## Programming in C/C++: Supervision 2

## Daniel Chatfield

## November 29, 2014

1. Write an implementation of a class LinkList which stores zero or more positive integers internally as a linked list on the heap. The class should provide appropriate constructors and destructors and a method pop() to remove items from the head of the list. The method pop() should return -1 if there are no remaining items. Your implementation should override the copy constructor and assignment operator to copy the linked-list structure between class instances. You might like to test your implementation with the following:

```
int main() {
    int test[] = {1,2,3,4,5};
    LinkList l1(test+1,4), l2(test,5);
    LinkList l3=12, l4;
    l4=11;
    printf("%d_%d_%d\n",l1.pop(),l3.pop(),l4.pop());
    return 0;
}
```

Hint: heap allocation & deallocation should occur exactly once!

```
#include <stdio.h>
class LinkedListItem {
public:
   int val:
    LinkedListItem *next;
    LinkedListItem(const int val, LinkedListItem* next=NULL);
class IllegalArgumentError {};
LinkedListItem::LinkedListItem(const int val, LinkedListItem* next) {
    if(val < 0) {
        throw IllegalArgumentError();// not sure how to handle this.
   this->val = val;
    this->next = next;
class LinkedList {
   LinkedListItem* first;
public:
   LinkedList();
    virtual ~LinkedList();
   LinkedList(const LinkedList& other);
   LinkedList(const int vals[], const int length);
   LinkedList & operator=(const LinkedList& other);
   int pop();
    void print();
```

```
LinkedList::LinkedList() {
   this->first = NULL;
// Copy constructor
LinkedList::LinkedList(const LinkedList & other) {
  *this = other;
LinkedList::LinkedList(const int vals[], int length) {
    LinkedListItem *rv = NULL;
    for (; length > 0; length-- ) {
       rv = new LinkedListItem(vals[length - 1], rv);
   this->first = rv;
}
LinkedList & LinkedList::operator=(const LinkedList & other) {
    LinkedListItem* otherCurrent = other.first;
    LinkedListItem* thisPrevious = NULL;
    while (otherCurrent != NULL) {
       LinkedListItem* thisCurrent = new LinkedListItem(otherCurrent->val, NULL
            );
        if (thisPrevious == NULL) {
           this->first = thisCurrent;
        } else {
            thisPrevious->next = thisCurrent;
       thisPrevious = thisCurrent;
       otherCurrent = otherCurrent->next;
    return *this;
}
int LinkedList::pop() {
   if(first == NULL) {
       return -1;
   LinkedListItem *rv = this->first;
    this->first = this->first->next;
    return rv->val;
void LinkedList::print() {
   LinkedListItem* current = this->first;
   printf("Values (\n");
    while(current != NULL) {
       printf("____%d\n", current->val);
current = current->next;
   printf("}\n");
}
int main() {
   // Capital letters used in variable names for my sanity
    int test[] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\};
   LinkedList L1(test+1,4), L2(test, 5);
  LinkedList L3 = L2, L4;
   L4 = L1;
    printf("%d_%d_%d\n", L1.pop(), L3.pop(), L4.pop());
  //outputs 2 1 2
```

2. If a function f has a static instance of a class as a local variable, when might the class constructor be called?



3. Write a class Matrix which allows a programmer to define  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Overload the common operators (e.g. +, -, \*, and /). Can you easily extend your design to matrices of arbitrary size?

```
class Vector {
    float x;
    float y;
    friend class Matrix;
public:
    Vector(const float x, const float y);
    void pprint();
class Matrix {
   float a;
    float b;
    float c;
    float d;
public:
   Matrix(
       const float a,
       const float b,
       const float c,
        const float d
   );
    void pprint();
    Matrix operator+(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator-(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator*(const float x);
    Vector operator*(const Vector & right);
   Matrix operator*(const Matrix & right);
    Matrix operator/(const Matrix & right);
};
#include <stdio.h>
#include "q3.h"
Matrix::Matrix(
   const float a,
    const float b,
   const float c,
    const float d
   ) {
    this->a = a;
   this->b = b;
   this->c = c;
    this->d = d;
```

```
void Matrix::pprint() {
    printf("\n\|%f\n\|%f\n\|, this->a, this->b);
    printf("|%f_%f|\n\n", this->c, this->d);
Matrix Matrix::operator+(const Matrix & right) {
   return Matrix(
       this->a + right.a,
       this->b + right.b,
       this->c + right.c,
       this->d + right.d
   );
}
Matrix Matrix::operator-(const Matrix & right) {
   return Matrix(
      this->a - right.a,
       this->b - right.b,
       this->c - right.c,
       this->d - right.d
);
}
Matrix Matrix::operator*(const float x) {
   return Matrix(
   this->a * x,
  this->b * x,
   this->c * x,
   this->d * x
   );
}
Vector Matrix::operator*(const Vector & right) {
   return Vector (
        this->a * right.x + this->b * right.y,
        this->c * right.x + this->d * right.y
}
Matrix Matrix::operator*(const Matrix & right) {
   return Matrix(
       this->a * right.a + this->b * right.c,
       this->a * right.b + this->b * right.d,
       this->c * right.a + this->d * right.c,
this->c * right.b + this->d * right.d
  );
}
Matrix Matrix::operator/(const Matrix & right) {
   float inverseDeterminant
          1.0 / (right.a * right.d - right.b * right.c);
  return Matrix(
       this->a * right.d + this->b * -right.c,
        this->a * -right.b + this->b * right.a,
       this->c * right.d + this->d * -right.c,
       this->c * -right.b + this->d * right.a
    ) * inverseDeterminant;
```

