08	whether they add significant information for prediction of MACE events and diabetes progression on top of commonly used risk stratification tools. Libraries and functions The libraries needed to run this analysis: library(readr) library(dplyr, warn.conflicts = FALSE) library(tidyr, warn.conflicts = FALSE) library(purrr) library(survival)
09	And the functions we have prepared to facilitate some steps: source("cross_sectional_FX2.R") Loading data needed
	Initial input table of biomarkers and basic covariates The input table is the same table of 10 traits we had prior to run UMAP. Here is a description of this table: System targeted Biomarker Units Column name
	Lipid fractions High density lipoprotein mmol/L Low density lipoprotein mmol/L Tryglicerides Mmol/L Glycemia Fasting glucose Millimeters of mercury (mmHg) Millimeters of mercury
	Fat distribution Waist-to-hip ratio cm/cm whr Kidney function Serum creatinine umol/L scr Inflammation C reactive protein mg/L crp Basic covariates Current smoking status 1 if yes, 0 if not smoking Sex String ("Female" or "Male") sex Age Years age
	Important note: All columns should be there in the units required, and the names should match, so that the functions we have prepared for the analyses work properly. This is true for this and all the following tables we require for our analysis. This input table has been preprocessed by: 1. Filtering out values that are possible errors in measurement (>5 SD away from the mean in continuous variables). 2. Only including complete cases. 3. Stratifying by sex.
10 11	Here is how the input table should look like - a list of two data frames, one for each sex: load("/data/ukb/strat_dat.RData") map(strat_dat, head) \$Female A tibble: 6 × 15 eid age sex bmi whr sbp dbp alt scr crp hdl tg ldl fg smoking <dbl> <dbl< td=""></dbl<></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl>
	1000117 47 Female 23.8408 0.7254902 147.5 84.0 14.07 61.0 0.24 1.972 0.591 2.252 4.395 0 1000132 43 Female 35.6559 0.8403361 137.0 100.5 18.89 60.5 4.31 1.236 2.037 3.686 5.214 0 1000176 69 Female 38.1271 0.8897638 137.5 93.5 36.39 68.9 3.69 1.601 1.988 4.551 4.266 0 1000223 63 Female 25.4603 0.7789474 163.0 94.0 6.10 67.1 1.29 1.453 2.829 3.491 5.876 0 1000282 48 Female 25.4297 0.7708333 135.5 89.0 9.63 46.2 0.16 2.185 0.722 3.584 5.212 0 1000367 42 Female 19.3280 0.6777778 107.0 72.5 9.34 57.1 0.69 2.346 0.395 3.072 4.649 0 **Male** **A tibble: 6 × 15** **A tib
	<dbi> <dbi> <dbi> <th< td=""></th<></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></dbi></br></dbi></dbi>
12	Table of validated clusters The second thing needed is the clusters we have validated. We have put this in an R file called validclusmod: load("/data/validclusmod.RData") print(validclusmod) # A tibble: 2 x 3 sex residmod clusmod
	<pre></pre>
13	The third thing we need is a table of pre-existing conditions and medications participants are currently taking: covar_dat <- read_tsv("/data/covar_dat.tsv", show_col_types = FALSE) head(covar_dat) A tibble: 6 × 15 eid HT CHD Stroke PAD CKD LiverFailure RA T2D T1D T2Dage Insulin AntiDM AntiHT LipidLower <dbl> <dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl>
	1000039
	GroupColumn nameMeaningDiagnosesHTHypertensionCHDCoronary heart diseaseStrokeStrokePADPeripheral artery diseaseCKDChronic kidney diseaseLiverFailureLiver failure
	RA Rheumatoid arthritis T2D Type 2 diabetes T1D Type 1 diabetes Age at onset T2Dage Age at onset of T2D - It is 0 if T2D is 0. Needed in SCORE2. Medication Insulin Taking insulin AntiDM Taking medication for diabetes other than insulin AntiHT Taking medication for hypertension LipidLower Taking lipid-lowering medication
14	If any of the columns in this table are missing in your data, one option is to assume that none in your population had the disease, i.e., you should have a column with 0 for all individuals. Survival data Lastly, we need survival data for MACE and diabetes progression. They should look like this: survmacedat <- read_tsv("/data/survmacedat.tsv", show_col_types = FALSE)
	head(survmacedat) A tibble: 6 × 3 eid outcome_value outcome_timeyrs <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> 1000071 0 10.001369 1000223 1 6.874743 1000324 1 3.101985 1000583 1 3.761807</dbl></dbl></dbl>
15	1001892
	1000132
	during the follow-up time and 0 if not. outcome_timeyrs is the time of follow-up in years, up to the first event or up to 10 years. It is important that these tables <i>do not include</i> individuals who already experience the events we will study. In any case, we will make sure of this in the next step, when we combine all the data. For example, any individual in the survmacedat table with a value of 1 in the columns CHD, Stroke or PAD of the covar_tab table, will be excluded from the analysis. In case your cohort does not have survival data, then follow this guideline until the section below entitled "Prevalent diseases and medication".
