

State of The Art

Daniel Aguiar da Silva Carvalho

May 2, 2015

1 Report april - 2015

1.1 Service Level Agreements Approaches

In [1], the authors propose a framework for dynamic specification of SLAs. The focus of their approach is on a SLA-based model for the verification and composition of the services. Their approach starts from the dynamic SLAs negotiation, then the verification and composition process, until the agreement. The framework is composed by three components: (i) *A Third-Party Cloud Directory* is the intermediary between the costumers and providers. Providers should sign up with the directory and customers can search and initiate a negotiation with a selected provider. Customers define their SLOs using WSOL; (ii) *The Cloud providers* expose their infrastructure as web services. During a SLA negotiation, the provider search for candidate concrete services that realizes the customers' requirements. After that the provider asks for the composition broker to come up with the optimal service SLA with the requirements; and (iii) *A Trusted Composition Broker* uses the E³-MOGA genetic algorithm to find the optimal cloud services composition.

Advantages: the framework enables the client to change his SLOs at runtime. I really do not understand how does it works just reading the paper.

Disadvantages:

- There is no example to illustrate the use of the approach;
- There is no simulation/tests of the framework in order to know if it is efficient or not;
- There is no way to know if the algorithm used in the verification process is the best choice;
- Perhaps concentrate all the mediation between the providers and the customers in a single third-party cloud directory could create a "point of fail" to the model/system;
- Maybe other QoS parameters should be considered in the SLA. Not only throughput, response and cost.

In [4], a review about SLAs is presented. The authors are interested in challenges associated to trust, SLA management and cloud computing (*in my opinion they focus on frameworks for SLA and cloud computing definition*). To examine/analyze this challenges, the definition of cloud computing is discussed and an analisys of the use/approaches of SLAs for different domains web services, grid computing and cloud computing is performed. They finish their work discussing challenges for SLAs in cloud computing.

[3] is an extended version of [4]. The authors surveyed performance measurement models in different domains (such as SOA, distributed systems, grid computing and cloud services)

in order to develop a general trust model for cloud community. They presented.. their work discussing challenges for SLAs in cloud computing and performance models cloud computing...

[6] presents (early) research ideas for a automated control for SLA-aware elastic clouds. The SLA aware Service model integrates QoS and SLA to the cloud, enabling the consumer to transparently compare service levels before choosing the (best/adequate) one for him. The need for an automated dynamic elasticity of the cloud to is also highlighted. The objective is to meet QoS (performance and availability) while reducing the cost. The author discusses research directions in this context such as *online observation and monitoring of the cloud* (aumatically capture variations in cloud usage and workload to detect SLA violation and trigger reconfigurations if necessary), *modeling the cloud* (to create a model capable of rendering the nonlinear variation of workloads) and *automated control of the cloud* (to build dynamic cloud reconfiguration that meets QoS preferences in SLAs while reducing costs). Different challenges for this scenario are presented: definition of scalable and optimal control algorithms for the cloud; handling of different QoS requirements; monitoring of the distributed system; and proposal of techniques for online cloud reconfiguration.

In [5], the authors presented a description of the elements of cloud providers SLA. SLAs from five different service providers were compared (Amazon, Rackspace, Microsoft Azure, Terremark vCloud Express and Storm on Demand) in order to identify which are the elements missing and common that should be part of SLA for the cloud services in the future. The work identified that performance based SLAs are missing in these providers and all of them leave the burden of providing evidence for SLA violation on the customer. They also ask for a standarization of SLAs in order to make easy comparisons between them.

A conceptual framework for cloud computing is presented in [2]. The authors focus on the desing step of the SLA in cloud computing. Functional and non-functional requirements of IaaS, PaaS and SaaS cloud services were analyzed/identified to build the framework. In their proposed framework, the SLA parameters are specified by metrics which defines how the paramenter can be measured and specifies values for the measurable parameter. They defined metrics for each type of service (IaaS, ...) based on the most important parameters that consumers can use to create a reliable negotiation model. It is still missing the implementation and simulation experiments in order to validate our framework.

Bibliography

- [1] Asma Al Falasi and Mohamed Adel Serhani. A Framework for SLA-based cloud services verification and composition. In *2011 International Conference on Innovations in Information Technology*, pages 287–292. IEEE, April 2011.
- [2] Mohammed Alhamad, Tharam Dillon, and Elizabeth Chang. Conceptual SLA framework for cloud computing. In *4th IEEE International Conference on Digital Ecosystems and Technologies*, pages 606–610. IEEE, April 2010.
- [3] Mohammed Alhamad, Tharam Dillon, and Elizabeth Chang. A survey on SLA and performance measurement in cloud computing. pages 469–477, October 2011.
- [4] Mohammed Alhamad, Tharam Dillon, and Elizabeth Chang. Service Level Agreement for Distributed Services: A Review. In *2011 IEEE Ninth International Conference on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing*, pages 1051–1054. IEEE, December 2011.
- [5] Salman A. Baset. Cloud SLAs: Present and Future.
- [6] Sara Bouchenak. Automated control for SLA-aware elastic clouds. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Feedback Control Implementation and Design in Computing Systems and Networks - FeBiD '10*, pages 27–28, New York, New York, USA, April 2010. ACM Press.