



Consulting. Outsourcing. Investments.



MARSH MERCER KROLL
GUY CARPENTER OLIVER WYMAN



ABC Company Pension Plan

Investment Performance

Periods Ending March 31, 2010

Services provided by Mercer Investment Consulting, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Section
Capital Markets Commentary	1
Executive Summary	2
Investment Policy Compliance	3
Total Plan	4
Manager Performance and Characteristics	5
Appendix	6
Manager Net-of-Fees Performance	
Mercer Research Notes	
Notes & Disclaimers	





Capital Markets Commentary

U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Economy




- The S&P 500 Index gained 5.4% this quarter, up 49.8% from March one year ago.
- The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimate that the US economy expanded 3.2% during the first quarter.
- The unemployment rate fell from 10.0% in December to 9.7% in January, and remained at that level through the quarter.
 - Although nonfarm payroll employment increased during the quarter adding 235,000 jobs in total, both the employment-population ratio (58.6%) and the participation rate (64.9%) increased during the quarter causing the unemployment rate to stay flat.
 - Temporary help services and health care continue to be the largest contributors to job creation
 - Employment by the federal government helped the rate, which was a reflection of the hiring of temporary workers for 2010 Census.



U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Economy




- The Fed kept the federal funds rate to a target range between 0.0% and 0.25% throughout the quarter. With the current state of disinflation and high unemployment, the target range is likely to remain unchanged for an extended period of time. The Treasury yield spread widened 21 basis points between the 2 and 30 year bellwethers. Short-term yields increased with 3-month Treasuries rising 10 basis points to 0.16% at the end of March. Long-term yields increased marginally with 30-year yields rising 9 basis points during the quarter to 4.72% at the end of March.
- 10-year inflation expectations decreased 7 basis points to 2.24% by the end of March, but remained above the 5-year average of 2.14%.



U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Economy

- From December's upwardly revised 53.6 reading, the consumer confidence index dipped slightly to 52.5 by the end of March. Adjusted retail sales excluding food services unexpectedly jumped 1.8% in March. This marks a 7.6% increase year-over-year. Total sales for the quarter were up 5.8% year-over-year.
- Headline inflation fell from last quarter to 2.3% year-over-year in March. Core inflation also decreased from last quarter on a year-over-year basis to 1.1%.
- In March, the Institute for Supply Management's Purchasing Managers Index continued its rise to 59.6%, which is 4.7 percentage points higher than December. This indicates growth for the eighth consecutive month in manufacturing, and the eleventh consecutive month in the overall economy.



U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Impact of Financial Stability Plan, Part I


“These measures of the direct financial costs of the crisis do not capture the economic devastation caused by the crisis. The financial system is healing, but still damaged, and we have a lot of repair work still ahead.”

Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner


COST OF STABILIZING U.S. FINANCIAL SYSTEM LESS THAN EXPECTED

- The expected fiscal cost of stabilizing the financial system has fallen
- In President Obama's February Budget, the projected impact of financial stabilization efforts on the deficit was over \$550 billion, including TARP and a reserve in case of continued instability
- The Treasury expects that impact will be less than \$120 billion
- The Treasury has already recovered two-thirds of TARP investments in banks and earned \$17 billion in income from those investments
- The Treasury expects that the net fiscal cost for all emergency government financial programs put in place to address the crisis will be significantly less than what was required to resolve the S&L crisis

Projected Deficit Impact of Treasury's Financial Stabilization Programs



TARP Investments in Banks



Source: Treasury



Mercer

U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Impact of Financial Stability Plan, Part II

IMPROVING CREDIT CONDITIONS FOR AMERICAN CONSUMERS, BUSINESSES AND MUNICIPALITIES

- Residential mortgage rates are near historic lows. Home prices were projected to fall an additional 20% to 30% a year ago, but since March of 2009 have been broadly stable
- Corporate bond spreads have fallen to historical averages. Investment-grade corporate bond spreads have fallen by 80% since late 2008. High-yield bond rates have fallen by 60%.
- AAA municipal bond spreads have fallen by over 2.6%
- Corporations have raised more than \$1 trillion from bond issuance over the past year. High-yield issuance has picked up significantly, as risk appetite has returned
- Securitization markets important for consumer and small business credit have reopened. The Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility helped lower spreads and improve issuance of asset-backed securities
- The Public-Private Investment Program last year had a notable impact on prices, which have continued to improve since the Public-Private Investment Funds started to purchase legacy securities from banks last fall





U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Recession Continues to Escalate State Budget Shortfalls - 48 States Faces Budget Shortfalls in Fiscal 2010

- Mid-year budget shortfalls (totaling \$38 billion) have occurred in 41 states due to revenue short falls on which the 2010 budgets were based. The new shortfalls are in addition to the existing gaps. When combining the initial and mid-year shortfalls, the total is \$196 billion (for 48 states).
- Continued high unemployment rates (for states dependent on income tax receipts), an increase demand for Medicaid and other state provided services, along with depressed property and sales tax revenues resulting from decreased consumption and lower property values, will contribute to more drastic budget shortfalls in 2011. The budget gap for the 2011 fiscal year is estimated to total \$103 billion for the 42 states that have provided estimates. The total may potentially balloon up to \$180 billion or more as state revenues continues to deteriorate.
- For the 2010 and 2011 fiscal years combined, total budget shortfall is projected to be up to \$375 billion dollars. This includes both gaps already closed and projected gaps.
- Only two states, Montana and North Dakota, have not reported budget shortfalls.


Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities



U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Job Growth Turns Positive?

- Private and government payrolls added 162,000 jobs in March 2010; the biggest gain since the recession began in December 2007.
 - 48,000 of the added jobs were temporary government positions
 - March job increases may also reflect suppressed job growth from severe winter weather in February
 - Total job losses since the recession began total 8.2 million
- Unemployment remained at 9.7% throughout the first quarter.
 - Long term unemployment has hit a 60 year peak, with 44.1% of the unemployed or 4.3% of the entire labor force looking for work for 27 weeks or longer.
- A survey released in April by the Business Roundtable, an association of CEOs of big U.S. companies, says 29 percent of chief executives expect to increase corporate payrolls over the next six months, while 21 percent predict that their work forces will shrink. Half see no change in jobs.
 - This is the first time since the first quarter of 2008 that more CEOs expect jobs to increase rather than decrease.




Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, Associate Press

U.S. Capital Markets and Macroeconomic Conditions

Currency: Dollar makes modest gains

- After dropping to a 16-month low during the fourth quarter of 2009, the strong rebound that began in December continued as the dollar gained 1.45% during January.
- Against the other major currencies, the dollar increased 1.79% for the first quarter and 0.10% for the month of March. Gains during March were stymied by end of quarter rebalancing and an unexpected drop in private sector payrolls.
- The dollar faces downward pressure as the market expectations for interest rate increases continue to be pushed out.

Trade Weighted Value of the USD vs Major Currencies



Average Monthly Foreign Exchange Rates

Country	Monetary Unit	Weight as of 5/1/2009	Monthly Exchange Rate (unit/USD)			
			Mar 2010	Feb 2010	Jan 2010	Mar 2009
Major Currencies						
AUSTRALIA	DOLLAR	2.4%	1.0961	1.1291	1.0957	1.5002
CANADA	DOLLAR	30.7%	1.0229	1.0572	1.0438	1.2645
EMU Members	EURO	35.7%	0.7369	0.7310	0.7010	0.7663
JAPAN	YEN	17.6%	90.7161	90.1395	91.1011	97.8550
SWEDEN	KRONA	2.0%	7.1630	7.2683	7.1534	8.5727
SWITZERLAND	FRANC	2.9%	1.0666	1.0722	1.0345	1.1555
UNITED KINGDOM	POUND	8.7%	0.6641	0.6403	0.6189	0.7057
Other Notables						
BRAZIL	REAL	N/A	1.7855	1.8420	1.7817	2.3161
CHINA, P.R.	YUAN	N/A	6.8262	6.8285	6.8269	6.8360
HONG KONG	DOLLAR	N/A	7.7612	7.7670	7.7624	7.7530
MEXICO	PESO	N/A	12.5673	12.9396	12.8096	14.6466
SOUTH KOREA	WON	N/A	1136.0822	1155.6553	1138.1947	1449.6159
TAIWAN	DOLLAR	N/A	31.8291	32.0621	31.8658	34.3041


Source: U.S. Federal Reserve

Mercer

Domestic Equity – Large Cap

Small Cap outperforms Large Cap



- The US continues to recover from the worst recession in the post-war era driven by strong performance in the consumer discretionary and industrial sectors. US stocks continued to perform positively across all market caps (large, mid, small) and investment styles (value, core, growth)
- In a reversal from last quarter, value oriented stocks outperformed their growth counterparts across all market caps
- In another reversal from last quarter small cap stocks outperformed large cap stocks



Domestic Equity

Sector Performance

- Eight of the ten sectors of the Russell 1000 Index reported positive returns for the quarter
- Utilities and telecommunications were the weakest performing sectors in the large cap space while industrials, financials and consumer discretionary were the top performing sectors for the quarter



Domestic Equity – Large Cap

Style and Market Capitalization Comparison

1Q2010			
	Growth	Core	Value
Large	4.6%	5.7%	6.8%
Mid	7.7%	8.7%	9.6%
Small	7.6%	8.9%	10.0%

Russell 3000

5.90%

1 Year Returns			
	Growth	Core	Value
Large	49.8%	51.6%	53.6%
Mid	63.0%	67.7%	72.4%
Small	60.3%	62.8%	65.1%

Russell 3000


52.40%

3 Year Returns			
	Growth	Core	Value
Large	-0.8%	-4.0%	-7.3%
Mid	-2.0%	-3.3%	-5.2%
Small	-2.4%	-4.0%	-5.7%


Russell 3000

-4.00%

Russell 1000 Index Minus Russell 2000 Index for Rolling Three-Year Periods



Russell 1000 Growth Index Minus Russell 1000 Value Index for Rolling Three-Year Periods



U.S. Equity

Largest positive and Negative Contributors to S&P Index

25 Largest Positive Contributors

Name	Return	Weight	Size Rank
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC.	23.66	1.80	285
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY	21.05	1.74	350
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	18.60	1.61	408
APPLE INC.	11.55	1.91	52
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	15.51	1.45	278
CITIGROUP INC.	22.36	1.04	408
BOEING COMPANY (THE)	35.06	0.49	30
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.	7.52	1.60	316
CISCO SYSTEMS INCORPORATED	8.73	1.34	67
INTEL CORPORATION	10.14	1.10	369
PEPSICO INC.	9.58	0.97	77
WAL-MART STORES INC.	4.61	1.90	161
PROCTER & GAMBLE CO (THE)	5.11	1.65	250
FORD MOTOR COMPANY	25.70	0.38	408
PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATION	9.44	0.88	39
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE INC.	13.20	0.57	332
METLIFE INC.	22.60	0.32	266
U.S. BANCORP	15.19	0.44	288
HOME DEPOT INC	12.66	0.49	299
CVS CAREMARK CORPORATION	13.80	0.46	234
ORACLE CORPORATION	5.02	1.16	85
EMERSON ELECTRIC CO	19.04	0.34	262
COMCAST CORPORATION	12.31	0.48	283
KRAFT FOODS INC.	12.31	0.47	314
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION	16.82	0.32	128

25 Largest Negative Contributors

Name	Return	Weight	Size Rank
GOOGLE INC.	-8.62	1.62	83
AT&T INC.	-6.41	1.37	370
MICROSOFT CORPORATION	-3.47	2.31	31
PFIZER INC.	-4.81	1.24	335
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED	-8.87	0.63	327
MONSANTO COMPANY	-12.37	0.35	229
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS	-4.98	0.79	368
DEVON ENERGY CORPORATION	-12.15	0.26	408
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION	-1.13	2.84	225
COCA-COLA COMPANY (THE)	-2.72	1.14	176
EXELON CORPORATION	-9.28	0.26	210
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION	-1.58	1.50	8
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	-19.78	0.10	408
SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY	-15.52	0.13	48
FIRSTENERGY CORPORATION	-14.77	0.11	344
ALCOA INC.	-11.47	0.13	408
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED	-5.61	0.27	237
SCHLUMBERGER LIMITED	-2.19	0.68	194
MOTOROLA INC.	-9.54	0.15	408
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INC.	-10.23	0.13	209
FPL GROUP INC.	-7.53	0.18	280
PPL CORPORATION	-13.20	0.09	261
H&R BLOCK INC.	-20.60	0.05	132
CONSOL ENERGY INCORPORATED	-14.15	0.08	40
AES CORPORATION (THE)	-17.36	0.07	27

Telecomm Services

Financials

Utilities

Materials

Health Care

Consumer Discretionary

Technology

Consumer Staples



Energy

Industrials

International Equities

Developed Markets: Non-U.S. equities performance


- MSCI EAFE gained 0.9% (gross) in the first quarter
 - In local currency terms, MSCI EAFE gained 4.4% for the quarter
- Japan, which represents 19.5% of the index, posted a 8.3% return. In local currency terms, Japan posted a 8.7% return.
- The UK, which represents 11.2% of the index, posted a -0.6% return. In local currency terms, UK posted a 5.8% gain.
- MSCI All Country World Index ex U.S. gained 1.7% (gross) in the first quarter
 - In local currency terms, MSCI All Country World Index ex U.S. gained 3.6% for the quarter



International Equities

Emerging Markets: Performance

- MSCI Emerging Markets Index gained 2.5% in the first quarter
- Brazil, which represents 16.3% of the index, gained -0.1% for the quarter. China and Russia, which represent 17.5% and 6.6% of the index, posted quarterly returns of -1.6% and 6.8%, respectively




Source: MSCI

US Fixed Income

Barclays Capital Aggregate Had a Strong Quarter


- The Barclays Aggregate Index had a strong quarter and returned 1.8%
 - A search for yield continued in Corporates and ABS, which returned 2.3% and 2.2% respectively; CMBS returned 9.1%; Financial companies continue to lead the rally in Corporates, returning 2.9%
 - Treasury securities generated a 1.1% return for the quarter
 - The Fed completed its mortgage repurchase program at the end of the quarter
- Markets embraced riskier assets as lower-rated securities generally outperformed higher grade bonds
- Barclays US High Yield Index continues to perform strongly with a return of 4.6% for the quarter



Fixed Income

U.S. High Yield: Continued Strength in Inflows and Issuance

- Lipper FMI reported weekly net inflows in excess of \$500 million into high-yield mutual funds beginning with the week ended Feb. 24 through the week ended March 31
- Total high yield issuance reached \$35.3 billion in March, eclipsing the previous single-month record of \$31.2 billion set in November 2006, according to Dealogic
- Less than two years ago, on Dec. 8, 2008, in the midst of the credit crisis, speculative-grade-rated bonds were yielding an astounding 22.65 percentage points over Treasuries, according to the Merrill Lynch U.S. High Yield Master II Index




Source: WSJ, Lipper

Real Estate

Public Real Estate Securities

U.S. Real Estate Securities

- The NAREIT US Index gained 10.0% for the first quarter of 2010. For the 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years, the index returned 106%, -10.6%, and 3.8% respectively.
- Lower quality companies with higher levels of leverage and weaker balance sheets were the primary beneficiaries of the continued rally.
- Many REITs are still sitting on capital that was raised with new equity issuances during 2009 (totaling over \$20 billion). Along with improving REIT balance sheets, the new equity put many REITs in position to take advantage of acquisitions, however, few opportunities have yet to come to the market.



