

# Convex relaxation of the binary quadratic knapsack problem

Daniela Lubke  
University of Waterloo  
Dept. of Combinatorics and Optimization

Joint work with: Marcia Fampa, Fei Wang, Henry Wolkowicz

CAIMS: Canadian Applied and Industrial Mathematics Society  
UBC Okanagan from June 13-16, 2022



UNIVERSITY OF  
**WATERLOO**

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS  
Department of Combinatorics  
and Optimization

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - The Quadratic Knapsack Problem
- 2 Convexification
- 3 IPM
- 4 Valid Inequalities
- 5 Algorithm
- 6 Results
- 7 Conclusions



# The Quadratic Knapsack Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{QKP}) \quad & \text{maximize} && \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} q_{ij} x_i x_j && (= x^T Q x) \\
 & \text{subject to} && \sum_{j \in N} w_j x_j \leq c, \\
 & && x_j \in \{0, 1\}, && j \in N.
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < w_j \leq c$  for all  $j \in N$

- Application in capital budget investments, where  $c$  represents the capital budget to invest in  $n$  possible investments. The quadratic formulation model the case where the return of the investments considers the dependence between pair of investments.
- Subproblem on some solutions approaches for more general binary quadratic problems.
- We are interested here in finding tight upper bounds for  $(\mathbf{QKP})$ .

# Convexification: seeking good upper bounds

Standard approach to obtain upper bounds:

Lift the problem to the matrix space defined by  $X := xx^T$

$$\begin{aligned} (QKP_{lifted}) \quad & \text{maximize} && \langle Q, X \rangle \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_{j \in N} w_j x_j \leq c, \\ & && x_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad j \in N. \\ & && X = xx^T \end{aligned}$$

# Convexification: seeking good upper bounds

Standard approach to obtain upper bounds:

Lift the problem to the matrix space defined by  $X := xx^T$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (QKP_{\text{lifted}}) \quad & \text{maximize} && \langle Q, X \rangle \\
 & \text{subject to} && \sum_{j \in N} w_j x_j \leq c, \\
 & && x_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad j \in N. \\
 & && X = xx^T \longleftarrow \text{nonconvex.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Relax the nonconvex constraints  $X = xx^T, x_j \in \{0, 1\}, j \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{LPR}) \quad & \max && \langle Q, X \rangle \\
 & \text{s.t.} && (x, X) \in \mathcal{P},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a compact convex subset of  $[0, 1]^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$  such that

$$\{(x, X) : w^T x \leq c, X = xx^T, x \in \{0, 1\}^n\} \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

# Convexification: seeking good upper bounds

As  $x^T Qx = x^T(Q - Q_p)x + x^T Q_p x$ , consider also the relaxation

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\mathbf{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{\text{s.t. } (x, X) \in \mathcal{P}} \quad x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a compact subset of  $[0, 1]^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$ , such that

$$\{(x, X) : w^T x \leq c, X = xx^T, x \in \{0, 1\}^n\} \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

and where

$$Q - Q_p \preceq 0,$$

Searching for:

- a matrix  $Q_p$  that leads to a good decomposition of the objective function.
- a tight relaxation  $\mathcal{P}$  of the feasible set, that leads to a relaxation of **QKP** that can be efficiently solved.

# Convexification: seeking good upper bounds

As  $x^T Q x = x^T (Q - Q_p) x + x^T Q_p x$ , consider also the relaxation

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\mathbf{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{\text{s.t. } (x, X) \in \mathcal{P}} \quad x^T (Q - Q_p) x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a compact subset of  $[0, 1]^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$ , such that

$$\{(x, X) : w^T x \leq c, X = x x^T, x \in \{0, 1\}^n\} \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

and where

$$Q - Q_p \preceq 0,$$

Searching for:

- a matrix  $Q_p$  that leads to a good decomposition of the objective function.
- a tight relaxation  $\mathcal{P}$  of the feasible set, that leads to a relaxation of **QKP** that can be efficiently solved.  $\longleftarrow$  new valid inequalities!

