Q1 Please enter your team id (please use exactly the same string you used in your submission file(s)).

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	GermEvalFinalParticipant Universität Regensburg MaxS	7/2/2021 10:45 AM
2	GermEvalFinalParticipant FH-SWF_SG	7/1/2021 3:24 PM
3	GermEvalFinalParticipant SLT	7/1/2021 2:22 PM
4	GermEvalFinalParticipant TUW-Inf	7/1/2021 11:09 AM
5	GermEvalFinalParticipant HunterSpeechLab	7/1/2021 8:35 AM
6	GermEvalFinalParticipant Data Science Kitchen	7/1/2021 8:11 AM
7	GermEvalFinalParticipant ur-iw-hnt	7/1/2021 2:13 AM
8	GermEvalFinalParticipant WLV-RIT	6/30/2021 11:48 PM
9	GermEvalFinalParticipant UPAppliedCL	6/30/2021 8:04 PM
10	GermEvalFinalParticipant ait_fhstp	6/30/2021 5:20 PM
11	GermEvalFinalParticipant IRCologne	6/30/2021 4:52 PM
12	GermEvalFinalParticipant DeTox	6/30/2021 12:06 PM
13	GermEvalFinalParticipant Precog-LTRC-IIITH	6/29/2021 6:19 PM
14	GermEvalFinalParticipant FHAC	6/29/2021 11:21 AM
15	GermEvalFinalParticipant UR@NLP_A_Team	6/28/2021 4:33 PM

Q3 Please enter your affiliation(s).

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Universität Regensburg	7/2/2021 10:45 AM
2	Fachhochschule Südwestfalen	7/1/2021 3:24 PM
3	DFKI	7/1/2021 2:22 PM
4	Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien)	7/1/2021 11:09 AM
5	Graduate Center CUNY, Hunter College CUNY	7/1/2021 8:35 AM
6	Data Science Kitchen	7/1/2021 8:11 AM
7	University of Regensburg	7/1/2021 2:13 AM
8	University of Wolverhampton	6/30/2021 11:48 PM
9	University of Potsdam	6/30/2021 8:04 PM
10	Austrian Institute of Technology & University of Applied Sciences Saint Poelten Austria	6/30/2021 5:20 PM
11	TH Köln	6/30/2021 4:52 PM
12	Hochschule Darmstadt, Hochschule Mittweida	6/30/2021 12:06 PM
13	Precog, LTRC, IIIT Hyderabad	6/29/2021 6:19 PM
14	FH Aachen University of Applied Sciences	6/29/2021 11:21 AM
15	University of Regensburg, Germany	6/28/2021 4:33 PM

Q4 How many people are in your team?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	1	7/2/2021 10:45 AM
2	2	7/1/2021 3:24 PM
3	3	7/1/2021 2:22 PM
4	2	7/1/2021 11:09 AM
5	2	7/1/2021 8:35 AM
6	4	7/1/2021 8:11 AM
7	1	7/1/2021 2:13 AM
8	3	6/30/2021 11:48 PM
9	2	6/30/2021 8:04 PM
10	6	6/30/2021 5:20 PM
11	2	6/30/2021 4:52 PM
12	6	6/30/2021 12:06 PM
13	3	6/29/2021 6:19 PM
14	3	6/29/2021 11:21 AM
15	1	6/28/2021 4:33 PM

Q5 Which position does the majority of your team members have? Please mark one of the following options.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bachelor/Master students	40.00%	6
PhD students	26.67%	4
Postdocs or faculty members	6.67%	1
Industry	6.67%	1
Other (please specify)	20.00%	3
TOTAL		15

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	machine learning engineer	7/1/2021 2:22 PM
2	PhD student and Postdoc	7/1/2021 11:09 AM
3	1 PhD student + 1 Professor	6/30/2021 8:04 PM

Q6 Where do your main interest(s) lie? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Machine Learning	86.67%	13
Linguistic Modeling/Feature Engineering	46.67%	7
Lexical Semantics/Lexicon Building	13.33%	2
NLP for Social Media	73.33%	11
Hate Speech Detection	46.67%	7
Fake News Detection	60.00%	9
Computational Sociology	13.33%	2
Sentiment Analysis	26.67%	4
Discourse Analysis	6.67%	1
Implicit Phenomena in NLP	13.33%	2
Figurative Language	13.33%	2
Other (please specify)	26.67%	4
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	NLP Libraries & Tools	7/1/2021 3:24 PM
2	Fact-Checking	7/1/2021 2:13 AM
3	Argument Mining	6/30/2021 8:04 PM
4	Time Series Modeling/Feature Engineering	6/29/2021 11:21 AM

