Programming in C/C++: Supervision 2

Daniel Chatfield

December 3, 2014

1. Write an implementation of a class LinkList which stores zero or more positive integers internally as a linked list on the heap. The class should provide appropriate constructors and destructors and a method pop() to remove items from the head of the list. The method pop() should return -1 if there are no remaining items. Your implementation should override the copy constructor and assignment operator to copy the linked-list structure between class instances. You might like to test your implementation with the following:

```
int main() {
    int test[] = {1,2,3,4,5};
    LinkList 11(test+1,4), 12(test,5);
    LinkList 13=12, 14;
    14=11;
    printf("%d_%d_%d\n",11.pop(),13.pop(),14.pop());
    return 0;
}
```

Hint: heap allocation & deallocation should occur exactly once!

```
#include <stdio.h>
class LinkedListItem {
public:
    int val:
    LinkedListItem *next;
    LinkedListItem(const int val, LinkedListItem* next=NULL);
class IllegalArgumentError {};
LinkedListItem::LinkedListItem(const int val, LinkedListItem* next) {
    if(val < 0) {
        throw IllegalArgumentError();// not sure how to handle this.
    this->val = val;
    this->next = next;
class LinkedList {
    LinkedListItem* first;
public:
   LinkedList();
    virtual ~LinkedList();
   LinkedList(const LinkedList& other);
    LinkedList(const int vals[], const int length);
    LinkedList & operator=(const LinkedList& other);
    int pop();
    void print();
```

```
LinkedList::LinkedList() {
   this->first = NULL;
// Copy constructor
LinkedList::LinkedList(const LinkedList & other) {
   *this = other;
LinkedList::LinkedList(const int vals[], int length) {
    LinkedListItem *rv = NULL;
    for (; length > 0; length-- ) {
        rv = new LinkedListItem(vals[length - 1], rv);
    this->first = rv;
}
LinkedList & LinkedList::operator=(const LinkedList & other) {
    LinkedListItem* otherCurrent = other.first;
    LinkedListItem* thisPrevious = NULL;
    while (otherCurrent != NULL) {
        LinkedListItem* thisCurrent = new LinkedListItem(otherCurrent->val, NULL
            );
        if (thisPrevious == NULL) {
            this->first = thisCurrent;
        } else {
            thisPrevious->next = thisCurrent;
        thisPrevious = thisCurrent;
        otherCurrent = otherCurrent->next;
    return *this;
int LinkedList::pop() {
    if(first == NULL) {
       return -1;
    LinkedListItem *rv = this->first;
    this->first = this->first->next;
    return rv->val;
void LinkedList::print() {
    LinkedListItem* current = this->first;
   printf("Values_{\n");
    while(current != NULL) {
        printf("____%d\n", current->val);
current = current->next;
    printf("}\n");
int main() {
    // Capital letters used in variable names for my sanity
    int test[] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\};
    LinkedList L1(test+1,4), L2(test, 5);
   LinkedList L3 = L2, L4;
   L4 = L1;
    printf("%d_%d_%d\n", L1.pop(), L3.pop(), L4.pop());
    //outputs 2 1 2
```

2. If a function f has a static instance of a class as a local variable, when might the class constructor be called?



3. Write a class Matrix which allows a programmer to define 2×2 matrices. Overload the common operators (e.g. +, -, *, and /). Can you easily extend your design to matrices of arbitrary size?

```
class Vector {
    float x;
    float y;
    friend class Matrix;
public:
    Vector(const float x, const float y);
    void pprint();
class Matrix {
    float a;
    float b;
    float c;
    float d;
public:
    Matrix(
        const float a,
        const float b,
        const float c,
        const float d
    ):
    void pprint();
    Matrix operator+(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator-(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator*(const float x);
    Vector operator*(const Vector & right);
    Matrix operator*(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator/(const Matrix & right);
} ;
#include <stdio.h>
#include "q3.h"
Matrix::Matrix(
   const float a,
    const float b,
    const float c,
    const float d
    ) {
    this->a = a;
    this->b = b;
    this->c = c;
    this->d = d;
}
```

```
void Matrix::pprint() {
    printf("\n\|%f\n\|%f\n\|, this->a, this->b);
    printf("|%f_%f|\n\n", this->c, this->d);
Matrix Matrix::operator+(const Matrix & right) {
    return Matrix(
       this->a + right.a,
        this->b + right.b,
        this->c + right.c,
        this->d + right.d
    );
}
Matrix Matrix::operator-(const Matrix & right) {
   return Matrix(
       this->a - right.a,
       this->b - right.b,
        this->c - right.c,
        this->d - right.d
    );
}
Matrix Matrix::operator*(const float x) {
    return Matrix(
    this->a * x,
    this->b \star x,
    this->c * x,
    this->d * x
}
Vector Matrix::operator*(const Vector & right) {
    return Vector(
        this->a * right.x + this->b * right.y,
        this->c * right.x + this->d * right.y
Matrix Matrix::operator*(const Matrix & right) {
   return Matrix(
        this->a * right.a + this->b * right.c,
        this->a * right.b + this->b * right.d,
       this->c * right.a + this->d * right.c,
this->c * right.b + this->d * right.d
    );
}
Matrix Matrix::operator/(const Matrix & right) {
    float inverseDeterminant
          1.0 / (right.a * right.d - right.b * right.c);
    return Matrix(
        this->a * right.d + this->b * -right.c,
        this->a * -right.b + this->b * right.a,
        this->c * right.d + this->d * -right.c,
        this->c * -right.b + this->d * right.a
    ) * inverseDeterminant;
```

This cannot easily be extended to matrices of arbitrary size as the arithmetic operations only work for matrices of certain sizes. For operations that are valid (e.g. multiplication of two 3×3 matrices) the implementation would have to be modified to store the elements as a 2D array.