# How to optimize the selection of $Q_p$ ?

- Search for the decomposition that minimizes the upper bound of **(QKP)** given by our **convex quadratic relaxation**:

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{x, X} \quad x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (x, X) \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $Q - Q_p \preceq 0$

- To minimize the upper bound, consider the parametric problem

$$param_{\text{QKP}}^* := \min_{Q - Q_p \preceq 0} p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p).$$





# A primal-dual IPM to solve the parametric problem

- Minimize a log-barrier function  $B_\mu(Q_p, Z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & B_\mu(Q_p, Z) := p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) - \mu \log \det Z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Q - Q_p + Z = 0 \quad \quad \quad (: \Lambda) \\ & Z \succ 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z, \Lambda$  are the slack and dual symmetric matrix variables, and  $p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max\{x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle \mid (x, X) \in P\}$

- Consider the Lagrangian function:

$$L_\mu(Q_p, Z, \Lambda) := p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) - \mu \log \det Z + \langle Q - Q_p + Z, \Lambda \rangle.$$

- At each iteration of the **IPM**, we need to differentiate the Lagrangian function.



# A primal-dual IPM to solve the parametric problem

- Minimize a log-barrier function  $B_\mu(Q_p, Z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & B_\mu(Q_p, Z) := p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) - \mu \log \det Z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Q - Q_p + Z = 0 \quad \quad \quad (: \Lambda) \\ & Z \succ 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z, \Lambda$  are the slack and dual symmetric matrix variables, and  $p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max\{x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle \mid (x, X) \in P\}$

- Consider the Lagrangian function:

$$L_\mu(Q_p, Z, \Lambda) := p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) - \mu \log \det Z + \langle Q - Q_p + Z, \Lambda \rangle.$$

- At each iteration of the **IPM**, we need to differentiate the Lagrangian function.



# Important observations for the IPM

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{x, X} \quad x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (x, X) \in \mathcal{P},$$

or

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{x, X} \quad \langle Q_p, X - xx^T \rangle + x^T Q x$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (x, X) \in \mathcal{P},$$

- $p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p)$  is differentiable at all  $Q_p$  such that  $Q - Q_p \preceq 0$  and,

$$\nabla p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) = X - xx^T.$$

- We use a quasi-Newton direction at each iteration of our IPM. To compute the direction, we need the optimal solution of  $\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}$ .
- The relaxation  $\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}$  is solved at each iteration of the IPM method, each time for a new perturbation  $Q_p$ , such that  $Q - Q_p \preceq 0$ .
- Each time the relaxation is solved, **valid cuts are added to strengthen the relaxation**.

# Valid linear inequalities in the lifted matrix space

- The identity derived from  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ :

$$X_{ii} = x_i$$

- McCormick inequalities derived from  $x_i \geq 0$  and  $1 - x_i \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ X_{ii} - X_{ij} &\geq 0 \\ 1 - X_{ii} - X_{jj} + X_{ij} &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

- Inequalities derived from the product of the knapsack constraint  $\sum_{j \in N} w_j x_j \leq c$ , by  $x_i$  and  $(1 - x_i)$ :

$$\sum_{j \in N} w_j \overbrace{X_{ij}}^{x_i x_j} \leq c \overbrace{X_{ii}}^{x_i}.$$

$$\sum_{j \in N} w_j (X_{jj} - X_{ij}) \leq c(1 - X_{ii}).$$

# Cover Inequalities

The subset  $C \subseteq N$  is a cover if it satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in C} w_j > c$$

The *cover inequalities* **CI** is defined as

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_j \leq |C| - 1.$$



# More valid inequalities in the lifted matrix space

Let us consider the cover inequality

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_j \leq \beta. \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta = |C| - 1$ .

**Definition:** (*Generalized cover inequality GCI*)

Define

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_{ij} \leq \beta x_{ii}.$$

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_{ij} - x_{ii} \leq \beta(1 - x_{ii}).$$

for all  $i \in N$ , as the derived from (1)

# New valid inequalities in the lifted space

Let us consider the cover inequality

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_j \leq \beta \quad \text{where} \quad \beta = |C| - 1 \quad (1)$$

If no more than  $\beta$  items in  $C$  can be in the solution, then if we consider all 2-combinations of items in  $C$ , then the sum of the elements of  $X$  corresponding to there combinations cannot be greater than  $\beta$  choose 2.

# New valid inequalities in the lifted space

**Definition:** (*Cover inequality in the lifted space* **CILS**)

Define

$$\sum_{i,j \in C, i < j} x_{ij} \leq \binom{\beta}{2}. \quad (2)$$

as the **CILS** derived from (16)

**Proposition:**

If inequality (1) is valid for **QKP**, then the **CILS** (2) is a valid inequality for  $QKP_{\text{lifted}}$



# Illustrating a CILS

Let

$$C := \{1, 2, 3\}$$

be a cover. So

$$\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}$$

are the 2-combinations of  $C$ .

