2, $\frac{y'Ay}{y'\Sigma y} \sim \chi_n^2$ and, because it doesn't depend

$$\text{on } y \Rightarrow \frac{Y'AY}{Y'\Sigma Y} \sim \chi_n^2$$

Remember: $Y = y \neq 0$ ($P[Y=0]=0$) and $H = y'$, apply linear repr.

Σ and A independents $\Rightarrow (Y'AY | Y=y) \stackrel{d}{=} y'(A|Y=y)y = y'Ay$.

An interesting consequence is that: Let $\{\bar{S}_d : d=1 \dots N\}$ be a simple random sample of distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, with $n=N-1$:

$$n \frac{\bar{S}' S_n \bar{S}}{\bar{S}' \Sigma \bar{S}} \sim \chi_n^2, \text{ being independent of } \bar{S}$$

Dem: $A = nS_{\bar{S}} \sim N_p(n, \Sigma)$, $n = N-1$. A and \bar{S} independent (Fisher)

$$\bar{S} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma/N) \quad \frac{\bar{S}' A \bar{S}}{\bar{S}' \Sigma \bar{S}} = n \frac{\bar{S}' S_n \bar{S}}{\bar{S}' \Sigma \bar{S}} \sim \chi_n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow P[\bar{S}=0] = 0$$

(Because is a continuous distribution)

5. Hotelling's T^2 distribution

Def: Let \bar{S} be a p -dimensional random vector with distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, ($\Sigma > 0$). Let B be a $(p \times p)$ -dimensional random matrix with distribution $W_p(n, \Sigma)$, $n \geq p$.

Assume that \bar{S} and B are independents. Then, the

non-centered Hotelling's T^2 distribution, with p and n

degrees of freedom and ~~non-centered~~ non-centrality

parameter $\lambda = \mu'\Sigma\mu$ is defined as the distribution of

the random variable (one-dimensional) given by the

quadratic form

$$T^2 = n \bar{S}' B^{-1} \bar{S}$$

Result: Under the conditions of the previous definition, we have that:

$$\frac{T^2}{n} \frac{n-p+1}{p} \sim F_{p, n-p+1}(\delta) \rightarrow \text{Non-centered Snedecor's F distribution}$$

with non-centrality parameter $\delta = \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu$.

Def: $\Sigma \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma), \Sigma > 0, B \sim W_p(n, \Sigma), n \geq p$, independents.

$$\frac{T^2}{n} \frac{n-p+1}{p} = \frac{\mu' \Sigma' B^{-1} \Sigma}{\mu} \cdot \frac{n-p+1}{p} = \Sigma' B^{-1} \Sigma \frac{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma}{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma} \cdot \frac{n-p+1}{p} =$$

$$= \frac{(\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma)/p}{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{n-p+1}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma}{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma} = 1$$

We know that $\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma \sim \chi_p^2(\delta)$ with $\delta = \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma}{\Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma} \sim \chi_{n-p+1}^2 \text{ independent of } \Sigma, \text{ so, it's also}$$

$$\text{independent from } \Sigma' \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma \Rightarrow \frac{T^2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-p+1}{p} \sim F_{p, n-p+1}(\delta)$$

$$\text{and } \delta = \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu$$

Remember:

- If $\mu=0 \Rightarrow$ we have the centered $F_{p, n-p+1}$
- In the case $p=1$, we have that $T^2 = F_{1, n}(\delta)$, with $\delta = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$

Def: Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n$ be a simple random sample of a distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma) (\Sigma > 0)$ and let $\bar{\Sigma}, A, S_W$ and S_n ($n = N - 1$) be the corresponding sample mean vector, dispersion matrix and covariance and quasi-covariance matrix statistics. We define Hotelling's T^2 statistic as

$$T^2 := n \bar{\Sigma}' S_N^{-1} \bar{\Sigma} = n \bar{\Sigma}' S_W^{-1} \bar{\Sigma} = n N \bar{\Sigma}' A^{-1} \bar{\Sigma}$$

(Formally, it would be written $T^2(\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n)$, with $T^2: \mathbb{R}^{Np} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ measurable, although the argument is usually implicitly understood)

Result: Under the previous conditions, the statistic T^2 , is distributed as follows:

$$\frac{T^2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-p+1}{p} \sim F_{p, n-p+1}(\delta)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{T^2}{N-1} \cdot \frac{N-p}{p} \sim F_{p, N-p}(\delta)$$

with non-centrality parameter $\delta = \mu \mu' \Sigma^{-1} \mu$

Approach: Let $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_N$ be a simple random sample drawn from a population with distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ($\Sigma > 0$), $N > p$. We denote $\bar{\Sigma} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_N)'$ (matrix corresponding to the random sample) and, correspondingly, $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)'$ (matrix of observed sample data).

Testing problem: We consider the hypothesis

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \\ H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0 \end{cases}, \mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p \text{ a given fixed vector}$$

Case Σ known: Test statistic:

$$U := N(\bar{\Sigma} - \mu_0)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\Sigma} - \mu_0) \sim \chi_p^2(\delta)$$

$$\text{with } \delta = N(\mu - \mu_0)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - \mu_0)$$

Test function: with $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{Np} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u := U(x) > \chi_{p,\alpha}^2 \\ 0 & \text{if } u := U(x) \leq \chi_{p,\alpha}^2 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{Np}$, with $\chi_{p,\alpha}^2$ being the value of χ^2 (centered) distribution with p degrees of freedom leaving its right a probability mass equal to α .

Case Σ unknown: Test statistic:

$$T^2 := N(\bar{\Sigma} - \mu_0)' S_N^{-1} (\bar{\Sigma} - \mu_0)$$

satisfying

$$\frac{T^2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-p+1}{p} \sim F_{p, n-p+1}(\delta)$$

$$\text{with } \delta = N(\mu - \mu_0)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - \mu_0)$$

Test function: with $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{NP} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ we have

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t := T^2(x) > \frac{np}{n-p+1} F_{p, n-p+1, \alpha} \\ 0 & \text{if } t := T^2(x) \leq \frac{np}{n-p+1} F_{p, n-p+1, \alpha} \end{cases}$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{PN}$ with $F_{p, n-p+1, \alpha}$ being the value of F (centered) distribution with $(p, n-p)$ degrees of freedom leaving on its right a probability mass equal to α .

Some points about sigma σ : σ^2 , $\text{var}(T^2)$ and $\text{cov}(T^2, T^2)$ are given by the formulae in the notes. The first two are simple to calculate, while the third is more difficult. The variance of T^2 is given by the formula $\text{var}(T^2) = E(T^4) - E(T^2)^2$. This can be calculated using the formula for the expectation of a function of a random variable.

Using the formula for $E(T^2)$ and $E(T^4)$, the variance of T^2 is given by the formula $\text{var}(T^2) = \frac{np}{(n-p+1)^2} + \frac{np(n-p)}{(n-p+1)^2} + \frac{np(n-p)(n-p-1)}{(n-p+1)^2} - \frac{np^2}{(n-p+1)^2}$. This is a complex formula, but it is useful for calculating the variance of T^2 .

The covariance of T^2 and T^2 is given by the formula $\text{cov}(T^2, T^2) = E(T^2 T^2) - E(T^2) E(T^2)$.

The formula for $E(T^2 T^2)$ is given by the formula $E(T^2 T^2) = \frac{np}{(n-p+1)^2} + \frac{np(n-p)}{(n-p+1)^2} + \frac{np(n-p)(n-p-1)}{(n-p+1)^2} + \frac{np^2}{(n-p+1)^2}$.