4. Write a class vector which allows a programmer to define a vector of length two. Modify your Matrix and Vector classes so that they interoperate correctly (e.g. v2 = m*v1 should work as expected).

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include "q3.cpp"
Vector::Vector(const float x, const float y) {
   this -> x = x;
   this->y = y;
void Vector::pprint(void) {
   printf("\n");
   printf("|%f|\n", this->x);
   printf("|%f|\n", this->y);
   printf("\n");
int main() {
   Matrix m(1, 2, 3, 4);
   Matrix n(2, 2, -1, 0);
   m.pprint();
   n.pprint();
   Matrix o = m + n;
    o.pprint();
   Matrix p = m - n;
    p.pprint();
    Vector x = Vector(1, 2);
    Vector y = m * x;
    y.pprint();
```

5. Why should destructors in an abstract class almost always be declared virtual?

Otherwise when a class that inherits from it is casted back to the abstract class then the destructor defined in the abstract class will be called which may cause a memory leak if the inheriting class uses more memory.

6. Provide an implementation for: template<class T> T Stack<T>::pop(); and template<class T> Stack<T>::~Stack(); as declared in the slides for lecture 7.

I initially wanted to do this by creating a destructor for the Item class but given it wasn't in the header file I figured we were meant to do it this way.

7. Provide an implementation for: Stack (const Stack& s); and

Stack& operator=(const Stack& s); as declared in the slides for lecture 7.

```
#include <cstdio>
template <class T> class Stack {
    struct Item {
        T val;
        Item* next;
        Item(T v) : val(v), next(0) \{\}
        Item(T v, Item* n) : val(v), next(n) {}
    Item* head;
public:
    Stack(): head(0) {}
    ~Stack();
    Stack (const Stack& s);
    Stack& operator=(const Stack& s);
    T pop();
    bool isEmpty();
    void push(T val);
    void append(T val);
};
template < class T > void Stack < T > :: append(T val) {
   Item **pp = &head;
    while(*pp) {
       pp = &((\star pp) -> next);
    *pp = new Item(val);
class EmptyStackError{};
template < class T> bool Stack < T>::is Empty() {
    return this->head == NULL;
template < class T > void Stack < T > :: push (T val) {
    this->head = new Item(val, this->head);
template < class T > T Stack < T > :: pop() {
    if (this->head == NULL) {
        throw EmptyStackError();
    Item *rv = this->head;
    T val = rv->val;
    this->head = this->head->next;
    delete rv; //need to cleanup after ourself
    return val;
template < class T > Stack < T > :: ~ Stack () {
    Item **pp = &this->head; // take a pointer to the head pointer
    while(*pp) { // while the pointer is still pointing to an item:
        Item *rv = *pp; // take a reference to the current item
        pp = &rv->next; //move pp onto the next one
        // delete current one
        delete rv;
    }
```

```
template <class T> Stack<T>::Stack(const Stack& s) {
   *this = s;
template <class T> Stack<T>& Stack<T>::operator=(const Stack& s) {
   // first do some cleanup - remove current items
   while(!this->isEmpty()) {
       this->pop();
   Item *otherCurrent = s.head;
   Item *thisPrevious = NULL;
   while (otherCurrent) {
       Item *thisCurrent = new Item(otherCurrent->val);
       if (!thisPrevious) {
            this->head = thisCurrent;
       } else {
           thisPrevious->next = thisCurrent;
       thisPrevious = thisCurrent;
       otherCurrent = otherCurrent->next;
   return *this;
```

8. Using meta programming, write a templated class Prime, which evaluates whether a literal integer constant (e.g. 7) is prime or not at compile time.

```
#include <stdio.h>
template <int p, int i>
struct prime_ {
   static const bool isPrime = ( (p % i) && prime_<p, i - 1>::isPrime );
template <int p>
struct prime_<p, 1> {
   static const bool isPrime = true;
template <int p>
struct prime {
   static const bool isPrime = prime_<p, p-1>::isPrime;
struct prime<29> prime56;
int main() {
   if(prime56.isPrime) {
       printf("Prime");
   } else {
       printf("Not_prime");
}
```

9. How can you be sure that your implementation of class Prime has been evaluated at compile time?

For templates that return an integer value you can use this to initialise an array of that size, which can only be done if it was executed at compile time.

For this one I'm not sure other than looking at the assembly.