

ASTR 600 Post #3

1 a. $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7, h = 0.7$

$$\text{At } z=0.5, \Omega_m = \frac{\Omega_{m,0} (1+z)^3}{\Omega_{m,0} (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}}$$

$$= 0.591.$$

$$\Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_m = 0.409.$$

0.591; 0.409

b. Submitted via Jupyter ipynb.

c. " , calculate numerically, $z = 1.85$

$$\frac{da}{a} = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}$$

$$\frac{da}{a} = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} dt$$

$$a = e^{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} t}$$

The age in this universe is infinite and can only be described relatively.

2. a. $p = \frac{g}{2} T^4 \int d\xi \frac{\xi^2 \sqrt{\xi^2 + x^2}}{\exp(\sqrt{\xi^2 + x^2}) + 1}, \text{ Eqn 3.14 from Baumann, } x \equiv \frac{mv}{T}$

go2 as neutrinos have 2 spin states. Also, assuming $\xi^2 \gg x^2$, $\exp(\sqrt{\xi^2 + x^2}) \approx \exp(\xi)$, and we take the \pm in the \pm as neutrinos are fermions.

$$\text{Then } p = \frac{T^4}{\pi^2} \int d\xi \frac{\xi^2 \sqrt{\xi^2 + m^2/T^2}}{e^\xi + 1}.$$

b. Expanding around $x = 0$, $\sqrt{\xi^2 + x^2} = \xi + \frac{x^2}{2\xi} + O(x^4)$

$$\rho \approx \frac{T^4}{\pi^2} \int d\xi \frac{\xi^2}{e^{\xi} + 1} (\xi + x^2/2\xi)$$

$$= \frac{T^4}{\pi^2} \left(\int d\xi \frac{\xi^3}{e^{\xi} + 1} + \frac{T^4 x^2}{2\pi^2} \int d\xi \frac{\xi}{e^{\xi} + 1} \right).$$

$$= \frac{T^4}{\pi^2} \frac{7\pi^4}{120} + \frac{T^4 x^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

$$\text{Let } \rho_{N,0} = \frac{7\pi^2 T^4}{120},$$

$$\rho_N \approx \rho_{N,0} \left(1 + \frac{T^4 x^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left(\frac{120\pi^2}{7\pi^4 T^4} \right) \right).$$

$$= \rho_{N,0} \left(1 + \frac{5}{7\pi^2} x^2 \right) = \rho_{N,0} \left(1 + \frac{5}{7\pi^2} \frac{m_\nu^2}{T_\nu^2} \right).$$

c. We assume we can detect neutrinos in the CMB if the first error term is as big as the leading term, s.t.

$$\frac{5}{7\pi^2} \frac{m_\nu^2}{T_\nu^2} = 1.$$

$$T_\nu \propto a^{-1}, T_\nu = T_{\nu,0} (1+z) = T_{\text{CMB}} \left(\frac{4}{11} \right)^{1/3} (1+z), \text{ due to annihilation of } e^- \text{ and } e^+.$$

$T_{\text{CMB}} = 0.235 \text{ meV}, z \approx 1000$

$$\underline{\underline{m_\nu = 624 \text{ meV}}}.$$

d. Neutrinos go nonrelativistic when $m_\nu = T_\nu = T_{\text{CMB}} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3} (1\text{Hz})$.

$$1\text{Hz} = \frac{m_\nu}{T_{\text{CMB}}} , \quad z = \frac{m_\nu}{\left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3} T_{\text{CMB}}} - 1 \quad \left(= \frac{m_\nu}{0.168 \text{ meV}} - 1 \right)$$

e. We find the number density at neutrino decoupling, then evolve with time, $n \propto a^{-3}$.

At decoupling, neutrinos are relativistic and in thermal equil. and thus follow the Fermi-Dirac distribution.

$$n = \frac{g(3)}{\pi^2} g T^3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \quad , \quad \text{Eqn 3.22, Baumann.}$$

$$n \propto a^{-3}, \quad n_{\nu,0} = \frac{g(3)}{\pi^2} g T_{\nu,0}^3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \frac{1}{(1+z_0)^3}$$

$g = 2, \pm 2$ spin states / flavor

$$T_\nu = T_{\text{CMB}} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3} (1\text{Hz})$$

$$n_{\nu,0} = \frac{g(3)}{\pi^2} 2 T_{\text{CMB}}^3 \left(\frac{4}{11}\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$$

$$= 8.607 \times 10^{-13} \text{ eV}^3 / \text{flavor} = 112 \text{ cm}^{-3} / \text{flavor}$$

f. Assuming the neutrinos are nonrelativistic at $z=0$,

$$\rho_{\nu,0} = m_\nu n_{\nu,0}$$

$$\Omega_{\nu,0} = \frac{m_\nu n_{\nu,0}}{\rho_{\text{crit},0}}, \quad \rho_{\text{crit},0} \approx 8.09 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}^4 h^2$$

$$\Omega_{\nu,0} h^2 = \frac{m_\nu}{94 \text{ eV}}$$

$$3. \text{ a. } X = \int_0^z dz' \frac{c}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z')^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} + (1-\Omega_{m,0}-\Omega_{\Lambda,0})(1+z')^2}}$$

Taylor expand around $z=0$ the integrand.

