

There is a difference between helping and enabling the underhoused to remain in their condition. And, there is a difference between protecting their rights and violating other peoples writes. On the first point, the issue is not that the homeless are being moved from camping in public places, the issue is that we need designated housing for these people and we need social workers that can guide them to find and encourage them to find the resources that are available to them and to help them improve their lives. On the second point, public parks and places have been set up and paid for for the enjoyment of all. Many people and especially people with kids, cannot go to some of these places if they are filled with campers, especially if there are not requirements the campers be sober and drug-free. Even many of the sober and drug-free homeless won't go these places out of fear of being violated. To make a blanket policy that anyone can camp in any public place for as long as they wish is not helping them, is violating the ability of others to use those facilities and is frankly absurd.

The underhoused fall into four main cause categories: financially unfortunate, mental/emotional issues, substance abusers, and adventurers. Do you really mean that an otherwise healthy adventurer, for example, can camp wherever they want for as long as they want? Even if a job and housing is offered to them?