Advanced Deep Learning AIGC 5500 Midterm Project Deep Learning Optimizers

Please read the following guidelines very carefully before answering any questions:

- Please make sure to read all the questions and guidelines very carefully before asking any questions.
- You must keep the naming conventions requested in this document and each question.
- All deliverables are defined at the end of this document. You must upload them as requested.

It will be up to a <u>10%</u> mark deduction if you do not follow the abovementioned guidelines.

1. Introduction

- Objective: Research and investigate to compare the performance of Adam (Adaptive Moment Estimation), RMSprop (Root Mean Square Prop), and AdamW (Adam with Weight Decay) optimizers on a feedforward fully connected neural network using the KMNIST dataset.
- **Importance**: Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of different optimization algorithms helps in selecting the right one for specific tasks in deep learning.

2. Dataset Description

• **KMNIST Dataset**: A dataset of handwritten Japanese characters, like MNIST but more complex.

o **Training Set**: 60,000 images

o **Test Set**: 10,000 images

o **Image Size**: 28x28 pixels, grayscale

3. Deep Learning Model

• Architecture: Design a feedforward fully connected neural network.

o Input Layer: 784 neurons (28x28 pixels)

 Hidden Layers: Two hidden layers with 128 and 64 neurons respectively

o **Output Layer**: 10 neurons (one for each class)

 Activation Function: Use ReLU for hidden layers and SoftMax for the output layer.

4. Methodology

- **Hyperparameter Tuning**: Use grid search or random search to find the best hyperparameters for each optimizer.
- Cross-Validation: Implement k-fold cross-validation to ensure robust evaluation.
- Training and Evaluation:

- o Train the model using each optimizer.
- o Evaluate performance on training, validation, and test datasets.
- o Record metrics such as accuracy, loss, and training time.

6. Results

• Tabular and Graphical Representation:

- Create tables showing accuracy, loss, and training time for each optimizer.
- Generate graphs comparing the performance metrics across different optimizers.

7. Interpretation and Discussion

- **Analysis**: Discuss the performance of each optimizer, highlighting strengths and weaknesses.
- Conclusion: Summarize findings and suggest the best optimizer for this specific task.

8. References

• Cite all resources and papers used in the project.

Additional Instructions

• **Code Documentation**: Ensure your code is well-documented with comments explaining each part.

Deliverables:

• Zip File (no RAR, no 7z, etc.):

o PDF Report:

- Introduction
- Dataset, Model, and Optimizers Description
- Solutions, Findings, and Results
- Interpretation, Discussion, and Conclusion
- References

O Power Point Presentation

Submit your ppt slides including code and report

o Python Files:

ipynb files and pdf with code and results

o Group Member Tasks:

• Specify each member's contributions.