

1 Problem 1

1.1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(\theta; \theta^{(t)}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}_{z_n \sim q_n^{(t)}} [\ln P(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n; \theta)] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_{nk} (\ln P(z_n; \theta) + \ln P(x_n | z_n; \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

For fixed k and b_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \ln \mathcal{L}(x_n; \mu_k, b_k) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2b_k} \exp \left(-\frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{2b_k} \right) - \frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N -\gamma_{nk} |x_n - \mu_k| \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \sum_{j: (x_j - \mu_k) < 0}^J \gamma_{jk} (x_j - \mu_k) - \sum_{i: (x_i - \mu_k) \geq 0}^I \gamma_{ik} (x_i - \mu_k) \quad \text{where } (I + J = N) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_k} \underbrace{\sum_{j: (x_j - \mu_k) < 0}^J \gamma_{jk} \mu_k - \sum_{i: (x_i - \mu_k) \geq 0}^I \gamma_{ik} \mu_k}_{:= Q} \\ \frac{dQ}{d\mu_k} &= \sum_{j: (x_j - \mu_k) < 0}^J \gamma_{jk} - \sum_{i: (x_i - \mu_k) \geq 0}^I \gamma_{ik} = 0 \implies \sum_{j: (x_j - \mu_k) < 0}^J \gamma_{jk} = \sum_{i: (x_i - \mu_k) \geq 0}^I \gamma_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

The optimum location parameter is set such that the sum of soft assignments for data points less than μ_k is equal to that of data points greater than μ_k . In other words,

$$\boxed{\mu_k^* = \text{median}\{\gamma_{1k}x_1, \dots, \gamma_{nk}x_n\}}$$

1.2

For fixed k and μ_k ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_k^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{b_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \ln \mathcal{L}(x_n; \mu_k, b_k) \\
 &= \operatorname{argmax}_{b_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2b_k} \exp \left(-\frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right) \right) \\
 &= \operatorname{argmax}_{b_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \left[\ln(1) - \ln(2) - \ln(b_k) - \frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right] \\
 &= \operatorname{argmax}_{b_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \left[-\ln(b_k) - \frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left. \frac{d}{db_k} \left(-\ln(b_k) - \frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k} \right) \right|_{\mu_k} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} \left[\frac{-1}{b_k} + \frac{|x_n - \mu_k|}{b_k^2} \right] = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow b_k \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} |x_n - \mu_k| \\
 \Rightarrow b_k &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} |x_n - \mu_k|}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk}}
 \end{aligned}$$

1.3

Step 0: Initialize $\theta^{(0)} = (\mu, b, \omega)$

Step 1 (E-step): Update soft assignment γ_{nk}

Step 2 (M-step): Update model parameters ω_k, μ_k, b_k

Step 3: If $P(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ increases, back to step 1, else end loop

The difference between the LMM EM algo and GMM EM algo is that the former does not have a closed form solution to compute the optimal location parameter, whereas the latter does. A search algorithm may be required to compute the median.

2 Problem 2

2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^D \alpha_{nj} \mathbf{u}_j \right)^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^D \alpha_{nj} \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \alpha_{n1} \cancel{\mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 + \cdots + \alpha_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_i + \cdots + \alpha_{nD} \cancel{\mathbf{u}_D^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 \\
 &= \alpha_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \alpha_{ni}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n\|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n)^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n \right) \\
 \implies \operatorname{argmin}_{z_{ni}} J &= \operatorname{argmin}_{z_{ni}} \underbrace{\left(-2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n \right)}_{:= F}
 \end{aligned}$$

For fixed n, and fixed $i \leq M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_{ni}} &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n} \right)^T \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n}{\partial z_{ni}} \\
 &= (-2\mathbf{x}_n + 2\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n)^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= -2\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i + 2\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \\
 \implies \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i &= \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^M z_{nj} \mathbf{u}_j + \sum_{k=M+1}^D b_k \mathbf{u}_k \right)^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^M z_{nj} \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{k=M+1}^D b_k \cancel{\mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 \quad (\mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k \in \{M+1, \dots, D\}) \\
 &= z_{n1} \cancel{\mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 + \cdots + z_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_i + \cdots + z_{nM} \cancel{\mathbf{u}_M^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 \\
 &= z_{ni} \\
 \implies \boxed{z_{ni}^*} &= \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i
 \end{aligned}$$

The derivation above implicitly assumes $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq M$ for illustration purposes. It is without loss of generality the solution is the same if $i = 1$ or $i = M$.