Q7 Which subtask did you participate in? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Subtask I -- Toxic Comment Classification	80.00%	12
Subtask II -- Engaging Comment Classification	60.00%	9
Subtask III -- Fact-Claiming Comment Classification	73.33%	11
Total Respondents: 15		

Q8 How did you come across this shared task? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We read the call-for-participation on some mailing list (e.g. corpora-list).	26.67%	4
One of the organizers informed us about this shared task.	0.00%	0
Our supervisor/course instructor encouraged us to participate in this shared task.	40.00%	6
Other (please specify)	33.33%	5
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	In discusson on Whova app during NAACL 2021	7/1/2021 8:35 AM
2	Konvens website	7/1/2021 8:11 AM
3	Internet research	6/30/2021 5:20 PM
4	We know about GermEval from publications	6/30/2021 4:52 PM
5	We were aware of the GermEval competitions and actively searched for this year's competition on the Internet.	6/29/2021 11:21 AM

Q9 Did you have some experience with related tasks (e.g. the automatic detection of toxic language) before? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
No.	13.33%	2
No, but we are interested in social linguistics.	6.67%	1
No, but we are interested in NLP for social media.	40.00%	6
No, but we are familiar with text classification tasks.	46.67%	7
No, but we are familiar with sentiment analysis.	26.67%	4
Yes, we attended a course on this topic in the past.	20.00%	3
Yes, we taught some course on this topic in the past.	13.33%	2
Yes, we participated in GermEval-2018.	6.67%	1
Yes, we participated in GermEval-2019 (Shared Task 2 -- Identification of offensive language).	0.00%	0
Yes, we participated in a very similar shared task which was run on another language.	26.67%	4
Yes, we even published some paper/article on this task.	13.33%	2
Total Respondents: 15		

Q10 What type of classification approach did you pursue? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Rule-based approach	6.67%	1
Supervised-learning approach (including Deep Learning)	93.33%	14
Other (please specify)	6.67%	1
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Union of deep learning based and rule based approach	7/1/2021 11:22 AM

Q11 What training data did you use for a supervised-learning approach? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not pursue a supervised-learning approach.	0.00%	0
We used the training data provided by previous editions of GermEval (e.g. GermEval-2019).	20.00%	3
We used the new training data provided by this year's shared task.	100.00%	15
We used other German training data.	33.33%	5
We used other English training data.	13.33%	2
Total Respondents: 15		

#	IN CASE YOU USED OTHER GERMAN/ENGLISH TRAINING DATA, PLEASE SPECIFY THEM.	DATE
1	Synthetically generated data	7/2/2021 10:56 AM
2	We used pre-trained models from huggingface.co	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
3	resources from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10579-020-09502-8?utm_source=toc&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=toc_10579_55_2&utm_content=etoc_springer_20210525	7/1/2021 2:27 PM
4	https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/ClaimBuster_A_Benchmark_Dataset_of_Check-worthy_Factual_Claims/11635293/1?file=21102201	6/30/2021 5:28 PM

Q12 What types of classifier(s) did you use for supervised learning? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not pursue a supervised-learning approach.	0.00%	0
Support Vector Machines	20.00%	3
Logistic Regression	20.00%	3
Random Forests	13.33%	2
Conditional Random Fields	0.00%	0
Decision Trees	6.67%	1
Deep Learning/Neural Networks	100.00%	15
Other (please specify)	13.33%	2
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Models based on the transformer architecture (BERT, Electra, GPT)	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
2	Boosting	6/30/2021 8:16 PM

Q13 Did you use some form of ensemble method in your approach?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
No.	46.67%	7
Yes (please specify the type of ensemble)	53.33%	8
TOTAL		15

#	YES (PLEASE SPECIFY THE TYPE OF ENSEMBLE)	DATE
1	Union of deep learning based approach and high precision rule based approach	7/1/2021 11:22 AM
2	Majority Voting	7/1/2021 8:49 AM
3	Majority/Hard Voting	7/1/2021 2:34 AM
4	AdaBoost, Boosting	6/30/2021 8:16 PM
5	rule-based labeling functions in combination with supervised classifiers	6/30/2021 5:05 PM
6	stacking	6/29/2021 6:33 PM
7	We used soft majority voting together with Deep Learning models.	6/29/2021 11:40 AM
8	majority voting ensemble	6/28/2021 4:48 PM