Global Real Estate Securities

- Globally, the public REIT market was up 4.4% during the first quarter and 84.5% for the 1 year period.
- With Australia entering a growth phase, many property companies have shifted to acquisition mode, although most are still cautiously positive on the commercial market.
- Reported net asset values in the UK have started to recover after having declined by an average 57% since 2007. Companies have repaired their balance sheets and are once again looking at investment opportunities.


Source: EPRA

Mercer

Real Estate

Private Real Estate

- The NCREIF ODCE Index was up 0.81% - the first increase since the second quarter of 2008. For the 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years, the index returned -17.9%, -13.2%, and 6.0% respectively.
- While the restoration of positive returns offers promise, weak economic conditions will continue to take a toll on property fundamentals. The most notable evidence is in U.S. office market where vacancy rates were driven higher by unemployment, reaching 17.3% - the highest level in 16 years.
- Transaction volume remained light during the quarter. However, bidding on high-quality, well-located properties was intense, indicating that capital is returning to the market. Further evidence of this was captured in a Colliers International survey of investor sentiment that showed more optimism with respect to real estate. More than 150 of the 244 institutional investors surveyed expressed a desire to expand their portfolios over the next 12 months.



Source: Reis and NCREIF

Executive Summary

Total Fund

The ABC Company Pension Fund advanced 3.3% during the first quarter, which finished 160 basis points behind the strategic benchmark¹ return of 4.9% and placed in the 70th percentile of Russell Mellon's Universe of Public Funds and the 75th percentile of the public funds less than \$1 billion peer group. Underperformance from the domestic equity portfolio detracted value along with the lagging real estate portfolio.

For the three- and five-year periods, the Plan comfortably outperformed the benchmark and placed in the top third of both peer group universes.

The Plan held \$516.3 million at the end of the first quarter, which represents an increase of \$27.7 million from the December 31, 2009, market value. Investment appreciation of \$17.7 million was the primary driver of the rise in assets, though there were also net cash inflows of \$9.9.

As of March 31, 2010, the Plan's asset allocation was in line with the targets set forth in the investment policy statement. The Plan was underweight to fixed income, REITs, and real estate, with overweight exposures to domestic equity, emerging markets equity and high yield fixed income. U.S. Equity totaled 44.7% of the Plan at quarter-end, international equity 13.9%, emerging markets 8.1%, US fixed income 20.0%, high yield fixed income 8.1%, REITs 1.2%, real estate 2.9%, and cash 1.0%.

The Plan terminated both of its large cap growth managers (INTECH and Marvin & Palmer), its international growth manager (MFS), and the Vanguard Convertibles Fund during the first quarter. The Plan hired Winslow Capital Management to replace INTECH and Marvin & Palmer, McKinley Capital to replace MFS, Shenkman Capital to replace Vanguard, and also hired DFA as an additional international small cap manager to complement Victory Capital Management in this asset class.

Domestic Equity

The domestic equity market continued to post relatively strong results across market caps and styles. The S&P 500 returned 5.4% for the quarter and 49.8% over the past year. Differences in style and market cap were muted during the quarter, as small cap outperformed large cap and value outperformed growth by narrow margins. Investors continued to move back into the sectors and areas of the market that had been hit the hardest in 2008 such as consumer discretionary and financials. Only two sectors posted negative returns for the quarter, the defensive telecom and utilities sectors. The US equity market posted strong results across all market caps and equity styles for the quarter and the trailing year. Growth stocks outperformed value stocks across all market caps for the quarter and the year. Large cap stocks outperformed small cap stocks for the quarter and

¹ The strategic benchmark is currently comprised of 20% Russell 1000/7% Russell Midcap Growth/15% Russell 2000 Value/13% BC Agg/5% BC TIPS/5% ML All Convertibles/7% ML HY Master/8% MSCI EAFE Net/5% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/7% MSCI EM Net/5% NCREIF/3% NAREIT. A table detailing each of the custom benchmarks can be found in the Appendix of this report.

the year. For the trailing year the best performing asset class was mid cap growth with a return of 46.3%. Only the financial sector posted negative results for the quarter while all sectors posted strong returns for the year. The top three performing sectors for the year were technology, materials and consumer discretionary. All three sectors struggled during 2008. These strong results for the equity market were the best annual returns since 2003.

The domestic equity composite posted a quarterly gain of 5.1%, which fell behind the benchmark by 250 basis points and placed at the 88th percentile of its peer group. Underperformance from the Wells small cap value portfolio, as well as an overweight to this strategy versus the benchmark, were the primary drivers of relative results. The composite's three- and five-year returns placed in the top quartile of the peer group though the fund lagged its benchmark over the one- and three-year periods.

Mellon Capital Management (Large Cap Core)

Mellon's large cap equity strategy returned 5.7% during the quarter, as did the Russell 1000 Index. Mellon closely tracked the benchmark over all periods measured as of March 31, 2010.

INTECH (Large Cap Growth)

INTECH was terminated at the end of the first quarter because of performance related concerns.

Marvin & Palmer (Large Cap Growth)

Marvin & Palmer was terminated at the end of the first quarter because of performance related concerns.

Winslow Capital Management (Large Cap Growth)

Winslow Capital was hired to replace INTECH and Marvin & Palmer. Performance will be shown next quarter.

Winslow recently announced that Pat Burton has joined the investment team as a Managing Director, focusing his research efforts in areas to assist co-portfolio managers Justin Kelly and Bart Wear. Burton's main responsibilities will be in Technology with some exposure to Consumer Staples.

Winslow had previously discussed adding an experienced Energy or Technology analyst to the team to work with Justin Kelly in order to further strengthen coverage of these sectors. As Pat Burton takes over coverage of the Technology companies, Justin will have more time to focus on opportunities within the Energy sector. While Mercer's researchers have never met with Burton, they are impressed with his experience and recognition as a research analyst. With over 25 years of research experience, many of those on Wall Street, Burton's track record includes

numerous awards recognizing his research skills and stock selection expertise. The addition to the investment team was anticipated and we view this positively as it further diversifies responsibilities for the co-portfolio managers.

Mercer's researchers anticipate conducting an on-site meeting later this year and look to meet Pat Burton at that time.

Robeco (Large Cap Value)

Robeco returned 6.7% during the recent quarter, which trailed the 6.8% gain of the Russell 1000 Value Index but placed in the top third of Mercer's Large Cap Value Universe. Poor stock selection within the industrials and information technology damped results though an underweight allocation to the telecommunications and utilities sectors positively contributed to fund performance. Stock selection within the telecommunications also proved beneficial.

Robeco performed strongly over longer-term periods, with three- and five-year annualized results that finished at least 400 basis points ahead of the index and ranked in the top quartile of the peer group.

Wells Capital Management (Mid Cap Growth)

Wells returned 7.6% for the recent three months, which modestly trailed the 7.7% return of the benchmark and placed at the 37th percentile of Mercer's Mid Cap Growth Universe. Security selection within the consumer discretionary and health care sectors hurt relative performance; however, this was offset by strong results in the information technology sector. An overweight allocation to the energy sector also hurt results.

Wells advanced 5.3% for the annualized five-year period, which outperformed the benchmark by 100 basis points and placed just below the peer group median, at the 60th percentile.

Wells Capital Management (Small Cap Value)

Wells posted a gain of 4.7% for the first quarter, which trailed the 10.0% return of the Russell 2000 Value Index and ranked in the bottom of its peer universe. Poor stock selection within the consumer discretionary, financials, and industrials sectors were the primary detractors of value over the first quarter. An overweight allocation to the energy sector also hurt results. An overweight allocation to the materials sector along with poor stock selection within that sector further disadvantaged the portfolio.

Wells outperformed the benchmark for the one-, three- and five-year annualized periods but placed below its peer group median for the three- and five-year periods.

International Equity

While international markets saw results similar to the US market, a strengthening dollar hurt returns for US investors. The MSCI EAFE Index was up 0.9% in US Dollar terms, but 5.9% in local currency terms. Emerging market equity posted slightly stronger results as investors moved back into riskier asset classes that had been hit harder during the down turn. The international equity composite returned 1.4% for the quarter, which trailed the return of the benchmark and placed in the bottom half of its peers. Poor performance from both the developed international equity composite and the emerging markets manager added value during the quarter. The international equity composite outperformed the benchmark for both the three- and five-year periods while also placing in the top half of its peer group universe.

MFS Investment Management (International Growth)

MFS was terminated due to personnel concerns. It was replaced by McKinley Capital at the end of the first quarter. Performance will be shown for the first full quarter of history.

LSV Asset Management (International Value)

LSV returned 1.1% over the recent three months, which modestly outperformed the -0.2% return of the MSCI EAFE Value Index and the 0.9% return of the broad EAFE and ranked at the 64th percentile of Mercer's International Equity Universe. Relative to the MSCI EAFE, the portfolio's value style hurt results during the quarter. A smaller cap bias helped performance as large cap holdings lagged smaller ones. Strong stock selection within the industrials and financials sectors boosted gains, though an underweight allocation to technology and overweight allocation to telecommunications hurt performance.

LSV returned 4.8% for the five- year period, which outperformed both benchmarks but trailed the peer group median return of 5.7%.

Victory Investment Management (International Small Cap)

Victory Investment Management lagged the MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index by 250 basis points with its return of 2.3% and placed in the bottom quartile of the Mercer Institutional International Small Cap Universe. Stock selection in the industrial services and health technology industries contributed positively though the producer manufacturing and commercial services hurt results.

Dimensional Fund Advisors (International Small Cap)

DFA led the MSCI EAFE Small Cap Index by 70 basis points with its return of 5.5% and placed in the top quartile of the Mercer Institutional International Small Cap Universe. Exposure to Canada was beneficial during the quarter. A bias towards the smallest stocks in the small cap universe also provided a boost along with a lack of exposure to REITs.

PineBridge Investments (Emerging Markets)

PineBridge (AIG) returned 1.4% for the first quarter which lagged its benchmark's advance of 2.4% and placed in the bottom quartile of its peers. On a sector basis, an overweight allocation to the consumer discretionary and information technology sectors hurt results, while an overweight to Brazil and an underweight allocation to Chile added value from a country allocation perspective.

For the one-year period, the portfolio advanced 71.5%, lagging the index return of 81.1% and placing in the bottom decile of Mercer's Emerging Markets Universe.

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) announced that it has closed the sale of a portion of its asset management business to Pacific Century Group, the Asia-based private investment firm. AIG will continue to manage approximately \$509 billion of assets as part of its internal investment operation.

Pacific Century Group paid \$277 million in cash at closing, and AIG expects to receive additional future consideration in the form of a performance note and a continuing share of carried interest.

The divested portion of the asset management business has been branded as PineBridge Investments and operates in 31 countries. PineBridge Investments manages approximately \$87.3 billion of assets for institutional and individual clients across a variety of asset classes, including private equity, hedge funds of funds, listed equities and certain fixed income strategies.

Domestic Fixed Income

The fixed income market posted modest gains for the quarter, with the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index up 1.8%. Investors continued to move back into higher risk sectors such as high yield and emerging market debt in search of higher yields. These sectors posted slightly stronger results for the quarter returning 4.6% and 3.6% respectively. The rest of the fixed income market was fairly flat to modestly positive at 1 to 2% for the quarter. The yield curve remained basically unchanged from year end during the quarter. Interest rates were relatively unchanged for the quarter.

The fixed income composite returned 2.4% for the quarter, lagging the custom blended fixed income benchmark by 50 basis points but outpacing the 1.8% gain of the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index. This result placed below the peer group median. An overweight allocation to high yield added value to the composite as this asset class once again exceeded the return of the Barclays Aggregate during the quarter. However, all of the fixed income managers lagged their respective indices. For the three- and five-year periods, the composite finished ahead of the benchmark and placed in the top decile of its peers.

