# Convex Analysis

Daniel Mao

Copyright  $\bigodot$  2020 - 2022 Daniel Mao All Rights Reserved.

# Contents

1	Affi	Affine Sets				
	1.1	Definitions				
<b>2</b>	Rel	elative Topology				
	2.1	Definitions				
	2.2	Basic Properties				
	2.3	Arithmetic Properties				
3	Cor	nvex Sets 5				
	3.1	Definitions				
	3.2	Arithmetic Properties of Convex Sets				
	3.3	Topological Properties of Convex Sets				
	3.4	The Convex Hull Operator				
	3.5	The Closed Convex Hull Operator				
	3.6	Stability of Convexity				
	3.7	Examples of Convex Sets				
	3.8	The Carathéodory Theorem				
4	Geo	ometric Objects 19				
	4.1	Definitions				
	4.2	Properties				
5	Cor	nes 21				
	5.1	Definitions				
	5.2	The cone Operator				
	5.3	Other Properties				
	5.4	Dual of a Convex Cone				
	5.5	Polar of a Cone				

ii CONTENTS

6.1 Definitions       29         6.2 Basic Properties       29         6.3 Arithmetic Properties       34         6.4 Other Properties       35         7 Extreme Point and Face       37         7.1 Definitions       37         7.2 Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongl	6	Tan	gent Cones and Normal Cones	29	
6.3 Arithmetic Properties       34         6.4 Other Properties       35         7 Extreme Point and Face       37         7.1 Definitions       37         7.2 Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		6.1	Definitions	29	
6.4 Other Properties       35         7 Extreme Point and Face       37         7.1 Definitions       37         7.2 Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitzness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		6.2	Basic Properties	29	
7 Extreme Point and Face       37         7.1 Definitions       37         7.2 Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		6.3	Arithmetic Properties	34	
7.1 Definitions       37         7.2 Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		6.4	Other Properties	35	
7.2       Properties of Extreme Points       38         7.3       Face       38         7.4       The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8       Projection       43         8.1       Definitions       43         8.2       Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3       Characterization       46         9       Separation       47         9.1       Definitions       47         9.2       Main Results       47         10       Convex Functions       51         10.1       Preliminaries       51         10.2       The Indicator Function       51         10.3       Definitions       54         10.4       Basic Properties       56         10.5       Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6       Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7       Stability of Convexity       60         10.8       Examples       63         11       More Convex Functions       67         11.1       Strictly Convex       67         11.2       Strongly Convex       67	7	Ext	reme Point and Face	37	
7.3 Face       38         7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		7.1	Definitions	37	
7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem       40         8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		7.2	Properties of Extreme Points	38	
8 Projection       43         8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		7.3	Face	38	
8.1 Definitions       43         8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		7.4	The Krein-Milman Theorem	40	
8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator       44         8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67	8	Pro	jection	43	
8.3 Characterization       46         9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		8.1	Definitions	43	
9 Separation       47         9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		8.2	Properties of the Projection Operator	44	
9.1 Definitions       47         9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		8.3	Characterization	46	
9.2 Main Results       47         10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67	9	9 Separation			
10 Convex Functions       51         10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		9.1	Definitions	47	
10.1 Preliminaries       51         10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		9.2	Main Results	47	
10.2 The Indicator Function       51         10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67	10	Con	vex Functions	51	
10.3 Definitions       54         10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.1	Preliminaries	51	
10.4 Basic Properties       56         10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.2	The Indicator Function	51	
10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions       56         10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.3	Definitions	54	
10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness       59         10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.4	Basic Properties	56	
10.7 Stability of Convexity       60         10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.5	Differentiable Convex Functions	56	
10.8 Examples       63         11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.6	Convexity and Lipschitz-ness	59	
11 More Convex Functions       67         11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.7	Stability of Convexity	60	
11.1 Strictly Convex       67         11.2 Strongly Convex       67		10.8	Examples	63	
11.2 Strongly Convex	11	Mor	re Convex Functions	67	
11.2 Strongly Convex		11.1	Strictly Convex	67	
				67	
				69	
12 Support 71	12	Sup	port	71	
12.1 Definitions		-			
12.2 Properties					
12.3 Supporting Hyperplane					

CONTENTS	iii

13	Con	jugacy	<b>7</b> 5
		Definition and Examples	75
	13.2	Basic Properties	76
	13.3	Double Conjugate	76
	13.4	Conjugates and Sub-Differentials	78
14	The	Proximal Operator	81
	14.1	Definitions	81
	14.2	Examples	81
	14.3	Basic Properties	81
	14.4	Prox Calculus Rules	83
	14.5	The Second Prox Theorem	83
	14.6	Moreau Decomposition	85
<b>15</b>	Ellip	osoids	87
	15.1	Properties	87

iv CONTENTS

## Chapter 1

## Affine Sets

### 1.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Affine Combination). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define an **affine** combination of S to be a point x in the space of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$$

where (1)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , (2)  $v_i \in S$  for all i, (3)  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for all i, and (4)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

**DEFINITION** (Affine Span). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **affine span** of S, denoted by affspan(S), to be the set of all affine combinations of S.

**DEFINITION** (Affine Set). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that S is an **affine set** if  $S = \operatorname{aff}(S)$ .

**DEFINITION** (Affine Hull). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **affine hull** of S, denoted by affhull(S), to be the smallest affine set containing S.

## Chapter 2

## Relative Topology

#### 2.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Relative Interior). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be some Euclidean space. Let S be a set in the space. We define the **relative interior** of S, denoted by ri(S), or relint(S), to be the interior of S for the topology relative to the affine hull aff(S). i.e., the set given by

$$ri(S) := \{x \in aff(S) : \exists r > 0, ball(x, r) \cap aff(S) \subseteq S\}.$$

A quick result. For a singleton set S, ri(S) = S = cl(S).

## 2.2 Basic Properties

**PROPOSITION 2.2.1.** For any set S, we have  $ri(S) \subseteq S$ .

**REMARK.** The relative interior operator is not monotonic.

**EXAMPLE 2.2.1.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology and sets  $\{0\}$  and [0,1]. Then  $ri(\{0\}) = \{0\}$  and ri([0,1]) = (0,1).

**PROPOSITION 2.2.2.** Let S be a set in some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then if  $int(S) \neq \emptyset$ , ri(S) = int(S).

#### Proof.

It suffices to show that  $\operatorname{aff}(S) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $int(S) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists x \in int(S)$ .

Since  $x \in int(S)$ ,  $\exists r > 0$ ,  $ball(x, r) \subseteq S$ .

 $\mathbb{E} = \operatorname{aff}(ball(x,r)) \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{E}.$ 

This shows  $aff(S) = \mathbb{E}$ .

## 2.3 Arithmetic Properties

**PROPOSITION 2.3.1.** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be convex subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be scalars in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$ri(\lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2) = \lambda_1 ri(C_1) + \lambda_2 ri(C_2).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.3.2.** Let  $C_1$  be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}_1$ . Let  $C_2$  be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}_2$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ri}(C_1 \oplus C_2) = \operatorname{ri}(C_1) \oplus \operatorname{ri}(C_2).$$

## Chapter 3

## Convex Sets

### 3.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Convex Combination). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let S be a subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We define a **convex combination** of S to be a point x in  $\mathcal{V}$  of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$$

where (1)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , (2)  $v_1, ..., v_n \in S$ , (3)  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and (4)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

**DEFINITION** (Convex Span). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define a **convex span** of S, denoted by  $\operatorname{convspan}(S)$ , to be the set of all convex combinations of S.

**DEFINITION** (Convex). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that S is **convex** if S = convspan(S), or equivalently, if

$$\forall x, y \in S, \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1] : \alpha + \beta = 1, \quad \alpha x + \beta y \in S.$$

**DEFINITION** (Pointed). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We

say that S is **pointed** if S contains no line.

### 3.2 Arithmetic Properties of Convex Sets

**PROPOSITION 3.2.1.** Let C be a convex set. Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C = \lambda_1C + \lambda_2C.$$

Proof.

The case where any of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  is 0 is trivial. I will assume that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ .

For one direction, let x be an arbitrary point in  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C$ .

Since  $x \in (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C$ ,  $\exists c \in C, x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)c$ .

Since 
$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)c = \lambda_1 c + \lambda_2 c \\ x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)c \end{cases}$$
, we get  $x = \lambda_1 c + \lambda_2 c$ .  
Since 
$$\begin{cases} x = \lambda_1 c + \lambda_2 c \\ \lambda_1 c \in \lambda_1 C \end{cases}$$
, we get  $x \in \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ .  

$$\lambda_2 c \in \lambda_2 C$$

Since  $x \in \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$  for any  $x \in (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C$ ,  $\lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C \subseteq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C$ .

For the reverse direction, let x be an arbitrary point in  $\lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ .

Since  $x \in \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ ,  $\exists c_1, c_2 \in C$ ,  $x = \lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2$ .

Define scalars  $\mu_1 := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$  and  $\mu_2 := \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$ .

Then  $x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)c$ .

Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0, \, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, 1].$ 

Define point  $c := \mu_1 c_1 + \mu_2 c_2$ .

Since 
$$\begin{cases} c = \mu_1 c_1 + \mu_2 c_2 \\ c_1, c_2 \in C \\ \mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, 1] \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1 \\ C \text{ is convex} \end{cases}$$
, we get  $c \in C$ .

Since  $x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)c$  and  $c \in C$ ,  $x \in \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)C$ .

Since  $x \in \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 C$  for any  $x \in \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ ,  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) C \subseteq \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C \subseteq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) C$  and  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) C \subseteq \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ ,  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) C = \lambda_1 C + \lambda_2 C$ 

## 3.3 Topological Properties of Convex Sets

7

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let C be a <u>convex</u> set such that  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Then

- (1) int(C) = int(cl(C)), and
- (2)  $\operatorname{cl}(C) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(C)).$

Proof of (1).  $int(C) \subseteq int(cl(C))$  is clear. For  $int(cl(C)) \subseteq int(C)$ , let x be an arbitrary point in int(cl(C)).

Since  $x \in int(cl(C))$ ,

 $\exists r > 0 \text{ such that } \text{ball}(x, r) \subseteq \text{cl}(C).$ 

Since  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ , pick  $y \in int(C)$ .

Define a scalar  $\lambda$  by

$$\lambda := \frac{r}{2\|x - y\|}.$$

Define a point z by

$$z := x + \lambda(x - y).$$

Since  $\lambda = \frac{r}{2||x-y||}$  and  $z = x + \lambda(x-y)$ ,

$$||z - x||$$

$$= ||x + \lambda(x - y) - x||$$

$$= ||\lambda(x - y)||$$

$$= \lambda||x - y||$$

$$= \frac{r}{2||x - y||}||x - y||$$

$$= \frac{r}{2}$$

$$< r.$$

That is,

$$||z - x|| < r.$$

So  $z \in \text{ball}(x, r)$ . It follows that  $z \in \text{cl}(C)$ .

Since  $z = x + \lambda(x - y)$ , rearranging this yields

$$x = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}z + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}y.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} x = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}z + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}y \\ z \in & \mathrm{cl}(C) \\ y \in & \mathrm{int}(C) \\ \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \in (0,1) \\ \frac{1}{1+\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} = 1 \end{cases}, \text{ by the lemma, we get}$$

 $x \in int(C)$ .

Since  $\forall x \in int(\operatorname{cl}(C)), x \in int(C)$ , we get  $int(\operatorname{cl}(C)) \subseteq int(C)$ .

Proof of (2).  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(C)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(C)$  is clear. For  $\operatorname{cl}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(C))$ , let x be an arbitrary point in cl(C).

Since  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ , pick  $y \in int(C)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in [0,1)$ .

Define a point z by

$$z(\lambda) := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$$

$$z(\lambda) := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y.$$
 Since 
$$\begin{cases} z(\lambda) := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \\ x \in \operatorname{cl}(C) \\ y \in \operatorname{int}(C) \\ \lambda \in [0, 1) \end{cases}$$
 , by the lemma, we get

$$z(\lambda) \in int(C)$$
.