Q14 Did you use deep learning/neural networks? If so, what type of classifier did you use? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not use deep learning/neural networks.	6.67%	1
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)	13.33%	2
Gated Recurrent Unit neural networks (GRU)	6.67%	1
Long Short-Term Memory neural networks (LSTM)	13.33%	2
Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) -- if not GRU or LSTM	0.00%	0
BERT, RoBERTa, ELMo and/or ULMFiT	93.33%	14
Other (please specify)	13.33%	2
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	ELECTRA, GPT	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
2	Multi-Layer Perceptron (Keras Sequential)	6/30/2021 5:39 PM

Q15 On what aspect did you focus when you built your system?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Design of features	33.33%	5
Tuning parameters of a (supervised) classifier	60.00%	9
Other (please specify)	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Multi-lingual approach	7/1/2021 2:34 AM

Q16 Did you use any (pre-trained) language model (e.g. BERT)? If so, what specific model did you employ? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not employ any pre-trained language model.	6.67%	1
We employed a (pre-trained) language model that is publicly available.	93.33%	14
We induced a new language model for this task.	6.67%	1
We used a multi-lingual language model.	33.33%	5
Total Respondents: 15		

#	IN CASE YOU USED A PUBLICLY AVAILABLE LANGUAG MODEL, PLEASE SPECIFICY THE MODEL. (IN CASE YOU INDUCED THE MODEL YOURSELF, PLEASE SPECIFY THE CORPUS/CORPORA ON WHICH YOU INDUCED MODEL.)	DATE
1	multilingual cased BERT, was fine-tuned using both provided and generated data	7/2/2021 10:56 AM
2	benjamin/gerpt2-large, deepset/gelectra-large and some other from huggingface.co	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
3	XLM-Roberta, MT5 and GBert (Deepset)	7/1/2021 2:27 PM
4	https://github.com/flairNLP/flair und https://huggingface.co/dbmdz/bert-base-german-cased	7/1/2021 8:49 AM
5	Multilingual BERT and German BERT	7/1/2021 8:39 AM
6	BERT and some of its variants and different pre-trained flavors	7/1/2021 2:34 AM
7	gBERT and gELECTRA	6/30/2021 11:50 PM
8	https://deepset.ai/german-bert	6/30/2021 8:16 PM
9	BERT-base-german-cased, induced with 1156458 self-collected german tweets from 2019 (re-trained the original pre-trained model before fine-tuning).	6/30/2021 5:39 PM

10	https://tfhub.dev/tensorflow/bert_multi_cased_L-12_H-768_A-12/4	6/30/2021 5:28 PM
11	https://huggingface.co/dbmdz/bert-base-german-uncased https://huggingface.co/german-nlp-group/electra-base-german-uncased https://huggingface.co/jplu/tf-xlm-roberta-large	6/29/2021 6:33 PM
12	GBERT, GELECTRA, GottBERT	6/29/2021 11:40 AM
13	MultiBert , Roberta, LaBSe	6/28/2021 4:48 PM

Q17 Did you consider any subword analysis (e.g. character n-grams, morphological decomposition) in your approach (for these purposes, lemmatization or stemming does not count as subword analysis)?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	26.67%	4
No	73.33%	11
TOTAL		15

#	IN CASE YOU CARRIED OUT SUBWORD ANALYSIS, PLEASE SPECIFY WHAT YOU DID:	DATE
1	BERT Tokenization?	7/2/2021 10:56 AM
2	Only implicitly through the choice of the pre-trained models which use bpe-based tokenizers.	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
3	We also learned writing style embeddings based on word and character embeddings	7/1/2021 8:49 AM
4	Punctuation Count; Exclamation Count; Question Mark Count; Punctuation/Word-Ratio; Exclamation/Word-Ratio; Question Mark/Word-Ratio; Capslock/Word-Ratio	6/30/2021 5:39 PM
5	n-grams	6/30/2021 5:05 PM

Q18 Did you employ any task-specific lexicon, i.e. some lexicon of toxic words? (A simple list of toxic words is also regarded as a task-specific lexicon.) If so, describe that lexicon.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not use any task-specific lexicon.	73.33%	11
We created a new lexicon.	13.33%	2
We used a publicly available lexicon.	26.67%	4
Total Respondents: 15		

