**TO DO:**

* Rework earlier synopsis document and project description in light of recent conversations
* Pull together framework/skeleton of data source intercomparisons
* Integrate flowchart diagram
* Begin cataloging questions/points of engagement for Danny

**Notes from 10 Jan meeting:**

Deliverables fall into two categories:

1. Map capability and workflow that can be packaged to produce disturbance distribution product
2. In context of Firmageddon; retrospective thoughts on extnt, vulnerability

XX we’re not involved in real-time mortality mapping XX

Case study idea is still a GO 🡪 Firmageddon complex versus pine beetle mortality (SWOR vs Blue mountains or NE WA for bark beetle)

For ADS/RS data clippoing step 🡪 options for fuzzing ADS based on Danny’s direction; options for different ads, rs attribution and ddelineation (i.e., landtrendR versus LCMS versus CCDC)

* Though later steps also speak to attribution; we don’t need to get at the “best” solution, just highlight complementarity or disagreement between data sources and think about recommendations/decisions

Dave is skeptical of species mix estimates at the 30-m res from GNN; but we can work at the HUC scale for data deliverable. Add HZ to GNN side.

First paper has three parts:

1. Data source comparisons (i.e., maps and tables)
2. Data source integration (clipping, spectral mortality model)
3. Contextualization on the landscape with GNN

Spectral mortality model might need additional ancillary data to clear up relationships; i.e., climatic water balance, etc.