Since 
$$\begin{cases} z(\lambda) \in int(C) \\ \lim_{\lambda \to 1} z(\lambda) = x \end{cases}$$
, we get

 $x \in \operatorname{cl}(int(C)).$ 

Since  $\forall x \in \mathrm{cl}(C), x \in \mathrm{cl}(int(C)), \text{ we get } \mathrm{cl}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(int(C)).$ 

**PROPOSITION 3.3.1.** Let C be a convex set. Then

(1) 
$$\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) = \operatorname{aff}(C) = \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{cl}(C)),$$

(2) 
$$\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) = \operatorname{ri}(C) = \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{cl}(C))$$
, and

(3) 
$$\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) = \operatorname{cl}(C) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cl}(C)).$$

**PROPOSITION 3.3.2.** Let C be a convex set. Then

$$C \neq \emptyset \iff \operatorname{ri}(C) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Forward Direction: Assume that  $C \neq \emptyset$ . I will show that  $\operatorname{ri}(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $C \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\operatorname{aff}(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $C \neq \emptyset$  is convex,  $\operatorname{aff}(C) = \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{ri}(C))$ . Since  $\begin{cases} \operatorname{aff}(C) \neq \emptyset \\ \operatorname{aff}(C) = \operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) \end{cases}$ , we get

$$\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) \neq \emptyset$$
.

Since  $\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{ri}(C)) \neq \emptyset$ , we get  $\operatorname{ri}(C) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Backward Direction**: Assume that  $ri(C) \neq \emptyset$ . I will show that  $C \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $ri(C) \neq \emptyset$  and  $ri(C) \subseteq C$ , we get  $C \neq \emptyset$ .

### 3.4 The Convex Hull Operator

(bug)

**DEFINITION** (Convex Hull). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **convex hull** of S, denoted by convhull(S), to be the smallest convex set containing S.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.1.** For any set S, convspan(S) = convhull(S). They will both be denoted by conv(S) from now on.

Proof. Forward Direction: I will show that  $\operatorname{convspan}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{convhull}(S)$ . Let x be an arbitrary element of  $\operatorname{convspan}(S)$ . We are to prove that  $x \in \operatorname{convhull}(S)$ . Let C be an arbitrary convex set containing S. Since x is a convex combination of S, x is also a convex combination of C. Since x is a convex combination of C and C is  $\operatorname{convex}_{x} \in C$ . Since x is in any  $\operatorname{convex}_{x} \in \operatorname{convhull}(S)$ . Since  $x \in \operatorname{convhull}(S)$  for any  $x \in \operatorname{convspan}(S)$ ,  $\operatorname{convspan}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{convhull}(S)$ .

**Backward Direction**: I will show that  $convhull(S) \subseteq convspan(S)$ .

proof incomplete.

not finished

**PROPOSITION 3.4.2** (The Convex Hull Operator). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space.

(1) Expansive

$$\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{E}, \quad S \subseteq \text{conv}(S).$$

(2) Monotonic Increasing

$$\forall S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{E} : S_1 \subseteq S_2, \quad \operatorname{conv}(S_1) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(S_2).$$

(3) Idempotent

$$\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{E}, \quad \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{conv}(S)) = \operatorname{conv}(S).$$

**PROPOSITION 3.4.3** (Bounded). The convex hull of a bounded set is bounded.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.4** (Open). The convex hull of an open set is open.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a topological vector space. Let G be an open subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . I will show that  $\operatorname{conv}(G)$  is open. Let  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i$  be an arbitrary convex combination of elements of G. Let  $i_0 \in \{1..n\}$  be such that  $\lambda_{i_0} \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \in \sum_{i \neq i_0} \lambda_i x_i + i_0 G \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(G).$$

So

$$\operatorname{conv}(G) = \bigcup \left\{ \sum_{i \neq i_0} \lambda_i x_i + i_0 G \right\}.$$

Note that the function  $f(x) := \sum_{i \neq i_0} \lambda_i x_i + i_0 x$  is a homeomorphism. So  $\operatorname{conv}(G)$  is a union of open sets and hence open.

**REMARK** (Closed). The convex hull of a closed set need not be closed.

**EXAMPLE 3.4.1.** The set  $S:=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:y\geq\frac{1}{1+x^2}\}$  is closed. However,  $\mathrm{conv}(S)=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:y>0\}$  is open.

PROPOSITION 3.4.5 (Compact). The convex hull of a compact set is compact.

### 3.5 The Closed Convex Hull Operator

**DEFINITION** (Closed Convex Hull). Let S be a set in some Euclidean space. We define the **closed convex hull** of S, denoted by  $\overline{\text{conv}}(S)$ , to be the smallest <u>closed</u> convex containing S.

PROPOSITION 3.5.1. The closed convex hull is the closure of the convex hull.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.2.** A closed convex hull does not distinguish a set from its closure. i.e., for any set S, we have  $\overline{\text{conv}}(S) = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{cl}(S))$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.5.3.** If S is bounded, then the closure operation and the convex hull operation commute. i.e.,  $\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cl}(S)) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{conv}(S))$ .

**REMARK.** The closure operation and the convex hull operation do not commute in general.

## 3.6 Stability of Convexity

**PROPOSITION 3.6.1** (Intersection). Convexity is stable under intersection. i.e., the intersection of any collection of convex sets is convex.

Proof. Let  $\{C_i\}_{i\in I}$  be an arbitrary collection of convex sets where I is an index set and  $C_i$  is convex for any  $i\in I$ . Let C denote their intersection. If  $C=\emptyset$ , then we are done. Else, let x and y be two arbitrary points in C. Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point  $z:=\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y$ . Since  $x\in C$  and  $C=\bigcap_{i\in I}C_i$ , we get  $x\in C_i$  for any  $i\in I$ . Since  $y\in C$  and  $C=\bigcap_{i\in I}C_i$ , we get  $y\in C_i$  for any  $i\in I$ . Let i be an arbitrary index in I. Since  $x\in C_i$  and  $y\in C_i$  and  $x\in C_i$  for any  $x\in C_$ 

$$\forall x,y \in C, \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \quad \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in C,$$

by definition of convex sets, we get C is convex.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.2** (Affine Map). Convexity is stable under affine mapping. i.e., the affine image of a convex set is convex.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.3** (Linear Combinations). Convexity is stable under linear combinations. i.e., if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are convex sets and  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are real numbers, then the set C defined as

$$C := \lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2$$

is convex.

*Proof.* If  $C_1 = \emptyset$  or  $C_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2 = \emptyset$  and we are done. Now assume that  $C_1, C_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $C = \lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let x and y be arbitrary points in C.

Since  $x \in C$ ,  $\exists x_1 \in C_1, x_2 \in C_2$  such that  $x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$ .

Since  $y \in C$ ,  $\exists y_1 \in C_1, y_2 \in C_2$  such that  $y = \lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2$ .

Let  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  be arbitrary. Define a point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$ . Then

$$z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$$
  
=  $\lambda (\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) + (1 - \lambda)(\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2)$   
=  $\lambda_1 (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1) + \lambda_2 (\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2).$ 

Since  $x_1, y_1 \in C_1$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $C_1$  is convex, we get  $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1 \in C_1$ . Since  $x_2, y_2 \in C_2$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $C_2$  is convex, we get  $\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2 \in C_2$ .

So  $z = \lambda_1(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1) + \lambda_2(\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \in \lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2$ .

That is,  $\forall x \in C$ ,  $\forall y \in C$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in [0,1]$ , we have  $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in C$ .

So by definition, C is convex.

COROLLARY 3.1. The Minkowski sum of two convex sets is convex.

**LEMMA 3.1.** Let C be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $x \in \text{int}(C)$ . Let  $y \in \text{cl}(C)$ . Then

$$\forall \lambda \in (0,1], \quad \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in C.$$

Proof.

Since  $x \in int(S)$ , there exists some radius  $r_x$  such that  $ball(x, r_x) \subseteq S$ .

Define  $r_z := \lambda r_x$ .

Let z' be an arbitrary point in ball $(z, r_z)$ .

Define  $x' := \frac{1}{\lambda}(z' - (1 - \lambda)y)$ .

Notice

$$||x - x'||$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} ||\lambda x - \lambda x'||$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} ||(z - (1 - \lambda)y) - (z' - (1 - \lambda)y)||$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} ||z - z'||$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} r_z, \text{ since } z' \in \text{ball}(z, r_z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \lambda r_x$$

$$= r_x.$$

That is,

$$||x - x'|| \le r_x.$$

So  $x' \in \text{ball}(x, r_x)$ .

Since  $x' \in ball(x, r_x)$  and  $ball(x, r_x) \subseteq S$ , we get  $x' \in S$ .

Since 
$$\begin{cases} z' = \lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)y \\ x', y \in S \\ \lambda \in (0, 1] \\ S \text{ is convex} \end{cases}$$
, we get  $z' \in S$ .

Since  $z' \in S$  for any  $z' \in ball(z, r_z)$ ,  $ball(z, r_z) \subseteq S$ .

Since there exists some radius  $r_z$  such that  $ball(z, r_z) \subseteq S$ ,  $z \in int(S)$ .

#### Alternative Expressing:

Define B := ball(0,1).

$$(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y + \varepsilon B$$

$$\subseteq (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda(C + \varepsilon B) + \varepsilon B$$

$$= (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda C + \lambda \varepsilon B + \varepsilon B$$

$$= (1 - \lambda)x + (1 + \lambda)\varepsilon B + \lambda C$$

$$= (1 - \lambda)(x + \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda})\varepsilon B) + \lambda C$$

$$\subseteq (1 - \lambda)C + \lambda C$$

$$= C.$$

**LEMMA 3.2.** Let C be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $x \in ri(C)$ . Let  $y \in cl(C)$ . Then

$$\forall \lambda \in (0, 1], \quad \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C.$$

Proof.

Case 1.  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then int(C) = ri(C).

Since  $x \in int(C)$  and  $y \in cl(C)$ ,  $\forall t \in (0,1], z := tx + (1-t)y \in C$ .

Case 2.  $int(C) = \emptyset$ .

Now  $\dim(C) < d$ .

Say  $\dim(C) = l$ .

Apply case 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.6.4** (Interior). Convexity is stable under interior. i.e., the interior of a convex set is convex.

*Proof.* Let S be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . If  $int(S) = \emptyset$ , then we are done. Else: let x and y be two arbitrary points in int(S). Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point z by  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ . Since  $x, y \in int(S)$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , by the lemma, we get  $z \in int(S)$ . Since

$$\forall x, y \in int(S), \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in int(S),$$

we get int(S) is convex.

\_

**PROPOSITION 3.6.5** (Relative Interior). Convexity is stable under relative interior. i.e., the relative interior of a convex set is convex.

*Proof.* Let S be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . If  $\operatorname{ri}(S) = \emptyset$ , then we are done. Else: let x and y be two arbitrary points in  $\operatorname{ri}(S)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point z by  $z := \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$ . Since  $x, y \in \operatorname{ri}(S)$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , by the lemma, we get  $z \in \operatorname{ri}(S)$ . Since

$$\forall x, y \in ri(S), \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in ri(S),$$

we get ri(S) is convex.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.6** (Closure). Convexity is stable under closure. i.e., the closure of a convex set is convex.

 $Proof\ Approach\ 1.$ 

Let  $x, y \in cl(C)$ .

Let  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Since  $x \in cl(C)$ ,  $\exists \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C$ ,  $\lim_{i \to \infty} x_i = x$ .

Since  $y \in cl(C)$ ,  $\exists \{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C$ ,  $\lim_{i \to \infty} y_i = y$ .

Since  $\lim_{i\to\infty} x_i = x$  and  $\lim_{i\to\infty} y_i = y$ ,  $\lim_{i\to\infty} (tx_i + (1-t)y_i) = tx + (1-t)y$ .

Since  $x_i, y_i \in C$  and C is convex,  $tx_i + (1 - t)y_i \in C$ .

Since  $tx_i + (1-t)y_i \in C \lim_{t\to\infty} (tx_i + (1-t)y_i) = tx + (1-t)y$ ,  $tx + (1-t)y \in cl(C)$ .

Since  $\forall x, y \in \text{cl}(C), \forall t \in [0, 1], tx + (1 - t)y \in \text{cl}(C)$ , we get cl(C) is convex.

Proof Approach 2.

 $\operatorname{cl}(C) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \left[C + \varepsilon \operatorname{ball}(0,1)\right]$ . This is an intersection of linear combinations of convex sets and hence convex.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.7** (Conical Hull). Convexity is stable under conical hull. i.e., if C is convex, then cone(C) is convex.

Proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary points in cone(C).

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1).

Define point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ .

Since  $x \in \text{cone}(C)$ ,  $\exists x' \in C$  and  $\exists \alpha > 0$  such that  $x = \alpha x'$ .

Since  $y \in \text{cone}(C)$ ,  $\exists y' \in C$  and  $\exists \beta > 0$  such that  $y = \beta y'$ .

Define point z' as  $z' := \frac{\lambda \alpha}{\lambda \alpha + (1-\lambda)\beta} x' + \frac{(1-\lambda)\beta}{\lambda \alpha + (1-\lambda)\beta} y'$ .