#	IN CASE YOU USED A PUBLICLY AVAILABLE LEXICON, PLEASE SPECIFY IT:	DATE
1	Pre-trained Twitter models if applicable to this question	7/1/2021 2:34 AM
2	https://www.kaggle.com/rtatman/german-sentiment-analysis-toolkit	6/30/2021 8:16 PM
3	emojis-library, emosent	6/30/2021 5:39 PM
4	SentiWS	6/29/2021 6:33 PM

Q19 Did you create a new task-specific lexicon? If so, how? Please mark only one option.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not create any task-specific lexicon.	86.67%	13
We created the lexicon manually.	0.00%	0
We created the lexicon automatically.	0.00%	0
We created the lexicon semi-automatically.	13.33%	2
TOTAL		15

Q20 If you used a task-specific lexicon, please specify its size (number of word entries).

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not use a task-specific lexicon.	73.33%	11
The size of our lexicon was:	26.67%	4
TOTAL		15

#	THE SIZE OF OUR LEXICON WAS:	DATE
1	185	7/1/2021 11:22 AM
2	31281	6/30/2021 8:16 PM
3	Sentiment: 9424 entries; Offensive Words: 3546 entries	6/30/2021 5:39 PM
4	16,406 positive and 16,328 negative word forms	6/29/2021 6:33 PM

Q21 Contents of the task-specific lexicon? Please mark all that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Unigrams	33.33%	5
Bigrams	0.00%	0
Trigrams	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	66.67%	10
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	None	7/2/2021 10:56 AM
2	none	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
3	No task-specific lexicon	7/1/2021 2:27 PM
4	Unigrams, bigrams and trigrams	7/1/2021 11:22 AM
5	Tokenization by BERT and some Regex only	7/1/2021 2:34 AM
6	none	6/30/2021 5:28 PM
7	none	6/30/2021 5:05 PM
8	Sentiment Scores	6/29/2021 6:33 PM
9	We did not use a task-specific lexicon.	6/29/2021 11:40 AM
10	none	6/28/2021 4:48 PM

Q22 What type of general-purpose lexicons (e.g. GermaNet) did you use for your system? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not employ any general-purpose lexicon.	86.67%	13
GermaNet	0.00%	0
Wiktionary	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	13.33%	2
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	mBERT and German BERT vocabulary	7/1/2021 8:39 AM
2	nltk stopwords, snowball stemmer	6/30/2021 5:05 PM

Q23 Information used from general-purpose lexicons.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
We did not employ any general-purpose lexicon.	93.33%	14
We used the following information provided by the resource (e.g. GermaNet synsets or hyperonymy relations):	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

#	WE USED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE RESOURCE (E.G. GERMANET SYNSETS OR HYPERONYMY RELATIONS):	DATE
1	for text pre-processing	6/30/2021 5:05 PM

Q24 Did you pre-train your classifier on a specific related task (e.g. sentiment analysis)?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
No	80.00%	12
Yes, please specify the task	20.00%	3
TOTAL		15

#	YES, PLEASE SPECIFY THE TASK	DATE
1	Hate speech detection	7/1/2021 2:27 PM
2	BERT Embeddings and Writing Style Embeddings	7/1/2021 8:49 AM
3	Hate Speech Detection	6/30/2021 5:39 PM

Q25 Please specify the IT resources you trained and run your system.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
On my laptop/desktop machine	33.33%	5
Some non-public compute server	73.33%	11
Google colab	40.00%	6
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q26 Do you think that the quality of your approach could be improved if you had access to more powerful computing resources (e.g. stronger GPUs)?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	46.67%	7
No	20.00%	3
Don't know	33.33%	5
TOTAL		15