Mellon Capital Management (Core Fixed Income)

Mellon's passive aggregate strategy advanced 1.7% for the quarter and 7.6% for the trailing one-year period, in line with the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index. The fund's three-year gain of 6.3% also closely tracked the index.

Mellon Capital Management (TIPS)

Mellon's TIPS strategy advanced 0.4% for the quarter which tracked the Barclays Capital US TIPS Index within 20 basis points.

Vanguard (Convertibles)

The Vanguard Convertibles Fund was terminated during the first quarter and proceeds were invested with Shenkman Capital.

Shenkman Capital (Convertibles)

Shenkman lagged the Bank of America Merrill Lynch All U.S. Convertibles Index by 340 basis points for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. This results placed the fund in the bottom quartile of its peer group universe. The healthcare equipment and health services industries were first and third best performing industries for the portfolio. The electronic equipment industry was the worst performing industry for the portfolio.

Mackay Shields (High Yield Fixed Income)

Mackay Shields returned 4.2% for the recent quarter, which trailed the 4.8% gain of the benchmark but placed at the 47th percentile of its peer group. Mackay's higher-quality bias continued to be out of favor as the lower quality bonds have fared well. Security selection in the industrials sector also proved detrimental to relative performance. Over the trailing one-year period, the fund significantly lagged the index by 18.1% and placed in the bottom half of the universe.

Real Estate

The real estate composite gained 2.0%, versus a 4.3% gain for the benchmark. This result placed in the top quartile of its peers. Both real estate managers underperformed over the quarter. The real estate composite underperformed its index by 22.4% but placed in the top quartile of the peer group for the one-year period.

Prudential Real Estate Investors (Private Real Estate)

PRISA decreased 0.2% for the quarter, which underperformed the NCREIF Property Index's gain of 0.8% and the NCREIF ODCE Index, which includes the effects of leverage and cash and also returned 0.8%. This result placed at the 51st percentile of its peer group during the quarter. The residential sector led other property types. There was a loss on the hotel sector. The Village at Fairview presented a valuation increase for the portfolio. Over the trailing year, the portfolio lost 22.3% compared to the benchmark's decline of 9.6% and the 17.9% loss of the NCREIF ODCE Index.

XXX is currently awaiting a liquidation of this investment.

INVESCO (REITs)

The INVESCO REIT strategy returned 8.1% for the quarter, which slightly trailed the NAREIT Index by 190 basis points and placed at the 94th percentile of Mercer's US REIT Universe. The fund's higher quality bias hurt relative fund performance. Stock selection in the shopping center, regional mall, office, and health care property sectors also detracted value. The lodging and regional mall property sectors were the greatest contributors to first quarter performance.

The strategy advanced 97.3% for the one-year period, which lagged the index by 940 basis points and placed in the bottom quartile of its peer group.

Investment Policy Compliance


	Allocation Compliance			Performance and Risk Compliance Gross of Fees			
	Investment Policy Target Allocation	3/31/2010	% Difference	Outperform Benchmark over 3-Year Period	Top Half of Peer Group over 3-Year Period	Outperform Benchmark over 5-Year Period	Top Half of Peer Group over 5-Year Period
Total Fund	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	✓	✓	✓	✓
Total Domestic Equity	42.0%	44.7%	2.7%	✗	✓	✓	✓
Large Cap Equity	20.0%	20.2%	0.2%	✓	N/A	✓	N/A
Mellon Capital Equity Index ¹		6.0%					
Winslow Capital Large Cap Growth		7.0%					
Robeco Large Cap Value		7.2%		✓	✓	✓	✓
Mid Cap Equity	7.0%	7.4%	0.4%	✗	✗	✓	✗
Wells Capital Mid Cap Growth		7.4%					
Small Cap Equity	15.0%	17.1%	2.1%	✓	✗	✓	✗
Wells Capital Small Cap Value		17.1%					
Total International Equity	15.0%	22.1%	7.1%	✓	✓	✓	✓
Developed International Large Cap Equity	8.0%	11.5%	3.5%	✓	✗	✓	✗
McKinley Capital International Growth		5.8%					
LSV International Value		5.6%		✓	✗	✓	✗
Developed International Small Cap Equity	5.0%	2.5%	-2.5%	-	-	-	-
Victory International Small Cap		1.2%					
DFA International Small Cap		1.2%					
Emerging Markets Equity	7.0%	8.1%	1.1%	-	-	-	-
AIG Emerging Markets		8.1%					
Total Domestic Fixed Income	20.0%	28.1%	8.1%	✓	✓	✓	✓
Core Fixed Income	13.0%	9.5%	-3.5%	✓	N/A	-	-
Mellon Capital Aggregate Index ¹		9.5%					
US TIPS	5.0%	5.5%	0.5%	-	-	-	-
Mellon Capital TIPS Index ¹		5.5%					
Convertibles	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Shenkman Capital Convertibles		5.0%					
High Yield Fixed Income	7.0%	8.1%	1.1%	-	-	-	-
Mackay Shields		8.1%					
Total Real Estate	8.0%	4.1%	-3.9%	-	-	-	-
Real Estate	5.0%	2.9%	-2.1%	-	-	-	-
Prudential PRISA		2.9%					
REIT	3.0%	1.2%	-1.8%	-	-	-	-
INVESCO REITs		1.2%					
Cash	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	-	-	-	-

¹A "Yes" regarding the index funds indicate that the fund closely tracked its benchmark.

Total Plan


Total Plan

Asset Allocation vs. Target



Total Plan

Asset Allocation



Total Plan

Performance Summary

Total Plan Performance

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
Total Fund	\$516,268,064	100.0%	3.3%	70	38.8%	16	0.6%	25	5.3%	15	8.9% Dec-88
Strategic Benchmark			4.9%	5	44.2%	4	0.2%	32	4.4%	52	8.6% Dec-88
Public Funds Median			3.7%		33.0%		-0.5%		4.4%		8.7% Dec-88
Total Domestic Equity	\$230,776,087	44.7%	5.1%	88	58.0%	8	-2.9%	21	3.8%	6	8.0% Jun-93
Domestic Equity Policy Benchmark			7.6%	5	58.4%	8	-2.6%	11	2.9%	34	8.2% Jun-93
S&P 500 Index (Total Return)			5.4%	81	49.8%	77	-4.2%	56	1.9%	75	7.9% Jun-93
CPI + 7%			2.5%	99	9.3%	99	9.0%	1	9.3%	1	9.5% Jun-93
Public Funds - US Eq Median			6.1%		52.3%		-4.1%		2.4%		8.2% Jun-93
Mellon Capital Management	\$30,859,631	6.0%	5.7%	--	51.7%	--	-3.8%	--	2.2%	--	8.5% May-94
Custom Benchmark			5.7%	--	51.6%	--	-3.9%	--	2.1%	--	8.2% May-94
Large Cap Growth Composite	\$36,227,805	7.0%	3.7%	78	41.4%	83	-5.3%	95	0.7%	95	4.2% Jun-94
Russell 1000 Growth			4.6%	51	49.8%	36	-0.8%	51	3.4%	61	7.4% Jun-94
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Growth Median			4.7%		47.7%		-0.7%		3.8%		9.3% Jun-94
Winslow Capital Management	\$36,227,805	7.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.3% Mar-10
Russell 1000 Growth			4.6%	51	49.8%	36	-0.8%	51	3.4%	61	5.8% Mar-10
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Growth Median			4.7%		47.7%		-0.7%		3.8%		4.7% Mar-10
Robeco USA, Inc.	\$37,189,178	7.2%	6.7%	32	53.6%	39	-1.3%	17	5.3%	10	6.4% Mar-04
Russell 1000 Value			6.8%	28	53.6%	39	-7.3%	85	1.0%	83	3.0% Mar-04
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Value Median			6.0%		51.3%		-4.3%		2.7%		4.2% Mar-04
Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth	\$38,188,842	7.4%	7.6%	37	53.9%	65	-2.2%	72	5.3%	60	10.4% Dec-02
Russell Mid Cap Growth			7.7%	37	63.0%	22	-2.0%	70	4.3%	74	10.0% Dec-02
Mercer Instl US Equity Mid Cap Growth Median			7.1%		57.9%		-0.7%		5.5%		10.4% Dec-02

Total Plan

Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception			
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return	Since
Wells Capital Management	\$88,310,630	17.1%	4.7%	99	74.2%	37	-2.4%	54	5.2%	52	10.8%	Jun-02
Russell 2000 Value			10.0%	35	65.1%	60	-5.7%	87	2.8%	81	6.4%	Jun-02
Mercer Instl US Equity Small Cap Value Median			9.2%		69.1%		-2.0%		5.3%		9.0%	Jun-02
International Equity	\$113,981,371	22.1%	1.4%	57	62.8%	21	-4.0%	39	6.1%	43	5.0%	Jun-97
Custom International Equity Index			2.5%	29	65.2%	15	-4.9%	52	5.1%	59	4.2%	Jun-97
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		6.1%	Jun-97
International Developed Large Cap Equity	\$59,350,395	11.5%	0.7%	74	56.7%	43	-5.0%	54	5.4%	56	4.7%	Jul-97
MSCI EAFE			0.9%	71	54.4%	54	-7.0%	76	3.8%	84	3.7%	Jul-97
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		6.1%	Jul-97
McKinley Capital Management	\$30,199,723	5.8%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.5%	Mar-10
MSCI EAFE Growth			2.0%	50	50.6%	73	-5.7%	74	4.2%	82	6.5%	Mar-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity - Growth Median			1.9%		55.8%		-3.9%		6.6%		1.9%	Mar-10
LSV Asset Management	\$29,150,672	5.6%	1.1%	64	60.5%	28	-7.3%	79	4.8%	67	5.8%	Nov-04
MSCI EAFE Value			-0.2%	91	58.5%	37	-8.4%	87	3.2%	90	4.0%	Nov-04
MSCI EAFE			0.9%	71	54.4%	54	-7.0%	76	3.8%	84	4.3%	Nov-04
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		8.0%	Nov-04
International Developed Small Cap Equity	\$12,652,955	2.5%	3.5%	74	--	--	--	--	--	--	3.5%	Jan-10
MSCI EAFE Small Cap			4.8%	39	--	--	--	--	--	--	4.8%	Jan-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5%	Jan-10
Victory Capital Management Inc.	\$6,394,896	1.2%	2.3%	86	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.3%	Dec-09
MSCI EAFE Small Cap NET WHT			4.8%	39	70.0%	47	-8.3%	72	3.6%	87	4.8%	Dec-09
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5%	Dec-09
Dimensional Fund Advisors	\$6,258,060	1.2%	5.5%	18	--	--	--	--	--	--	5.5%	Jan-10
MSCI EAFE Small Cap NET WHT			4.8%	39	70.0%	47	-8.3%	72	3.6%	87	4.8%	Jan-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5%	Jan-10

Total Plan

Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
Emerging Markets Equity	\$41,978,021	8.1%	1.4%	78	71.5%	96	--	--	--	--	-8.1% Apr-08
<i>MSCI EM (Emerging Markets)</i>			2.4%	48	81.1%	67	5.2%	54	15.6%	57	-6.1% Apr-08
<i>Mercer Instl Emerging Markets Equity Median</i>			2.4%		85.6%		5.4%		16.0%		-1.9% Apr-08
PineBridge Emerging Markets	\$41,978,021	8.1%	1.4%	78	71.5%	96	--	--	--	--	Apr-08
<i>MSCI EM (Emerging Markets)</i>			2.4%	48	81.1%	67	5.2%	54	15.6%	57	-2.1% Apr-08
<i>Mercer Instl Emerging Markets Equity Median</i>			2.4%		85.6%		5.4%		16.0%		-1.9% Apr-08
Total Domestic Fixed Income	\$145,075,608	28.1%	2.4%	55	16.3%	54	8.5%	3	6.8%	6	6.6% Jun-93
<i>Custom Fixed Income Index</i>			2.9%	24	18.4%	36	7.4%	9	6.1%	22	-- Jun-93
<i>Barclays Capital Aggregate</i>			1.8%	82	7.7%	90	6.1%	48	5.4%	49	6.2% Jun-93
<i>CPI + 3%</i>			1.5%	94	5.3%	98	5.0%	75	5.4%	54	5.5% Jun-93
<i>Public Funds - US FI Median</i>			2.5%		17.2%		6.0%		5.4%		6.4% Jun-93
Mellon Aggregate Bond	\$48,986,090	9.5%	1.7%	--	7.6%	--	6.3%	--	--	--	5.9% Dec-05
<i>Barclays Capital Aggregate</i>			1.8%	--	7.7%	--	6.1%	--	5.4%	--	5.7% Dec-05
Mellon Capital Management TIPS	\$28,181,369	5.5%	0.4%	83	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- Aug-09
<i>Barclays Capital US TIPS</i>			0.6%	73	6.2%	74	6.0%	68	4.8%	70	5.4% Aug-09
<i>Mercer Instl US Fixed Inflation Linked Bonds Median</i>			0.7%		6.7%		6.2%		5.0%		6.1% Aug-09
Shenkman Capital Management	\$26,040,716	5.0%	2.2%	87	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.2% Jan-10
<i>BofA Merrill Lynch All US Convertibles</i>			5.6%	22	53.2%	19	1.1%	84	4.8%	91	5.6% Jan-10
<i>Mercer Instl US Convertible Composites Median</i>			4.5%		41.0%		4.3%		6.2%		4.5% Jan-10
Mackay Shields, LLC	\$41,867,433	8.1%	4.2%	47	39.1%	66	--	--	--	--	20.3% Sep-08
<i>BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II Index</i>			4.8%	30	57.2%	19	6.5%	38	7.7%	44	22.8% Sep-08
<i>Mercer Instl US Fixed High Yield Median</i>			4.1%		43.5%		5.9%		7.2%		18.4% Sep-08
Total Real Estate	\$21,133,556	4.1%	2.0%	23	2.9%	14	--	--	--	--	-16.6% Dec-07
<i>Custom Real Estate Benchmark</i>			4.3%	4	25.3%	1	-0.9%	1	6.4%	1	-6.0% Dec-07

