Under consideration for publication in Theory and Practice of Logic Sol ving Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problems Using Logic Programming Tie p Le , Tr an Cao Son , En ric o P onte lli , William Ye oh Computer Science Department Mexico State University Las Cru ces , NM , 8 800 1 , USA E - mail : { tile , t son , ep on tell , w ye oh } @ cs . n ms u . edu submitted 1 January 2003 ; revised 1 January 2003 ; accepted 1 January 2003 Abstract This paper explores the use of Answer Set Programming ( AS P ) in solving Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problems ( DC  $\overrightarrow{OP}$  s ). The paper provides the following novel contributions 1 ) It shows how one can formulate DC OP s as logic programs ; ( 2 ) It introduces ASP - DP OP , the first DC OP algorithm that is based on logic programming; (3) It experiment ally shows that ASP DP OP can be up to two orders of magnitude faster than DP OP ( its imperative programming counterpart ) as well as solve some problems that DP OP fails to solve , due to memory limitations ; and ( demonstrates the applic ability of ASP in a wide array of multi problems currently modeled as DC OP s . 1 Under consideration in Theory and Practice of Logic Programming (TPLP). KEY WORDS: DC OP; DP OP; Logic Programming; ASP 1 Introduction Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problems ( DC OP s ) are optimization problems where agents need to coordinate the assignment of values to their variables to maximize the overall sum of resulting constraint utilities (Modiet al. 2005; Pet cu and Faltings  $2005~\mathrm{a}$  ; Ma iller and Less er 2004 ; Ye oh and Yok oo 2012 ). The process is subject to limitations on the communication capabilities of the agents; in particular, each agent can only exchange information with neighboring agents within a given top ology  $\overline{.}$  DC OP s are well su ited for modeling multi - agent coordination and resource allocation

problems, where the primary interactions are between local subsets of agents. Researchers have used DC OP s to model various problems such as the distributed scheduling of meetings ( Ma hes war an et al 2004; Z ivan et al. 2014), distributed allocation of targets to sensors in a network ( Far inelli et al . 2008 ), distributed allocation of resources in disaster evacuation scenarios (L ass et al. 2008), the distributed management of power distribution networks ( K umar et al . 2009 ; J

ain et al. 2012), the distributed generation of coalition structures ( U eda et al. 2010) and the distributed coordination of logistics operations and F alt ings 2011). 1 This article extends our previous conference paper ( Le et al . 2015 ) in the following manner : ( 1 ) It provides a more thorough description of the ASP - DP OP algorithm ; (2) It elabor ates on the algorithm s theoretical properties with complete proofs; and (3) It includes additional experimental results  $2\,$  Tie p Le , Tr an Cao Son , En ric o P onte lli , and William Ye The field has matured considerably over the past decade, since the seminal AD OP T paper ( Mod i et al . 2005 ), as researchers continue to develop more sophisticated solving algorithms. The majority of the DC OP resolution algorithms can be classified in one of three classes:

( 1 ) Search - based algorithms , like AD OP T ( Mod i et al . 2005 ) and its variants ( Ye oh et al . 2009 ; Ye oh et al . 2010 ; Gutierrez et al . 2011 ; Gutierrez et al . 2013 ), AFB ( G ers h man et al . 2009 ), and MGM (Ma hes war an et al. 2004), where the agents enumer ate combinations of value assignments in a decentralized manner; ) In ference - based algorithms , like DP OP ( P etc u and F alt ings  $2005~\mathrm{a}$  ) and its variants ( P etc u and F alt ings  $2005~\mathrm{b}$  ; Pet cu and F alt ings 2007; Pet cu et al . 2007; Pet cu et al . 2008), max - sum

(Far inelli et al. 2008), and Action G DL (V iny als et al. 2011 ), where the agents use dynamic programming techniques to propagate aggreg ated information to other agents; and (3) Sam pling - based algorithms, like D UCT (Ott ens et al. 2012) and D - G ib bs (N guyen et al. 2013; Fi ore tto et al. 2014), where the agents sample the search space in a decentralized manner. The existing algorithms have been designed and developed almost exclusively using imperative programming techniques, where the algorithms define a control flow that is, a sequence of commands to be executed. In addition, the local sol ver employed by each agent is an ad - h oc implementation. In this paper, we are interested in investigating the benefits of using decl ar ative programming techniques to solve DC OP s, along with the use of a general constraint sol ver, used as a black box, as each agent

