

Huawei 1st Technical Report

Tunable Models for Image Processing

Gil Ben-Artzi, Ariel University & Michael Werman, Hebrew University

Sep 2020

1 Introduction

In many image restoration tasks, such as denoising [9, 6] and super-resolution [4, 10], the state of the art results are based on deep learning based models. The most common approach is to train the model in a supervised manner, where the input is an image with a fixed pre-determined corruption level and the output is the clean image, so that most deep learning based image processing models are optimized for only a single degradation level. In reality, the degradation level is not known a-priori and therefore at inference time, the model can under-perform. As an example, consider low-light image processing where the captured image has low photon count and low SNR. Deep learning based models have been proposed to process low-light images [1]. However, since the desired optimal output exposure is unknown a-priori, at inference time the output image might suffer from a too short exposure which results in a noisy image, or from a too long exposure which results in a blurry image. As another example, in denoising the noise level of the corrupted image is not known a-priori. In order to overcome this limitation, continuous-level based models have been proposed. In such models, the output image is based on a target parameter (e.g. noise or exposure level) which can be adjusted at inference time.

2 Related Works

Recently, various models have been proposed to output an image based on the continuous modulation of a parameter. These models often train CNNs based on images with an initial degradation level. Then, they fine tune the model by fixing the exiting parameters and train the same model with or without additional architectural changes, based on images with the final degradation level. At inference time, the input parameter determines the weight between the initial degradation level network and the final one. The key observation that stands in the basis of the aforementioned techniques is that the kernels in both networks, the initial and the final one, exhibits a similarity which might be approximated by interpolating the latent space.

אם נסתכל על מודלים אומרנו על נניח רעש בדרגות שונות.

ונסתכל על הפילטרים שלהם אחרי האימון. תהיה קורליציה טובה וומעבר בדרגתי בין הפילטרים האלה. זה מה שנתן את ההרגשה שאפשר לבצע אינטרפולציה בין הפילטרים הללו כדי לקבל transition במשימה הספיציפית.

In the following we consider the latest related papers. Dynamic Network Interpolation (DNI) [8] train the same model with different degradation levels, where the final level is **fine-tuned** from the initial one. **At inference time, it linearly interpolates all the parameters of the networks.** This simple strategy **can fail when the required interpolation is not linear.** AdaFM [2] introduces an additional tuning layer for the final level. **The layer is a linear transition block with depth-wise convolution.** Due to the linear interpolation method, it might also fail to overcome non-linear interpolation requirements. CFSNet [7] uses an additional network incorporated into the existing one, and interpolates the feature maps and not the model parameters. Dynamic-Net [5] adds additional modulation blocks after the exiting ones, to interpolate the feature maps as well. The final model in both approaches requires additional computational resources, which might be significantly larger, than the initial models. Recently, FTN [3] introduced a dedicated modulation module, similarly to AdaFM[2], which **enables interpolation of the kernels between initial and final one in a non-linear manner** and tries to achieve this task without significant overhead relative to the baseline network.

References

- [1] Chen Chen, Qifeng Chen, Jia Xu, and Vladlen Koltun. Learning to see in the dark. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3291–3300, 2018.
- [2] Jingwen He, Chao Dong, and Yu Qiao. Modulating image restoration with continual levels via adaptive feature modification layers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11056–11064, 2019.
- [3] Hyeonmin Lee, Taeoh Kim, Hanbin Son, Sangwook Baek, Minsu Cheon, and Sangyoun Lee. Regularized adaptation for stable and efficient continuous-level learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05145*, 2020.
- [4] Bee Lim, Sanghyun Son, Heewon Kim, Seungjun Nah, and Kyoung Mu Lee. Enhanced deep residual networks for single image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 136–144, 2017.
- [5] Alon Shoshan, Roey Mechrez, and Lihi Zelnik-Manor. Dynamic-net: Tuning the objective without re-training for synthesis tasks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3215–3223, 2019.
- [6] Chunwei Tian, Lunke Fei, Wenxian Zheng, Yong Xu, Wangmeng Zuo, and Chia-Wen Lin. Deep learning on image denoising: An overview. *Neural Networks*, 2020.

- [7] Wei Wang, Ruiming Guo, Yapeng Tian, and Wenming Yang. Cfsnet: Toward a controllable feature space for image restoration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4140–4149, 2019.
- [8] Xintao Wang, Ke Yu, Chao Dong, Xiaoou Tang, and Chen Change Loy. Deep network interpolation for continuous imagery effect transition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1692–1701, 2019.
- [9] Junyuan Xie, Linli Xu, and Enhong Chen. Image denoising and inpainting with deep neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 341–349, 2012.
- [10] Yulun Zhang, Yapeng Tian, Yu Kong, Bineng Zhong, and Yun Fu. Residual dense network for image super-resolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2472–2481, 2018.