Since  $x', y' \in C$  and  $\frac{\lambda \alpha}{\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta} \in (0, 1)$  and  $\frac{\lambda \alpha}{\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta} + \frac{(1 - \lambda)\beta}{\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta} = 1$  and C is convex and  $z' := \frac{\lambda \alpha}{\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta} x' + \frac{(1 - \lambda)\beta}{\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta} y'$ , we get  $z' \in C$ .

Since  $z' \in C$  and  $z = (\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta)z'$ ,  $z \in \text{cone}(C)$ .

That is,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \text{cone}(C)$ .

Since  $\forall x, y \in \text{cone}(C), \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \text{cone}(C)$ , we get cone(C) is convex.

### 3.7 Examples of Convex Sets

**EXAMPLE 3.7.1.** Let I be an index set. Let  $b_i$  for  $i \in I$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\beta_i$  for  $i \in I$  be reals. Then the set C given by

$$C := \{ x \in \mathbb{E} : \forall i \in I, \langle x, b_i \rangle \leq \beta_i \}$$

is convex.

Proof.

Each of  $C_i := \{x \in \mathbb{E} : \langle x, b_i \rangle \leq \beta_i \}$  is convex and  $C = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$ .

$$\langle z, b_i \rangle = \langle \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, b_i \rangle$$

$$= \lambda \langle x, b_i \rangle + (1 - \lambda)\langle y, b_i \rangle$$

$$\leq \lambda \beta_i + (1 - \lambda)\beta_i$$

$$= \beta_i.$$

## 3.8 The Carathéodory Theorem

**THEOREM 3.2** (Carathéodory). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be some Euclidean space. Let S be some set in the space. Let x be some point in  $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ . Then x can be represented as a convex

combination of at most d+1 points in S. i.e., x lies in some r-simplex with vertices in S, where  $r \leq d.$ 

## Chapter 4

## Geometric Objects

#### 4.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Hyperplane). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let H be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that H is a **hyperplane** if and only if H can be expressed as

$$H = \{ x \in \mathbb{E} : a^{\top} x = b \}$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**DEFINITION** (Closed Half-Space). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let P be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that P is a **closed half-space** if and only if P can be expressed as

$$P = \{ x \in \mathbb{E} : a^{\top} x \le b \}$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**DEFINITION** (Polyhedron). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let P be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that P is a **polyhedron** if and only if P can be expressed as the intersection of finitely many closed half-spaces in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

## 4.2 Properties

PROPOSITION 4.2.1. Polyhedrons are convex.

## Chapter 5

## Cones

#### 5.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Conical Combination). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define a **conical combination** of S to be a point x in the space of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$$

where (1)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , (2)  $v_i \in S$  for all i, and (3)  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  for all i.

**DEFINITION** (Cone). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that S is a **cone** if  $S = \mathbb{R}_{++}S$ .

**DEFINITION** (Conical Hull). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **conical hull** of S, denoted by cone(S), to be the intersection of all cones containing C.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.1.** Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $cone(S) = \mathbb{R}_{++}S$ .

*Proof.* Forward Direction: I will show that  $cone(S) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{++}S$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}_{++}\mathbb{R}_{++}S = \mathbb{R}_{++}S$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S$  is a cone. Since  $1 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{++}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S$  is a cone containing S and cone(S) is the smallest cone containing S, we get

$$cone(S) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{++}S.$$

**Backward Direction**: I will show that  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S \subseteq \text{cone}(S)$ . Let C be an arbitrary cone containing S. Since  $S \subseteq C$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{++}C$ . Since C is a cone,  $\mathbb{R}_{++}C = C$ . So  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S \subseteq C$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}_{++}S \subseteq C$  for any cone C containing S, we get

$$\mathbb{R}_{++}S \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(S).$$

**DEFINITION** (Closed Conical Hull). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **closed** conical hull of S, denoted by  $\operatorname{clcone}(S)$ , to be the intersection of all closed cones containing C.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.2.** For any set S in  $\mathbb{E}$ , we have

$$clcone(S) = cl(cone(S)).$$

Proof.

For  $\operatorname{clcone}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$ .

Since  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$  is a closed cone containing S and  $\operatorname{clcone}(S)$  is the smallest closed cone containing S,  $\operatorname{clcone}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$ .

For  $cl(cone(S)) \subseteq clcone(S)$ .

Since  $S \subseteq \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ , by the monotonicity of the cone operator,  $\operatorname{cone}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{clcone}(S))$ .

Since  $cone(S) \subseteq cone(clcone(S))$ , by the monotonicity of the closure operator,  $cl(cone(S)) \subseteq cl(cone(clcone(S)))$ .

Since  $\operatorname{clcone}(S)$  is a cone,  $\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{clcone}(S)) = \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ .

Since  $\operatorname{clcone}(S)$  is  $\operatorname{closed}$ ,  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{clcone}(S)) = \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ .

Since cone(clcone(S)) = clcone(S) and cl(clcone(S)) = clcone(S), we get cl(cone(clcone(S))) = clcone(S).

Since  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{clcone}(S)))$  and  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{clcone}(S))) = \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ , we get  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ .

Since  $\operatorname{clcone}(S) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$  and  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{clcone}(S)$ , we get  $\operatorname{clcone}(S) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(S))$ .

**DEFINITION** (Ray). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let R be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that R is a **ray** if R can be expressed as

$$R = \{\alpha v : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

for some  $v \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**DEFINITION** (Extreme Ray). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let K be a cone in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let R be a ray in K. We say that R is an **extreme ray** in K if for any pair of rays  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  in K such that  $R_1 + R_2 \supseteq R$ , we have either  $R_1 = R$  or  $R_2 = R$  (or both).

### 5.2 The cone Operator

**PROPOSITION 5.2.1** (The cone Operator). The cone operator has the following properties.

 $(1) \ \forall S \subseteq \mathbb{E},$ 

 $S \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(S)$ .

(2)  $\forall S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ ,

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 \implies \operatorname{cone}(S_1) \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(S_2).$$

 $(3) \ \forall S \subseteq \mathbb{E},$ 

$$cone(cone(S)) = cone(S).$$

**PROPOSITION 5.2.2.** The conv operator and the cone operator commute. Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) = \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)).$$

Proof.

For  $cone(conv(S)) \subseteq conv(cone(S))$ , let x be an arbitrary point in cone(conv(S)).

Since  $x \in \text{cone}(\text{conv}(S))$ , we get  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists v_1, ..., v_n \in S$ ,  $\exists \mu_1, ..., \mu_n \in [0, 1], \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1$  such that  $x = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i$ .

Since  $x = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i v_i$ ,  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i (\lambda v_i)$ .

Since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $v_i \in S$ ,  $\lambda v_i \in \text{cone}(S)$ .

Since  $\lambda v_i \in \text{cone}(S)$  and  $\mu_i \in [0,1]$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\lambda v_i) \in \text{conv}(\text{cone}(S))$ .

Since  $\forall x \in \text{cone}(\text{conv}(S)), x \in \text{conv}(\text{cone}(S)), \text{cone}(\text{conv}(S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(\text{cone}(S)).$ 

For  $conv(cone(S)) \subseteq cone(conv(S))$ , let x be an arbitrary point in conv(cone(S)).

Since  $x \in \text{conv}(\text{cone}(S))$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists \lambda_i \in [0,1]$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\exists \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\exists v_i \in S$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_i v_i$ .

```
Define \alpha := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_i.

Define \beta_i := \lambda_i \mu_i / \alpha.

Then \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ and \beta_i \in [0,1] and \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 1 and x = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i.

Since \beta_i \in [0,1] and \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 1 and v_i \in S, we get \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i \in \operatorname{conv}(S).

Since \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ and \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i \in \operatorname{conv}(S) and x = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i, we get x \in \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)).

Since \forall x \in \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)), x \in \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)), we get \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)).

Since \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) and \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)) \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{conv}(S)), we get \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{cone}(S)).
```

### 5.3 Other Properties

```
PROPOSITION 5.3.1. Let C be a convex set in \mathbb{E}. Assume \operatorname{int}(C) \neq \emptyset and 0 \in C. Then \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cone}(C)) = \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{int}(C)).
```

Proof.

For one direction, let x be an arbitrary point in int(cone(C)). We are to prove that  $x \in cone(int(C))$ .

```
Since x \in int(cone(C)), \exists r \text{ such that } ball(x, r) \subseteq cone(C).
```

Since  $x \in int(cone(C)), x \in cone(C)$ .

Since  $x \in \text{cone}(C)$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n > 0, \exists v_1, ..., v_n \in C \text{ such that } x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ .

Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\exists k \in \{1,...,n\}$  such that  $\forall r_k > 0$ , ball $(v_k, r_k) \cap \mathbb{E} \setminus C \neq \emptyset$ .

#### ### not finished

For the reverse direction, let x be an arbitrary point in  $\operatorname{cone}(int(C))$ . We are to prove that  $x \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cone}(C))$ .

Since  $x \in \text{cone}(int(C))$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n > 0, \exists v_1, ..., v_n \in int(C)$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ .

Since  $v_i \in int(C)$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $\exists r_i$  such that  $ball(v_i, r_i) \subseteq C$ .

Define  $R := \min\{\lambda_i r_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

Say  $R = \lambda_k r_k$  for some  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

Let y be an arbitrary point in ball(x, R).

Since  $y \in \text{ball}(x, R)$ ,  $\exists w \text{ such that } ||w|| < R \text{ and } y = x + w$ .

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i + w$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq k} \lambda_i v_i + \lambda_k v_k + w$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq k} \lambda_i v_i + \lambda_k (v_k + w/\lambda_k).$$

Since ||w|| < R,  $||w/\lambda_k|| < R/\lambda_k = r_k$ .

Since  $||w/\lambda_k|| < r_k$ ,  $v_k + w/\lambda_k \in \text{ball}(v_k, r_k)$ .

So  $v_k + w/\lambda_k \in C$ .

So  $y \in \text{cone}(C)$ .

Since  $\forall y \in \text{ball}(x, R), y \in \text{cone}(C), \text{ball}(x, R) \subseteq \text{cone}(C).$ 

Since  $\exists r \text{ such that } \text{ball}(x,r) \subseteq \text{cone}(C), x \in int(\text{cone}(C)).$ 

This proves  $cone(int(C)) \subseteq int(cone(C))$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.3.2.** Let C be a convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Assume  $\operatorname{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$  and  $0 \in C$ . Then

$$0 \in \operatorname{int}(C) \iff \operatorname{cone}(C) = \mathbb{E}.$$

*Proof.* For one direction, assume that  $0 \in int(C)$ . We are to prove that  $cone(C) = \mathbb{E}$ . Clearly

$$cone(C) \subseteq \mathbb{E}$$
.

Since  $0 \in int(C)$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $ball(0,r) \subseteq C$ . Since  $ball(0,r) \subseteq C$ ,  $cone(ball(0,r)) \subseteq cone(C)$ . Since  $cone(ball(0,r)) = \mathbb{E}$  and  $cone(ball(0,r)) \subseteq cone(C)$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E} \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(C)$$
.

For the reverse direction, assume that  $cone(C) = \mathbb{E}$ . We are to prove that  $0 \in int(C)$ .

$$\mathbb{E} = int(\mathbb{E}) = int(\operatorname{cone}(C)) = \operatorname{cone}(int(C)).$$

If  $0 \notin int(C)$ , then  $0 \notin cone(int(C))$ . So  $0 \in int(C)$ .

#### 5.4 Dual of a Convex Cone

**DEFINITION** (Dual of a Convex Cone). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let K be a convex cone in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **dual** of K, denoted by  $K^*$ , to be a set given by

$$K^* := \{ x \in \mathbb{E} : \forall k \in K, \langle x, k \rangle \ge 0 \}.$$

PROPOSITION 5.4.1. The dual of a convex cone is

- (1) always a cone;
- (2) always closed;
- (3) always convex.

**PROPOSITION 5.4.2.** Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let K be a convex cone in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $K^{**} = \operatorname{cl}(K)$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.4.3.** Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let K be a pointed, closed convex cone with nonempty interior. Then so is  $K^*$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.4.4.** Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a Euclidean space. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be nonempty convex cones. Then

- (1)  $(K_1 + K_2)^* = K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ .
- (2)  $(\operatorname{cl}(K_1) \cap \operatorname{cl}(K_2))^* = \operatorname{cl}(K_1^* + K_2^*).$
- (3) If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are closed and  $\operatorname{relint}(K_1) \cap \operatorname{relint}(K_2) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(K_1 \cap K_2)^* = K_1^* + K_2^*$ .