2.3

From 2.2, we had

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{b_i} J = \operatorname{argmin}_{b_i} \underbrace{\left(-2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n \right)}_{:= F}$$

For fixed $i > M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial b_i} &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n} \right)^T \frac{\partial \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n}{\partial b_i} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (-2\mathbf{x}_n + 2\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n) \right)^T \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^M z_{nj} \mathbf{u}_j + \sum_{k=M+1}^D b_k \mathbf{u}_k \right)^T \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^M z_{nj} \cancel{\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 + \sum_{k=M+1}^D b_k \mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{u}_i \right) \quad (\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, M\}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\cancel{b_{M+1} \mathbf{u}_{M+1}^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 + \dots + b_i \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_i + \dots + \cancel{b_D \mathbf{u}_D^T \mathbf{u}_i}^0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N b_i = Nb_i \\ Nb_i &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i^T \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{b_i^* &= \mathbf{u}_i^T \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right)} \end{aligned}$$

The derivation above implicitly assumes $i \neq M + 1$ and $i \neq D$ for illustration purposes. It is without loss of generality the solution is the same if $i = M + 1$ or $i = D$.

2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\triangleq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{k=1}^D (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_k) \mathbf{u}_k - \left(\sum_{i=1}^M z_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j=M+1}^D b_j \mathbf{u}_j \right) \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \cancel{\sum_{i=1}^M (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i} + \sum_{j=M+1}^D (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_j) \mathbf{u}_j - \left(\cancel{\sum_{i=1}^M (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i} + \sum_{j=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_j^T \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right) \mathbf{u}_j \right) \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{j=M+1}^D (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_j) \mathbf{u}_j - \sum_{j=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_j \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{j=M+1}^D ((\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}_j) \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{j=M+1}^D \underbrace{(\mathbf{u}_j^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}))}_{1 \times 1 \text{ treat as scalar}} \mathbf{u}_j \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^D (\mathbf{u}_j^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})) \mathbf{u}_j \right)^T \left(\sum_{i=M+1}^D (\mathbf{u}_i^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})) \mathbf{u}_i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=M+1}^D (\mathbf{u}_j^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{u}_j^T) \sum_{i=M+1}^D ((\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=M+1}^D \sum_{i=M+1}^D (\mathbf{u}_j^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=M+1}^D (\mathbf{u}_i^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{u}_i) \quad (\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \ \forall i \neq j, \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{u}_i = 1 \text{ for } i = j) \\
&= \sum_{i=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_i^T \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \right] \mathbf{u}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_i
\end{aligned}$$

2.5

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}_i} \sum_{j=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_j \quad s.t. \quad \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 = 1$$

$$\implies \mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=M+1}^D \mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_j - \lambda_i (\|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 - 1)$$

For fixed $i : M < i \leq D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_i} &= \mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{u}_i - 2\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \\ \implies 2\mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_i &= 2\lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i & (\mathbf{S}^T = \mathbf{S} \text{ because } \mathbf{S} \text{ symmetric}) \\ \implies \boxed{\mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}_i^*} &= \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i^* \end{aligned}$$

As shown above, we can express the solution for optimal \mathbf{u}_i^* as an eigenvector of \mathbf{S} , with corresponding eigenvalue λ_i , $\forall i : M < i \leq D$.