Total Plan


Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception			
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return	Since
<i>Public Funds - Real Estate Median</i>			0.7%		-17.3%		-8.8%		2.5%		-14.9%	Dec-07
Prudential Real Estate Investors	\$15,145,647	2.9%	-0.2%	51	-22.3%	25	--	--	--	--	-22.1%	Dec-07
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>			0.8%	11	-9.6%	1	-4.3%	1	4.2%	1	-10.3%	Dec-07
<i>NCREIF NFI ODCE</i>			0.8%	10	-17.9%	10	-10.7%	18	0.0%	21	-18.1%	Dec-07
<i>Mercer Instl US Real Estate Open End Median</i>			-0.2%		-24.2%		-15.1%		-3.3%		-25.2%	Dec-07
INVESCO Institutional N.A.	\$5,987,909	1.2%	8.1%	94	97.3%	83	--	--	--	--	-4.5%	Mar-08
<i>FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT</i>			10.0%	46	106.7%	55	-10.6%	67	3.8%	68	-7.0%	Mar-08

Total Plan

Performance

Total Plan Performance vs. Public Funds
Ending March 31, 2010




Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	4.8	41.2	4.3	5.7	29.3	-2.4	12.5
25th Percentile	4.1	37.1	0.6	5.0	23.4	-23.7	9.7
Median	3.7	33.0	-0.5	4.4	19.7	-26.8	8.3
75th Percentile	3.1	29.2	-2.0	3.9	16.7	-28.4	7.2
95th Percentile	2.2	16.8	-3.2	2.6	9.3	-31.5	5.8
# of Portfolios	125	116	106	102	106	78	62
Total Fund	3.3	(70)	38.8	(16)	0.6	(25)	26.3
Strategic Benchmark	4.9	(5)	44.2	(4)	0.2	(32)	26.5

Total Plan

Performance

Total Plan Performance vs. Public Funds <\$1B
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	5.4	44.4	2.3	6.3	30.2	-2.6	8.8
25th Percentile	4.4	38.7	0.7	4.8	24.5	-21.9	8.1
Median	3.9	33.8	-0.4	4.1	20.7	-25.5	7.5
75th Percentile	3.3	29.7	-2.0	3.5	17.5	-28.5	6.6
95th Percentile	2.1	22.2	-3.2	2.5	9.0	-31.5	5.3
# of Portfolios	47	41	38	37	41	19	11
Total Fund	3.3	(75)	38.8	(25)	0.6	(30)	5.3
Strategic Benchmark	4.9	(11)	44.2	(6)	0.2	(37)	4.4



Total Plan

Performance and Risk




Total Plan

Performance and Risk



Total Plan


Performance and Risk



Total Plan

Attribution

Attribution Effects Relative to Strategic Benchmark
3 Months Ending March 31, 2010



Attribution Summary
3 Months Ending March 31, 2010

	Actual Return	Target Return	Relative Return	Manager Effect	Asset Allocation Effect	Interaction Effects	Total Effects
Total Domestic Equity	5.1%	7.6%	-2.4%	-1.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	-1.0%
International Equity	1.4%	2.5%	-1.1%	-0.2%	-0.1%	0.0%	-0.3%
Total Domestic Fixed Income	2.4%	2.9%	-0.6%	-0.2%	-0.1%	0.0%	-0.2%
Total Real Estate	2.0%	4.3%	-2.3%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	-0.1%
Cash Account	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	3.3%	4.9%	-1.7%	-1.6%	-0.1%	0.0%	-1.7%

- Asset Allocation Effect
- Manager Effect
- Interaction Effects
- Total Effect

Total Plan

Manager Allocation

Asset Allocation by Asset Class, Manager, and Target Policy

as of March 31, 2010

	Total Market Value	% of Portfolio	US Equity	Developed Markets	Emerging Markets	US Fixed Income	US Fixed Income High Yield	REIT	Real Estate	Cash
Total Domestic Equity										
Mellon Capital Management	\$30,859,631	6.0%	\$30,859,631							
Large Cap Growth Composite										
Winslow Capital Management	\$36,227,805	7.0%	\$36,227,805							
INTECH	\$0	0.0%	\$0							
Marvin & Palmer Associates	\$0	0.0%	\$0							
Robeco USA, Inc.	\$37,189,178	7.2%	\$37,189,178							
Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth	\$38,188,842	7.4%	\$38,188,842							
Wells Capital Management	\$88,310,630	17.1%	\$88,310,630							
International Equity										
International Developed Large Cap Equity										
McKinley Capital Management	\$30,199,723	5.8%		\$30,199,723						
MFS Investment Management	\$0	0.0%		\$0						
LSV Asset Management	\$29,150,672	5.6%		\$29,150,672						
PineBridge Emerging Markets	\$41,978,021	8.1%			\$41,978,021					
International Developed Small Cap Equity										
Victory Capital Management Inc.	\$6,394,896	1.2%		\$6,394,896						
Dimensional Fund Advisors	\$6,258,060	1.2%		\$6,258,060						
Total Domestic Fixed Income										
Mellon Aggregate Bond	\$48,986,090	9.5%				\$48,986,090				
Mellon Capital Management TIPS	\$28,181,369	5.5%				\$28,181,369				
Shenkman Capital Management	\$26,040,716	5.0%				\$26,040,716				
Mackay Shields, LLC	\$41,867,433	8.1%					\$41,867,433			
Total Real Estate										
Prudential Real Estate Investors	\$15,145,647	2.9%						\$15,145,647		

Total Plan

Manager Allocation

	Total Market Value	% of Portfolio	US Equity	Developed Markets	Emerging Markets	US Fixed Income	US Fixed Income High Yield	REIT	Real Estate	Cash
INVESTCO Institutional N.A.	\$5,987,909	1.2%						\$5,987,909		
Cash Account	\$5,301,443	1.0%								\$5,301,443
Total	\$516,268,064	100.0%	\$230,776,087	\$72,003,350	\$41,978,021	\$103,208,175	\$41,867,433	\$5,987,909	\$15,145,647	\$5,301,443
Difference from Target (%)			2.7%	0.9%	1.1%	-3.0%	1.1%	-1.8%	-2.1%	1.0%
Difference from Target (\$)			\$13,943,500	\$4,888,502	\$5,839,256	-\$15,533,480	\$5,728,668	-\$9,500,133	-\$10,667,756	\$5,301,443

Total Plan

Reconciliation

Portfolio Reconciliation By Manager


	Quarter Ending March 31, 2010			
	Beginning Market Value	Net Cash Flow	Net Investment Change	Ending Market Value
Mellon Capital Management	\$29,192,190	-\$7,000	\$1,674,441	\$30,859,631
Winslow Capital Management	\$0	\$36,312,066	-\$84,260	\$36,227,805
INTECH	\$16,076,918	-\$16,865,642	\$788,724	\$0
Marvin & Palmer Associates	\$18,899,325	-\$19,491,238	\$591,913	\$0
Robeco USA, Inc.	\$34,868,489	-\$175	\$2,320,864	\$37,189,178
Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth	\$35,537,654	-\$116,048	\$2,767,236	\$38,188,842
Wells Capital Management	\$85,160,602	-\$955,256	\$4,105,285	\$88,310,630
McKinley Capital Management	\$0	\$30,032,887	\$166,836	\$30,199,723
MFS Investment Management	\$29,688,230	-\$30,053,719	\$365,489	\$0
LSV Asset Management	\$31,330,937	-\$2,552,093	\$371,828	\$29,150,672
PineBridge Emerging Markets	\$41,383,291	-\$15,840	\$610,570	\$41,978,021
Victory Capital Management Inc.	\$6,250,000	-\$14,490	\$159,386	\$6,394,896
Dimensional Fund Advisors	\$0	\$6,241,774	\$16,286	\$6,258,060
Mellon Aggregate Bond	\$50,074,190	-\$1,957,000	\$868,900	\$48,986,090
Mellon Capital Management TIPS	\$28,060,088	\$0	\$121,282	\$28,181,369
Vanguard Convertibles Fund	\$11,119,764	-\$11,229,498	\$109,734	\$0
Shenkman Capital Management	\$0	\$25,402,566	\$638,150	\$26,040,716
Mackay Shields, LLC	\$40,240,355	-\$91,064	\$1,718,142	\$41,867,433
Prudential Real Estate Investors	\$15,215,863	-\$39,433	-\$30,782	\$15,145,647
INVESCO Institutional N.A.	\$5,563,943	-\$35,401	\$459,367	\$5,987,909
Cash Account	\$9,913,379	-\$4,615,818	\$3,881	\$5,301,443
Total	\$488,575,218	\$9,949,576	\$17,743,270	\$516,268,064

Manager Performance

Total Domestic Equity

Total Domestic Equity



Performance



	Return (Rank)								
5th Percentile	7.6	61.6	-2.0	4.0	34.9	-34.4	10.1		
25th Percentile	6.5	55.0	-3.0	3.1	31.0	-36.7	6.4		
Median	6.1	52.3	-4.1	2.4	28.8	-37.6	5.0		
75th Percentile	5.5	50.0	-4.9	1.9	27.4	-39.4	4.1		
95th Percentile	4.6	43.2	-6.5	0.8	23.3	-42.8	2.2		
# of Portfolios	86	69	55	49	71	64	53		
Total Domestic Equity	5.1	(88)	(8)	-2.9	(21)	3.8	(6)	36.6	(3)
Domestic Equity Policy Benchmark	7.6	(5)	(8)	-2.6	(11)	2.9	(34)	28.6	(55)
S&P 500 Index (Total Return)	5.4	(81)	(77)	-4.2	(56)	1.9	(75)	26.5	(85)
CPI + 7%	2.5	(99)	9.3	(99)	9.0	(1)	9.3	(1)	9.7
								(99)	7.1
								(1)	11.1
									(4)


Total Domestic Equity

Performance and Risk



Total Domestic Equity


Performance and Risk




Total Domestic Equity

Performance and Risk

Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010




Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation
5 Years Ending March 31, 2010




Total Domestic Equity

Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. Domestic Equity Policy Benchmark
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)




Mellon Capital Management

Information

Account Information

Account Name	Mellon Capital Management
Account Structure	Other
Investment Style	Passive
Inception Date	5/31/94
Account Type	US Equity
Benchmark	Custom Benchmark
Universe	


Return Summary Ending March 31, 2010



Robeco USA, Inc.

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Value
Ending March 31, 2010






Return (Rank)

	5th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	95th Percentile	# of Portfolios				
Robeco USA, Inc.	8.8	72.1	1.1	6.4	45.8	305	6.7	(32)	53.6	(39)
Russell 1000 Value	6.9	56.7	-2.4	4.1	30.7	291	6.8	(28)	53.6	(39)
	6.0	51.3	-4.3	2.7	25.5	271	-1.3	(17)	5.3	(10)
	5.0	45.5	-6.3	1.5	20.2	246	5.3	(10)	27.2	(38)
	3.6	37.4	-8.8	-0.2	12.9	326	5.3	(10)	27.2	(38)
						346				
						359				

Robeco USA, Inc.



Performance and Risk







Robeco USA, Inc.

Performance and Risk



Robeco USA, Inc.

Performance and Risk



Robeco USA, Inc.

Attribution

Performance Attribution vs. Russell 1000 Value
Quarter Ending March 31, 2010

	Attribution Effects				Returns		Sector Weights	
	Total Effects	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Interaction Effects	Portfolio	Benchmark	Portfolio	Benchmark
Energy	-0.03%	-0.35%	0.24%	0.08%	-0.87%	0.99%	14.36%	18.37%
Materials	0.47%	0.42%	0.05%	0.00%	17.29%	6.54%	3.55%	4.14%
Industrials	-0.54%	-0.41%	-0.27%	0.14%	10.90%	14.89%	7.30%	10.89%
Cons. Disc.	-0.21%	-0.20%	-0.02%	0.01%	11.33%	13.32%	10.31%	9.75%
Cons. Staples	0.05%	0.09%	-0.03%	-0.01%	9.86%	8.28%	3.90%	5.50%
Health Care	0.19%	0.23%	-0.08%	0.04%	4.69%	2.25%	12.76%	9.14%
Financials	-0.15%	-0.23%	0.08%	0.00%	10.99%	11.91%	26.26%	24.10%
Info. Tech	-0.69%	-0.13%	-0.22%	-0.34%	1.87%	4.52%	16.28%	5.22%
Telecomm.	0.50%	0.24%	0.46%	-0.20%	0.97%	-3.15%	1.34%	5.82%
Utilities	0.34%	-0.24%	0.43%	0.16%	-5.71%	-2.46%	2.76%	7.07%
Cash	-0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.14%	0.02%	--	1.17%	0.00%
Unclassified	0.00%	--	0.00%	--	--	--	0.00%	0.00%
Portfolio	-0.22%	=	-0.58%	+	0.63%	+	-0.27%	6.55%
					6.55%	6.77%	100.00%	100.00%

Robeco USA, Inc.


Characteristics




Robeco USA, Inc.

