

Introduction to LLVM compiler framework

Stefano Cherubin

Politecnico di Milano

12-04-2017

This material is strongly based on material produced by Michele Scandale and Ettore Speziale for the course 'Code Optimizations and Transformations'.

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography

Compilers and compilers

Approaching to compilers, we need to understand the difference between a *toy-compiler* and *production-quality compiler*.

Toy Compiler

- small code-base
- easy doing tiny edits
- impossible doing normal/big edits

Production-Quality Compiler

- huge code-base
- difficult performing any kind of edits
- compiler-code extremely optimized

Key concepts:

- working with a production-quality compiler is *initially hard*, but ...
- ... an huge set of tools for analyzing/transforming/testing code is provided – toy compilers *miss these things*!

LLVM: Low Level Virtual Machine

Initially started as a research project at Urbana-Champaign:

- now intensively used for **researches** involving compilers
- key technology for **leading industries** – AMD, Apple, Intel, NVIDIA

If you are there, then it is **your key-technology**:


- open-source compilers: Open64 [1], GCC [2], LLVM [3]
- LLVM is relatively **young** – GCC performances are better – but ...
- ...it is highly modular, well written, kept *clean* by developers.

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization**
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography

Compiler pipeline

Typically a compiler is a **pipeline**:



There are three main components:

- Front-end** **translate** a source file in the **intermediate representation**
- Middle-end** **analyze** intermediate representation, **optimize** it
- Back-end** **generate** target machine assembly from the intermediate representation

Compiler pipeline

Internal pipelines

Each component is composed internally by pipelines:

- simple model of computations – **read** something, **produce** something
- only needed to specify **how to transform** input data into output data

Complexity lies on **chaining** together stages.

Compiler pipeline

We will consider only the *middle-end*: same concepts are valid also for {front,back}-end.

Technical terms:

Pass a pipeline stage

IR (a.k.a. Intermediate Representation) is the language used in the middle-end.

The **pass manager** manages a set of passes:

- build the compilation pipeline: **schedule** passes together according to **dependencies**.

Dependencies are **hints** used by the pass manager in order to schedule passes.

First insights

A compiler is **complex**:

- passes are the **elementary unit of work**
- pass manager must be **advisee** about pass chaining
- pipeline shapes are **not fixed** – it can change from one compiler execution to another ¹

Moreover, compilers must be **conservative**:

- apply a transformation only if program **semantic is preserved**

Compiler algorithms are designed differently w.r.t. standard algorithms!

¹e.g. optimized/not optimized builds, compiler options, ...

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design**
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography

Classical Algorithm Design

Dealing with algorithm design, a good approach is the following:

- 1 study the problem
- 2 make some example
- 3 identify the **common case**
- 4 derive the algorithm for the common case
- 5 add handling for **corner cases**
- 6 improve performing **optimizing the common case**

Weakness of the approach:

- **corner cases** – a *correct* algorithm **must** consider *all the corner cases*!

Compiler Algorithm Design

Be Conservative

Corner cases are difficult to handle:

- compiler algorithms must be **proved** to preserve program semantic
- having a common methodology helps on that

Compiler algorithms are built combining three kind of **passes**:

- analysis
- optimization
- (normalization)

Compiler Algorithm Design

Be Conservative

Corner cases are difficult to handle:

- compiler algorithms must be **proved** to preserve program semantic
- having a common methodology helps on that

Compiler algorithms are built combining three kind of **passes**:

- analysis
- optimization
- (normalization)

We now consider a simple example: *loop hoisting*.

Loop Hoisting

It is a transformation that:

- looks for statements (inside the loop) not depending on the loop state
- move them outside the loop body

Loop Hoisting – Before

```
do {  
  a += i;  
  b = c;  
  i++;  
} while (i < k);
```

Loop Hoisting – After

```
b = c;  
do {  
  a += i;  
  i++;  
} while (i < k);
```

Loop Hoisting

Focus on the Transformation

Transformation

The transformation is trivial:

- move “good” statement outside of the loop

This is the **optimization pass**. It needs to know:

- which pieces of code are loops
- which statements are “good” statements

They are **analysis** passes:

- detecting loops in the program
- detecting loop-independent statements

When registering loop hoisting, also declare needed analysis:

- pipeline automatically built: analysis → optimization

Loop Hoisting

Proving Program Semantic Preservation

The **proof** is trivial:

- transformation is correct if analysis are correct, but ...
- ... usually analysis are built starting from other analysis already implemented inside the compiler

You have to prove that combining all analysis information gives you a correct view of the code:

- analysis information cannot induce optimization passes applying a transformation not preserving program semantic

Loop Hoisting

More Loops

We have spoken about loops, but which kind of loop?

- **do-while** loops?
- **while** loop?
- **for** loops?

We have seen loop hoisting on:

- **do-while** loops

What about other kinds of loops?