3 Problem 3

3.1

$$P(X_{t+1}|O_{1:t}) = \sum_s P(X_{t+1}, X_t = s|O_{1:t})$$

3.2

For fixed state s ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{t+1}, X_t = s|O_{1:t}) &= \sum_{s'} P(X_{t+1}, X_t = s, X_{t-1} = s'|O_{1:t}) \\ &= \sum_{s'} P(X_{t+1}|X_t = s, X_{t-1} = s', O_{1:t}) P(X_t = s, X_{t-1} = s'|O_{1:t}) \\ &= \sum_{s'} P(X_{t+1}|X_t = s, X_{t-1} = s') P(X_t = s, X_{t-1} = s'|O_{1:t}) \end{aligned}$$

3.3

$$P(X_{t+1}|O_{1:t+1}) = \sum_s P(X_{t+1}, X_t = s|O_{1:t+1})$$

3.4

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{t+1}, X_t | O_{1:t+1}) &= P(X_{t+1}, X_t | O_{1:t}, O_{t+1}) \\ &= P(X_{t+1}, X_t, O_{t+1} | O_{1:t}) / P(O_{t+1} | O_{1:t}) \\ &= P(O_{t+1} | \cancel{O_{1:t}}, X_{t+1}, \cancel{X_t}) P(X_{t+1}, X_t | O_{1:t}) / P(O_{t+1} | O_{1:t}) \\ &= P(O_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) P(X_{t+1}, X_t | O_{1:t}) / P(O_{t+1} | O_{1:t}) \end{aligned}$$

The denominator can be computed via forward messaging.

4 Problem 4

4.1

To find the probability of the observed sequence, I compute the forward messages iteratively.

$$\alpha_1(1) = \pi_1 b_{1A} = 0.6 \cdot 0.4 = 0.24$$

$$\alpha_2(1) = \pi_2 b_{2A} = 0.4 \cdot 0.2 = 0.08$$

$$\alpha_1(2) = b_{1G}(a_{11}\alpha_1(1) + a_{21}\alpha_2(1)) = 0.4(0.7 \cdot 0.24 + 0.2 \cdot 0.08) = 0.0736$$

$$\alpha_2(2) = b_{2G}(a_{12}\alpha_1(1) + a_{22}\alpha_2(1)) = 0.2(0.3 \cdot 0.24 + 0.8 \cdot 0.08) = 0.0272$$

$$\alpha_1(3) = b_{1C}(a_{11}\alpha_1(2) + a_{21}\alpha_2(2)) = 0.1(0.7 \cdot 0.0736 + 0.2 \cdot 0.0272) = 0.005696$$

$$\alpha_2(3) = b_{2C}(a_{12}\alpha_1(2) + a_{22}\alpha_2(2)) = 0.3(0.3 \cdot 0.0736 + 0.8 \cdot 0.0272) = 0.013152$$

$$\alpha_1(4) = b_{1T}(a_{11}\alpha_1(3) + a_{21}\alpha_2(3)) = 0.1(0.7 \cdot 0.005696 + 0.2 \cdot 0.013152) = 0.00066176$$

$$\alpha_2(4) = b_{2T}(a_{12}\alpha_1(3) + a_{22}\alpha_2(3)) = 0.3(0.3 \cdot 0.005696 + 0.8 \cdot 0.013152) = 0.00366912$$

$$P(O_{1:4} = [AGCT]; \theta) = \alpha_1(4) + \alpha_2(4) = \boxed{0.00433}$$

4.2

$$\delta_1(1) = b_{1A}\pi_1 = 0.4 \cdot 0.6 = 0.24$$

$$\delta_2(1) = b_{2A}\pi_2 = 0.2 \cdot 0.4 = 0.08$$

$$\delta_1(2) = b_{1G} \max\{a_{11}\delta_1(1), a_{21}\delta_2(1)\} = 0.4 \max\{0.7 \cdot 0.24, 0.2 \cdot 0.08\} = 0.0672$$

$$\Delta_1(2) = \boxed{s_1}$$

$$\delta_2(2) = b_{2G} \max\{a_{12}\delta_1(1), a_{22}\delta_2(1)\} = 0.2 \max\{0.3 \cdot 0.24, 0.8 \cdot 0.08\} = 0.0144$$

$$\Delta_2(2) = s_1$$

$$\delta_1(3) = b_{1C} \max\{a_{11}\delta_1(2), a_{21}\delta_2(2)\} = 0.1 \max\{0.7 \cdot 0.0672, 0.2 \cdot 0.0144\} = 0.004704$$