Characteristics


Portfolio Characteristics
as of March 31, 2010



Historical Growth Measures
as of March 31, 2010




Russell Index Membership (% Equity)
as of March 31, 2010



Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Equity Mid Cap Growth
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	9.7	71.5	5.1	8.6	55.9	-32.9	32.0							
25th Percentile	8.4	61.7	2.0	7.2	46.7	-39.4	23.2							
Median	7.1	57.9	-0.7	5.5	41.2	-43.7	18.1							
75th Percentile	6.3	49.5	-2.6	4.2	34.5	-45.5	12.0							
95th Percentile	4.0	38.6	-7.0	1.2	26.3	-49.7	6.3							
# of Portfolios	106	103	98	87	116	130	132							
Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth	7.6	(37)	53.9	(65)	-2.2	(72)	5.3	(60)	39.7	(57)	-45.4	(74)	19.4	(42)
Russell Mid Cap Growth	7.7	(37)	63.0	(22)	-2.0	(70)	4.3	(74)	46.3	(27)	-44.3	(60)	11.4	(79)


Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Performance and Risk





Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Performance and Risk



Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth


Performance and Risk




Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. Russell Mid Cap Growth
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)



Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Attribution


Performance Attribution vs. Russell Mid Cap Growth Quarter Ending March 31, 2010

	Attribution Effects				Returns		Sector Weights	
	Total Effects	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Interaction Effects	Portfolio	Benchmark	Portfolio	Benchmark
Energy	0.18%	0.20%	-0.24%	0.22%	7.12%	3.51%	11.80%	5.41%
Materials	-0.03%	--	-0.03%	--	--	8.30%	0.00%	4.69%
Industrials	-0.05%	0.06%	-0.11%	0.00%	10.34%	9.94%	9.94%	14.47%
Cons. Disc.	-0.69%	-0.67%	0.17%	-0.19%	8.85%	12.78%	22.30%	17.42%
Cons. Staples	-0.08%	0.01%	-0.08%	0.00%	9.86%	9.88%	3.31%	7.31%
Health Care	-0.26%	-0.25%	-0.05%	0.04%	9.65%	11.46%	12.03%	13.50%
Financials	0.21%	0.35%	-0.09%	-0.04%	13.38%	9.46%	7.09%	8.83%
Info. Tech	0.50%	0.48%	-0.30%	0.32%	3.94%	2.10%	28.06%	24.20%
Telecomm.	-0.17%	0.00%	-0.21%	0.03%	1.53%	1.09%	4.36%	1.13%
Utilities	0.43%	--	0.43%	--	--	-6.49%	0.00%	3.03%
Cash	-0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.08%	0.02%	--	1.11%	0.00%
Unclassified	0.00%	--	0.00%	--	--	--	0.00%	0.00%
Portfolio	-0.05%	=	0.18%	+	-0.51%	+	0.28%	7.63%
							7.67%	100.00%
								100.00%


Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Characteristics

**Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell Mid Cap Growth
as of March 31, 2010**



**Market Capitalization
as of March 31, 2010**




**Asset Allocation
as of March 31, 2010**

Portfolio	Russell Mid Cap Growth
Number of Holdings	64 489
US Equity	90.32 100.00
Non-US Equity	6.43 0.00
US Fixed Income	0.00 0.00
Non-US Fixed Income	0.00 0.00
Cash	3.25 0.00
Alternatives	0.00 0.00
Real Estate	0.00 0.00
Other	0.00 0.00


Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth

Characteristics


Portfolio Characteristics
as of March 31, 2010



Historical Growth Measures
as of March 31, 2010




Russell Index Membership (% Equity)
as of March 31, 2010



Wells Capital Management

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Equity Mid Cap Growth
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

	5th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	95th Percentile	# of Portfolios	Wells Capital Management	Russell 2000 Value		
5th Percentile	14.2	115.7	4.7	10.8	65.7	170	4.7	10.0		
25th Percentile	10.9	79.8	0.6	7.0	44.7	165	(99)	1.5		
Median	9.2	69.1	-2.0	5.3	33.1	154	(37)	-2.5		
75th Percentile	7.8	58.9	-4.5	3.1	25.9	143	(54)	-8.2		
95th Percentile	5.9	47.4	-9.0	0.6	16.4	189	(52)	-15.9		
# of Portfolios						208			213	
Wells Capital Management							47.7	-38.1		
Russell 2000 Value							(20)	(80)	1.2	(28)
							20.6	(86)	-28.9	(82)
								(29)	-9.8	


Wells Capital Management

Performance and Risk





Wells Capital Management

Performance and Risk



Wells Capital Management


Performance and Risk




Wells Capital Management

Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. Russell 2000 Value
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)



Wells Capital Management

Attribution

Wells Capital Management Performance Attribution vs. Russell 2000 Value



Quarter Ending March 31, 2010

	Attribution Effects				Returns		Sector Weights	
	Total Effects	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Interaction Effects	Portfolio	Benchmark	Portfolio	Benchmark
Energy	-1.20%	-0.12%	-0.77%	-0.32%	2.26%	4.52%	19.16%	6.01%
Materials	-1.37%	-0.74%	-0.12%	-0.51%	-4.47%	6.21%	11.07%	7.00%
Industrials	-0.79%	-0.77%	-0.03%	0.01%	3.38%	8.35%	16.69%	16.52%
Cons. Disc.	-2.04%	-2.45%	-0.32%	0.73%	-0.88%	19.51%	8.77%	11.83%
Cons. Staples	0.10%	0.08%	0.05%	-0.03%	8.25%	5.18%	1.73%	2.72%
Health Care	-0.15%	-0.07%	-0.06%	-0.02%	4.90%	6.29%	6.46%	4.96%
Financials	-1.23%	-1.66%	-0.29%	0.72%	7.27%	12.12%	18.89%	34.01%
Info. Tech	1.01%	0.72%	-0.04%	0.33%	16.43%	8.79%	15.59%	10.34%
Telecomm.	-0.01%	0.01%	-0.03%	0.00%	-1.16%	-2.75%	0.75%	0.50%
Utilities	0.45%	--	0.45%	--	--	2.55%	0.00%	6.11%
Cash	-0.29%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.29%	0.02%	--	0.89%	0.00%
Unclassified	-0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.17%	-0.85%	--	0.00%	0.00%
Portfolio	-5.69%	=	-5.00%	+	-1.16%	+	0.46%	4.33%
						10.02%	100.00%	100.00%

Wells Capital Management

Characteristics

**Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell Mid Cap Growth
as of March 31, 2010**




**Asset Allocation
as of March 31, 2010**

Portfolio	Russell 2000 Value
ASSET ALLOCATION	
Number of Holdings	130 1383
US Equity	81.52 100.00
Non-US Equity	16.59 0.00
US Fixed Income	0.00 0.00
Non-US Fixed Income	0.00 0.00
Cash	1.89 0.00
Alternatives	0.00 0.00
Real Estate	0.00 0.00
Other	0.00 0.00


Wells Capital Management

Characteristics


**Portfolio Characteristics
as of March 31, 2010**



**Historical Growth Measures
as of March 31, 2010**




**Russell Index Membership (% Equity)
as of March 31, 2010**



International Equity

International Equity

Performance





Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	4.8	74.0	0.7	10.5	53.5	-33.5	28.4							
25th Percentile	2.9	61.2	-2.5	7.5	40.9	-40.5	18.4							
Median	1.6	55.1	-4.9	5.7	34.6	-43.3	13.8							
75th Percentile	0.7	50.5	-7.0	4.3	29.2	-46.2	9.7							
95th Percentile	-0.9	43.9	-10.1	2.5	22.9	-50.2	4.5							
# of Portfolios	351	342	315	272	373	390	388							
International Equity	1.4	(57)	62.8	(21)	-4.0	(39)	47.3	(12)	-43.1	(48)	7.4	(88)		
Custom International Equity Index	2.5	(29)	65.2	(15)	-4.9	(52)	5.1	(59)	46.9	(12)	-46.6	(78)	11.2	(66)


International Equity

Performance and Risk





International Equity

Performance and Risk



International Equity


Performance and Risk




International Equity

Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. Custom International Equity Index
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)




International Developed Equity

International Developed Equity

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl Intl Equity
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	4.8	74.0	0.7	10.5	53.5	-33.5	28.4
25th Percentile	2.9	61.2	-2.5	7.5	40.9	-40.5	18.4
Median	1.6	55.1	-4.9	5.7	34.6	-43.3	13.8
75th Percentile	0.7	50.5	-7.0	4.3	29.2	-46.2	9.7
95th Percentile	-0.9	43.9	-10.1	2.5	22.9	-50.2	4.5
# of Portfolios	351	342	315	272	373	390	388
● International Developed Large Cap Equity	0.7	(74)	56.7	(43)	-5.0	(54)	35.0
▲ MSCI EAFE	0.9	(71)	54.4	(54)	-7.0	(76)	31.8


International Developed Equity

Performance and Risk





International Developed Equity

Performance and Risk



International Developed Equity


Performance and Risk



International Developed Equity


Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



- International Developed Large Cap Equity
- ◆ MSCI EAFE
- 68% Confidence Interval
- Mercer Instl Intl Equity (manager)

Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. MSCI EAFE
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)




- International Developed Large Cap Equity

LSV Asset Management

Performance



Performance vs. Mercer Instl Intl Equity
Ending March 31, 2010



	Return (Rank)							
5th Percentile	4.8	74.0	0.7	10.5	53.5	-33.5	28.4	
25th Percentile	2.9	61.2	-2.5	7.5	40.9	-40.5	18.4	
Median	1.6	55.1	-4.9	5.7	34.6	-43.3	13.8	
75th Percentile	0.7	50.5	-7.0	4.3	29.2	-46.2	9.7	
95th Percentile	-0.9	43.9	-10.1	2.5	22.9	-50.2	4.5	
# of Portfolios	351	342	315	272	373	390	388	
● LSV Asset Management	1.1	(64)	60.5	(28)	-7.3	(79)	4.8	(67)
▲ MSCI EAFE Value	-0.2	(91)	58.5	(37)	-8.4	(87)	3.2	(90)
✖ MSCI EAFE	0.9	(71)	54.4	(54)	-7.0	(76)	3.8	(84)


LSV Asset Management

Performance and Risk





LSV Asset Management

Performance and Risk



LSV Asset Management


Performance and Risk



LSV Asset Management

Characteristics

**Sector Allocation (%) vs MSCI EAFE Value
as of March 31, 2010**




**Asset Allocation
as of March 31, 2010**

	Portfolio	MSCI EAFE GD IX
ASSET ALLOCATION		
Number of Holdings	158	946
US Equity	0.81	0.00
Non-US Equity	98.65	100.00
US Fixed Income	0.00	0.00
Non-US Fixed Income	0.00	0.00
Cash	0.54	0.00
Alternatives	0.00	0.00
Real Estate	0.00	0.00
Other	0.00	0.00


LSV Asset Management

Characteristics

Portfolio Characteristics
as of March 31, 2010



Historical Growth Measures
as of March 31, 2010



LSV Asset Management

Characteristics

Country Weightings and Performance As of March 31, 2010

	% LSV	% MSCI EAFFE (Net)	Quarterly Return (\$US)		% LSV	% MSCI EM (Net)	Quarterly Return (\$US)
Major Markets							
Australia	7.1%	8.7%	4.2%	Brazil	0.0%	16.3%	-0.1%
Austria	1.2%	0.3%	-1.2%	Chile	0.0%	1.4%	0.3%
Belgium	2.0%	1.0%	-1.0%	China	0.0%	17.5%	-1.6%
Canada	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	Colombia	0.0%	0.7%	10.4%
Denmark	0.8%	1.0%	9.7%	Czech Rep	0.0%	0.4%	-0.2%
Finland	1.3%	1.2%	11.9%	Egypt	0.0%	0.5%	11.9%
France	10.8%	10.7%	-3.9%	Hungary	0.0%	0.6%	12.6%
Germany	9.2%	7.8%	-2.7%	India	0.0%	7.7%	4.9%
Greece	0.0%	0.4%	-13.2%	Indonesia	0.0%	2.0%	10.0%
Hong Kong	2.0%	2.4%	2.4%	Israel	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%
Ireland	0.3%	0.3%	-0.5%	Korea (South)	0.0%	12.8%	3.8%
Italy	3.1%	3.3%	-7.3%	Malaysia	0.0%	2.8%	9.1%
Japan	21.3%	22.2%	8.2%	Mexico	0.0%	4.5%	7.8%
Netherlands	1.7%	2.6%	-1.1%	Morocco	0.0%	0.2%	6.7%
New Zealand	0.0%	0.1%	-3.9%	Peru	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Norway	1.5%	0.7%	-6.4%	Philippines	0.0%	0.4%	4.7%
Portugal	0.2%	0.3%	-10.3%	Poland	0.0%	1.3%	4.2%
Singapore	0.9%	1.5%	-1.3%	Russia	0.0%	6.6%	6.8%
Spain	5.2%	3.9%	-15.3%	South Africa	0.0%	7.0%	4.6%
Sweden	2.3%	2.8%	7.6%	Taiwan	0.0%	10.7%	-3.8%
Switzerland	7.7%	7.9%	4.0%	Thailand	0.0%	1.5%	13.2%
United Kingdom	21.5%	21.0%	-0.6%	Turkey	0.0%	1.5%	4.0%
United States	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	Other	0.0%		
Total Major Markets	100.0%	100.0%		Total Emerging Markets	0.0%	100.0%	
				Cash	0.0%		
				Total Fund	100.0%		


Source: MSCI, LSV

Note: Totals may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

Victory Capital Management Inc.

Performance



Performance vs. Mercer Instl Intl Equity
Ending March 31, 2010



	Return (Rank)						
5th Percentile	6.4	91.5	0.7	10.2	69.5	-37.8	26.2
25th Percentile	5.1	75.6	-4.1	8.7	56.4	-44.2	17.5
Median	4.5	68.9	-5.8	6.3	48.1	-48.2	9.5
75th Percentile	3.4	63.4	-9.4	5.2	38.8	-51.3	4.9
95th Percentile	1.0	53.7	-12.4	2.3	29.8	-54.4	-2.0
# of Portfolios	59	59	52	43	65	64	60
● Victory Capital Management Inc.	2.3	(86)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--
▲ MSCI EAFE Small Cap NET WHT	4.8	(39)	70.0	(47)	-8.3	(72)	3.6

Victory Capital Management Inc.