The inequality

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 2$$

is a cover inequality, and

$$X_{12} + X_{13} + X_{23} \leq 1$$

is a **CILS**.



# More valid inequalities in the lifted space

Let us consider the cover inequality

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_j \leq \beta \quad \text{where} \quad \beta = |C| - 1 \quad (1)$$

**Definition:** (Set of cover inequalities in the lifted space **SCILS**)

Let  $\bar{C} := \{(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_p, j_p)\}$  be a partition of  $C$ , if  $|C|$  is even, or a partition of  $C \setminus \{i_0\}$  for each  $i_0 \in C$ , if  $|C|$  is odd.

Let  $C_s := \bar{C}$  if  $|C|$  is even and  $C_s := \{(i_0, j_0) \cup \bar{C}\}$  if  $|C|$  is odd.

Define the inequalities in **SCILS** derived from (1) as

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in C_s} x_{ij} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad \text{for all partitions } C_s. \quad (3)$$

## Proposition

If inequality (1) is valid for QKP, then the inequalities in the **SCILS** (3) are valid for  $QKP_{\text{lifted}}$ .

# Illustrating a **SCILS**

Let

$$C := \{1, 2, 3\}$$

be a cover.

Consider the partition of  $C$

$$\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}, \quad \{\{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}, \quad \{\{3\}, \{1, 2\}\}$$

The inequality

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 2$$

is a cover inequality, and

$$x_{11} + x_{23} \leq 1$$

$$x_{22} + x_{13} \leq 1$$

$$x_{33} + x_{12} \leq 1$$

is a **SCILS**.



# An algorithmic framework (QKP)

We propose an algorithm for **QKP**, that computes upper bounds for the problem by alternating between optimizing  $Q_p$  in the convex quadratic relaxation

$$(\mathbf{CQP}_{Q_p}) \quad p_{\text{CQP}}^*(Q_p) := \max_{x^T(Q - Q_p)x + \langle Q_p, X \rangle} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (x, X) \in \mathcal{P},$$

and applying cutting planes generated by the valid inequalities proposed.



# The algorithmic framework for QKP

- Consists of an **IPM** to improve the matrix parameter  $Q_p$  on a convex quadratic relaxation for (QKP).
- At every 10 iterations of the **IPM**, separation problems are solved to generate cuts (n **GCI**, 5 **CILS** and 5 **SCILS** are added to the relaxation of (QKP)).
- When cuts are added to the relaxation, the computation of the search direction of the **IPM** changes accordingly.
- At every iteration of the **IPM** an upper bound is computed by solving the convex quadratic relaxation for the current matrix  $Q_p$



# Results

Inst	OptGap (%)	Time (seconds)	Iter	TimeSep (seconds)
I1	0.23	1013.50	100	641.98
I2	<b>0.00</b>	632.5	64	411.67
I3	<b>0.00</b>	392.55	44	205.70
I4	<b>0.00</b>	289.97	31	160.37
I5	0.21	1093.60	10	698.04

Table: Results for  $n = 50$



# Results

Inst	OptGap (%)	Time (seconds)	Iter	TimeSep (seconds)
I1	<b>0.00</b>	2035.30	20	737.86
I2	0.25	2177.30	20	919.41
I3	<b>0.00</b>	2007.10	20	773.00
I4	0.12	1885.90	20	828.98
I5	0.04	2309.50	20	970.49

Table: Results for  $n = 100$



# Conclusions

- We presented a cutting plane algorithm (CPA) to iteratively improve the upper bound for the quadratic knapsack problem (**QKP**).
- We presented a **IPM**, which update the perturbation  $Q_p$  at each iteration of the CPA aiming at reducing the upper bound given by the relaxation.
- We also presented new classes of cuts that are added during the execution of the CPA.
  - The cuts generated are effective in improving the upper bound for the **QKP**.
  - These procedures could be applied to more general binary indefinite quadratic problems.





# Thank you!

daniela.lubke@uwaterloo.ca

<http://danielalubke.github.io/>



# Convex relaxation of the binary quadratic knapsack problem

Daniela Lubke  
University of Waterloo  
Dept. of Combinatorics and Optimization

Joint work with: Marcia Fampa, Fei Wang, Henry Wolkowicz

CAIMS: Canadian Applied and Industrial Mathematics Society  
UBC Okanagan from June 13-16, 2022