local constraint sol ver. Specifically, we propose an integration of Dist ributed Pse udo - tree Optim ization Procedure ( $\operatorname{DP}$  OP) ( $\operatorname{P}$  etc u and F alt ings 2005 a ), a popular DC OP algorithm , with Answer Set Programming (ASP) (Niemela 1999; Mare k and Tr us z cz yn ski 1999 ) as the local constraint sol ver of each agent . This paper provides the first step in brid ging the areas of DC OP s and ASP; in the process, we offer novel contributions to both the DC OP field as well as the ASP field . For the DC OP community , we demonstrate that the use of ASP as a local constraint sol ver provides a number of benefits, including the ability to capitalize on (i) the highly expressive ASP language to more concise ly define input instances ( e . g ., by representing constraint utilities as implicit functions instead of explicitly

enumer ating their extensions ) and ( ii ) the highly optimized ASP sol vers to exploit problem structure (e.g., propag ating hard constraints to ensure consistency ). For the ASP community , the paper makes the equally important contribution of increasing the applic ability of ASP to model and solve a wide array of multi - agent coordination and resource allocation problems, currently modeled as DC OP s. Furthermore, it also demonstrates that general , off - the - she lf ASP sol vers , which are continuously hon ed and improved, can be coupled with distributed message passing protocols to outper form specialized imperative sol vers

. The paper is organized as follows . In Section 2 , we review the basic definitions of DC OP s , the DP OP algorithm , and ASP . In Section 3 , we describe in detail the structure of the novel ASP - based DC  $_{\rm S}$  $\operatorname{OP}$  sol ver , called  $\operatorname{ASP}$  -  $\operatorname{DP}$   $\operatorname{OP}$  , and its implementation . Section 4 provides an analysis of the properties of ASP - DP OP , including proofs of sound ness and comple teness of ASP - DP OP . Section 5 provides some experimental results , while Section 6 reviews related work . Finally , Section 7 provides conclusions and indications for future work .  $\mathbf{S}$ olving Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problems Using Logic

Programming 3 2 Background In this section, we present an overview of DC  $\overline{\text{OP s}}$  , we describe DP  $\overline{\text{OP}}$  , a complete distributed algorithm to solve DC OP s , and provide some fundamental definitions of ASP . 2 . 1 Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problems A Dist ributed Con str aint Optim ization Problem ( DC OP ) ( Mod i et al . 2005 ; Pet cu and F alt ings 2005 a ; Ma iller and Less er 2004 ; Ye oh and Yok oo 2012 ) can be described as a tuple M = h X , D , F , A ,  $\pm$  i where :

 $X = \{ x 1, \dots, x n \}$  is a finite set of ( dec ision ) variables;  $D = \{ D 1, \dots, D n \}$  is a set of finite domains, where Di is the domain of the variable x i X, for 1  $\bar{1} \boxtimes i \bar{1} \boxtimes n$ ;  $F = \{f1, \ldots, fm\}$  is a finite set of constraints, where f j is a k j - ary function f j : Dj 1 Dj 2 . . . Dj kj 7 R  $\{$   $\}$  that specifies the utility of each combination of values of variables in its scope; the scope is denoted by sc p ( f j

) = { x j 1 , . . . , x j kj }; 2 A = { a 1 , . . . , ap } is a finite set of agents ; and  $\pm$  : X 7 A maps each variable to an agent . We say that a variable x is owned by an agent a if  $\pm$  ( x ) = a . We denote with  $\pm$ i the set of all variables that are owned by an agent a i , i . e .,  $\pm$  i =

the set of all variables that are owned by all agent a 1, 1. e.,  $\pm$  1 =  $\{x \mid X - \pm (x) = a \mid \}$ . Each constraint in F can be either hard, indicating that some value combinations result in a utility of and must be avoided, or soft, indicating that all value combinations result in a finite utility and need not be avoided. A value assignment is a (partial or complete) function x that maps variables of X to values in D such that, if x (x i) is defined, then x (x i). Difor i = 1, . . . , in . For the sake of simplicity, and with a slight abuse of notation, we will often denote x (x i) simply with x i. Given a constraint f i and a will often denote x ( xi ) simply with x i . Given a constraint f j and a

complete value assignment **x** for all decision variables , we denote with x f j the projection of x to the variables in sc p (f j); we refer to this as a partial value assignment for f j . For a DC OP M , we denote with

i/sį