Performance and Risk



Victory Capital Management Inc.


Performance and Risk



Dimensional Fund Advisors

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl Intl Equity
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

	5th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	95th Percentile	# of Portfolios	Dimensional Fund Advisors	MSCI EAFE Small Cap
5th Percentile	6.4	91.5	0.7	10.2	69.5	-37.8	26.2	
25th Percentile	5.1	75.6	-4.1	8.7	56.4	-44.2	17.5	
Median	4.5	68.9	-5.8	6.3	48.1	-48.2	9.5	
75th Percentile	3.4	63.4	-9.4	5.2	38.8	-51.3	4.9	
95th Percentile	1.0	53.7	-12.4	2.3	29.8	-54.4	-2.0	
# of Portfolios	59	59	52	43	65	64	60	
Dimensional Fund Advisors	5.5	(18)	--	(--)	--	--	--	--
MSCI EAFE Small Cap	4.8	(36)	70.6	(45)	-7.9	(70)	4.0	(84)


Dimensional Fund Advisors

Performance and Risk



Dimensional Fund Advisors


Performance and Risk



PineBridge Emerging Markets

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl Emerging Markets Equity
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	7.9	109.8	12.3	21.5	107.5	-43.0	54.2
25th Percentile	3.8	93.4	8.1	18.6	86.8	-51.2	44.4
Median	2.4	85.6	5.4	16.0	79.9	-54.1	40.5
75th Percentile	1.5	79.5	3.1	14.9	74.5	-56.4	36.1
95th Percentile	0.4	72.3	-0.1	13.7	68.5	-61.5	28.3
# of Portfolios	151	144	129	102	156	157	152
PineBridge Emerging Markets	1.4	(78)	71.5	(96)	--	(--)	70.8
MSCI EM (Emerging Markets)	2.4	(48)	81.1	(67)	5.2	(54)	78.5
					15.6	(57)	(61)
						--	-53.3
						(--)	(42)
						--	39.4
						(--)	(58)


PineBridge Emerging Markets

Performance and Risk



PineBridge Emerging Markets


Performance and Risk



PineBridge Emerging Markets

Characteristics

**Sector Allocation (%) vs MSCI EM (Emerging Markets)
as of March 31, 2010**




**Asset Allocation
as of March 31, 2010**

	MSCI Portfolio	Emerging Mkt GD IX
ASSET ALLOCATION		
Number of Holdings	98	765
US Equity	3.00	0.00
Non-US Equity	94.25	100.00
US Fixed Income	0.00	0.00
Non-US Fixed Income	0.00	0.00
Cash	2.75	0.00
Alternatives	0.00	0.00
Real Estate	0.00	0.00
Other	0.00	0.00


PineBridge Emerging Markets

Characteristics

**Portfolio Characteristics
as of March 31, 2010**



**Historical Growth Measures
as of March 31, 2010**




Fixed Income

Total Domestic Fixed Income

Performance

Performance vs. Public Funds - US FI
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

	5th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	95th Percentile	# of Portfolios	2009	2008	2007
● Total Domestic Fixed Income	3.5	26.8	8.0	7.0	25.6	76	6.2	3.3	7.2
▲ Custom Fixed Income Index	2.9	19.8	6.6	6.0	18.8	70	1.1	-1.8	6.9
✖ Barclays Capital Aggregate	2.5	17.2	6.1	5.4	13.8	53	-4.1	(40)	(45)
★ CPI + 3%	1.9	10.6	5.1	5.0	7.8	51	-7.9	5.2	7.0
	1.3	6.3	2.7	3.4	3.7		-11.7	(8)	(44)
	78	73	60	53	76		70	(17)	(39)
	2.4	(56)	16.3	(54)	8.5	(3)	6.8	(46)	(3)
	2.9	(23)	18.4	(34)	7.4	(10)	6.1	(23)	(23)
	1.8	(83)	7.7	(91)	6.1	(50)	5.4	(50)	(50)
	1.5	(93)	5.3	(98)	5.0	(76)	5.4	(55)	(55)


Total Domestic Fixed Income

Performance and Risk





Total Domestic Fixed Income

Performance and Risk



Total Domestic Fixed Income


Performance and Risk




Total Domestic Fixed Income

Performance and Risk

Annualized Excess Return vs. Tracking Error
3 Years Ending March 31, 2010



Rolling 3 Year Risk/Return vs. Custom Fixed Income Index
April 1, 2005 To March 31, 2010 (Quarterly)




Mellon Aggregate Bond

Information

Account Information


Account Name	Mellon Aggregate Bond
Account Structure	Other
Investment Style	Passive
Inception Date	12/31/05
Account Type	US Fixed Income
Benchmark	Barclays Capital Aggregate
Universe	

Return Summary Ending March 31, 2010



Mellon Aggregate Bond


Performance



Shenkman Capital Management

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Fixed High Yield
Ending March 31, 2010




Return (Rank)

5th Percentile	6.9	67.7	7.7	9.6	70.1	-16.3	18.8
25th Percentile	5.2	52.1	5.1	7.4	49.1	-25.5	10.8
Median	4.5	41.0	4.3	6.2	42.1	-29.1	8.9
75th Percentile	2.9	34.8	1.8	5.4	33.8	-33.4	4.7
95th Percentile	1.8	26.4	0.2	4.0	26.8	-39.3	1.2
# of Portfolios	23	22	19	19	24	27	26
● Shenkman Capital Management	2.2	(87)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--
▲ BofA Merrill Lynch All US Convertibles	5.6	(22)	53.2	(19)	1.1	(84)	4.5
					4.8	(91)	(76)

Mackay Shields, LLC

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Fixed High Yield
Ending March 31, 2010




Return (Rank)


5th Percentile	6.3	67.9	9.0	9.3	64.0	-14.0	5.6
25th Percentile	5.1	51.4	7.1	8.1	51.8	-18.6	4.2
Median	4.1	43.5	5.9	7.2	46.1	-21.3	3.5
75th Percentile	3.6	34.5	4.9	6.5	37.5	-24.9	2.6
95th Percentile	3.1	28.3	1.8	5.2	31.9	-30.6	-1.2
# of Portfolios	104	101	94	88	113	119	120
● Mackay Shields, LLC	4.2	(47)	39.1	(66)	--	(--)	--
▲ BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II In	4.8	(30)	57.2	(19)	6.5	(38)	2.2
					7.7	(44)	(81)
					57.5	(16)	-26.4
						(82)	

Mackay Shields, LLC

Fixed Income Characteristics



■ Mackay Shields, LLC ■ Barclays Capital US Agg TR IX



■ Mackay Shields, LLC ■ Barclays Capital US Agg TR IX

Sector Distribution History


	Q110	Q409	Q309	Q209
UST/Agency	1.6%	--	--	--
Corporate	93.6%	95.4%	95.8%	93.3%
MBS	1.5%	1.1%	1.1%	1.7%
ABS	0.5%	0.6%	--	--
Foreign	--	--	--	--
Muni	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	4.1%
Yankee	--	--	--	--
Other	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%

Quality Distribution History

	Q110	Q409	Q309	Q209
AAA/AA+	--	--	--	--
AA	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	--
A	1.4%	1.3%	0.6%	0.3%
BAA	6.7%	6.4%	7.6%	8.8%
BA and Below	91.1%	91.3%	91.2%	90.9%
Not Rated	--	--	--	--

Mackay Shields, LLC

Fixed Income Characteristics



Characteristics History

	Q110	Q409	Q309	Q209
Yield	8.8%	9.3%	10.3%	11.7%
Avg. Maturity	5.6	5.7	6.2	5.9
Avg. Duration	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1
Avg. Quality	BB	BB	BB	BB

Maturity History


	Q110	Q409	Q309	Q209
<1 Year	2.5%	2.0%	0.9%	0.1%
1-3 Years	11.5%	9.9%	9.6%	14.3%
3-5 Years	39.8%	35.6%	33.2%	32.4%
5-7 Years	28.7%	36.1%	36.8%	35.3%
7-10 Years	12.6%	12.0%	15.5%	13.5%
10-15 Years	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
15-20 Years	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	1.5%
>20 Years	2.0%	1.5%	1.5%	2.9%
Not Rated/Cash	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Real Estate

Total Real Estate

Performance

Performance vs. Public Funds - Real Estate
Ending March 31, 2010





Return (Rank)

	5th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	95th Percentile	# of Portfolios	Total Real Estate	Custom Real Estate Benchmark
5th Percentile	4.1	8.7	-3.1	5.9	-7.1	3.6	21.2	
25th Percentile	1.1	-5.3	-6.6	4.3	-18.6	-2.0	17.5	
Median	0.1	-18.8	-8.8	2.5	-25.5	-8.0	15.2	
75th Percentile	-3.4	-27.2	-11.2	-1.7	-32.3	-11.0	11.3	
95th Percentile	-6.9	-36.6	-15.4	-4.4	-38.4	-15.5	0.3	
# of Portfolios	21	15	14	11	19	23	22	
Total Real Estate	2.0	(15)	2.9	(13)	--	(--)	-20.1	(98)
Custom Real Estate Benchmark	4.3	(5)	25.3	(1)	-0.9	(1)	15.8	(48)


Total Real Estate

Performance and Risk



Total Real Estate


Performance and Risk



Prudential Real Estate Investors

Performance


Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Real Estate Open End
Ending March 31, 2010



	Return (Rank)							
5th Percentile	1.2	-16.3	-8.6	1.4	-19.5	-0.3	24.1	
25th Percentile	0.1	-22.3	-13.6	-0.9	-25.7	-5.1	18.4	
Median	-0.2	-24.2	-15.1	-3.3	-32.3	-11.0	16.3	
75th Percentile	-1.7	-26.6	-17.8	-3.9	-42.7	-14.9	13.9	
95th Percentile	-6.5	-40.2	-22.3	-4.6	-45.2	-24.1	4.8	
# of Portfolios	9	9	9	8	26	29	35	
Prudential Real Estate Investors	-0.2	(51)	(25)	--	(--)	(60)	(69)	--
NCREIF Property Index	0.8	(11)	(1)	-4.3	(1)	-16.9	(3)	15.8
NCREIF NFI ODCE	0.8	(10)	(10)	-10.7	(18)	0.0	(21)	(56)

Prudential Real Estate Investors


Performance



INVESTCO Institutional N.A.

Performance

Performance vs. Mercer Instl US Real Estate Public REITS
Ending March 31, 2010




Return (Rank)

	11.6	127.8	-2.6	9.4	44.8	-24.7	-0.7
5th Percentile	11.6	127.8	-2.6	9.4	44.8	-24.7	-0.7
25th Percentile	10.5	112.7	-7.9	6.3	33.7	-34.1	-13.7
Median	10.0	108.1	-9.4	5.0	31.1	-36.9	-15.2
75th Percentile	9.1	99.1	-11.3	3.5	27.7	-39.7	-17.0
95th Percentile	8.1	85.8	-13.8	2.5	24.3	-44.7	-18.8
# of Portfolios	41	39	39	38	49	57	59
● INVESTCO Institutional N.A.	8.1	(94)	97.3	(83)	--	(--)	--
▲ FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT	10.0	(46)	106.7	(55)	-10.6	(67)	3.8
					(68)	28.0	(75)
						-37.7	(56)
						-15.7	(58)

INVESTCO Institutional N.A.

Performance



Appendix

ABC Company Pension Fund
Benchmark Summary

	Total Fund Index	Total Domestic Equity Index	Total Fixed Income Index	Total Intl Equity Index	Total Real Estate Index
Total Fund Strategic Benchmark	100.0%				
Total Domestic Equity					
<i>Total Domestic Equity Index</i>	42.0%	100.0%			
Total Large Cap Equity					
<i>Russell 1000</i>	20.0%	47.6%			
Robeco					
Russell 1000 Value					
Winslow Capital					
Russell 1000 Growth					
Mellon Capital					
Russell 1000					
Wells Mid Cap					
<i>Russell Midcap Growth</i>	7.0%	16.7%			
Wells Small Cap					
<i>Russell 2000 Value</i>	15.0%	35.7%			
Total Fixed Income					
<i>Total Fixed Income Index</i>	30.0%		100.0%		
Mellon Capital Agg					
<i>BC Aggregate</i>	13.0%		43.3%		
Mellon Capital TIPS					
<i>BC TIPS</i>	5.0%		16.7%		
Vanguard Convertible					
<i>ML All Convertible</i>	5.0%		16.7%		
Mackay Shields					
<i>ML HY Master</i>	7.0%		23.3%		
Total International Equity					
<i>Total International Eq Index</i>	20.0%			100.0%	
Developed International					
<i>MSCI EAFE</i>	8.0%			40.0%	
LSV					
<i>MSCI EAFE Value</i>					
McKinley					
<i>MSCI EAFE Growth</i>					
Victory Intl Small Cap					
<i>DFA Small Cap</i>					
<i>MSCI EAFE Small Cap</i>	5.0%			25.0%	
Pinebridge Emerging Markets					
<i>MSCI Emerging Markets</i>	7.0%			35.0%	
Total Real Estate					
<i>Total Real Estate Index</i>	8.0%				100.0%
Prudential					
<i>NCREIF Property</i>	5.0%				62.5%
INVESCO					
<i>FTSE NAREIT</i>	3.0%				37.5%