Proof of (1). Forward Direction: Let x be an arbitrary element of  $(K_1 + K_2)^*$ . I will show that  $x \in K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ . Since  $x \in (K_1 + K_2)^*$ ,  $\forall k \in K_1 + K_2$ , we have  $\langle x, k \rangle \geq 0$ . Let  $k_1$  be an arbitrary element of  $K_1$ . Let  $k_2$  be an arbitrary element of  $K_2$ . Then

$$\langle x, k_1 \rangle = \left\langle x, \lim_{n \to \infty} (k_1 + \frac{1}{n} k_2) \right\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle x, k_1 + \frac{1}{n} k_2 \right\rangle, \text{ since } \left\langle x, \cdot \right\rangle \text{ is continuous}$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} 0, \text{ since } k_1 + \frac{1}{n} k_2 \in K_1 + K_2$$

$$= 0.$$

That is,  $\langle x, k_1 \rangle \geq 0$ . A similar argument can show that  $\langle x, k_2 \rangle \geq 0$ . So  $x \in K_1^*$  and  $x \in K_2^*$ . So  $x \in K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ .

**Backward Direction**: Let x be an arbitrary element of  $K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ . I will show that  $x \in (K_1 + K_2)^*$ . Let k be an arbitrary element of  $K_1 + K_2$ . Then k can be written as  $k = k_1 + k_2$  where  $k_1 \in K_1$  and  $k_2 \in K_2$ . Since  $x \in K_1^* \cap K_2^*$ ,  $x \in K_1^*$ . Since  $x \in K_1^*$  and  $k_1 \in K_1$ , we get  $\langle x, k_1 \rangle \geq 0$ . A similar argument can show that  $\langle x, k_2 \rangle \geq 0$ . So

$$\langle x, k \rangle = \langle x, k_1 + k_2 \rangle = \langle x, k_1 \rangle + \langle x, k_2 \rangle \ge 0 + 0 = 0.$$

That is,  $\langle x, k \rangle \geq 0$ . So  $x \in (K_1 + K_2)^*$ .

#### 5.5 Polar of a Cone

**DEFINITION** (Polar Cone). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be some Euclidean space. Let S be some set in the space. We define the **polar cone** of S, denoted by  $C^{\circ}$ , to be the set given by

$$C^{\circ} := \{ y \in \mathbb{E} : \forall x \in C, \langle y, x \rangle \le 0 \}.$$

**PROPOSITION 5.5.1.** If S is a linear subspace of some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}$ , then  $S^{\circ} = S^{\perp}$ .

## Chapter 6

# Tangent Cones and Normal Cones

### 6.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Tangent Cones). Let C be a non-empty convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **tangent cone** to C at point x, denoted by  $T_C(x)$ , to be a set given by

$$T_C(x) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{clcone}(C - x), & \text{if } x \in C, \text{ or} \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

**DEFINITION** (Normal Cones). Let C be a non-empty convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **normal cone** to C at point x, denoted by  $N_C(x)$ , to be a set given by

$$N_C(x) := \begin{cases} \{v \in \mathbb{E} : \forall y \in C - x, \langle y, v \rangle \leq 0\}, & \text{if } x \in C, \text{ or } \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

## 6.2 Basic Properties

**PROPOSITION 6.2.1.** Let C be a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $T_C(x)$  and  $N_C(x)$  are closed convex cones.

#### Proof.

If  $C = \emptyset$ , then  $T_C(x) = N_C(x) = \emptyset$ .

If  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \notin C$ , then  $T_C(x) = N_C(x) = \emptyset$ .

So now I assume that  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in C$ .

#### Tangent Cone is Closed:

By definition,  $T_C(x) = \text{clcone}(C - x)$ . So  $T_C(x)$  is a closed.

#### Tangent Cone is Convex:

$$C$$
 is convex 
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{since affine mapping preserves convexity}$$
  $C-x$  is convex 
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{since the cone operator preserves convexity}$$
  $\operatorname{cone}(C-x)$  is convex 
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{since the cl operator preserves convexity}$$
  $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}(C-x))$  is  $\operatorname{convex} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{since } \operatorname{cl} \circ \operatorname{cone} = \operatorname{clcone}$   $\operatorname{clcone}(C-x)$  is  $\operatorname{convex} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \text{since } \operatorname{cl} \circ \operatorname{cone} = \operatorname{clcone}$ 

That is,  $T_C(x)$  is convex.

#### Tangent Cone is a Cone

By definition,  $T_C(x) = \text{clcone}(C - x)$ . So  $T_C(x)$  is a cone.

#### Normal Cone is Closed:

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $N_C(x)$  that converges to some point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

Say  $x_i \to x_{\infty}$ .

Let y be an arbitrary point in C-x.

Since  $x_i \in N_C(x)$  and  $y \in C - x$ , by definition of  $N_C(x)$ , we get  $\langle x_i, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\langle x_i, y \rangle \leq 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_i \to x_\infty$ , we get  $\langle x_\infty, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\forall y \in C - x, \langle x_{\infty}, y \rangle \leq 0$ , by definition of  $N_C(x)$ , we get  $x_{\infty} \in N_C(x)$ .

Since any convergent sequence whose terms are in  $N_C(x)$  has its limit also in  $N_C(x)$ ,  $N_C(x)$  is closed.

#### Normal Cone is Convex:

Let u and v be arbitrary points in  $N_C(x)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1).

Define point z as  $z := \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v$ .

#### 6.2. BASIC PROPERTIES

31

Let y be an arbitrary point in C-x.

Since  $u \in N_C(x)$ ,  $\langle u, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $v \in N_C(x)$ ,  $\langle v, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

$$\langle z, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v, y \rangle$$

$$= \lambda \langle u, y \rangle + (1 - \lambda)\langle v, y \rangle$$

$$\leq \lambda 0 + (1 - \lambda)0$$

$$= 0.$$

That is,  $\langle z, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\forall y \in C - x$ ,  $\langle z, y \rangle \leq 0$ , we get  $z \in N_C(x)$ .

That is,  $\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \in N_C(x)$ .

Since  $\forall u, v \in N_C(x), \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v \in N_C(x)$ , we get  $N_C(x)$  is convex.

#### Normal Cone is a Cone:

Let v be an arbitrary point in  $N_C(x)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number such that  $\lambda > 0$ .

Let y be an arbitrary point in C-x.

Since  $v \in N_C(x)$ ,  $\langle v, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\langle v, y \rangle \leq 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\langle \lambda v, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\forall y \in C - x$ ,  $\langle \lambda v, y \rangle \leq 0$ , we get  $\lambda v \in N_C(x)$ .

Since  $\forall v \in N_C(x), \forall \lambda > 0, \lambda v \in N_C(x)$ , we get  $N_C(x)$  is a cone.

**PROPOSITION 6.2.2.** Let C be a non-empty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in C. Let n be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then

$$n \in N_C(x) \iff \forall t \in T_C(x), \langle n, t \rangle \leq 0.$$

Proof.

For one direction, assume that  $n \in N_C(x)$ .

We are to prove that

$$\forall t \in T_C(x), \quad \langle n, t \rangle \leq 0.$$

Let t be an arbitrary point in  $T_C(x)$ .

Since  $t \in T_C(x) = \text{cl}(\text{cone}(C - x)),$ 

$$\exists \{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(C - x), \text{ such that } t_i \to t.$$
 (1)

Since  $t_i \in \text{cone}(C - x)$ ,

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \exists c_i \in C \text{ such that } t_i = \lambda_i (c_i - x).$$
 (2)

Since  $n \in N_C(x)$  and  $c_i \in C$ ,

$$\langle n, c_i - x \rangle \le 0. \tag{3}$$

Now using (2) and (3), we have

$$\langle n, t_i \rangle$$

$$= \langle n, \lambda_i (c_i - x) \rangle, \qquad \text{since } t_i = \lambda_i (c_i - x) s$$

$$= \lambda_i \langle n, c_i - x \rangle$$

$$\leq \lambda_i \cdot 0, \qquad \text{since } \langle n, c_i - x \rangle \leq 0$$

$$= 0.$$

That is,

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \langle n, t_i \rangle \leq 0.$$

Since  $\langle n, t_i \rangle \leq 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_i \to t$ , we get

$$\langle n, t \rangle \leq 0.$$

For the reverse direction, assume that n is a vector such that

$$\forall t \in T_C(x), \quad \langle n, t \rangle \leq 0.$$

We are to prove that  $n \in N_C(x)$ .

Let y be an arbitrary point in C-x.

Since  $C - x \subseteq \text{clcone}(C - x) = T_C(x)$  and  $y \in C - x$ , we get  $y \in T_C(x)$ .

Since  $y \in T_C(x)$  and  $\forall t \in T_C(x), \langle n, t \rangle \leq 0$ , we get  $\langle n, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $\forall y \in C - x, \langle n, y \rangle \leq 0$ , we get  $n \in N_C(x)$ .

**THEOREM 6.1.** Let C be a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then

$$x \in int(C) \iff T_C(x) = \mathbb{E} \iff N_C(x) = \{0\}.$$

Proof.

#### Part 1.

 $x \in int(C)$  if and only if  $0 \in int(C-x)$ , if and only if  $\operatorname{clcone}(C-x) = \mathbb{E}$ .

### Part 2.

For one direction, assume that  $T_C(x) = \mathbb{E}$ .

We are to prove that  $N_C(x) = \{0\}.$ 

Consider n = 0.

Since

$$\forall t \in T_C(x), \quad \langle 0, t \rangle = 0 \le 0,$$

we get  $0 \in N_C(x)$ .

Let n be an arbitrary vector in  $N_C(x)$ .

By another proposition, we have

$$n \in N_C(x)$$

$$\iff \forall t \in T_C(x) = \mathbb{E}, \langle n, t \rangle \leq 0$$

$$\iff \text{for } t = n, \langle n, t \rangle = \langle n, n \rangle \leq 0$$

$$\iff n = 0.$$

That is,  $n \in N_C(x) \implies n = 0$ .

So  $N_C(x) = \{0\}.$ 

For the reverse direction, assume that  $N_C(x) = \{0\}.$ 

We are to prove that  $T_C(x) = \mathbb{E}$ .

Clearly  $T_C(x) \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ .

For  $\mathbb{E} \subseteq T_C(x)$ , let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

Define  $p := \operatorname{proj}_{T_C(x)}(x)$ .

Since  $p = \operatorname{proj}_{T_C(x)}(x)$ ,

$$\forall y \in T_C(x), \quad \langle x - p, y - p \rangle \le 0.$$
 (1)

Since  $p = \operatorname{proj}_{T_C(x)}(x), p \in T_C(x)$ .

Since  $p \in T_C(x)$  and  $T_C(x)$  is a cone,

$$2p \in T_C(x). \tag{2}$$

Apply (1) to y = 2p, we get

$$\langle x - p, 2p - p \rangle = \langle x - p, p \rangle \le 0. \tag{3}$$

Since  $T_C(x)$  is a closed cone,

$$0 \in T_C(x). \tag{4}$$

Apply (1) to y = 0, we get

$$\langle x - p, 0 - p \rangle = \langle x - p, -p \rangle \le 0. \tag{5}$$

From (3) and (5), we get

$$\langle x - p, p \rangle = 0.$$

So (1) becomes

$$\forall y \in T_C(x), \quad \langle x - p, y \rangle \le 0.$$

So  $x - p \in N_C(x)$ .

So x - p = 0.

So x = p.

So  $x \in T_C(x)$ .

Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}, x \in T_C(x)$ , we get

 $\mathbb{E} \subseteq T_C(x)$ .

## 6.3 Arithmetic Properties

**PROPOSITION 6.3.1.** Let C and D be convex subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

Then

$$N_C(x) + N_D(x) \subseteq N_{C \cap D}(x)$$
.

Proof.

If C or D is empty, then  $N_C(x) + N_D(x) = N_{C \cap D}(x) = \emptyset$ .

So now I assume that  $C, D \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $x \notin C \cap D$ , then  $N_C(x) + N_D(x) = N_{C \cap D}(x) = \emptyset$ .

So now I assume that  $x \in C \cap D$ .

Let v be an arbitrary point in  $N_C(x) + N_D(x)$ .

Since  $v \in N_C(x) + N_D(x)$ ,  $\exists u \in N_C(x)$ ,  $\exists w \in N_D(x)$  such that v = u + w.

Since  $u \in N_C(x)$ ,  $\forall y \in C - x$ ,  $\langle u, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Since  $w \in N_D(x)$ ,  $\forall y \in D - x$ ,  $\langle w, y \rangle \leq 0$ .

Let y be an arbitrary point in  $C \cap D - x$ .

Since  $y \in C \cap D - x$ , we get  $y \in C - x$  and  $y \in D - x$ .

$$\langle v, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle u + w, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle u, y \rangle + \langle w, y \rangle$$

$$\leq 0 + 0 = 0.$$

This is true for any  $y \in C \cap D - x$ .

So  $v \in N_{C \cap D}(x)$ .