16 17	Calculation of cluster probabilities With the data needed in place, we can start by calculating cluster allocation probabilities given the biomarker data. For that we will first add a new column called data to the validclusmod table where we will put the biomarker data for each sex: clusterdfs <- clusterprobcalc(ClusModDf = validclusmod, StratDat = strat_dat) print(clusterdfs) # A tibble: 2 x 2 sex data
18	
	<db> cdb> cdb cdb cdb> cdb c</db>
	1000367 42 Female 19.3280 0.6777778 107.0 72.5 9.34 57.1 0.69 ··· 0.395 3.072 4.649 0 0.9827497 2.825642e- 0.0033190879 0.0093330496 1.3 Descriptive statistics At this point we will recheck some of the characteristics of the clusters as we did in our previous script, weighting calculations by cluster probabilities.
19 20	The distribution of biomarkers per cluster: markerdistribdf <- markerdistribfx(clusterdfs) head(markerdistribdf) A tibble: 6 × 7 sex Variable Cluster Type N Summary1 Summary2 <chr> <chr< <chr=""> <chr> <chr> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr="" <chr<=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr="" <chr<=""> <-chr< <chr> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr=""> <chr< <chr="" <chr<=""> <chr< <chr="" <chr<=""> <chr< <chr<="" <chr<<="" td=""></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr<></chr></chr></chr<></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
	Female whr BC Numeric 58707.879 0.82 (0.07) 0.81 (0.7 - 0.77 - 0.86 - 0.96) Female whr DHT Numeric 7483.777 0.79 (0.05) 0.78 (0.69 - 0.75 - 0.82 - 0.9) Female whr DAL Numeric 3950.988 0.87 (0.06) 0.86 (0.76 - 0.83 - 0.91 - 0.99) Female whr DLT Numeric 2835.984 0.84 (0.07) 0.84 (0.71 - 0.79 - 0.89 - 0.98) Female whr DIS Numeric 2750.474 0.84 (0.07) 0.83 (0.71 - 0.79 - 0.99 - 0.98) Female whr DHG Numeric 1477.897 0.85 (0.08) 0.85 (0.71 - 0.79 - 0.91 - 1.02) The effect of BMI on biomarkers specifically within each cluster, adjusted for age and smoking:
21	bmieffmarkerdf <- bmieffmarkerfx(clusterdfs) head(bmieffmarkerdf) A tibble: 6 × 6 sex Variable Cluster term estimate se <chr> <chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
	Female whr BC age 0.001289826 2.768274e-05 Female whr BC smoking 0.020114650 7.707873e-04 Female whr BC bmi 0.006069039 4.309974e-05 Female whr DHT (Intercept) 0.569642086 1.568987e-03 Female whr DHT age 0.001087705 2.096435e-05
23 24	To add covariate data to the alldat table we will do the following: clusterdfs <- addcovardat(X = clusterdfs, CovarDat = covar_dat) print(clusterdfs) # A tibble: 2 x 2 sex data <chr> chr> stist> 1 Female <tibble 35]="" [77,151="" x=""></tibble></chr>
25	2 Male <tibble 34]="" [67,848="" x=""> Checking again if the columns were added as expected: head(clusterdfs\$data[[1]]) A tibble:6 x 35 A tibble:6</tibble>
	1000132 43 Female 35.6559 0.8403361 137.0 100.5 18.89 60.5 4.31 ··· 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1000176 69 Female 38.1271 0.8897638 137.5 93.5 36.39 68.9 3.69 ··· 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1000223 63 Female 25.4603 0.7789474 163.0 94.0 6.10 67.1 1.29 ··· 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1
26	countcovarsdf <- countcovarsfx(clusterdfs) head(countcovarsdf) A tibble: 6 × 6 sex Cluster Covariate Nclus Ncases Nnoncases <chr> <ch< td=""></ch<></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
	Female probBC Stroke 58673.33 848.10719 57825.23 Female probBC PAD 58673.33 147.89695 58525.44 Female probBC CKD 58673.33 67.25413 58606.08 Female probBC LiverFailure 58673.33 50.16266 58623.17 We will use this table to calculate prevalences and compare prevalences across clusters. We are also interesting in looking at the proportion of individuals receiving medications in each cluster, stratified by each condition. This is obtained with the following function:
28	countdxmed <- countdxmedfx(clusterdfs) head(countdxmed) A tibble: 6 × 6 sex Dx Cluster Med Nnoncases Ncases <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> NoMed 42000.949 206.108367 Female CHD probBC Insulin 137.000 17.000000</chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
30	Female CHD probBC AntiHT 10480.000 1320.000000 Female CHD probBC LipidLower 8573.000 1206.000000 Female CHD probDAL NoMed 2708.061 9.496002 We will also formally test the association between cluster allocation and diseases using logistic regressions where the outcome is each disease and the predictors are the cluster allocations. We will have two models for each disease, one with only clusters, and a second one adjusting for medication. assocdxdf <- assocdxfx(clusterdfs)
31	<pre>print(assocdxdf) # A tibble: 36 x 5 sex Dx_name model</pre>
	7 Female PAD OnlyClusters <dbl [6]=""> <dbl 6]="" [6="" x=""> 8 Female PAD FullModel <dbl [23]=""> <dbl 23]="" [23="" x=""> 9 Female CKD OnlyClusters <dbl [6]=""> <dbl 6]="" [6="" x=""> 10 Female CKD FullModel <dbl [23]=""> <dbl 23]="" [23="" x=""> 10 Female CKD FullModel <dbl [23]=""> <dbl [23]=""> <dbl 23]="" [23="" x=""> 10 Female CKD FullModel <dbr></dbr> # i 26 more rows Adding survival data As explained before, since we want to be careful when adding survival data for analysis, we have prepared a function separately for both outcomes, and making sure we exclude</dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl>
	<pre>individuals who already experience the events under study: clustersurvdfs <- addsurvdat(X = clusterdfs, SurvMACEDf = survmacedat, SurvDMDf = survdmdat) print(clustersurvdfs) # A tibble: 4 x 3 sex outcome data <chr></chr></pre>
	3 Female DM
34	ratesbyclus <- ratesclusfx(clustersurvdfs) head(ratesbyclus) A tibble: 6 × 5 sex outcome Cluster Ncases TPT <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> brobBC 2093.9159 492558.11 Female MACE probDAL 200.6431 33353.95</chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
36	Female MACE probDHG 95.5165 11139.54 Female MACE probDHT 285.8513 64238.47 Female MACE probDIS 143.3605 22584.02 Female MACE probDLT 107.7127 23773.73 We will also do this by medication status: ratesclusmedfx(clustersurvdfs)
37	head(ratesbyclusmed) A tibble: 6 × 6 sex outcome Cluster Med_name Ncases TPT <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> AntiDM 67.829857 4966.2019 Female MACE probBC AntiHT 756.785907 85741.8406 Female MACE probBC Insulin 16.833763 1097.1488</chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
38	Female MACE probBC LipidLower 524.094312 69458.7921 Female MACE probBC NoMed 1123.837308 368913.8639 Female MACE probDAL AntiDM 6.634919 339.1024 We will also calculate overall and cluster-specific cumulative incidence rates using the Kaplan-Meier method: kmestdf <- kmestfx(clustersurvdfs) head(kmestdf)
	sex outcome Cluster risk lower upper <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> outcome Cluster risk lower 4chr chr> chr chr chr chr Female MACE probBC 0.04512474 0.03942353 0.05079211 Female MACE probDAL 0.06075845 0.05173248 0.06969851</chr></chr></chr></chr>
	Female MACE probDLT 0.04782438 0.03785284 0.05769258 Female MACE probDlS 0.06237728 0.05175902 0.07287664 Cox models To quantify the association of clusters to MACE, as well as its potential contribution for prediction, we will compare two models. The reference model will include all predictors that are part of SCORE2, the risk stratification tool for CVD recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (SCORE2 working group and ESC Cardiovascular risk collaboration, 2021).