Total Plan - Net

Performance Summary

Total Plan Performance

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
Total Fund	\$516,268,064	100.0%	3.2%	73	38.3%	18	0.1%	33	--	--	-- Dec-88
Strategic Benchmark			4.9%	5	44.2%	4	0.2%	32	4.4%	52	8.6% <i>Dec-88</i>
Public Funds Median			3.7%		33.0%		-0.5%		4.4%		8.7% <i>Dec-88</i>
Total Domestic Equity	\$230,776,087	44.7%	5.0%	90	57.1%	15	-3.5%	40	--	--	-- Jun-93
Domestic Equity Policy Benchmark			7.6%	5	58.4%	8	-2.6%	11	2.9%	34	8.2% <i>Jun-93</i>
S&P 500 Index (Total Return)			5.4%	81	49.8%	77	-4.2%	56	1.9%	75	7.9% <i>Jun-93</i>
CPI + 7%			2.5%	99	9.3%	99	9.0%	1	9.3%	1	9.5% <i>Jun-93</i>
Public Funds - US Eq Median			6.1%		52.3%		-4.1%		2.4%		8.2% <i>Jun-93</i>
Mellon Capital Management	\$30,859,631	6.0%	5.7%	--	51.5%	--	-3.9%	--	2.1%	--	-- <i>May-94</i>
Custom Benchmark			5.7%	--	51.6%	--	-3.9%	--	2.1%	--	8.2% <i>May-94</i>
Large Cap Growth Composite	\$36,227,805	7.0%	3.6%	80	40.9%	86	-5.8%	97	0.2%	96	-- Jun-94
Russell 1000 Growth			4.6%	51	49.8%	36	-0.8%	51	3.4%	61	7.4% <i>Jun-94</i>
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Growth Median			4.7%		47.7%		-0.7%		3.8%		9.3% <i>Jun-94</i>
Winslow Capital Management	\$36,227,805	7.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.3% <i>Mar-10</i>
Russell 1000 Growth			4.6%	51	49.8%	36	-0.8%	51	3.4%	61	5.8% <i>Mar-10</i>
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Growth Median			4.7%		47.7%		-0.7%		3.8%		4.7% <i>Mar-10</i>
Robeco USA, Inc.	\$37,189,178	7.2%	6.5%	37	52.8%	41	-1.9%	22	4.7%	16	5.8% <i>Mar-04</i>
Russell 1000 Value			6.8%	28	53.6%	39	-7.3%	85	1.0%	83	3.0% <i>Mar-04</i>
Mercer Instl US Equity Large Cap Value Median			6.0%		51.3%		-4.3%		2.7%		4.2% <i>Mar-04</i>
Wells Capital Management Mid Cap Growth	\$38,188,842	7.4%	7.5%	40	52.9%	69	-2.8%	78	4.6%	69	-- <i>Dec-02</i>
Russell Mid Cap Growth			7.7%	37	63.0%	22	-2.0%	70	4.3%	74	10.0% <i>Dec-02</i>
Mercer Instl US Equity Mid Cap Growth Median			7.1%		57.9%		-0.7%		5.5%		10.4% <i>Dec-02</i>

Total Plan

Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
Wells Capital Management	\$88,310,630	17.1%	4.5%	99	73.1%	40	-3.1%	65	4.5%	64	10.1% Jun-02
Russell 2000 Value			10.0%	35	65.1%	60	-5.7%	87	2.8%	81	6.4% Jun-02
Mercer Instl US Equity Small Cap Value Median			9.2%		69.1%		-2.0%		5.3%		9.0% Jun-02
International Equity	\$113,981,371	22.1%	1.2%	59	62.1%	22	-4.6%	45	--	--	-- Jun-97
Custom International Equity Index			2.5%	29	65.2%	15	-4.9%	52	5.1%	59	4.2% Jun-97
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		6.1% Jun-97
International Developed Large Cap Equity	\$59,350,395	11.5%	0.6%	77	55.9%	47	-5.7%	60	--	--	-- Jul-97
MSCI EAFE			0.9%	71	54.4%	54	-7.0%	76	3.8%	84	3.7% Jul-97
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		6.1% Jul-97
McKinley Capital Management	\$30,199,723	5.8%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.5% Mar-10
MSCI EAFE Growth			2.0%	50	50.6%	73	-5.7%	74	4.2%	82	6.5% Mar-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity - Growth Median			1.9%		55.8%		-3.9%		6.6%		1.9% Mar-10
LSV Asset Management	\$29,150,672	5.6%	0.9%	70	59.4%	34	-8.0%	84	4.0%	80	5.1% Nov-04
MSCI EAFE Value			-0.2%	91	58.5%	37	-8.4%	87	3.2%	90	4.0% Nov-04
MSCI EAFE			0.9%	71	54.4%	54	-7.0%	76	3.8%	84	4.3% Nov-04
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Median			1.6%		55.1%		-4.9%		5.7%		8.0% Nov-04
PineBridge Emerging Markets	\$41,978,021	8.1%	1.4%	79	71.2%	96	--	--	--	--	-- Apr-08
MSCI EM (Emerging Markets)			2.4%	48	81.1%	67	5.2%	54	15.6%	57	-2.1% Apr-08
Mercer Instl Emerging Markets Equity Median			2.4%		85.6%		5.4%		16.0%		-1.9% Apr-08
International Developed Small Cap Equity	\$12,652,955	2.5%	3.2%	77	--	--	--	--	--	--	3.2% Jan-10
MSCI EAFE Small Cap			4.8%	39	--	--	--	--	--	--	4.8% Jan-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5% Jan-10
Victory Capital Management Inc.	\$6,394,896	1.2%	2.1%	88	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.1% Dec-09
MSCI EAFE Small Cap NET WHT			4.8%	39	70.0%	47	-8.3%	72	3.6%	87	4.8% Dec-09
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5% Dec-09

Total Plan

Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
Dimensional Fund Advisors	\$6,258,060	1.2%	5.3%	20	--	--	--	--	--	--	5.3% Jan-10
MSCI EAFE Small Cap			4.8%	36	70.6%	45	-7.9%	70	4.0%	84	4.8% Jan-10
Mercer Instl Intl Equity Small Cap Median			4.5%		68.9%		-5.8%		6.3%		4.5% Jan-10
Total Domestic Fixed Income	\$145,075,608	28.1%	2.3%	57	16.1%	55	--	--	--	--	-- Jun-93
Custom Fixed Income Index			2.9%	24	18.4%	36	7.4%	9	6.1%	22	-- Jun-93
Barclays Capital Aggregate			1.8%	82	7.7%	90	6.1%	48	5.4%	49	6.2% Jun-93
CPI + 3%			1.5%	94	5.3%	98	5.0%	75	5.4%	54	5.5% Jun-93
Public Funds - US FI Median			2.5%		17.2%		6.0%		5.4%		6.4% Jun-93
Mellon Aggregate Bond	\$48,986,090	9.5%	1.7%	--	7.6%	--	6.2%	--	--	--	5.8% Dec-05
Barclays Capital Aggregate			1.8%	--	7.7%	--	6.1%	--	5.4%	--	5.7% Dec-05
Mellon Capital Management TIPS	\$28,181,369	5.5%	0.4%	83	--	--	--	--	--	--	-- Aug-09
Barclays Capital US TIPS			0.6%	73	6.2%	74	6.0%	68	4.8%	70	5.4% Aug-09
Mercer Instl US Fixed Inflation Linked Bonds Median			0.7%		6.7%		6.2%		5.0%		6.1% Aug-09
Shenkman Capital Management	\$26,040,716	5.0%	2.0%	90	--	--	--	--	--	--	2.0% Jan-10
BofA Merrill Lynch All US Convertibles			5.6%	22	53.2%	19	1.1%	84	4.8%	91	5.6% Jan-10
Mercer Instl US Convertible Composites Median			4.5%		41.0%		4.3%		6.2%		4.5% Jan-10
Mackay Shields, LLC	\$41,867,433	8.1%	4.0%	53	38.5%	67	--	--	--	--	19.7% Sep-08
BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II Index			4.8%	30	57.2%	19	6.5%	38	7.7%	44	22.8% Sep-08
Mercer Instl US Fixed High Yield Median			4.1%		43.5%		5.9%		7.2%		18.4% Sep-08
Total Real Estate	\$21,133,556	4.1%	2.0%	23	2.4%	15	--	--	--	--	-17.0% Dec-07
Custom Real Estate Benchmark			4.3%	4	25.3%	1	-0.9%	1	6.4%	1	-6.0% Dec-07
Public Funds - Real Estate Median			0.7%		-17.3%		-8.8%		2.5%		-14.9% Dec-07
Prudential Real Estate Investors	\$15,145,647	2.9%	-0.2%	51	-22.6%	28	--	--	--	--	-22.6% Dec-07
NCREIF Property Index			0.8%	11	-9.6%	1	-4.3%	1	4.2%	1	-10.3% Dec-07


Total Plan

Performance Summary

Name	Current Market Value	Current Allocation	Ending March 31, 2010						Inception		
			3 Mo	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	Return Since
<i>NCREIF NFI ODCE</i>			0.8%	10	-17.9%	10	-10.7%	18	0.0%	21	-18.1% Dec-07
<i>Mercer Instl US Real Estate Open End Median</i>			-0.2%		-24.2%		-15.1%		-3.3%		-25.2% Dec-07
INVESCO Institutional N.A.	\$5,987,909	1.2%	7.9%	96	96.0%	86	--	--	--	--	-5.0% Mar-08
<i>FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT</i>			10.0%	46	106.7%	55	-10.6%	67	3.8%	68	-7.0% Mar-08
<i>Mercer Instl US Real Estate Public REITS Median</i>			10.0%		108.1%		-9.4%		5.0%		-5.9% Mar-08
Cash Account	\$5,301,443	1.0%	0.0%	--	0.5%	--	0.2%	--	-2.5%	--	-- May-94
<i>Citigroup 3mth Treasury Bill</i>			0.0%	--	0.1%	--	1.8%	--	2.8%	--	3.6% May-94

Robeco Large Cap Value - Net

Excess Return in US Equity Large Cap Value from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Robeco LCV versus RU1000VUSD (before fees)




■ Rising Markets ■ Falling Markets — 1 Year Rolling Excess Return ······ Upper Quartile ······ Lower Quartile ······ Median

Created on 5 May 2010


Robeco Large Cap Value - Net

Excess Return in US Equity Large Cap Value from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Robeco LCV versus Median (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010

Robeco Large Cap Value Excess Return




■ Robeco Net +/- RU 1000 Value

■ Robeco Net +/- LCV Equity Universe Median

Wells Mid Cap Growth - Net


Excess Return in US Equity Mid Cap Growth from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Wells MCG versus RUMCG (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010


Wells Mid Cap Growth - Net

Excess Return in US Equity Mid Cap Growth from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Wells MCG versus Median (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010


Wells Capital Mid Cap Growth Excess Return



■ Wells Cap Mid Net +/- Russell Mid Cap Growth Index ■ Wells Cap Mid Net +/- Mid Cap Growth Median

Wells Small Cap Value - Net


Excess Return in US Equity Small Cap Value from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Wells SCV versus RU2000VUSD (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010


Wells Small Cap Value - Net

Excess Return in US Equity Small Cap Value from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
Wells SCV versus Median (before fees)




Created on 5 May 2010

Wells Capital Small Cap Value Excess Return



LSV Intl Value - Net

Excess Return in International Equity from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
LSV versus MSEAWEUSD (before fees)




■ Rising Markets ■ Falling Markets — 1 Year Rolling Excess Return ······ Upper Quartile ······ Lower Quartile ······ Median

Created on 5 May 2010


LSV Intl Value - Net

Excess Return in International Equity from Jun 2005 to Mar 2010
LSV versus Median (before fees)




Created on 5 May 2010

LSV International Equity Excess Return




Victory Intl Small Excess Return



■ Victory Net +/- EAFE Small

■ Victory Net +/- Intl Small Universe Median

DFA Intl Small Excess Return




■ DFA Net +/- EAFFE Small

■ DFA Net +/- Intl Small Universe Median

PineBridge Emerging Markets - Net


Excess Return in Emerging Markets Equity from Sep 2008 to Mar 2010
PB Net versus MSEMFI (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010


PineBridge Emerging Markets - Net

Excess Return in Emerging Markets Equity from Sep 2008 to Mar 2010
PB Net versus Median (before fees)




Created on 5 May 2010

Pinebridge Emerging Markets Excess Return



Prudential Real Estate - Net

Excess Return in US Real Estate Open End from Mar 2008 to Dec 2009
Prudential N versus NCRPR (before fees)




■ Rising Markets ■ Falling Markets — 1 Year Rolling Excess Return - - - Upper Quartile - - - Lower Quartile - - - Median

Created on 5 May 2010


Prudential Real Estate - Net

Excess Return in US Real Estate Open End from Mar 2008 to Mar 2010
Prudential N versus Median (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010

Prudential PRISA Excess Return




■ PRISA Net +/- NCREIF

■ PRISA Net +/- Real Estate Universe Median

INVESCO REIT - Net


Excess Return in US Real Estate Public REITS from Jun 2008 to Mar 2010
INVESCO versus FTNAREIT (before fees)



Created on 5 May 2010


INVESTCO REIT - Net

Excess Return in US Real Estate Public REITS from Jun 2008 to Mar 2010
INVESTCO versus Median (before fees)




Created on 5 May 2010

INvesco REIT Excess Return




Shenkman Convertibles Excess Return



■ Shenkman Net +/- BofA All Converts

■ Shenkman Net +/- Converts Universe Median

Mackay Shields High Yield Excess Return



Disclaimer

Important notices

© 2010 Mercer LLC. All rights reserved.

This contains confidential and proprietary information of Mercer and is intended for the exclusive use of the parties to whom it was provided by Mercer. Its content may not be modified, sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity, without Mercer's written permission.