Q27 What, in your view, is the most important/effective component (e.g. feature, rule, classifier etc.) of your system?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Transformers architecture	7/2/2021 10:56 AM
2	Pre-trained models being used. Unfortunately, models available for German are inferior to available models for English.	7/1/2021 3:32 PM
3	Pre-training and data augmentation	7/1/2021 2:27 PM
4	I think the classifier is the most effective, but it can be improved with the rule based methods.	7/1/2021 11:22 AM
5	features	7/1/2021 8:49 AM
6	freezing or unfreezing pre-trained BERT layers	7/1/2021 8:39 AM
7	It's usually features and classifiers, rules are dependent on classifiers	7/1/2021 2:34 AM
8	classifier	6/30/2021 11:50 PM
9	The pretrained and fine-tuned features, i.e. BERT document embeddings	6/30/2021 8:16 PM
10	The additional datasets during fine-tuning.	6/30/2021 5:39 PM
11	classifier	6/30/2021 5:28 PM
12	classifier and feature engineering	6/30/2021 5:05 PM
13	features, ensembling	6/29/2021 6:33 PM
14	We observed that large, pre-trained language models (BERT, ELECTRA type models) that are fine-tuned on the training data at hand are superior to other approaches such as: a) feature engineering and shallow classifiers (such as logistic regression or a multi-layer perceptron) b) pre-trained language models (BERT, GELECTRA) that are not fine-tuned to the data at hand but used to create feature vectors which are then used in a shallow classifier (such as logistic regression, multi-layer perceptron)	6/29/2021 11:40 AM
15	Classifier	6/28/2021 4:48 PM

Q28 Would your team be participating in another edition of this shared task in the future?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, definitely	60.00%	9
Perhaps	40.00%	6
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

Q29 If you were interested in another edition, what should be changed about the setting? Please mark all answers that apply.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
No, we are not interested in another edition of the shared task.	0.00%	0
We simply need much more training data.	80.00%	12
Please get some data other than Facebook (and Twitter).	20.00%	3
The task should be run on several domains.	33.33%	5
The annotation quality of Subtask I should be improved.	26.67%	4
The annotation quality of Subtask II should be improved.	6.67%	1
The annotation quality of Subtask III should be improved.	6.67%	1
The shared task with its three present subtasks should be the same as before.	13.33%	2
The shared task should maintain its 3 subtasks, but there should be at least some further (optional) subtask.	26.67%	4
Please get rid of Subtask I.	0.00%	0
Please get rid of Subask II.	0.00%	0
Please get rid of Subtask III	0.00%	0
There should be another subtask on Fake News Detection on German language data.	40.00%	6
There should be a subtask where the outcome to be predicted is not categorical but numerical.	26.67%	4
The task should be run on several languages, not just German.	33.33%	5
The dataset should be sampled in a more unbiased manner.	53.33%	8
Total Respondents: 15		

#	OTHER SUGGESTIONS:	DATE
1	Anonymized, distinct labels might be useful to re-create possible structures within the comments? i.e. @USER5472, @MODERATOR42	7/2/2021 11:00 AM
2	I tried to use data from GermEval 2018/19 as training data for subtask 1, but that did not improve the quality of the model. There seem to be subtle differences between "toxic" and "offensive" comments. The "engaging" category is somewhat loosely defined – I expect that humans annotators may disagree in several cases.	7/1/2021 3:38 PM
3	Some of the annotations were seemingly off without the context of the original post the comment was attached to. I think, if we are expected to recognize toxicity or engaging comments without context, the given data should come with the anonymized context.	7/1/2021 11:44 AM
4	It would be nice to have a public leaderboard and a private leaderboard to evaluate the systems.	6/29/2021 6:36 PM
5	It would be great if organizers could provide a public leaderboard to participants.	6/29/2021 11:43 AM

Q30 What suggestions do you have for improving the administration of the shared task?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	-	7/2/2021 11:00 AM
2	Well done! Thank you for organizing this task!	7/1/2021 3:38 PM
3	I have no suggestion, it was good.	7/1/2021 2:28 PM
4	I have no suggestions.	7/1/2021 11:44 AM
5	No	7/1/2021 8:51 AM
6	None. The slack channel was super useful, thanks for creating that!	7/1/2021 8:40 AM
7	Editing submission uploads on CodaLabs before the deadline SHOULD be possible if someone messes up like me this time. I just hope that you can help me sort it out for this one.	7/1/2021 2:38 AM
8	Nothing, It was perfect	6/30/2021 11:51 PM
9	So far, I did not ran in any problems.	6/30/2021 8:18 PM
10	All good :)	6/30/2021 5:43 PM
11	The documentation of the submission system could be improved	6/30/2021 5:30 PM
12	A better description of submission format when single subtask is selected	6/30/2021 5:07 PM
13	Nothing. It was great.	6/29/2021 6:36 PM
14	It was great that organizers provided a slack channel for answering questions. This was very helpful. Thank you very much!	6/29/2021 11:43 AM
15	More training data. Also a balanced dataset. It seems the test set was much larger than training data given by far.	6/28/2021 4:50 PM