	We will use a version of this score that has been validated in diabetic populations, and includes some additional clinically useful predictors (SCORE2-Diabetes Working Group and the ESC Cardiovascular Risk Collaboration, 2023). Additionally, for the sake of completeness, some important pre-existing conditions and pharmacological treatments, such as hypertension/antihypertensives, as well as any predictor that we had in our initial input table that are not part of SCORE2, will also be included. We will compare this reference model to one that includes also the cluster probabilities and then we'll compare the ability of these two models to predict MACE. Similarly, for diabetes we will also fit two models, one containing all biomarkers and another one containing the biomarkers plus the cluster probabilities. The way we will introduce the cluster probabilities into the Cox models will be employing the log-ratio transformation (Coenders & Pawlowsky-Glahn, 2020). Fitting models
40 41	<pre>coxmoddf <- coxmodels(clustersurvdfs) print(coxmoddf) # A tibble: 4 x 7 sex outcome data</pre>
	3 Female DM <tibble 32]="" [34,581="" x=""> <tibble> <cxph.nll> <coxph> <coxph> <coxph> <tibble 31]="" [29,006="" x=""> <tibble> <cxph.nll> <coxph> <coxph> <tibble coxph="" =""> <coxph> <tibble coxph="" =""> <coxph> <ti></ti></coxph></tibble></coxph></tibble></coxph></coxph></cxph.nll></tibble></tibble></coxph></coxph></coxph></cxph.nll></tibble></tibble>
42 43	<pre>survcoefs <- survcoefx(coxmoddf) print(survcoefs) # A tibble: 8 x 7 sex outcome model estimates varcovmat</pre>
<i>n</i>	4 Male MACE clus <db1 [34]=""> <db1 [34]=""> <db1 [34]=""> <named [10]="" list=""> 5 Female DM base <db1 [22]=""> <db1 22]="" [22="" x=""> <db1 [22]=""> <named [10]="" list=""> 6 Female DM clus <db1 [27]=""> <db1 27]="" [27="" x=""> <db1 [27]=""> <named [10]="" list=""> 7 Male DM base <db1 [22]=""> <db1 22]="" [22="" x=""> <db1 [22]=""> <named [10]="" list=""> 8 Male DM clus <db1 [26]=""> <db1 [26]=""> <db1 [26]=""> <db1 [26]=""> <named [10]="" list=""> Comparison of predictive ability To assess the predictive ability of the two nested models, we will use the gold-standard method: the likelihood ratio test. Given the wide use of the c-statistic, we will also use this metric. However, comparing two c-statistics is not as powerful as the likelihood ratio test.</named></db1></db1></db1></db1></named></db1></db1></db1></named></db1></db1></db1></named></db1></db1></db1></named></db1></db1></db1>
44 45	compmoddf <- comparemods(coxmoddf) A tibble: 4 × 18 Sex outcome LL0 LLBase NVBase LLBaseCl NVBaseCl LRTstat LRTdf LRTp AdeqInd CBase CseBase CBaseCl CseBaseCl Cdiff
	Male MACE -53605.73 -52507.15 30 -52447.47 34 119.35444 4 7.337351e- 25 0.9484770 0.6854264 0.003528423 0.6905966 0.003516788 0.005170237 0.000867
	 AdeqInd is the adequacy index comparing likelihood ratios of the two models. 1 minus this value represent the fraction of added information by cluster allocation. cdiffp is the p-value of the difference between c-statistics of the two models. While p-values of both the likelihood ratio tests and the difference between C-statistics show evidence of added value, the adequacy index quantifies how much additional information is obtained when cluster allocations are added on top of the baseline model. Adequacy index by cluster Next we quantify how much is the added value of the new model to each cluster. We do this by recalculating the log likelihood of each model but this time weighting individuals by the