The findings, ratings and/or opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of Mercer and are subject to change without notice. They are not intended to convey any guarantees as to the future performance of the investment products, asset classes or capital markets discussed. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

Information contained herein has been obtained from a range of third party sources. While the information is believed to be reliable, Mercer has not sought to verify it. As such, Mercer makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented and takes no responsibility or liability (including for indirect, consequential or incidental damages), for any error, omission or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party.

This does not constitute an offer or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities, commodities and/or any other financial instruments or products.

Mercer's rating of an investment strategy signifies Mercer's opinion as to the strategy's prospects for outperforming a suitable benchmark, on a risk-adjusted basis, over a full market cycle. Strategies rated A are those assessed as having above average prospects. Those rated B are those assessed as having average prospects. Those rated C are assessed as having below average prospects. A- and B+ are intermediate categories in between A and B, and B- is an intermediate category in between B and C. If the rating shown is N, or if no rating is shown at all, this signifies that the strategy is not currently rated by Mercer. Some strategies may carry an additional rating (e.g., T (Higher Tracking Error), P (Provisional), I (Indicative)). For the most recent approved ratings, refer to your Mercer representative or to the Mercer Global Investment Manager Database (GIMD™) as appropriate.

The term "strategy" is used in this context to refer to the process that leads to the construction of a portfolio of investments, regardless of whether it is offered in separate account format or through one or more funds. The rating assigned to a strategy may or may not be consistent with its historical performance. While the rating reflects Mercer's expectations on future performance relative to its benchmark, Mercer does not provide any guarantees that these expectations will be fulfilled.

Mercer does not take the investment management fees of a given manager into account in determining ratings. Managers' fees charged for a specific strategy will often vary among investors, either because of differing account sizes, inception dates or other factors. Mercer does not perform operational infrastructure due diligence or personal financial or criminal background checks on investment managers.

Mercer's research process and ratings do not include an evaluation of a manager's custodian, prime brokerage, or other vendor relationships or an assessment of its back office operations. Research is generally limited to the overall investment decision-making process used by managers.

Mercer's investment consulting business rates and/or recommends strategies of investment managers, some of whom are either Mercer clients, Mercer affiliates or clients of Mercer's affiliates. The services provided to those managers may include a broad range of consulting services as well as the sale of licenses to use Mercer's proprietary software and databases and/or subscriptions to Mercer's investment forums. Policies are in place to address these and any other conflicts of interest that may arise in the course of Mercer's business. This is only a summary of Mercer's conflicts of interest. For more information on Mercer's conflict of interest policies, contact your Mercer representative.

Mercer manager universes are constructed using data and information provided to Mercer either directly or via third party providers. The universes are intended to provide collective samples of strategies that best allow for robust peer group comparisons to be conducted over a chosen timeframe. Mercer does not assert that the peer groups are wholly representative of and applicable to all strategies available to individual investors. Universe distributions are calculated based on the data that was in our database at the time that the universe was constructed, and may therefore change over time due to additional information supplied by an investment manager or revisions to data.

The value of your investments can go down as well as up, and you may not get back the amount you have invested. Investments denominated in a foreign currency will fluctuate with the value of the currency. Certain investments, such as securities issued by small capitalization, foreign and emerging market issuers, real property, and illiquid, leveraged or high-yield funds, carry additional risks that should be considered before choosing an investment manager or making an investment decision.

Returns for periods greater than one year are annualized. Returns are calculated [gross][net] of investment management fees, unless noted.

Style analysis graph time periods may differ reflecting the length of performance history available.

THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS APPLY TO DATA OR OTHER SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING COMPANIES: Where "End User" appears before the Vendor name, a direct end-user license with the Vendor is required to receive some indices. You are responsible for ensuring you have in place all such licenses as are required by Vendors.

BARCLAYS: © Barclays Bank PLC 2010. This data is provided by Barclays Bank PLC. Barclays Bank PLC and its affiliated companies accept no liability for the accuracy, timeliness or completeness of such data which is provided "as is." All warranties in relation to such data are hereby extended to the fullest extent permitted under applicable law.

BARCLAYS CAPITAL: The Barclays Indices are a proprietary product of Barclays. Barclays shall maintain exclusive ownership of and rights to the Barclays Indices and that inclusion of the Barclays Indices in this Service shall not be construed to vest in the subscriber any rights with respect to the Indices. The subscriber agrees that it will not remove any copyright notice or other notification or trade name or marks of Barclays that may appear in the Barclays Indices and that any reproduction and/or distribution of the Barclays Indices (if authorized) shall contain such notices and/or marks.

BLOOMBERG L.P.: © 2010 Bloomberg L.P. All rights reserved. BLOOMBERG, BLOOMBERG PROFESSIONAL, BLOOMBERG FINANCIAL MARKETS, BLOOMBERG NEWS, BLOOMBERG TRADEMARK, BLOOMBERG BONDTRADER, AND BLOOMBERG TELEVISION are trademarks and service marks of Bloomberg L.P. a Delaware Limited Partnership.

BNY Mellon Asset Servicing: Source: © 2010 BNY Mellon Performance Risk and Analytics, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS (formerly SALOMON SMITH BARNEY): Smith Barneysm and Citigroup Global Equity Indexsm are service marks of Citigroup Inc. "BECAUSE ACCURACY COUNTS[®]" is a registered service mark of Citigroup Inc. FloatWatch[®] is a trade mark of Citigroup Inc. Citigroup Global Equity Index Systemsm, Citigroup Broad Market Indexsm, Citigroup Primary Market Indexsm, Citigroup Extended Market Indexsm, Citigroup Cap-Range Indexsm, Citigroup Internet Index (NIX)sm, Citigroup Style Indices (Growth/Value)sm, Citigroup Property Indexsm are service marks of Citigroup Inc. ©2010 Citigroup Inc All rights reserved. Any unauthorized use, duplication or disclosure is prohibited by law and may result in prosecution. Citigroup, including its parent, subsidiaries and/or affiliates ("the Firm"), usually makes a market in the securities discussed or recommended in its report and may sell to or buy from customers, as principal, securities discussed or recommended in its report. The Firm or employees preparing its report may have a position in securities or options of any company discussed or recommended in its report. An employee of the Firm may be a director of a company discussed or recommended in its report. The Firm may perform or solicit investment banking or other services from any company discussed or recommended in its report. Securities recommended, offered, or sold by SSB: (i) are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; (ii) are not deposits or other obligations of any insured depository institution (including Citibank); and (iii) are subject to investment risks, including the possible loss of the principal amount invested. Although information has been obtained from and is based upon sources SSB believes to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy and it may be incomplete or condensed. All opinions and estimates constitute SSB's judgment as of the date of the report and are subject to change

without notice. Its report is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of a security. Its report does not take into account the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person. Investors should obtain advice based on their own individual circumstances before making an investment decision.

CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON LLC. (CSFB): Copyright © 1996 – 2010 Credit Suisse First Boston LLC and/or its affiliate companies. All rights reserved.

DataStream : Source: ThomsonReuters Datastream

Dow Jones: The Dow Jones IndexesSM are proprietary to and distributed by Dow Jones & Company, Inc. and have been licensed for use. All content of Dow Jones IndexesSM © 2010 is proprietary to Dow Jones & Company, Inc.

“End User” FTSE™ : is a trade mark of the London Stock Exchange PLC and The Financial Times Limited and is used by FTSE International Limited under license. Russell Investment Group Europe Ltd is licensed by FTSE International Limited to distribute FTSE Advanced Service and other FTSE indices. FTSE shall not be responsible for any error or omission in FTSE data. All copyright and database rights in FTSE products belong to FTSE or its licensors. Redistribution of the data comprising the FTSE products is not permitted. You agree to comply with any restrictions or conditions imposed upon the use, access, or storage of the data as may be notified to you by FTSE or Russell/Mellon Europe Ltd. You are not permitted to receive the FTSE Advanced Service unless you have a separate agreement with FTSE. “FTSE™”, “FT-SE™” and “Foothsie™” are trade marks of London Stock Exchange PLC and The Financial Times Limited and are used by FTSE International Limited under license.

The FTSE Private Investor Indices are owned and calculated by FTSE International and are produced in association with APCIMS (Association of Private Client Investment Managers and Stockbrokers). © FTSE International Limited 2010

The UK Value and Growth Indices are owned and calculated by FTSE International Limited in association with Russell Investment Group. © FTSE International Limited 2010.

RUSSELL INVESTMENT GROUP: Russell Investment Group is the source and owner of certain of the data contained or reflected in this material and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. The material may contain confidential information and unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, dissemination or redistribution is strictly prohibited. This is a user presentation of the data. Russell Investment Group is not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in presentation thereof. Returns and security data for the Russell indices are provided by Mellon Analytical Solutions. Russell indices are trademarks/service marks of the Russell Investment Group. Russell® is a trademark of the Russell Investment Group.

HFR: Source: Hedge Fund Research, Inc., © HFR, Inc. 2010, www.hedgefundresearch.com

JPMORGAN: The JPMorgan EMBI Index (i) is protected by copyright and JPMorgan claims trade secret rights, (ii) is and shall remain the sole property of JPMorgan, and (iii) title and full ownership in the JPMorgan EMBI Index is reserved to and shall remain with JPMorgan. All proprietary and intellectual property rights of any nature, including patents, copyrights,

trademarks and trade secrets regarding the JPMorgan EMBI Index, and any and all parts, copies, modifications, enhancements and derivative works are owned by, and shall remain the property of JPMorgan and its affiliates. The JPMorgan EMBI Index and related materials and software were developed, compiled, prepared and arranged by JPMorgan through expenditure of substantial time, effort and money and constitute valuable intellectual property and trade secrets of JPMorgan. The JPMorgan EMBI Index shall not be used in a manner that would infringe the property rights of JPMorgan or others or violate the laws, tariffs, or regulations of any country.

LIPPER: Performance data was supplied by Lipper, A Thomson Reuters Company, subject to the following: Copyright 2010 © Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved. Any copying, republication or redistribution of Lipper Information, including by caching, framing or similar means, is expressly prohibited without the prior written consent of Lipper. Lipper shall not be liable for any errors or delays in the Information, or for any actions taken in reliance thereon. Lipper performance data is total return, and is preliminary and subject to revision. The data contained herein has been obtained from company reports, financial reporting services, periodicals, and other resources believed to be reasonable. Although carefully verified, data on compilations is not guaranteed by Lipper Inc. - A Reuters Company and may be incomplete. No offer or solicitations to buy or sell any of the securities herein is being made by Lipper. Portions of the information contained in this report was derived by Mercer using Content supplied by Lipper, A Thomson Reuters Company.

MERRILL LYNCH: *The Merrill Lynch Indices are used with permission. Copyright 2010, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. All rights reserved. The Merrill Lynch Indices may not be copied, used, or distributed without Merrill Lynch's prior written approval.*

This Product is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch makes no guarantees, representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, to any person, including, without limitation, any member of the public regarding the use of the Indices in the Product, the advisability of investing in securities generally or of the ability of the Index to track any market performance. Merrill Lynch's only relationship to Mellon Analytical Solutions or any other person or entity in respect to this Product is limited to the licensing of the Merrill Lynch Indices, which are determined, composed, and calculated by Merrill Lynch without regard to Mellon Analytical Solutions or this Product. Merrill Lynch retains exclusive ownership of the Indices and the programs and trademarks used in connection with the Indices. Merrill Lynch has no obligation to take the needs of Mellon Analytical Solutions or the purchasers, investors or participants in the Product into consideration in determining, composing or calculating the Indices, nor shall Merrill Lynch have any obligation to continue to calculate or provide the Indices in the future. Merrill Lynch may, in its absolute discretion and without prior notice, revise or terminate the Indices at any time. IN NO EVENT SHALL MERRILL LYNCH OR ANY OF ITS PARTNERS, AFFILIATES, EMPLOYEES, OFFICERS, DIRECTORS OR AGENTS HAVE ANY LIABILITY TO ANY PERSON OR ENTITY FOR ANY INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOST PROFITS.

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE: Moody's © Copyright 2010, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's). Moody's ratings ("Ratings") are proprietary to Moody's or its affiliates and are protected by copyright and other intellectual property laws. Ratings are licensed to Distributor by Moody's. RATINGS MAY NOT BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. Moody's® is a registered trademark of Moody's Investors Service, Inc..

MSCI®: Portions of this report are copyright MSCI 2010. Unpublished. All Rights Reserved. This information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used to create any financial instruments or products or any indices. This information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of this information. Neither MSCI, any of its affiliates or any other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating this information makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such information or the results to be obtained by the use thereof, and MSCI, its affiliates and each such other person hereby expressly disclaim all warranties (including, without limitation, all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, any of its affiliates or any other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating this information have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including, without limitation, lost profits) even if notified of, or if it might otherwise have anticipated, the possibility of such damages. MSCI is a registered trademark of MSCI, Inc.

NAREIT: NAREIT® is the exclusive registered mark of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts.

NCREIF: All NCREIF Data - Copyright by the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries. This information is proprietary and may not be reported in whole or in part without written permission.

STANDARD & POOR'S: Standard & Poor's information contained in this document is subject to change without notice. Standard & Poor's cannot guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of the information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for results obtained from use of such information. Standard & Poor's makes no warranties or merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. In no event shall Standard & Poor's be liable for direct, indirect or incidental, special or consequential damages from the information here regardless or whether such damages were foreseen or unforeseen.

WILSHIRE ASSOCIATES: Copyright © 2010 Wilshire Associates Incorporated.

MERCER



MARSH MERCER KROLL
GUY CARPENTER OLIVER WYMAN