$$\Delta_1(3) = s_1$$

$$\delta_2(3) = b_{2C} \max\{a_{12}\delta_1(2), a_{22}\delta_2(2)\} = 0.3 \max\{0.3 \cdot 0.0672, 0.8 \cdot 0.0144\} = 0.006048$$

$$\Delta_2(3) = \boxed{s_1}$$

$$\delta_1(4) = b_{1T} \max\{a_{11}\delta_1(3), a_{21}\delta_2(3)\} = 0.1 \max\{0.7 \cdot 0.004704, 0.2 \cdot 0.006048\} = 0.00032928$$

$$\Delta_1(4) = s_1$$

$$\delta_2(4) = b_{2T} \max\{a_{12}\delta_1(3), a_{22}\delta_2(3)\} = 0.3 \max\{0.3 \cdot 0.004704, 0.8 \cdot 0.006048\} = \boxed{0.00145152}$$

$$\Delta_1(4) = \boxed{s_2}$$

$$\boxed{s_{1:4}^* = [s_1, s_1, s_2, s_2]}$$

4.3

$$\begin{aligned} P(O_5 = o_5 | O_{1:4}; \theta) &= P(O_5 = o_5, O_{1:4}) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \sum_s P(O_5 = o_5, X_5 = s, O_{1:4}) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \sum_s P(O_5 = o_5 | X_5 = s, \cancel{O_{1:4}}) P(X_5 = s, O_{1:4}) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \sum_s b_{s,o_5} \sum_{s'} P(X_5 = s, X_4 = s', O_{1:4}) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \sum_s b_{s,o_5} \sum_{s'} P(X_5 = s | X_4 = s', \cancel{O_{1:4}}) P(X_4 = s', O_{1:4}) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \sum_s b_{s,o_5} \sum_{s'} a_{s',s} \alpha_{s'}(4) / P(O_{1:4}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies o^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{o_5} \sum_s b_{s,o_5} \sum_{s'} a_{s',s} \alpha_{s'}(4) / P(O_{1:4}) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{o_5} \sum_s b_{s,o_5} \sum_{s'} a_{s',s} \alpha_{s'}(4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(O_5 = A|O_{1:4} = [AGCT]) &= b_{1A}(a_{11}\alpha_1(4) + a_{21}\alpha_2(4)) + b_{2A}(a_{12}\alpha_1(4) + a_{22}\alpha_2(4)) \\
&= 0.4(0.7 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.2 \cdot 0.00366912) + \\
&\quad 0.2(0.3 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.8 \cdot 0.00366912) \\
&\approx \boxed{0.0011056}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(O_5 = C|O_{1:4} = [AGCT]) &= b_{1C}(a_{11}\alpha_1(4) + a_{21}\alpha_2(4)) + b_{2C}(a_{12}\alpha_1(4) + a_{22}\alpha_2(4)) \\
&= 0.1(0.7 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.2 \cdot 0.00366912) + \\
&\quad 0.3(0.3 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.8 \cdot 0.00366912) \\
&\approx 0.0010598
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(O_5 = G|O_{1:4} = [AGCT]) &= b_{1G}(a_{11}\alpha_1(4) + a_{21}\alpha_2(4)) + b_{2G}(a_{12}\alpha_1(4) + a_{22}\alpha_2(4)) \\
&= 0.4(0.7 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.2 \cdot 0.00366912) + \\
&\quad 0.2(0.3 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.8 \cdot 0.00366912) \\
&\approx \boxed{0.0011056}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(O_5 = T|O_{1:4} = [AGCT]) &= b_{1T}(a_{11}\alpha_1(4) + a_{21}\alpha_2(4)) + b_{2T}(a_{12}\alpha_1(4) + a_{22}\alpha_2(4)) \\
&= 0.1(0.7 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.2 \cdot 0.00366912) + \\
&\quad 0.3(0.3 \cdot 0.00066176 + 0.8 \cdot 0.00366912) \\
&\approx 0.0010598
\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{o^* = A, \text{ or } o^* = G}$$