

1. Consider the query  $\text{Customers} \bowtie_{\text{cust\#}} \text{Orders} \bowtie_{\text{city}} \text{Suppliers}$  on the following database.

- Customers (cust#, cname, city)
- Orders (order#, cust#, odate)
- Suppliers (supp#, sname, city)

Customers			Orders			Suppliers		
cust#	cname	city	order#	cust#	odate	supp#	sname	city
1	Alice	Singapore	302	1	June 2013	32	A	Bangkok
2	Bob	Penang	304	2	May 2013	33	B	Singapore
3	Carol	Bangkok	307	3	Nov 2013	34	C	Jakarta
4	Dave	Mumbai	308	1	April 2013	36	D	Mumbai
5	Eve	Beijing	309	5	May 2013	37	E	Penang
6	Fred	Penang	311	6	Dec 2013	38	F	Hanoi
7	George	Bangkok	312	3	July 2013	39	G	Shanghai

Identify all the dangling tuples in each relation wrt the query.

**Solution:** There following are dangling tuples wrt the query.

Customers			Orders			Suppliers		
cust#	cname	city	order#	cust#	odate	supp#	sname	city
4	Dave	Mumbai				34	C	Jakarta
5	Eve	Beijing	309	5	May 2013	36	D	Mumbai
7	George	Bangkok				38	F	Hanoi
						39	G	Shanghai

2. Consider the following query on a distributed database system with relations  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  &  $R_4$ , where relation  $R_i$  is located at site  $i$ .

```

SELECT *
FROM   R1, R2, R3, R4
WHERE  R1.A = R2.A
AND    R2.B = R3.B
AND    R2.B = R4.B
AND    R3.B = R4.B

```

Identify all the beneficial semijoins based on the following database statistics.

relation X	size(X)	attribute X.A	$SF_{SJ}(X.A)$	$size(\pi_A(X))$
$R_1$	1000	$R_1.A$	0.5	200
$R_2$	1000	$R_2.A$	1.0	100
$R_3$	2000	$R_2.B$	0.2	100
$R_4$	1000	$R_3.B$	0.9	300
		$R_4.B$	0.4	150

Assume that  $T_{MSG} = 20$  and  $T_{TR} = 1$ .

**Solution:**

There are five beneficial semijoins (with Benefit > Cost):  $R_2 \bowtie_A R_1$ ,  $R_2 \bowtie_B R_4$ ,  $R_3 \bowtie_B R_2$ ,  $R_3 \bowtie_B R_4$ , and  $R_4 \bowtie_B R_2$ .

Semijoin	Cost	Benefit	Benefit-Cost
$R_1 \bowtie_A R_2$	$20 + 100 = 120$	$1000 \times (1 - 1.0) = 0$	$\leq 0$
$R_2 \bowtie_A R_1$	$20 + 200 = 220$	$1000 \times (1 - 0.5) = 500$	280
$R_2 \bowtie_B R_3$	$20 + 300 = 320$	$1000 \times (1 - 0.9) = 100$	$\leq 0$
$R_2 \bowtie_B R_4$	$20 + 150 = 170$	$1000 \times (1 - 0.4) = 600$	430
$R_3 \bowtie_B R_2$	$20 + 100 = 120$	$2000 \times (1 - 0.2) = 1600$	1480
$R_3 \bowtie_B R_4$	$20 + 150 = 170$	$2000 \times (1 - 0.4) = 1200$	1030
$R_4 \bowtie_B R_2$	$20 + 100 = 120$	$1000 \times (1 - 0.2) = 800$	680
$R_4 \bowtie_B R_3$	$20 + 300 = 320$	$1000 \times (1 - 0.9) = 100$	$\leq 0$

3. (4 points) Consider a distributed database consisting of a single object  $x$  that is replicated across 8 sites (sites A to H).

The replicas are managed using the quorum consensus protocol, and the following table shows the current state of the all the replicas of  $x$  (i.e.,  $x_A, x_B, \dots, x_H$ ).

Replica of x	Weight of replica	Value of replica	Version of replica
$x_A$	3	40	4
$x_B$	2	40	4
$x_C$	1	30	3
$x_D$	2	20	2
$x_E$	2	40	4
$x_F$	2	40	4
$x_G$	1	30	3
$x_H$	2	20	2

Let  $T_r(x)$  and  $T_w(x)$  denote, respectively, the read and write thresholds for object  $x$ .

Write down all possible pairs of values for  $(T_r(x), T_w(x))$ .

**Solution:** Total weight,  $Wt(x) = 15$ . Replicas with latest version number =  $\{x_A, x_B, x_E, x_F\}$ . Therefore,  $T_w(x) \leq 3 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 9$ . Since  $2 \times T_w(x) > Wt(x)$ ,  $T_w(x) \in \{8, 9\}$ .  
Since  $T_w(x) + T_r(x) > Wt(x)$ , if  $T_w(x) = 8$ ,  $T_r(x) \geq 8$ ; and if  $T_w(x) = 9$ ,  $T_r(x) \geq 7$ .  
Therefore possible values of  $(T_r(x), T_w(x))$ :  $\{(r, 8) : r \in [8, 15]\} \cup \{(r, 9) : r \in [7, 15]\}$ .

4. (2 points) Consider a distributed database that is fully replicated across  $n$  sites using the **quorum consensus protocol** where the weight assigned to each site is a positive integer  $w$ . The weight assignment for each object in the database follows the weight assignment for the sites. Assume that the read and write thresholds for each object  $O$  are  $T_r(O) = \lfloor \frac{nw+1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $T_w(O) = \lceil \frac{nw+1}{2} \rceil$ , respectively.

A quorum consensus protocol is defined to be  $k$ -tolerant, where  $k \in [1, n)$ , if it can tolerate a failure of up to  $k$  sites; i.e., it is still possible to perform read and write operations when any set of  $k$  sites fails.

If  $w = 2$ , what is the minimum value of  $n$  for the protocol to be 3-tolerant?

**Solution:** Answer = 5.

For the protocol to be  $k$ -tolerant,  $(n-k)w \geq \max\{T_w(O), T_r(O)\}$ . Thus,  $(n-k)w \geq \lceil (nw+1)/2 \rceil$ .  
With  $k=3$  &  $w=2$ , we have  $3(n-2) \geq \lceil (3n+1)/2 \rceil$ . If  $n$  is even, we have  $3n-6 \geq \frac{3n}{2} + 1$ ; i.e.,  $n \geq 5$ . If  $n$  is odd, we have  $3n-6 \geq \frac{3n+1}{2}$ ; i.e.,  $n \geq 5$ .