This is true for any  $v \in N_C(x) + N_D(x)$ .

So  $N_C(x) + N_D(x) \subseteq N_{C \cap D}(x)$ .

**THEOREM 6.2.** Let C and D be convex sets in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Assume that  $ri(C) \cap ri(D) \neq \emptyset$ . Let x be a point in  $C \cap D$ . Then

$$N_{C \cap D}(x) = N_C(x) + N_C(x).$$

## 6.4 Other Properties

**PROPOSITION 6.4.1.** Let f be a proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathrm{dom}(f)$ . Let u be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $u \in \partial f(x)$  if and only if  $(u, -1) \in N_{\mathrm{epi}(f)}(x, f(x))$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{split} u &\in \partial f(x) \\ \iff \forall y \in \mathbb{E}, f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle u, y - x \rangle \\ \iff \forall y \in \mathrm{dom}(f), f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle u, y - x \rangle \\ \iff \forall (y, \beta) \in \mathrm{epi}(f), f(x) + \langle u, y - x \rangle \leq \beta \\ \iff \forall (y, \beta) \in \mathrm{epi}(f), \langle (u, -1), (y - x, \beta - f(x)) \rangle \leq 0 \\ \iff \forall (y, \beta) \in \mathrm{epi}(f), \langle (u, -1), (y, \beta) - (x, f(x)) \rangle \leq 0 \\ \iff (u, -1) \in N_{\mathrm{epi}(f)}(x, f(x)). \end{split}$$

## Chapter 7

## Extreme Point and Face

### 7.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Extreme Points - 1). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Let C be a nonempty convex set in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let x be some point in C. We say that x is an **extreme point** of C if it does not lie strictly between any two distinct points in C.

**DEFINITION** (Extreme Points - 2). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be some Euclidean space. Let C be a nonempty convex set in the space. Let x be some point in C. We say that x is an **extreme point** of C if  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is convex.

PROPOSITION 7.1.1. The two definitions of extreme point are equivalent.

*Proof.* Definition  $1 \iff Definition 2$ :

**Forward Direction**: Assume that x does not lie between any two distinct points in C. I will show that  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is convex. Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be two arbitrary distinct points in  $C \setminus \{x\}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point y as  $y := \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2$ . Since C is convex,  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ , and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , we get  $y \in C$ . Since x does not lie between any two distinct points in C,  $y \neq x$ . So  $y \in C \setminus \{x\}$ . That is, I have proved that

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in C \setminus \{x\}, \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad y = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in C \setminus \{x\}.$$

By definition,  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is convex.

**Backward Direction**: Assume that  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is convex. I will show that x does not lie between any two distinct points in C. Assume for the sake of contradiction that x does lie between two distinct points in C. Say  $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$  where  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . Clearly  $x \neq x_1$  and  $x \neq x_2$ . So  $x_1, x_2 \in C \setminus \{x\}$ . Since  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is convex,  $x_1, x_2 \in C \setminus \{x\}$ , and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , we get  $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in C \setminus \{x\}$ . This leads to a contradiction. So the assumption that x lies between two distinct points in C does not hold. i.e. x does not lie between two distinct points in C.

## 7.2 Properties of Extreme Points

**PROPOSITION 7.2.1.** If C is nonempty, convex, and compact, then  $\operatorname{Ext}(C) \neq \emptyset$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally convex space. Let K be a nonempty, compact, and convex set in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Ext}(K) \neq \emptyset$ .

### **7.3** Face

**DEFINITION** (Face - 1). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Let C be a nonempty convex subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . We say that F is a **face** of C, denoted by  $F \subseteq C$ , if and only if F is a nonempty convex subset of C such that

$$\forall x, y \in C, \forall t \in (0, 1), \quad tx + (1 - t)y \in F \implies x, y \in F.$$

**DEFINITION** (Face - 2). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Let C be a nonempty convex subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $F \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . We say that F is a **face** of C, denoted by  $F \subseteq C$ , if and only if F is a nonempty convex subset of C such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in C^n, \forall t \in (0,1)^n : \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \in F \implies x \in F^n.$$

7.3. FACE 39

**PROPOSITION 7.3.1.** The two definitions of faces above are equivalent.

Proof. Forward Direction: Suppose that  $\forall x, y \in C, \forall t \in (0,1)$  such that  $tx + (1-t)y \in F$ , we have  $x, y \in F$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in C^n$ ,  $t \in (0,1)^n$  be arbitrary such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . Define a point z by  $z := \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$ . Suppose that  $z \in F$ . I will show that  $x \in F^n$ . Note that  $\forall i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , we have

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j x_j = t_i x_i + (1 - t_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{t_j}{1 - t_i} x_j.$$

Consider the point  $z_i := \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{t_j}{1 - t_i} x_j$ . Note that  $\forall j \neq i, \frac{t_j}{1 - t_i} \in (0, 1)$  and that  $\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{t_j}{1 - t_i} = 1$ . So since  $\forall j \neq i, x_j \in C$  and C is convex, we get  $z_i \in C$ . By assumption, we get  $x_i, z_i \in F$ . In particular,  $x_i \in F$ . So  $x \in F^n$ .

**Backward Direction**: Suppose that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in C^n, \forall t \in (0,1)^n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$  and that  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \in F$ , we have  $x \in F^n$ . Take n := 2, then  $\forall x, y \in C, \forall t \in (0,1)$  such that  $tx + (1-t)y \in F$ , we have  $x, y \in F$ .

Faces are generalizations of extreme points.

**PROPOSITION 7.3.2** (Transitivity). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Let A, B, and C be nonempty convex subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Suppose that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . Then  $A \subseteq C$ .

Proof. Let x and y be arbitrary elements of C. Let t be an arbitrary element of (0,1). Define a point z by z := tx + (1-t)y. Suppose that  $z \in A$ . I will show that  $x, y \in A$ . Note that since  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $A \subseteq B$ . So  $z \in A \subseteq B$ . Since  $x, y \in C$ ,  $t \in (0,1)$ ,  $z \in B$ , and  $B \subseteq C$ , we get  $x, y \in B$ . Since  $x, y \in B$ ,  $t \in (0,1)$ ,  $t \in A$ , and  $t \in A$ . So  $t \in A$  so  $t \in A$ .

**DEFINITION** (Exposed Face of a Convex Cone). Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an inner product space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let C be a nonempty convex conic subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $F \subseteq C$ . We say that F is **exposed** if and only if  $\exists a \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$F = \{x \in C : \langle a, x \rangle = 0\} \text{ and } C \subseteq \{x \in \mathcal{V} : \langle a, x \rangle \le 0\}.$$

**DEFINITION** (Extreme Points - 3). Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be some Euclidean space. Let C be a nonempty convex set in the space. Let x be some point in C. We say that x is an

**extreme point** of C if  $\{x\}$  is a face of C.

**PROPOSITION 7.3.3.** This definition of extreme points is equivalent to the previous two.

**PROPOSITION 7.3.4.** If F is a face of C, then  $\operatorname{Ext}(F) \subseteq \operatorname{Ext}(C)$ .

### 7.4 The Krein-Milman Theorem

**LEMMA 7.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally convex space. Let K be a nonempty, compact, and convex set in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{V}^*$ . Define  $r := \sup\{\Re \rho(x) : x \in K\}$ . Define  $F := \{x \in K : \Re \rho(x) = r\}$ . Then F is a nonempty compact face of K.

*Proof.* Nonempty: Since  $\Re \rho$  is continuous and K is compact,  $\{\Re \rho(x) : x \in K\}$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $r = \sup \{\Re \rho(x) : x \in K\}$  is attained. So  $F \neq \emptyset$ .

**Compact**: Notice  $F = (\Re \rho)^{-1}(\{r\})$ . Since  $\Re \rho$  is continuous and  $\{r\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is closed, F is closed. Since F is a closed subset of K and K is compact, F is compact.

**Convex**: Let x and y be arbitrary elements of F. Let  $t \in (0,1)$ . Since  $x, y \in F$ , we have  $\Re \rho(x) = \Re \rho(y) = r$ . So

$$\Re \rho(tx + (1-t)y) = t\Re \rho(x) + (1-t)\Re \rho(y) = tr + (1-t)r = r.$$

So  $tx + (1-t)y \in F$ . So F is convex.

**Face**: Let x and y be arbitrary elements of K. Let  $t \in (0,1)$ . Suppose that  $tx+(1-t)y \in F$ . Since  $x,y \in K$ , we have  $\Re \rho(x) \leq r$  and  $\Re \rho(y) \leq r$ . Since  $tx+(1-t)y \in F$ , we have

$$t\Re\rho(x) + (1-t)\Re\rho(y) = \Re\rho(tx + (1-t)y) = r.$$

So we must have  $\Re \rho(x) = \Re \rho(y) = r$ . So  $x, y \in F$ . So F is a face of K.

**THEOREM 7.1** (Krein-Milman Theorem). A compact convex set in a locally convex space is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

*Proof.* Let V be a locally convex space. Let K be a nonempty, compact, and convex set in V.

Forward Direction: Show that  $K \subseteq \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K))$ . Let m be an arbitrary element of K. Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $m \notin \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K))$ . By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there is some  $\tau \in \mathcal{V}^*$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha > \beta$  and

$$\forall b \in \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K)), \quad \Re \tau(m) \ge \alpha > \beta \ge \Re \tau(b).$$

Define  $s := \sup\{\Re \tau(w) : w \in K\}$ . Define  $L := \{z \in K : \Re \tau(z) = s\}$ . Then L is a nonempty compact face of K. So  $\operatorname{Ext}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Let e be an element of  $\operatorname{Ext}(L)$ . Then  $e \in \operatorname{Ext}(L) \subseteq L$ . So  $\Re \tau(e) = s$ . So

$$\forall b \in \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K)), \quad \Re \tau(e) = s \ge \Re \tau(m) \ge \alpha > \beta \ge \Re \tau(b).$$

That is,  $\Re \tau(e) > \Re \tau(b)$ . Since L is a face of K,  $\operatorname{Ext}(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Ext}(K)$ . Notice  $e \in \operatorname{Ext}(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Ext}(K) \subseteq \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K))$ . So in particular,  $\Re \tau(e) > \Re \tau(e)$ . This is a contradiction. So  $m \in \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K))$ . So  $K \subseteq \operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K))$ .

**Backward Direction**: Show that  $\operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K)) \subseteq K$ . Note that  $\operatorname{Ext}(K) \subseteq K$ . Since K is closed and convex and  $\operatorname{Ext}(K) \subseteq K$ , we get  $\operatorname{clconv}(\operatorname{Ext}(K)) \subseteq K$ .

## Chapter 8

# Projection

### 8.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Projection). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let S be a non-empty set in the space. Let x be a point in the space. We define the **projection** of x onto S, denoted by  $\operatorname{proj}_{S}(x)$ , to be a point given by

$$\operatorname{proj}_{S}(x) := \operatorname{argmin}_{p \in S} \|p - x\|.$$

i.e.,  $\operatorname{proj}_S(x)$  is the closest point in S to x.

**PROPOSITION 8.1.1** (Existence). If S is non-empty and closed, then the projection  $\operatorname{proj}_S(x)$  exists.

*Proof.* Define for an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a point  $c_m$  to be a point in S that satisfies

$$\lim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||c_i - x|| = d_S(x) \text{ where } d_S(x) = \inf_{p \in S} ||p - x||.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space, the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the Parallelogram Law. So

$$||c_m - c_n||^2 = 2||c_m - x||^2 + 2||c_n - x||^2 - ||c_m + c_n - 2x||^2$$

$$= 2||c_m - x||^2 + 2||c_n - x||^2 - 4\left\|\frac{c_m + c_n}{2} - x\right\|^2$$

$$\leq 2||c_m - x||^2 + 2||c_n - x||^2 - 4d_S(x)$$

$$\to 2d_S(x) + 2d_S(x) - 4d_S(x) = 0.$$

So the sequence  $(c_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space, it is complete. So  $(c_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges. Since S is closed, and  $(c_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in S,  $p := \lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} c_i \in S$ . So  $\|p-x\| = \|\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} c_i - x\| = \lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \|c_i - x\| = d_S(x)$ . So p is the minimizer of the distance to the point x over S. So  $p = \operatorname{proj}_S(x)$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.1.2** (Uniqueness). If S is non-empty, closed, and convex, then the projection  $\text{proj}_S(x)$  is unique.

*Proof.* Let p denote  $\operatorname{proj}_S(x)$ . Then  $||p-x|| = d_S(x)$ . Let q be a point in S such that  $||q-x|| = d_S(x)$ . Then by the Parallelogram Law,

$$0 \le \|p - q\|^2 = 2\|x - p\|^2 + 2\|q - x\| - 4 \left\|x - \frac{1}{2}(p + q)\right\|^2$$
$$\le 2d_S^2(x) + 2d_S^2(x) - 4d_S^2(x)$$
$$= 0.$$

This shows ||p-q||=0 and hence p=q. Thus the projection is unique.

