

LN Report - MP2

Group 23

Daniela Mendes
87646

Viviana Bernardo
87709

1 Options taken

In this project we implemented various non-deterministic Finite-State Transducers (FST) that convert a Portuguese word's lemma and a given morphological classification to the corresponding word, depending on the classification we attributed.

We also implemented an FST that would do the exact opposite, which means that, given a Portuguese word, the FST returns its lemma and all the possible morphological classifications.

Then, while processing the input, we decided to overwrite the symbols we wanted to delete, or replace, with epsilon (ϵ).

Afterwards, we used the `fstunion` operation, available within the `OpenFST` tool, to join the `lemma2verbip.fst`, `lemma2verbis.fst` and `lemma2verbif.fst` into one FST only (`lemma2verb.fst`). Then, we chose the `fstinvert` operation to invert `lemma2word.fst` into `word2lemma.fst`.

Lastly, we used the `fstcompose` operation to test our FSTs with the inputs "porteiro+N+fp" (`test1.txt`), "lentamente+ADV" (`test2.txt`), "matas" (`test3.txt`) and "plantas" (`test4.txt`).

2 Comments on developed solution

We opted to use non-deterministic finite state machines, so that the transducer would be able to process the word and decide when to move forward to analyse the morphological syntax.

When we tested `test1.fst` with the three FSTs, both `lemma2verb.fst` and `word2lemma.fst` failed (i.e. the corresponding PDFs were empty), which was expected since `test1` contained a lemma to be translated to a name, and these two transducers that failed translate a lemma to a verb or a word to a lemma, respectively. `lemma2word.fst` performed successfully, returning "porteiras".

A similar thing happened with `test2.txt` and `test3.txt`:

`test2.txt` failed with `lemma2verb.fst` and `word2lemma.fst`, since `test2.txt` contained a lemma to be translated to an adverb and these two transducers translated a lemma to a verb and a word to a lemma, respectively. `lemma2word.fst` performed successfully again, returning "lentamente".

`test3.txt` failed with `lemma2verb.fst` and `lemma2word.fst`, since `test3.txt` contained a word to be translated to a lemma, and these two transducers translated a lemma to a verb or to a word, respectively. `word2lemma.fst` performed successfully, returning "matar+V+ip+2s" and "mato+N+fp", which was expected since this word works both as a verb and as a noun.

Our group tested a forth word, contained in `test4.txt`, and this test showed us that the transducer `word2lemma.fst` does not always return a correct output: When tested with the word "plantas", the mentioned transducer returned 2 lemmas, one of them being "planto+N+fp", which is wrong since "planto" is not a word. However, since "plantas" ended with "as", the transducer interpreted this word as the feminine and plural form of the noun "planto" instead of "planta". This happened because, while developing the transducer, we only considered the masculine and singular form of a noun as a lemma.