46 47	cluster probabilities. adeqindbyclus A tibble: 22 × 4 sex outcome Cluster Adeqind <chr></chr>
	Female MACE probDHT 0.9850214 Female MACE probDAL 1.0000000 Female MACE probDIS 1.0000000 Female MACE probDHG 1.0000000 Male MACE probBC 0.9530781 Male MACE probDAL 0.8981550
	Male MACE probDLT 1.0000000 Male MACE probDHS 0.8448494 Male MACE probDHG 0.9544304 Female DM probBC 0.9929381 Female DM probDHT 0.9880135 Female DM probDL 0.9802605 Female DM probDIS 0.9712778
	Female DM probDIS 0.9712778 Female DM probDHG 1.0000000 Male DM probBC 0.9929576 Male DM probDAL 0.9778296 Male DM probDLT 0.9943603 Male DM probDHG 1.0000000 Male DM probDHG 1.0000000 Adequacy index by MACE probability given by baseline model
48 49	Adequacy index by MACE probability given by baseline model We are also interested in how the more complex model behaves along the scale of MACE probabilities given by the baseline model: adeqindbypre <- AdeqIndByPreFx(coxmoddf) head(adeqindbypre) A tibble: 6 × 4 sex outcome threshold AdeqInd <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <</dbl></dbl></dbl></dbl></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr></chr>
	<chr><chr><dbl> FemaleMACE0.000.9859111 FemaleMACE0.010.9815227 FemaleMACE0.020.9755176 FemaleMACE0.030.9667583 FemaleMACE0.040.9535612 FemaleMACE0.050.9255606</dbl></chr></chr>
50 51	Decision curve analysis The last step in assessing clinical utility of clustering allocations is to perform a decision curve analysis. First we will assess the overall net benefit of both models: dcares <- DCurvFx(coxmoddf) head(dcares) A tibble: 6 × 10 sex outcome pred n threshold pos_rate tp_rate fp_rate net_benefit net_intervention_avoided
	sex outcome pred n threshold pos_rate tp_rate fp_rate net_benefit net_intervention_avoided cchr> cchr cchr cchr cchr <th< td=""></th<>
52 53	Female MACE base 73378 0.05 0.04662649 0.03215838 0.3282494 0.01488210 0.35023019 We will also calculate this by cluster: dcaclusres <- DCurvbyClFx(coxmoddf) head(dcaclusres) A tibble: 6 × 11 sex outcome Cluster pred n threshold pos_rate tp_rate fp_rate net_benefit net_intervention_avoided <
	Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.00 0.04412461 0.9558754 0.04412461 NaN Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.01 0.04412461 0.04335303 0.8127737 0.03514320 0.06671509 Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.02 0.04412461 0.04095127 0.6476546 0.02773383 0.15272697 Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.03 0.04412461 0.03812193 0.5140035 0.02222492 0.24778520 Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.04 0.04412461 0.03393248 0.3977640 0.01735898 0.31350023 Female MACE probBC base 55802.39 0.05 0.04412461 0.02939596 0.3005098 0.01357965 0.37552111
54 55	Interaction between clusters and medications Finally, we will assess the interaction between certain medications and clusters: interactmods <- interactmodfx(coxmoddf) print(interactmods) # A tibble: 24 x 8 sex outcome Med_name model estimates varcovmat Means Afit <pre></pre>
56	As done before, we will ask you to save an R file that does not contain any individual data, only summary statistics, as follows: result_file2 <- list(MarkerDistrib = markerdistribdf, BMIeffOnMarker = bmieffmarkerdf,
56	<pre>result_file2 <- list(MarkerDistrib = markerdistribdf,</pre>