- they must be normalized – i.e. transformed to **do-while** loops

Normalization passes do that:

- before running loop hoisting, you must tell to the pass manager that loop normalization must be run before

This allows to recognize more loops, thus potentially **improving optimization impact!**

Compiler Algorithm Design

A methodology

You have to:

- 1 analyze the problem
- 2 make some examples
- 3 detect the common case
- 4 declare the **input format**
- 5 declare **analysis** you need
- 6 design an **optimization** pass
- 7 proof its **correctness**
- 8 improve algorithm performance by acting on common case – the only considered up to now. Please notice that corner cases are not considered – just do not optimize corner cases
- 9 improve the effectiveness of the algorithm by adding **normalization passes**

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM**
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography

Terminology

Speaking About LLVM IR

LLVM IR comes with 3 different flavours:

`assembly` human-readable format

`bitcode` binary on-disk machine-oriented format

`in-memory` binary in-memory format, used during compilation process

All formats have the same expressiveness!

File extensions:

`.ll` for assembly files

`.bc` for bitcode files

Tools

C Language Family Front-end

Writing LLVM assembly by hand is unfeasible:

- different front-ends available for LLVM
- use Clang [4] for the C family

The clang driver is compatible with GCC:

- \approx same command line options

To generate LLVM IR:

```
assembly clang -emit-llvm -S -o out.ll in.c
```

```
bitcode clang -emit-llvm -o out.bc in.c
```

It can also generate native code starting from LLVM assembly or LLVM bitcode – like compiling an assembly file with GCC

Tools

Playing with LLVM Passes

LLVM IR can be manipulated using `opt`:

- read an input file
- run specified LLVM passes on it
- respecting user-provided order

Useful passes:

- print CFG with `opt -view-cfg input.ll`
- print dominator tree with `opt -view-dom input.ll`
- ...

Pass chaining:

- run *mem2reg*, then view the CFG with
`opt -mem2reg -view-cfg input.ll`
- potentially different results using different option order
(**phase/stage ordering**)


Pass Hierarchy

LLVM provides a lot of passes:

- `try opt -help`

For performance reasons there are different kind of passes:

LLVM Passes



LLVM Passes

Each pass kind visits particular elements of a module:

`ImmutablePass` compiler configuration – never run

`CallGraphSCCPass` post-order visit of CallGraph SCCs

`ModulePass` visit the whole module

`FunctionPass` visit functions

`LoopPass` post-order visit of loop nests

`BasicBlockPass` visit basic blocks

Specializations comes with restrictions:

- e.g. a `FunctionPass` cannot add or delete functions
- refer to “Writing a LLVM Pass” [5] for accurate description of features and limitations of each kind of pass

What is Available Inside LLVM?

LLVM provides passes performing basic transformations:

- variables promotion
- loops canonicalization
- ...

They can be used to **normalize/canonicalize** the input

- transform into a form analyzable for further passes
- it is essential because keeps passes implementation manageable

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language**
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography

LLVM IR

LLVM IR [6] language is RISC-based:

- instructions operates on **variables**²
- only **load** and **store** access memory
- **alloca** used to reserve memory on function stacks

There are also few **high level instructions**:

- function call – **call**
- pointer arithmetics – **getelementptr**
- ...

²Virtual registers

LLVM IR

Types & Variables

LLVM IR is **strongly typed**:

- e.g. you cannot assign a floating point value to an integer variable without an explicit cast

Almost everything is **typed** – e.g.:

functions @fact – i32 (i32)

statements %3 = **icmp eq** i32 %2, 0 – i1

A variable can be:

global @var = **common global** i32 0, **align** 4

function parameter **define** i32 @fact(i32 %n)

local %2 = **load** i32, i32* %1, **align** 4

Local variables are defined by statements

LLVM IR

Example: factorial

```

define i32 @fact(i32 %n) {
entry:
    %retval = alloca i32, align 4
    %n.addr = alloca i32, align 4
    store i32 %n, i32* %n.addr, align 4
    %0 = load i32, i32* %n.addr, align 4
    %cmp = icmp eq i32 %0, 0
    br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.end

if.then:
    store i32 1, i32* %retval, align 4
    br label %return

if.end:
    %1 = load i32, i32* %n.addr, align 4
    %2 = load i32, i32* %n.addr, align 4
    %sub = sub nsw i32 %2, 1
    %call = call i32 @fact(i32 %sub)
    %mul = mul nsw i32 %1, %call
    store i32 %mul, i32* %retval, align 4
    br label %return

return:
    %3 = load i32, i32* %retval, align 4
    ret i32 %3
}

```

LLVM IR Language

Static Single Assignment

LLVM IR is SSA-based:

- every variable is **statically assigned** exactly **once**

Statically means that:

- inside each function
- for each variable `%foo`
- there is only one statement in the form `%foo = ...`

Static is different from dynamic:

- a static assignment can be executed more than once

Static Single Assignment

Examples

Scalar SAXPY

```
float saxpy(float a, float x, float y) {  
    return a * x + y;  
}
```

Scalar LLVM SAXPY

```
define float @saxpy(float %a, float %x, float %y) {  
    %1 = fmul float %a, %x  
    %2 = fadd float %1, %y  
    ret float %2  
}
```

Temporary %1 not reused! %2 is used for the second assignment!