## 8.2 Properties of the Projection Operator

**PROPOSITION 8.2.1** (Idempotent). The projection operator is idempotent. i.e., if C is a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ , then  $\operatorname{proj}_C = \operatorname{proj}_C \operatorname{proj}_C$ .

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . By definition,  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x) \in C$ . Since  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x) \in C$ , the closest point in C to  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x)$  is  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x)$ . So  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x) = \operatorname{proj}_C(x)$ . This is true for any  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . So  $\operatorname{proj}_C = \operatorname{proj}_C \operatorname{proj}_C$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.2.2.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then the set of fixed points of the operator  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is C.

*Proof.* For one direction, let x be an arbitrary fixed point of  $\operatorname{proj}_C$ . We are to prove that  $x \in C$ . Since x is a fixed point of  $\operatorname{proj}_C$ ,  $x = \operatorname{proj}_C(x)$ . By definition of  $\operatorname{projection}$ ,  $\operatorname{proj}_C(x) \in C$ . So  $x = \operatorname{proj}_C(x) \in C$ .

For the reverse direction, let x be an arbitrary point in C. We are to prove that x is a fixed point of C. Since  $x \in C$ , the closest point in C to x is x. So  $x = \operatorname{proj}_C(x)$ . So x is a fixed point of  $\operatorname{proj}_C$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.2.3** (Linearity). Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then the operator  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is linear if and only if C is a linear subspace.

**PROPOSITION 8.2.4** (Non-expansive). The projection operator is non-expansive. i.e., if C is a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ , then  $\|\operatorname{proj} C(x)\| \leq \|x\|$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ .

this is not true. I guess it will be true when C is a linear subspace.

**PROPOSITION 8.2.5.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is Lipschitz with constant 1.

*Proof.* Let x and y be two arbitrary points in  $\mathbb{E}$ . If  $\|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\| = 0$ , then  $\|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\| \le \|x - y\|$ . Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y)\|^{2} \\ &= \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - x \rangle \\ &+ \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), x - y \rangle \\ &+ \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), y - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle x - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \rangle \\ &+ \langle y - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) \rangle \\ &+ \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), x - y \rangle \\ &\leq 0 + 0 + \langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y), x - y \rangle \\ &\leq \|\operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y)\| \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\|^2 \le \|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\| \|x - y\|.$$

Dividing both sides by  $\|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\|$  gives

$$\|\operatorname{proj}_C(x) - \operatorname{proj}_C(y)\| \le \|x - y\|.$$

So  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is Lipschitz with constant 1.

**PROPOSITION 8.2.6** (Firmly Non-expansive). Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is firmly non-expansive.

*Proof.* This is to prove.

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}, \quad \left\| \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \right\|^{2} \leq \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), y - x \right\rangle.$$

$$\left\| \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \right\|^{2}$$

$$= \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - y \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), y - x \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), x - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq 0 + \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), y - x \right\rangle + 0$$

$$= \left\langle \operatorname{proj}_{C}(y) - \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x), y - x \right\rangle.$$

## 8.3 Characterization

**THEOREM 8.1** (Projection Theorem). Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x and p be points in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $p = \operatorname{proj}_C(x)$  if and only if

$$\forall y \in C, \quad \langle y - p, x - p \rangle \le 0.$$

*Proof.* Let y be an arbitrary point in C. Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary number in [0,1]. Define  $y_{\alpha} := \alpha y + (1-\alpha)p$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \operatorname{proj}_{C}(x) \\ \iff \forall y \in C, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \|x - p\|^{2} \leq \|x - y_{\alpha}\|^{2} \\ \iff \forall y \in C, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \|x - p\|^{2} \leq \|x - p - \alpha(y - p)\|^{2} \\ \iff \forall y \in C, \langle x - p, y - p \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Chapter 9

# Separation

### 9.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Separated). Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two sets in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are **separated** if  $\exists b \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$  such that

$$\sup_{s_1 \in S_1} \langle s_1, b \rangle \le \inf_{s_2 \in S_2} \langle s_2, b \rangle.$$

**DEFINITION** (Strongly Separated). Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two sets in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that they are **strongly separated** if the inequality holds strictly.

**DEFINITION** (Properly Separated). Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two sets in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We say that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are **properly separated** if  $\exists b \in \mathbb{E}$  such that

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x \in S_1} \langle x, b \rangle & \leq \inf_{y \in S_2} \langle y, b \rangle, \text{ and } \\ \inf_{x \in S_1} \langle x, b \rangle & > \sup_{y \in S_2} \langle y, b \rangle. \end{split}$$

### 9.2 Main Results

**PROPOSITION 9.2.1.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $x \notin C$ . Then x and C are strongly separated.

*Proof.* Define a point p by

$$p := \operatorname{proj}_C(x)$$
.

Define a point a by

$$a := x - p$$
.

To prove that x is strongly separated from C, it suffices to prove that

$$\forall y \in C, \quad \langle y, a \rangle < \langle x, a \rangle.$$

Since  $x \notin C$  and C is closed,

$$a \neq 0. (1)$$

Let y be an arbitrary point in C. Since  $p = \text{proj}_C(x)$  and  $y \in C$ ,

$$\langle y - p, x - p \rangle \le 0. \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle y,a\rangle\\ &<\langle y,a\rangle+\langle a,a\rangle, \text{ since } a\neq 0\\ &=\langle y+a,a\rangle\\ &=\langle y+x-p,x-p\rangle, \text{ substitute } a=x-p\\ &=\langle y-p,x-p\rangle+\langle x,x-p\rangle\\ &\leq 0+\langle x,x-p\rangle, \text{ since } \langle y-p,x-p\rangle\leq 0\\ &=\langle x,x-p\rangle\\ &=\langle x,a\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\forall y \in C, \quad \langle y, a \rangle < \langle x, a \rangle.$$

So x is strongly separated from C.

**PROPOSITION 9.2.2.** Let  $C_1$  be a non-empty closed convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $C_2$  be a non-empty compact convex set in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Assume that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are strongly separated.

9.2. MAIN RESULTS 49

*Proof.* Since  $C_1$  is non-empty closed and convex and  $C_2$  is non-empty compact and convex, we get  $C_1 - C_2$  is non-empty closed and convex. Since  $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin C_1 - C_2$ . Since  $C_1 - C_2$  is non-empty closed and convex and  $0 \in C_1 - C_2$ , 0 and  $C_1 - C_2$  are strongly separated. Since 0 is strongly separated from  $C_1 - C_2$ ,

$$\exists a \neq 0 \text{ such that } \forall c_1 \in C_1, c_2 \in C_2, \quad \langle c_1 - c_2, a \rangle < \langle 0, a \rangle.$$

That is,

$$\langle c_1, a \rangle < \langle c_2, a \rangle.$$

So  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are strongly separated.

**THEOREM 9.1.** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be non-empty closed convex sets in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Assume that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are separated.

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$D_n := C_2 \cap \text{ball}(0, n).$$

Then  $D_n$  is compact for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{C_1 \text{ is non-empty closed and convex } D_n \text{ is non-empty compact and convex we get } C_1 \text{ and } D_n \text{ are strongly separated for any } n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a_n \in \mathbb{E}, ||a_n|| = 1 \text{ such that } \forall c_1 \in C_1, \forall d_2 \in D_n, \langle c_1, a_n \rangle < \langle d_2, a_n \rangle.$$

Since  $||a_n|| = 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in I}$  where I is some infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{a_n\}_{n \in I}$  converges to some point  $a \in \mathbb{E}$ . Let x be an arbitrary point in  $C_1$ . Let y be an arbitrary point in  $C_2$ . For large enough  $n, y \in D_n$ . Since

$$\begin{cases} \langle x, a_n \rangle < \langle y, a_n \rangle \text{ for large enough } n \\ \lim_{n \in I, n \to \infty} \langle x, a_n \rangle = \langle x, a \rangle &, \text{ we get} \\ \lim_{n \in I, n \to \infty} \langle y, a_n \rangle = \langle y, a \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$\langle x, a \rangle \le \langle y, a \rangle.$$

Since

$$\exists a \neq 0 \text{ such that } \forall x \in C_1, \forall y \in C_2, \quad \langle x, a \rangle \leq \langle y, a \rangle,$$

by definition of separated,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are separated.

**PROPOSITION 9.2.3.** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be non-empty convex subsets of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are properly separated if and only if

$$\operatorname{ri}(C_1) \cap \operatorname{ri}(C_2) = \emptyset.$$

## Chapter 10

## **Convex Functions**

### 10.1 Preliminaries

**DEFINITION** (Epigraph). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We define the **epigraph** of f, denoted by epi(f), to be the set given by

$$\operatorname{epi}(f) := \{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \le \alpha\}.$$

**DEFINITION** (Domain). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We define the **domain** of f, denoted by dom(f), to be a set given by

$$\mathrm{dom}(f) := \big\{ x \in \mathbb{E} : f(x) < +\infty \big\}.$$

**DEFINITION** (Proper). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that f is **proper** if

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{E}, \quad f(x) \neq +\infty, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}, \quad f(x) \neq -\infty$ 

## 10.2 The Indicator Function

**DEFINITION** (The Indicator Function). Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **indicator function** of S, denoted by  $\delta_S$ , to be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$  given by

$$\delta_S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in S \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin S. \end{cases}$$

### **PROPOSITION 10.2.1.** Let S be a subset of $\mathbb{E}$ . Then

- (1) S is non-empty if and only if  $\delta_S$  is proper.
- (2) S is convex if and only if  $\delta_S$  is convex.
- (3) S is closed if and only if  $\delta_S$  is lower semi-continuous.

### Proof of (1).

For one direction, assume that S is not empty.

We are to prove that  $\delta_S$  is proper.

Since  $S \neq \emptyset$ , pick  $p \in S$ .

Since  $p \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(p) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(p) = 0$ ,  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  such that  $\delta_S(x_0) \neq +\infty$ .

By definition of the indicator function, it never takes  $-\infty$ .

Since  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  such that  $\delta_S(x_0) \neq +\infty$  and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $\delta_S(x) \neq -\infty$ , we get  $\delta_S$  is proper.

For the reverse direction, assume that  $\delta_S$  is proper.

We are to prove that S is non-empty.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that S is empty.

Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

Since  $S = \emptyset$ ,  $x \notin S$ .

Since  $x \notin S$ ,  $\delta_S(x) = +\infty$ .

Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $\delta_S(x) = +\infty$ , by definition of proper function,  $\delta_S$  is not proper.

This contradicts to the assumption that  $\delta_S$  is proper.

So the assumption that  $S = \emptyset$  is false.

i.e., S is non-empty.

#### Proof of (2).

For one direction, assume that S is convex.

We are to prove that  $\delta_S$  is convex.

Let x and y be arbitrary points in  $dom(\delta_S)$ .

By definition of indicator functions,  $dom(\delta_S) = S$ .

So  $x, y \in S$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1).

Define point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ .

Since  $x, y \in S$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and S is convex and  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ , we get  $z \in S$ .

Since  $z \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(z) = 0$ .

Since  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and range $(\delta_S) = \{0,+\infty\}$ , we get  $\lambda \delta_S(x) + (1-\lambda)\delta_S(y) \ge 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(z) = 0$  and  $\lambda \delta_S(x) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_S(y) \ge 0$ , we get  $\delta_S(z) \le \lambda \delta_S(x) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_S(y)$ .

That is,  $\delta_S(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda \delta_S(x) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_S(y)$ .

Since  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(\delta_S)$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in (0,1)$ ,  $\delta_S(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda \delta_S(x) + (1-\lambda)\delta_S(y)$ , we get  $\delta_S$  is convex.

For the reverse direction, assume that  $\delta_S$  is convex.

We are to prove that S is convex.

The case where S is empty is trivial.

So now I assume  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Let x and y be arbitrary points in S.

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1).

Define point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ .

Since  $x \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(x) = 0$ .

Since  $y \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(y) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x) = \delta_S(y) = 0$ , we get  $\lambda \delta_S(x) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_S(y) = 0$ .

Since  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and  $\delta_S$  is convex,  $\delta_S(z) \leq \lambda \delta_S(x) + (1-\lambda)\delta_S(y)$ .

Since  $\delta_S(z) \leq \lambda \delta_S(x) + (1-\lambda)\delta_S(y)$  and  $\lambda \delta_S(x) + (1-\lambda)\delta_S(y) = 0$ , we get  $\delta_S(z) \leq 0$ .