b. From Alpha gives

$$\begin{aligned} X &\approx \int_0^z dz \left[\frac{c}{H_0} + z \left(-\frac{\Omega_{m,0}}{2} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} - 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{z^2}{8} \left(3\Omega_{m,0}^2 - 12\Omega_{m,0}\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 4\Omega_{m,0} + 12\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^2 - 20\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 8 \right) + O(z^3) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx \frac{cz}{H_0} + \frac{cz^2}{2H_0} \left(-\frac{\Omega_{m,0}}{2} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} - 1 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{cz^3}{24H_0} \left(3\Omega_{m,0}^2 - 12\Omega_{m,0}\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 4\Omega_{m,0} + 12\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^2 - 20\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 8 \right) + O(z^4)$$

b. Computing both quantities with $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7$, the expansion is accurate to 10% for $z < 1.2$ (Shown in notebook)

c. For very low redshift, the leading term in z dominates. Thus, $X \approx \frac{cz}{H_0}$, and the only parameter

that can be measured is H_0 .

d. As we go to higher redshift, we introduce the z^2 and z^3 terms. However, the coefficients are a combination of $\Omega_{m,0}$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$, namely $\left(-\frac{\Omega_{m,0}}{2} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} - 1 \right)$ and $(3\Omega_{m,0}^2 - 12\Omega_{m,0}\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 4\Omega_{m,0} + 12\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^2 - 20\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + 8)$.

This is because both $S_{m,0}$ and $S_{\Lambda,0}$ depend on z , and thus cannot be measured independently.

Assuming the values are estimated using an unbiased estimator, its variance is bounded by the Cramér-Rao bound, given by

$$\sigma_{ij}^2 \geq (F^{-1})_{ii,jj} = (F_{ij})^{-1}$$

$$F_{ij} = M_{ij}^T C^{-1} M_{ij} + \text{Tr}[C^{-1} C_{,i} C^{-1} C_{,j}], \text{ elements of Fisher matrix}$$

Assume errors indep. of cosmological parameters, so

$$F_{ij} = M_{ij}^T C^{-1} M_{ij}.$$

As we are working w/ relative errors, $\mu = \ln X$.

$$C^{-1} = 1/\sigma^2 I$$

$$\text{For } H_0, M_{,H_0} = \frac{\partial \ln X}{\partial H_0} = \frac{1}{H_0} \left(\ln \left(\frac{c}{H_0} \right) + \ln \left(\int \int dz \dots \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{H_0} \left(\ln \left(\frac{c}{H_0} \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{H_0}$$

$$F_{H_0} = \frac{1}{H_0^2 \sigma^2} / \sigma_{H_0}^2 = H_0^2 \sigma^2$$

Thus $\frac{\sigma_{H_0}}{H_0} \approx 0$, and σ_{H_0} is also relative, with error 10% for all z .

Note that it does not scale with redshift.

$$\text{For } \mathcal{R}_{m,0}, M_{1,\mathcal{R}_{m,0}} = \frac{\partial \ln X}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{m,0}}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{m,0}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{c}{H_0} \right) + \ln \left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} \left(\frac{-\mathcal{R}_{m,0} + \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0}}{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \frac{z^3}{24} \left(3\mathcal{R}_{m,0}^2 - 12\mathcal{R}_{m,0}\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} + 4\mathcal{R}_{m,0} + 12\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0}^2 - 20\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} + 8 \right) \right],$$

using the Taylor expansion around $z=0$.

We know that for $z < 0.3$, the error is $\sim 0.2\%$, which is a good approximation as shown in the notebook.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} \left(\frac{-\mathcal{R}_{m,0} + \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0}}{2} - 1 \right) \right)} \left(\frac{-z^2}{4} + \frac{z^3}{4} \mathcal{R}_{m,0} - \frac{z^3}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} + \frac{z^3}{6} \right)$$

where the denominator is $\frac{H_0 X}{c}$.

$$\text{Then, } \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{m,0}}^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{H_0^2 X^2}{c^2 \left(\frac{-z^2}{4} + \frac{z^3}{4} \mathcal{R}_{m,0} - \frac{z^3}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} + \frac{z^3}{6} \right)^2}$$

Assuming $\mathcal{R}_{m,0} = 0.3$, $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} = 0.9$,

the results are calculated via Jupyter notebook, and the errors are $\sigma = (3.97, 0.37, 0.18, 0.11)$ respectively for redshifts $z = (0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$ respectively.

$$\text{Repeating for } M_{1,\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0}} = \frac{\partial \ln X}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0}} = \frac{c}{H_0 X} \left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^3}{2} \mathcal{R}_{m,0} + \frac{z^3}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda,0} - \frac{5z^3}{6} \right)$$

$$\sigma_{\Lambda,0}^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{H_0^2 X^2}{c^2 \left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^3}{2} \Omega_{m,0} + z^3 \Omega_{\Lambda,0} - \frac{5}{6} z^3 \right)}$$

Again assuming $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7$,
the errors are $\sigma = (2.00, 0.21, 0.11, 0.07)$,
for redshift $z = (0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$.

The errors for $\Omega_{m,0}$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$ are extremely large at low redshift, but decrease as redshift increases. By $z=0.3$, decent observations can be made for both Ω s.