Static Single Assignment

Examples

Array SAXPY

```
void saxpy(float a, float x[4], float y[4], float z[4]) {
    for(unsigned i = 0; i < 4; ++i)
        z[i] = a * x[i] + y[i];
}
```

Array LLVM SAXPY

```
for.cond:
    %i.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %inc, %for.inc ]
    %cmp = icmp ult i32 %i.0, 4
    br i1 %cmp, label %for.body, label %for.end

    ...

for.inc:
    %inc = add i32 %i.0, 1
    br label %for.cond
```

One assignment for loop counter `%i.0`

Static Single Assignment

Handling Multiple Assignments

Max

```
float max(float a, float b) {
    return a > b ? a : b;
}
```

LLVM Max – Bad

```
%1 = fcmp ogt float %a, %b
br i1 %1, label %if.then, label %if.else
if.then:
    %2 = %a
    br label %if.end
if.else:
    %2 = %b
    br label %if.end
if.end:
    ret float %2
```

Why is it bad?

Static Single Assignment

Use **phi** to Avoid Troubles

The `%2` variable must be statically set once

LLVM Max

```
%1 = fcmp ogt float %a, %b
br i1 %1, label %if.then, label %if.end
if.then:
br label %if.end
if.else:
br label %if.end
if.end:
%2 = phi float [ %a, %if.then ], [ %b, %if.else ]
ret float %2
```

The **phi** instruction is a *conditional move*:

- it takes $(variable_i, label_i)$ pairs
- if coming from predecessor identified by $label_i$, its value is $variable_i$

Static Single Assignment

Definition and Uses

Each SSA variable is set only once:

- variable **definition**

Each SSA variable can be used by multiple instructions:

- variable **uses**

Algorithms and technical language abuse of these terms:

Let `%foo` be a variable. If `%foo` definition has not side-effects, and no uses, dead-code elimination can be efficiently performed by erasing `%foo` definition from the CFG.

Static Single Assignment

Rationale

Old compilers are not SSA-based:

- putting input into SSA-form is expensive
- cost must be amortized

New compilers are SSA-based:

- SSA easier to work with
- SSA-based analysis/optimizations faster

All modern compilers are SSA-based:

- exception are old version of the HotSpot Client compiler

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions**
- 7 Bibliography

Conclusions

LLVM is a **production-quality** compiler framework:

⇒ impossible knowing all details

But:

- is well organized
- if you know compilers theory is “easy” finding what you need inside sources

Please take into account C++:

- basic skills required

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Compiler organization
- 3 Algorithm design
- 4 Inside LLVM
- 5 LLVM-IR language
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 Bibliography**

Bibliography I



AMD.

Open64.

<http://developer.amd.com/tools-and-sdks/cpu-development/x86-open64-compiler-suite>.



GNU.

GNU Compiler Collection.

<http://gcc.gnu.org>.



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Low Level Virtual Machine.

<http://www.llvm.org>.



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Clang: a C language family frontend for LLVM.

<http://clang.llvm.org>.

Bibliography II



Chris Lattner and Jim Laskey.

Writing an LLVM Pass.

<http://llvm.org/docs/WritingAnLLVMPass.html>.



Chris Lattner and Vikram Adve.

LLVM Language Reference Manual.

<http://llvm.org/docs/LangRef.html>.



Linus Torvalds.

Re: SCO: "thread creation is about a thousand times faster than onnative.

<https://lkml.org/lkml/2000/8/25/132>.



Bruce Eckel.

Thinking in C++ – Volume One: Introduction to Standard C++.

<http://mindview.net/Books/TICPP/ThinkingInCPP2e.html>.

Bibliography III



Bruce Eckel and Chuck Allison.

Thinking in C++ – Volume Two: Practical Programming.

<http://mindview.net/Books/TICPP/ThinkingInCPP2e.html>.



John T. Criswell, Daniel Dunbar, Reid Spencer, and Tanya Lattner.

LLVM Testing Infrastructure Guide.

<http://llvm.org/docs/TestingGuide.html>.



LLVM Community.

LLVM Coding Standards.

<http://llvm.org/docs/CodingStandards.html>.



LLVM Community.

LLVM Passes.

<http://llvm.org/docs/Passes.html>.

Bibliography IV



LLVM Community.

Autovectorization in LLVM.

<http://llvm.org/docs/Vectorizers.html>.



LLVM Community.

LLVM Programmer's Manual.

<http://llvm.org/docs/ProgrammersManual.html>.



Ettore Speziale.

Compiler Optimization and Transformation Passes.

<https://github.com/speziale-ettore/COTPasses>.



Scott Chacon.

Pro Git.

<http://git-scm.com/book>.