

HB 3219 is in opposition with the land use laws in this state, 10 year proof of an existing business makes sense but there is no way that trailers of any kind should be placed tightly together if one catches fire then every manufactured home burns down the line. Legislative Assembly setting Oregon up for numerous massive lawsuits if once again these homes are spaced too close as previously since legislators gave their permission to do so. HB 3219 contradicts its own wording from one section to the other, not sure where writer is directed.

Section 2: Page 1, Line 7; "September 31, 2020." September has only 30 days.

Section 2: (2)(a); "Shall **May** approve an application for the redevelopment of a manufactured dwelling park."

Section 2: Page 1, Line 15; "zoning change" is inconsistent with fire safety prudent for an area prone to wildfire danger and the State Fire Marshall should be drawn into this conversation before the Legislative Assembly goes forward with this Bill.

ORS 446.003 (28) "Mobile home park": (a)Means any place where four or more manufactured dwellings, **recreational vehicles** as defined in 174.101 ("Manufactured structure," "**recreational vehicle**" defined), or a combination thereof, are located within **500** feet of one another on a lot, tract or parcel of land under the same ownership, the primary purpose of which is to rent space or keep space for rent to any person for a charge or fee paid or to be paid for the rental or use of facilities or to offer space free in connection with securing the trade or patronage of such person. A recreational vehicle is not a manufactured home, recreational vehicles are not designed nor have the same fire protective standards according to federal law related with their construction.

Section 2: Page 1, Line 25-26; "**does not expire**"; this is outrageous and **contrary** to **Section 7, Page 2, Line 14** of House Bill 3219. A time period of indefinitely is not an Oregon value and is contrary to LCDC administrative rules for preserving farm and forest land in this state.

Section 4: Page 2, Lines 2-9; "Director of Consumer and Business Services **may must not** waive requirements of ORS 446.003 to 446.200, if the waiver will not jeopardize the health and safety of occupants of the manufactured dwelling park."

It is neither necessary nor advisable for the building permits department to issue temporary permits for rapid development of a manufactured dwelling park. Fraught with problems in the future once the temporary or rapid development of the manufactured home park is there it there for good. The Legislative Assembly is being hasty in rushing redevelopment. Has FEMA offered any assistance in providing temporary housing that can be removed once people affected by the wildfires have time to sort out what's next?

Section 6: Page 2, Line 12; "**...not required to pay a registration fee**", seriously the fee is nominal, a \$100 for parks with more than 20 spaces and \$50 for parks with 20 or fewer spaces.

(My opinion: When Oregon Has Gone too Far Left....) KATU News Report "Republicans in the state of Oregon have blasted Gov. Kate Brown and decades of forest policies they said allowed wildfires to sweep through the state last week, **forcing tens of thousands of people to flee their homes and killing at least 10 people.**"

"The wildfires burning throughout our beautiful state are the result of decades of failed leadership and the governor's radical environmental agenda to appease special interests." Republican state Senate Leader Fred Girod of Lyons said in a news release Monday.

Citation: <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/oregon-republicans-criticize-gov-brown-over-wildfire-forest-management-policies/ar-BB192Z5G>