PROBLEM SET 3

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS
Due: 10th of February, 2023

1. Implement the following sentences as functions using Python. Each function should take as many inputs (of type bool) as there are propositional variables in the sentences, and should return the correct truth value for the sentence when its variables are replaced by your function's inputs.

For example, $\neg(p \land q)$ could be rewritten as f in two ways:

def f(p, q):
 return not(p and q)

f = lambda p, q: not(p and q)

Your functions must be named ps3pr1a, ps3pr1b, ps3pr1c, ps3pr1d, and ps3pr1e, respectively. Turn in your code as one separate Python file named ps03-<lastname>-<firstname>.py with the rest of your work.

- (a) $(\neg p \to \bot) \to p$
- (b) $(p \to q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \to \neg p)$
- (c) $p \to (q \to r)$
- (d) $(p \to q) \to r$
- (e) $((p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r)) \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
- 2. Show that the following arguments are valid.

Remark. Every application of a rule of inference must be explicitly referenced by name for these subproblems.

(a) $\frac{\varphi \to \psi}{\varphi \Rightarrow q}$

known as Conditional Elimination

(b) $\frac{\varphi \to \psi}{\psi \to \chi}$ $\frac{\varphi \to \chi}{\varphi \to \chi}$

known as the **Hypothetical Syllogism**

(c) $\frac{\varphi}{\psi}$ $\varphi \wedge \psi$

known as Adjunction, a.k.a. Conjunction Introduction

(d) $\frac{\varphi \wedge \psi}{\varphi}$

known as Simplification, a.k.a. Conjunction Elimination

(e) $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi \vee \psi}$

known as Addition, a.k.a. Disjunction Introduction

 $(f) \begin{array}{c} \varphi \to \chi \\ \psi \to \chi \\ \varphi \lor \psi \\ \hline \chi \end{array}$

known as **Proof by Cases**, a.k.a. **Disjunction Elimination**

 $(g) \quad \frac{\varphi \vee \psi}{\neg \varphi}$

known as the Disjunctive Syllogism

(h) $\begin{array}{c} \varphi \to \chi \\ \psi \to \xi \\ \varphi \lor \psi \\ \hline \chi \lor \xi \end{array}$

known as the Constructive Dilemma

(i) $\frac{\varphi}{\neg \varphi}$

known as the Ex Falso Quodlibet, a.k.a. the Principle of Explosion

 $(j) \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\psi}$

known as the Ex Falso Quodlibet, a.k.a. the Principle of Explosion

3. Imagine a universe of discourse consisting of the collection of sentient humanoid beings (e.g., people, humans, androids) in the year 2029 in Japan. Now, consider the following facts:

I. Every ghost in a shell is an android.

IV. No androids are people.

II. Some androids are ghosts in shells.

V. Major Kusanagi (草薙素子) is an android.

III. All humans are people.

- VI. Togusa (トグサ) is a human.
- (a) Translate these six statements into the first-order logic by defining appropriate predicates.
- (b) Translate each of the following English sentences into the first-order logic using the definitions made in subproblem 2(a) and then determine whether or not they follow from the facts given. If you think they do follow, then prove the argument is valid. Otherwise, provide an informal argument of invalidity.
 - i. Major Kusanagi is a person.
 - ii. Someone is a ghost in a shell.

- iv. No ghost in a shell is a person.
- iii. Togusa is an android if he is a ghost in a shell.
- v. There is a human who is not an android.