Notes	0 Insufficient	1 Sufficient	1,5 Excellent
	mountaient	1. Data(set) description	Executive
250 words	No citation for the data source; No descriptive statistics; No explanation of the data and/or the variables analyzed; Dataset not suitable; Word count exceeded.	Dataset is suitable; Data source is cited; The data and variables analyzed are explained; Descriptive statistics provided.	Very clear and succinct description that shows good understanding; Extra effort applied to finding unique data and/or processing and cleaning the data.
	2. Res	search question and path model	
300 words	Missing or malformed research question; Theoretical model is not described well enough to interpret the results; Theoretical model does not match the RQ; Word count exceeded.	A relevant research question is clearly stated; The theoretical model matches the RQ and is well-defined but perhaps not extensively enough to fully replicate the analysis.	The research question is particularly interesting or unique; The theoretical model is rigorously defined with path diagrams and/or equations; The model can be reproduced based on only this description.
	3.	Estimate the model (lavaan)	
	Model syntax is not provided; The estimates reported do not match the syntax provided.	The model defined by the syntax correctly answers the research question and matches the reported results; The relevant syntax is provided with the report and very little extraneous code is included.	The syntax provided in the appendix is formatted and annotated especially clearly; No extraneous syntax is included.
	4. Rationa	le for important modeling decisions	
400 words	Not all important decisions explained and/or some explanations or arguments are clearly wrong. Assumptions not checked or checked/evaluated incorrectly; Word count exceeded.	The rationale given for important modeling decisions is clear and makes sense; Model fit is discussed. Assumptions are discussed and interpreted.	Particularly well-reasoned and nuanced explanations are given for important modeling decisions; The explanations show a deep understanding of the details underlying the methods employed.
	5. Reporting	g and interpreting results in APA style	
	Results not interpreted or interpreted incorrectly; Applicable measures of model fit not included; Results are included by copying/embedding R output.	Results are reported in APA style and interpreted correctly. Measures of model fit are included and discussed. Results are included as correctly typeset in-text statistics or as APA-formatted tables or figures.	applied to formatting tables and figures to optimize clarity; The selection of reported results shows
		6. Discussion of results	
500 words	The research question is not answered; The results are repeated without any additional interpreted or discussion to link the results to the RQ; Word count exceeded.	Results are discussed clearly, and the RQ is clearly answered through this discussion; Strengths and limitations of the analysis are considered.	The discussion is especially nuance and insightful (e.g., tying up all "loose ends" by cogently linking each aspect of the results with the RQ); The discussion of strengths and limitations shows a clear understanding of the relative importance of different design decisions and justifies the tradeoffs made in the analysis.
		Formatting & Submission	
	Gross differences from the required format (e.g., wrong file type, missing sections, submitting multiple files, missing title page or information thereon).	All formatting and submission procedures satisfied.	N/A