By definition of the indicator function,  $\delta_S(z) \geq 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(z) \leq 0$  and  $\delta_S(z) \geq 0$ , we get  $\delta_S(z) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(z) = 0, z \in S$ .

That is,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in S$ .

Since  $\forall x, y \in S, \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in S$ , we get S is convex.

#### Proof of (3).

For one direction, assume that S is closed.

We are to prove that  $\delta_S$  is lower semi-continuous.

Let  $\{(x_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\operatorname{epi}(\delta_S)$  that converges.

Say its limit is  $(x_{\infty}, \alpha_{\infty})$ .

Since  $(x_i, \alpha_i) \to (x_\infty, \alpha_\infty), x_i \to x_\infty$ .

Since  $(x_i, \alpha_i) \in \text{epi}(\delta_S), \, \delta_S(x_i) \leq \alpha_i$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_i) \leq \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get  $\delta_S(x_i) \neq +\infty$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_i) \neq +\infty$ ,  $x_i \in S$ .

Since  $x_i \in S$  and  $x_i \to x_\infty$  and S is closed,  $x_\infty \in S$ .

Since  $x_{\infty} \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(x_{\infty}) = 0$ .

Since  $x_i \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(x_i) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_i) = 0$  and  $\delta_S(x_i) \le \alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ .

Since  $(x_i, \alpha_i) \to (x_\infty, \alpha_\infty), \alpha_i \to \alpha_\infty$ .

Since  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \to \alpha_{\infty}$ ,  $\alpha_{\infty} \geq 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_\infty) = 0$  and  $\alpha_\infty \ge 0$ ,  $\delta_S(x_\infty) \le \alpha_\infty$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_\infty) \leq \alpha_\infty$ ,  $(x_\infty, \alpha_\infty) \in \text{epi}(\delta_S)$ .

Since for any convergent sequence in  $epi(\delta_S)$ , its limit is also in  $epi(\delta_S)$ , we get  $epi(\delta_S)$  is closed.

For the reverse direction, assume that  $\delta_S$  is lower semi-continuous.

We are to prove that S is closed.

Let  $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence in S that converges.

Say its limit is  $x_{\infty}$ .

Since  $x_i \in S$ ,  $\delta_S(x_i) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_i) = 0$ ,  $(x_i, 0) \in \text{epi}(\delta_S)$ .

Since  $x_i \to x_\infty$ ,  $(x_i, 0) \to (x_\infty, 0)$ .

Since  $(x_i, 0) \in \operatorname{epi}(\delta_S)$  and  $(x_i, 0) \to (x_\infty, 0), (x_\infty, 0) \in \operatorname{epi}(\delta_S)$ .

Since  $(x_{\infty}, 0) \in \text{epi}(\delta_S), \, \delta_S(x_{\infty}) \leq 0.$ 

By definition of the indicator function,  $\delta_S(x_\infty) \geq 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_\infty) \leq 0$  and  $\delta_S(x_\infty) \geq 0$ , we get  $\delta_S(x_\infty) = 0$ .

Since  $\delta_S(x_\infty) = 0, x_\infty \in S$ .

Since for any convergent sequence in S, its limit is also in S, we get S is closed.

### 10.3 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Convex Function). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that f is **convex** if

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

**DEFINITION** (Convex Function). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that f is **convex** if the epigraph of f is convex.

PROPOSITION 10.3.1. The two definitions of convexity of functions are equivalent.

Proof.

The case where  $dom(f), epi(f) = \emptyset$  is trivial.

So now I assume that dom(f),  $epi(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

For one direction, assume that  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ .

We are to prove that the epigraph of f is convex.

Let  $(x, \alpha)$  and  $(y, \beta)$  be two arbitrary points in epi(f).

Since  $(x, \alpha), (y, \beta) \in \text{epi}(f), x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in [0,1].

Define a point  $(z, \gamma) := \lambda(x, \alpha) + (1 - \lambda)(y, \beta)$ .

Then  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$  and  $\gamma = \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta$ .

Since  $x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we get  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ .

Since  $(x, \alpha) \in \text{epi}(f), f(x) \leq \alpha$ .

Since  $(y, \beta) \in \text{epi}(f), f(y) \leq \beta$ .

Since  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $f(y) \leq \beta$  and  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ , we get  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta$ .

Since  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$  and  $\gamma = \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta$  and  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta$ , we get  $f(z) \le \gamma$ .

Since  $f(z) \leq \gamma$ ,  $(z, \gamma) \in epi(f)$ .

For the reverse direction, assume that epi(f) is convex.

We are to prove that  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \ \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \ \text{we have} \ f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$ 

Let x and y be two arbitrary points in dom(f).

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in [0,1].

Define  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ .

Define  $\gamma := \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$ .

Since  $(x, f(x)) \in \text{epi}(f)$  and  $(y, f(y)) \in \text{epi}(f)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and epi(f) is convex, we get  $\lambda(x, f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(y, f(y)) \in \text{epi}(f)$ .

Since  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$  and  $\gamma = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$  and  $\lambda(x, f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(y, f(y)) \in epi(f)$ , we get  $(z, \gamma) \in epi(f)$ .

Since  $(z, \gamma) \in \text{epi}(f), f(z) \leq \gamma$ .

That is,  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ .

## 10.4 Basic Properties

**PROPOSITION 10.4.1** (Necessary Condition). The domain of a convex function is convex.

*Proof.* Follows from the fact that convexity is stable under affine transformations. Define  $A((x,\alpha)) := x$ . Then dom(f) = A(epi(f)).

PROPOSITION 10.4.2. The level sets of a convex function are convex.

**PROPOSITION 10.4.3** (Restriction to a Line). A function  $f : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if  $\forall x \in \text{dom}(f), \forall v \in \mathbb{E}$ , the function  $g_{x,v} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$g_{x,v}(t) = f(x+tv)$$

is convex.

### 10.5 Differentiable Convex Functions

**PROPOSITION 10.5.1.** Let f be a proper convex function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ . If f is differentiable at point x, then  $\nabla(f)(x)$  is the unique subgradient of f at point x. i.e.,  $\partial(f)(x) = {\nabla(f)(x)}$ . Conversely, if the subgradient  $\partial(f)(x)$  of f at point x is a singleton set  $\{v\}$ , then f is differentiable at point x and  $\nabla(f)(x) = v$ .

Proof.

**PROPOSITION 10.5.2** (First-Order Condition). Let f be a proper function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Assume that dom(f) is convex and open and that f is differentiable on dom(f). Then f is convex if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle.$$

i.e., the first-order approximation of f is a global under-estimator.

Proof.

Part 1.

For one direction, assume that f is convex. We are to prove that

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle.$$

Let x and y be arbitrary points in dom(f). Since f is convex and differentiable at point x,  $\nabla(f)(x) = \partial(f)(x)$ . So  $\nabla(f)(x)$  satisfies the subgradient inequality. That is,

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle.$$

Part 2.

For the reverse direction, assume that

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle.$$

We are to prove that f is convex.

Not Finished.

**PROPOSITION 10.5.3.** Let f be a proper function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Assume that dom(f) is convex and open and that f is differentiable on dom(f). Then f is convex if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad \langle \nabla(f)(x) - \nabla(f)(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0.$$

Proof.

Part 1.

For one direction, assume that f is convex. We are to prove that

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad \langle \nabla(f)(x) - \nabla(f)(y), x - y \rangle > 0.$$

Let x and y be arbitrary points in dom(f). Since f is convex and differentiable at point x,  $\nabla(f)(x) = \partial(f)(x)$ . So  $\nabla(f)(x)$  satisfies the subgradient inequality. That is,

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle.$$
 (1)

Since f is convex and differentiable at point y,  $\nabla(f)(y) = \partial(f)(y)$ . So  $\nabla(f)(y)$  satisfies the subgradient inequality. That is,

$$f(x) - f(y) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(y), x - y \rangle.$$
 (2)

Take the sum of inequalities (1) and (2), we get

$$(f(y) - f(x)) + (f(x) - f(y)) \ge \langle \nabla(f)(x), y - x \rangle + \langle \nabla(f)(y), x - y \rangle$$

$$\implies 0 \ge -\left\langle \nabla(f)(x), x - y \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla(f)(y), x - y \right\rangle$$

$$\implies \left\langle \nabla(f)(x), x - y \right\rangle - \left\langle \nabla(f)(y), x - y \right\rangle \ge 0$$

$$\implies \left\langle \nabla(f)(x) - \nabla(f)(x), x - y \right\rangle \ge 0.$$

#### Part 2.

For the reverse direction, assume that

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \quad \langle \nabla(f)(x) - \nabla(f)(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0.$$

We are to prove that f is convex. Let x and y be arbitrary points in dom(f). Define a function  $\varphi$  on (0,1) by

$$\varphi(\lambda) := f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y).$$

Notice  $\varphi$  is differentiable and

$$\varphi'(\lambda) = \langle \nabla(f)(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y), x - y \rangle.$$

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be arbitrary numbers in (0,1). Assume that  $\alpha < \beta$ . Define two points  $z_{\alpha}$  and  $z_{\beta}$  by  $z_{\alpha} := \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$  and  $z_{\beta} := \beta x + (1-\beta)y$ . Then

$$\varphi'(\beta) - \varphi'(\alpha)$$

$$= \langle \nabla(f)(\beta x + (1 - \beta)y), x - y \rangle - \langle \nabla(f)(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y), x - y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla(f)(z_{\beta}), x - y \rangle - \langle \nabla(f)(z_{\alpha}), x - y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla(f)(z_{\beta}) - \nabla(f)(z_{\alpha}), x - y \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla(f)(z_{\beta}) - \nabla(f)(z_{\alpha}), \frac{z_{\beta} - z_{\alpha}}{\beta - \alpha} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \langle \nabla(f)(z_{\beta}) - \nabla(f)(z_{\alpha}), z_{\beta} - z_{\alpha} \rangle$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \cdot 0, \text{ by assumption}$$

$$= 0.$$

That is,

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in (0,1), \quad \beta > \alpha \implies \varphi'(\beta) - \varphi'(\alpha) \ge 0.$$

So  $\varphi'$  is increasing. So  $\varphi$  is convex. So

$$\varphi(\lambda) \le \lambda \varphi(1) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(0).$$

That is,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

By definition, f is convex.

**PROPOSITION 10.5.4** (Second-Order Condition). A twice continuously differentiable real-valued function f defined on a convex set is convex if and only if

$$\forall x \in \text{dom}(f), \quad \nabla^2 f(x) \ge 0$$

where 
$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$
 denotes the Hessian matrix of  $f$  at  $x_0$ .

**PROPOSITION 10.5.5.** Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then f is convex if and only if  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive semi-definite.

## 10.6 Convexity and Lipschitz-ness

**THEOREM 10.1.** Let f be a differentiable convex function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $\nabla f$  is Lipschitz with constant L.
- (2)  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ , we have

$$f(y) - f(x) \le \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2.$$

(3)  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ , we have

$$f(y) - f(x) \ge \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|^2.$$

(4)  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ , we have

$$L\langle \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \ge \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|^2.$$

 $(1) \implies (2).$ 

Assume that  $\nabla f$  is Lipschitz with constant 1. Let x and y be two arbitrary points in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$$f(y) - f(x)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f(x+t(y-x)), y-x \rangle dt \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f(x+t(y-x)) - \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle dt \\ &\leq \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \int_0^1 \| \langle \nabla f(x+t(y-x)) - \nabla f(x) \rangle \| \|y-x\| dt \\ &\leq \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \int_0^1 L \|x+t(y-x) - x\| \|y-x\| dt \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + L \|y-x\|^2 \int_0^1 t dt \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x), y-x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|y-x\|^2 \end{split}$$

That is,

$$f(y) - f(x) \le \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2.$$

**THEOREM 10.2.** Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let L be some non-negative number. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $\nabla f$  is L-Lipschitz.
- (2)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}, \|\nabla^2 f(x)\| \le L.$

## 10.7 Stability of Convexity

**PROPOSITION 10.7.1** (Non-Negative Linear Combination). A non-negative linear combination of proper convex functions is again convex.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that non-negative scalar multiples of convex functions are convex and sums of two convex functions are convex.

### $\underline{\text{Part } 1}$ .

Let f be a proper convex function. Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  be an arbitrary scalar. We are to prove that  $\alpha f$  is convex. Notice  $\operatorname{dom}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(\alpha f)$ . Since f is proper,  $\operatorname{dom}(f) \neq \emptyset$ . So  $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha f) \neq \emptyset$ . Let x and y be two arbitrary points in  $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha f)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1).

