

# 1 Elementary number theory

The goal of this lecture is to prove Fermat's little theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $a$  be any integer. Then  $a^p - a$  is divisible by  $p$ .*

## 1.1 Modular arithmetic [2.7, 2.9]

An *equivalence relation* on a set  $S$  is a relation  $\sim$  between certain pairs of elements of  $S$ . We write  $a \sim b$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are *equivalent*. An equivalence relation is required to be

- *transitive*: if  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim c$ , then  $a \sim c$ .
- *symmetric*: if  $a \sim b$ , then  $b \sim a$ .
- *reflexive*: for all  $a$ ,  $a \sim a$ .

An equivalence relation  $\sim$  partitions  $S$  into *equivalence classes*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. For integers  $a, b$ , we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if  $a - b$  is divisible by  $n$ , i.e.,  $a - b = nk$  for some integer  $k$ .

**Lemma 1.3** (Addition and multiplication modulo  $n$ ). *If  $a' \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $b' \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $a' + b' \equiv a + b \pmod{n}$  and  $a'b' \equiv ab \pmod{n}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a' = a + nk$  and  $b' = b + n\ell$ . Then

$$a' + b' = (a + b) + n(k + \ell),$$

and

$$a'b' = ab + n(a\ell + bk + k\ell).$$

□

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to the equivalence relation  $\equiv$ . These equivalence classes are also referred to as *congruence classes* modulo  $n$ .

By the lemma above, addition and multiplication of congruence classes modulo  $n$  is well-defined. If we write  $\bar{a}$  to denote the congruence class of  $a$ , then

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b},$$

and similarly

$$\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}.$$

The associative, commutative, and distributive laws carry over for addition and multiplication of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.5.**  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  has 6 elements. The elements  $\bar{2}$  and  $\bar{8}$  are the same element since  $2 \equiv 8 \pmod{6}$ .

We have  $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{10}$ , and  $\bar{8} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{40}$ . Fortunately,  $\bar{10} = \bar{40}$  since  $10 \equiv 40 \pmod{6}$ . We usually take the remainder when divided by 6 and say  $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{4}$ .

## 1.2 Bezout's lemma [2.3]

We recall division with remainder: let  $n$  be an integer, and let  $a$  be a positive integer. Then there exists an integer  $q$  and an integer  $0 \leq r < a$  such that

$$n = aq + r.$$

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers, not both zero. The *greatest common divisor* of  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted  $\gcd(a, b)$ , is the largest integer which divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , we say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *coprime* or *relatively prime*.

The GCD satisfies the property that

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a + bk, b)$$

for any integer  $k$ . Indeed, if  $d$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $d$  divides both  $a + bk$  and  $b$ , and conversely.

As such, we can compute GCD's using the *Euclidean algorithm*, which works by repeated division with remainder.

**Example 1.7.** For example, for  $a = 314$ ,  $b = 136$ , since

$$314 = 2 \cdot 136 + 42, \quad 136 = 3 \cdot 42 + 10, \quad 42 = 4 \cdot 10 + 2,$$

we have

$$\gcd(314, 136) = \gcd(42, 136) = \gcd(42, 10) = \gcd(2, 10) = 2.$$

**Proposition 1.8** (Bezout's lemma). *For any integers  $a$  and  $b$ , not both zero, there exist integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that*

$$\gcd(a, b) = ra + sb.$$

*Proof.* Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Let  $\ell$  be the smallest positive integer that can be expressed as

$$\ell = ra + sb$$

for some  $r$  and  $s$ .

We claim that  $\ell|a$ . Use division with remainder to write

$$a = \ell q + m$$

for  $0 \leq m < \ell$ . Then  $m$  can also be expressed in the form  $ra + sb$ :

$$m = a - \ell q = a - q(ra + sb) = (1 - qr)a - (qs)b.$$

Since  $\ell$  was assumed to be minimal,  $m = 0$ , so  $\ell|a$ .

Similarly,  $\ell|b$ , so  $\ell$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $d$  is the greatest common divisor,

$$\ell \leq d.$$

On the other hand,  $d$  divides both  $ra$  and  $sb$ , so  $d$  also divides  $\ell$ , so

$$d \leq \ell.$$

Thus,  $\ell = d$ . □

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $e$  be an integer which divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $e$  divides  $\gcd(a, b)$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$\gcd(a, b) = ra + sb.$$

Since  $e$  divides both terms on the right hand side, it also divides  $\gcd(a, b)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers. If  $p|ab$ , then  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p$  divides  $ab$ , but  $p$  does not divide  $a$ .

Since  $p$  is prime,  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ , so by Bezout's lemma there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$1 = ra + sp.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $b$ ,

$$b = rab + spb.$$

Both terms on the right are multiples of  $p$  by the assumption  $p|ab$ , so  $p|b$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.11** ( $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  has inverses). *Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $a$  be an integer which is not divisible by  $p$ . There exists an integer  $b$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof above, there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$1 = ra + sp.$$

So  $ra \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Clearly, we can take  $b = r$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Proof of Fermat's little theorem

*Proof.* If  $a$  is divisible by  $p$ , then it is apparent that  $a^p - a$  is divisible by  $p$ . Assume  $p \nmid a$ .

1. Consider the set

$$\{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{p-1}\}$$

of nonzero congruence classes modulo  $p$ . Then consider the set

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{2a}, \dots, \bar{(p-1)a}\}$$

of congruence classes modulo  $p$ .

2. We claim that they're the same set. Indeed, since both sets have  $p - 1$  elements, we just need to show that  $\bar{j}$  appears in the second set for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

In other words, we want  $ka \equiv j \pmod{p}$  for some  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $b$  be such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , and let  $k = jb$ . Then

$$ka \equiv jba \equiv j \pmod{p}.$$

Obviously  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  since  $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) &\equiv a \cdot (2a) \cdots (p-1)a \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \cdot a^{p-1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by an inverse of  $(p-1)!$  gives

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

□

#### 1.4 $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$

Corollaries 1.10 and 1.11 are not true if  $p$  is not prime. For example,  $4|2 \cdot 2$  but 4 does not divide 2, and there is no integer  $b$  such that  $2b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , because  $2b$  cannot be odd.

Here are some generalizations of them to general  $n$ .

**Lemma 1.12.** Suppose  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $n|ab$ , then  $b$  is a multiple of  $n/\gcd(a, n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ . Suppose

$$d = ra + sn.$$

Then  $db = rab + snb$  is a multiple of  $n$ , so  $b$  is a multiple of  $n/d$ . □

**Lemma 1.13.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $a$  be an integer such that  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . There exists an integer  $b$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ , there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$1 = ra + sn.$$

So  $ra \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , and we can take  $b = r$ . □

**Definition 1.14.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  denote the set of congruence classes  $\bar{a}$  modulo  $n$  such that  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . Note that this does not depend on the choice of  $a$ , only on  $a \pmod{n}$ , since  $\gcd(a + nk, n) = \gcd(a, n)$  as mentioned previously.

**Definition 1.15.** In the special case when  $n = p$  is a prime,  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is just all of the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  other than  $\bar{0}$ .

**Definition 1.16.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers, both not zero. The *least common multiple* of  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  is the smallest positive integer which is a multiple of both  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Proposition 1.17.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers. If  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ , then  $ab = dm$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $m = ak$ . Since  $b|m$ , by the lemma,  $k \geq b/d$ , so  $m \geq ab/d$ . On the other hand, it is clear that  $ab/d$  is a multiple of both  $a$  and  $b$ , so  $m \leq ab/d$ . □