

# PROBLEM SET 2

## DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Due: 6<sup>th</sup> of February, 2023

For the following problems, make sure any application of the equivalence rules (the axioms of a Boolean algebra) is clearly stated and that all steps are justified. Do not use truth tables as a source of justification.

1. Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .
2. Show that  $(\neg p \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow p$  is a tautology.
3. Show that  $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$  is equivalent to  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ .
4. Show that  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  is a tautology.
5. Using the predicate definitions below, let our universe of discourse be the collection of all characters in the play Macbeth and translate the following propositions of the first-order logic into English sentences.

$\kappa(x) :=$  “ $x$  is a king.”

$\vartheta(x) :=$  “ $x$  is a thane.”

$v(x) :=$  “ $x$  is a witch.”

$\omega(x) :=$  “ $x$  is man woman-borne.”

$\mu(x, y) :=$  “ $x$  murders  $y$ .”

- (a) Macbeth is a king, and Banquo is a thane.
  - (b) Every king is murdered by someone.
  - (c) Someone murders every king.
  - (d) No witches murder anyone.
  - (e) No kings murder any thanes.
  - (f) Macbeth is not murdered by any man woman-borne.
  - (g) Every king is a thane.
  - (h) Banquo is not a king, yet someone murders him.
  - (i) Any witch could not possibly be a king.
  - (j) The only kings are those woman-borne.
6. Using the predicate definitions below, let our universe of discourse be the collection of all living beings on the Obra-Dinn and translate the following propositions of the first-order logic into English sentences.

$\kappa(x) :=$  “ $x$  is the Captain.”

$\mu(x) :=$  “ $x$  is a mate.”

$\sigma(x) :=$  “ $x$  is a sea monster.”

$\delta(x, y) :=$  “ $x$  is drowned by  $y$ .”

$\rho(x, y) :=$  “ $x$  was terribly ravaged by  $y$ .”

$\gamma(x, y) :=$  “ $x$  was shot by  $y$ .”

- (a)  $\kappa(\text{“Robert Witterel”}) \wedge \forall x(\mu(x) \rightarrow \gamma(x, \text{“Robert Witterel”}))$ .
  - (b)  $\neg \forall x \exists y(\sigma(y) \wedge \rho(x, y))$ .
  - (c)  $\exists x \forall y(\sigma(x) \wedge (\mu(y) \rightarrow \delta(y, x)))$ .
  - (d)  $\left( \neg \exists x(\sigma(x)) \wedge \left( \neg \exists x(\sigma(x)) \rightarrow \exists x(\gamma(\text{“Robert Witterel”}, x)) \right) \right) \rightarrow \exists x(\gamma(\text{“Robert Witterel”}, x))$ .
7. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be predicates. Rewrite the following first-order sentences so that no quantifier has a  $\neg$  to its left.
    - (a)  $\neg \forall x \exists y(x \vee y)$
    - (b)  $\neg \forall x \forall y(\neg x \vee (x \leftrightarrow y))$
    - (c)  $\neg \exists x \forall y \forall z((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)$
    - (d)  $\neg \exists x(\varphi(x) \vee \forall y \exists z(\psi(y, z) \rightarrow \neg x))$