

MATH 482
Matrix Factorisation Project
Code Available: <https://github.com/danielbraithwt/MATH-482>

Daniel Braithwaite

June 2, 2017

1 Introduction

To discuss the idea of matrix factorisation and methods to solve it first we must understand the motivation for wanting to solve such a problem. In the case of the Netflix challenge the problem was to build a system to recommend movies to users. We have this very large matrix R with the rows corresponding to a user and a column corresponding to a movie. The entry $R_{i,j}$ is the rating that user i gave movie j , in practice we would find that a very small percentage of this matrix would be filled in. To make recommendations we would like to predict the ratings which a user might give a movie which they haven't watched.

2 Matrix Factorization Solutions

2.1 Solution 1: $R = U \cdot M$

The first solution we consider is that R (an uxm matrix) is actually the product of two smaller matrices U and M . Where U (a uxk matrix) represents the users in some latent feature space and M (a mxk matrix) represents the movies in the latent feature space. We consider $M_{i,j}$ to be the amount movie i has feature j , likewise we consider $U_{i,j}$ to be how much user i is interested in movies with feature j . Then we can take the rating user i gives movie j to be $\hat{R}_{i,j} = \text{row}(U, i)^T \cdot \text{row}(M, j)$. Now the problem becomes how do we learn these matrices U and M .

We consider the following optimization problem, where G contains all pairs (i, j) for which we know $R_{i,j}$

$$\arg \min_{U, M} \sum_{(i,j) \in G} (R_{i,j} - \text{row}(U, i)^T \cdot \text{row}(M, j))^2$$

This optimization problem can be solved with gradient descent

2.2 Performance

Using some randomly generated data we will fix the number of latent factors and run a grid search over the learning rate and training time. The grid we will use is $\eta \in \{0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001, 0.00001\}$ and $n \in \{5000, 10000, 15000\}$, 10-Fold Cross Validation will be used to compare the different configurations. For latent factors 3,5,8 we get the following configurations which give the best performance. However there is a lot of overfitting occurring in our models, as demonstrated by there being a very low training loss and a disproportionately high test loss, this indicates a definite need for some regularization. In practice the standard method used with this sort of matrix factorization is to regularize on the matrices U and M . Making our optimization problem the following

$$\arg \min_{U, M} \left[\sum_{(i,j) \in G} (R_{i,j} - \text{row}(U, i)^T \cdot \text{row}(M, j))^2 \right] + \lambda (\|U\|_2 + \|M\|_2)$$

However now we have another parameter to add to our grid search, our regularizer term λ

latent factors	η	λ	n
----------------	--------	-----------	-----

2.3 Solution 2: Using Neural Networks

In this section we present two similar solutions each using neural networks, only difference being whether we use two neural networks or one.

2.3.1 Two Neural Networks

In the same set up as before there is a matrix R with rows representing users and columns representing movies. Our aim is to optimize the following. Take two neural networks f_θ which takes a row of R to some latent feature space and f_ϕ which takes columns of R to some feature space. Then we compute the ranking user i gives movie j by the following $\hat{R}_{i,j} = f_\theta(\text{user}_i)^T \cdot f_\phi(\text{movie}_j)$. Giving us the following optimization problem (where G is defined as before)

$$\arg \min_{\theta, \phi} \sum_{(i,j) \in G} (R_{i,j} - f_\theta(\text{user}_i)^T \cdot f_\phi(\text{movie}_j))^2$$

2.3.2 Single Neural Network

This approach is very similar to the one just presented, however instead now we only have one neural network f_ψ , which takes some row of R representing a user and some column of R representing a movie and outputs a rating. Making our approximation of ratings $\hat{R}_{i,j} = f_\psi(\text{user}_i, \text{movie}_j)$, and finally giving us the following optimization problem.

$$\arg \min_{\theta, \phi} \sum_{(i,j) \in G} (R_{i,j} - f_\psi(\text{user}_i, \text{movie}_j))^2$$

3 Factorization Method Comparason

We wish to compare the peformance of these methods against each other and identify the tradeoffs between them. We will experement with the folowing three cases

1. $R = A \cdot B$
2. $R = f(A) \cdot g(B)$
3. The MovieLens 100K data set, which is some real world data.

For each of the cases we wish to compare there best peformance so we will peform a grid search across the hyperparamaters. For simplisicity we will fix the number of latent features.

We would expect similar peformance for (1) and expect to notice the neural network solutions peforming better for (2) as the matrix decomposition cant handle this case.

3.1 $R = A \cdot B$

3.2 $R = f(A) \cdot g(B)$

3.3 MovieLens 100K

Testing our factorization methods on randomly generated data is a good way to develop an understadning in a small environment but really we want to see how these methods peform on real data. We will be using the MovieLens 100K data set, consiting of 943 users and 1682 movies where each user has rated atleast 20 movies.

3.4 Conclusions