This cannot easily be extended to matrices of arbitrary size as the arithmetic operations only work for matrices of certain sizes. For operations that are valid (e.g. multiplication of two  $3 \times 3$  matrices) the implementation would have to be modified to store the elements as a 2D array.

4. Write a class Vector which allows a programmer to define a vector of length two. Modify your Matrix and Vector classes so that they interoperate correctly (e.g. v2 = m\*v1 should work as expected).

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include "q3.cpp"
Vector::Vector(const float x, const float y) {
   this->x = x;
   this->y = y;
void Vector::pprint(void) {
   printf("\n");
   printf("|%f|\n", this->x);
   printf("|%f|\n", this->y);
   printf("\n");
}
int main() {
  Matrix m(1,2,3,4);
   Matrix n(2,2,-1,0);
   m.pprint();
   n.pprint();
   Matrix o = m + n;
   o.pprint();
    Matrix p = m - n;
    p.pprint();
    Vector x = Vector(1, 2);
    Vector y = m * x;
    y.pprint();
```

5. Why should destructors in an abstract class almost always be declared virtual?

Otherwise when a class that inherits from it is casted back to the abstract class then the destructor defined in the abstract class will be called which may cause a memory leak if the inheriting class uses more memory.

6. Provide an implementation for: template<class T> T Stack<T>::pop(); and template<class T> Stack<T>::~Stack(); as declared in the slides for lecture 7.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include "stack.h"

class EmptyStackError{};
```

```
template < class T > T Stack < T > :: pop() {
    if (this - > head == NULL) {
        throw EmptyStackError();
    }
    Item *rv = this - > head;
    this - > head = this - > head - > next;
    return rv - > val;
}

template < class T > Stack < T > :: ~ Stack () {
    Item **pp = & this - > head; // take a pointer to the head pointer
    while (*pp) { // while the pointer is still pointing to an item:
        Item & rv = **pp; // take a reference to the current item
        pp = & (rv.next); //move pp onto the next one

        // delete current one (not sure whether need to explicitly delete the data)
        delete rv;
    }
}
```

I initially wanted to do this by creating a destructor for the Item class but given it wasn't in the header file I figured we were meant to do it this way.

7. Provide an implementation for: Stack (const Stack s); and Stack operator=(const Stack s); as declared in the slides for lecture 7.



- 8. Using meta programming, write a templated class Prime, which evaluates whether a literal integer constant (e.g. 7) is prime or not at compile time.
- 9. How can you be sure that your implementation of class Prime has been evaluated at compile time?
- 10. 2007 Paper 3 Question 4

A C programmer is working with a little-endian machine with 8 bits in a byte and 4 bytes in a word. The compiler supports unaligned access and uses 1, 2 and 4 bytes to store char, short and int respectively. The programmer writes the following definitions (code as written below) to access values in main memory (in the table below):

(a) Write down the values for the following C expressions:

```
**i
p->c[2]
&(*pps)[1]
++p->i
```

(b) Explain why the code shown below, when executed, will print the value 420.

```
#include<stdio.h>
#define init_employee(X,Y) {(X),(Y),wage_emp}
typedef struct Employee Em;
struct Employee {
   int hours, salary;
    int (*wage)(Em*);
};
int wage_emp(Em *ths) {
    return ths->hours*ths->salary;
#define init_manager(X,Y,Z) {(X),(Y),wage_man,(Z)}
typedef struct Manager Mn;
struct Manager {
    int hours, salary;
    int (*wage) (Mn*);
   int bonus;
};
int wage_man(Mn *ths){
    return ths->hours*ths->salary+ths->bonus;
}
int main(void) {
   Mn m = init_manager(40, 10, 20);
    Em \star e= (Em \star) \&m;
   printf("%d\n",e->wage(e));
    return 0;
}
```

(c) Rewrite the C code shown in part (b) using C++ primitives and give four reasons why your C++ solution is better than the C one. [8]