Define a point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ . Then

$$(\alpha f)(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \alpha f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$$

$$\leq \alpha(\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y))$$

$$= \lambda \alpha f(x) + (1 - \lambda)\alpha f(y)$$

$$= \lambda(\alpha f)(x) + (1 - \lambda)(\alpha f)(y).$$

That is,

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(\alpha f), \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad (\alpha f)(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda(\alpha f)(x) + (1 - \lambda)(\alpha f)(y).$$

So by definition,  $\alpha f$  is convex.

#### Part 2.

Let f and g be proper convex functions. We are to prove that f+g is convex. Notice  $dom(f+g)=dom(f)\cap dom(g)$ . Since f is proper,  $dom(f)\neq \emptyset$ . Since g is proper,  $dom(g)\neq \emptyset$ . So  $dom(f+g)\neq \emptyset$ . Let f and f be two arbitrary points in dom(f+g). Let f be an arbitrary number in f and f be a point f as f as f as f and f be two arbitrary number in f and f be two arbitrary number in f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are

$$(f+g)(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) + g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)$$

$$\leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda g(x) + (1-\lambda)g(y)$$

$$= \lambda (f(x) + g(x)) + (1-\lambda)(f(y) + g(y))$$

$$= \lambda (f+g)(x) + (1-\lambda)(f+g)(y).$$

That is,

$$\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f+g), \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \quad (f+g)(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = \lambda (f+g)(x) + (1-\lambda)(f+g)(y).$$

So by definition, f + g is convex.

PROPOSITION 10.7.2 (Direct Sum). Direct sums of convex functions are convex.

Proof. Let z and w be two arbitrary points in  $dom(f \oplus g)$ . Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  be arbitrary. Say  $z = x \oplus y$  and  $w = u \oplus v$  where  $x, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $y, v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Since  $z \in dom(f \oplus g)$ ,  $(f \oplus g)(z) \neq +\infty$ . That is,  $f(x) + g(y) \neq +\infty$ . So neither f(x) nor g(y) is  $+\infty$ . So both  $x \in dom(f)$  and  $y \in dom(g)$ . Similarly, we have  $u \in dom(f)$  and  $v \in dom(g)$ . Consider the point

$$\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)w$$
$$= \lambda x \oplus y + (1 - \lambda)u \oplus v$$

$$= (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) \oplus (\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)v).$$

Apply  $f \oplus g$  to both sides, we get

$$(f \oplus g)(\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)w)$$

$$= (f \oplus g) \left[ \left( \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u \right) \oplus \left( \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)v \right) \right]$$

$$= f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) + g(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)v).$$

Since f and g are convex, we get

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(u)$$
, and  $g(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)v) \le \lambda g(y) + (1 - \lambda)g(v)$ .

So

$$(f \oplus g)(\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)w)$$

$$\leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(u) + \lambda g(y) + (1 - \lambda)g(v)$$

$$= \lambda (f(x) + g(y)) + (1 - \lambda)(f(u) + g(v))$$

$$= \lambda (f \oplus g)(x \oplus y) + (1 - \lambda)(f \oplus g)(u \oplus v)$$

$$= \lambda (f \oplus g)(z) + (1 - \lambda)(f \oplus g)(w).$$

That is.

$$(f \oplus g)(\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)w) \le \lambda (f \oplus g)(z) + (1 - \lambda)(f \oplus g)(w).$$

This holds for any  $z, w \in \text{dom}(f \oplus g)$  and any  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . So  $(f \oplus g)$  is convex.

**PROPOSITION 10.7.3** (Composition). The composition of a convex function with an affine function is convex. i.e., if f is convex, then f(Ax + b) is convex.

*Proof.* Let x an y be arbitrary points in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point z by  $z := \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ .

$$g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda y))$$

$$= f(A(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) + b)$$

$$= f(\lambda Ax + (1 - \lambda)Ay + b),$$
 by linearity of  $A$ 

$$= f(\lambda Ax + (1 - \lambda)Ay + \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)b),$$
 decomposite  $b$ 

$$= f(\lambda (Ax + b) + (1 - \lambda)(Ay + b))$$

$$\leq \lambda f(Ax + b) + (1 - \lambda)f(Ay + b),$$
 by convexity of  $f$ 

10.8. EXAMPLES 63

$$= \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y).$$

That is,

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}, \forall \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y).$$

So g is convex.

**PROPOSITION 10.7.4** (Supremum). The supremum of a collection of convex functions is again convex. i.e., Let  $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of convex functions where I is some index set. Then the function F given by  $F := \sup_{i \in I} f_i$  is convex.

Proof.

$$(x,\alpha) \in \operatorname{epi}(F)$$

$$\iff \sup_{i \in I} f_i(x) \le \alpha$$

$$\iff \forall i \in I, f_i(x) \le \alpha$$

$$\iff \forall i \in I, (x,\alpha) \in \operatorname{epi}(f_i)$$

$$\iff (x,\alpha) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{epi}(f_i).$$

So  $\operatorname{epi}(F) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{epi}(f_i)$ . Since  $f_i$  are convex,  $\operatorname{epi}(f_i)$  are convex. Since  $\operatorname{epi}(f_i)$  are convex,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{epi}(f_i)$  is convex. That is,  $\operatorname{epi}(F)$  is convex. Since  $\operatorname{epi}(F)$  is convex, F is convex.

**PROPOSITION 10.7.5** (Pointwise Supremum). If f(x, y) is convex in x for each y in some set A, then the function g given by

$$g(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{A}} f(x, y)$$

is convex.

## 10.8 Examples

**EXAMPLE 10.8.1.** Affine functions are convex.

### **EXAMPLE 10.8.2.** Norms are convex.

Proof.

$$\|\alpha x + \beta y\|$$

$$\leq \|\alpha x\| + \|\beta y\|$$

$$= |\alpha|\|x\| + |\beta|\|y\|$$

$$= \alpha\|x\| + \beta\|y\|.$$

### **EXAMPLE 10.8.3.** Square norms are convex.

*Proof Approach 1.* Notice  $\|\cdot\|^2$  is the direct sum of m squares and squares are convex. So by CO 463 Assignment 2 Problem 3,  $\|\cdot\|^2$  is convex.

*Proof Approach 2.* The domain is  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let x and y be two points in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary number in (0,1). Define a point z as  $z := \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 \\ &= \|\lambda x\|^2 + \|(1 - \lambda)y\|^2 + 2\langle\lambda x, (1 - \lambda)y\rangle \\ &= \lambda^2 \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)^2 \|y\|^2 + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\langle x, y\rangle \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)^2 \|y\|^2 + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x\|\|y\| \\ &\leq \lambda(\lambda - 1)\|x\|^2 + \lambda(\lambda - 1)\|y\|^2 + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x\|\|y\| \\ &+ \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 \\ &= \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 \\ &+ \lambda(\lambda - 1)[\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\|x\|\|y\|] \\ &\leq \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\forall x,y \in \mathbb{E}, \forall \lambda \in (0,1), \quad \|\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\|^2 \leq \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1-\lambda)\|y\|^2.$$

So by definition,  $\|\cdot\|^2$  is convex.

10.8. EXAMPLES 65

**EXAMPLE 10.8.4.** The distance function to a convex set is convex.

**EXAMPLE 10.8.5.** The perspective of a convex function is convex. i.e., if  $f: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

## More Convex Functions

#### 11.1 Strictly Convex

**DEFINITION** (Strictly Convex). Let f be a proper function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that f is **strictly convex** if  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) < \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ , except when  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = x$  or y.

PROPOSITION 11.1.1. Strictly convex functions are convex.

## 11.2 Strongly Convex

**DEFINITION** (Strongly Convex). Let f be a proper function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let  $\beta$  be a positive constant. We say that f is  $\beta$  -strongly convex if  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in [0,1]$ , we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) - \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2.$$

PROPOSITION 11.2.1. Strongly convex functions are strictly convex.

**PROPOSITION 11.2.2.** Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then f is  $\beta$ -strongly convex if and only if  $f - \frac{\beta}{2} \| \cdot \|^2$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a positive constant. Let g denote  $f - \frac{\beta}{2} \| \cdot \|^2$ . Let x and y be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  be arbitrary.

$$f \text{ is } \beta\text{-strongly convex} \iff f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \\ -\frac{\beta}{2}\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^2 \\ \iff f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \\ -\frac{\beta}{2}\lambda(1-\lambda)\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x,y\rangle\right) \\ \iff f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \\ -\lambda\frac{\beta}{2}\|x\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda^2\|x\|^2 \\ -(1-\lambda)\frac{\beta}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}(1-\lambda)^2\|y\|^2 \\ +\beta\lambda(1-\lambda)\langle x,y\rangle \\ \iff f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \\ -\lambda\frac{\beta}{2}\|x\|^2 - (1-\lambda)\frac{\beta}{2}\|y\|^2 \\ +\frac{\beta}{2}\|\lambda x\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\|(1-\lambda)y\|^2 + \beta\langle\lambda x, (1-\lambda)y\rangle \\ \iff f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \\ -\lambda\frac{\beta}{2}\|x\|^2 - (1-\lambda)\frac{\beta}{2}\|y\|^2 \\ +\frac{\beta}{2}\|\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\|^2 \\ \iff g\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) \leq \lambda g(x) + (1-\lambda)g(y) \\ \iff f - \frac{\beta}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 \text{ is } \beta \text{ convex.}$$

Question: Can we allow f to take on  $-\infty$ ? Do we need f to be proper?

**PROPOSITION 11.2.3.** Let f and g be functions from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Suppose f is

 $\beta$ -strongly convex for some positive constant  $\beta$  and g is convex. Then f+g is also  $\beta$ -strongly convex.

Question: Can we allow f or g to take on  $-\infty$ ? Do we need f and g to be proper? Proof.

$$f$$
 is  $\beta$ -strongly convex 
$$\implies f - \frac{\beta}{2} \| \cdot \|^2 \text{ is convex}$$
 
$$\implies f + g - \frac{\beta}{2} \| \cdot \|^2 \text{ is convex}$$
 
$$\implies f + g \text{ is } \beta\text{-strongly convex.}$$

#### 11.3 Quasiconvex

**DEFINITION** (Quasiconvex). Let  $f : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function with convex domain. We say that f is **quasiconvex** if any level set of f is convex.

**PROPOSITION 11.3.1** (Jensen's Inequality for Quasiconvex Functions). Let f be a quasiconvex function. Then  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f), \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \le \max\{f(x), f(y)\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 11.3.2.** A differentiable real-valued function f with convex domain is convex if and only if  $\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,

$$f(y) \le f(x) \implies \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x) \le 0.$$
 ????

Not sure where did this come from but I don't think this is correct.

# Support

#### 12.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Support Function). Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define the **support** function of S, denoted by  $\sigma_S$ , to be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$  given by

$$\sigma_S(x) = \sup_{s \in S} \langle x, s \rangle.$$

**DEFINITION** (Supporting Hyperplane). Let S be a set in  $\mathbb{E}$  with nonempty boundary. Let  $x_0$  be a point in the boundary of S. We define a **supporting hyperplane** H to set S at point  $x_0$  to be a set of the form

$$H = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{E} : a^T x = a^T x_0 \right\},\,$$

such that  $a \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $a \neq \vec{0}$  and  $\forall x \in S, a^T x \leq a^T x_0$ .

## 12.2 Properties

**PROPOSITION 12.2.1.** The support function of a non-empty set S is proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous.

Proof.

#### Part 1. Proper.

Define  $f_s$  to be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $f_s(x) = \langle s, x \rangle$ .

These functions are linear and hence proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous.

Notice  $\sigma_S = \sup_{s \in S} f_s$ .

So  $\sigma_S$  is convex and lower semi-continuous.

Since  $\sigma_S(0) = \sup_{s \in S} \langle 0, s \rangle = 0$ ,  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{E}, \sigma_S(x) \neq +\infty$ .

Since  $\sigma_S(x) = \sup_{s \in S} \langle x, s \rangle \ge \langle x, s \rangle \ne -\infty, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{E}, \ \sigma_S(x) \ne -\infty.$ 

Since  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{E}, \sigma_S(x) \neq +\infty$  and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}, \sigma_S(x) \neq -\infty$ , by definition,  $\sigma_S$  is proper.

**PROPOSITION 12.2.2.** The support function of a non-empty and bounded set is continuous.

Proof.

Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. Define  $M := \sup_{y \in C} \|y\| + 1$ . Since C is bounded, M is finite. Define  $\delta := \varepsilon/M$ . Let x be an arbitrary point such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ . Let y be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then by the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\langle x - x_0, y \rangle \le ||x - x_0|| ||y||.$$

That is,

$$\langle x, y \rangle \le ||x - x_0|| ||y|| + \langle x_0, y \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \sup_{y \in C} \langle x, y \rangle &\leq \sup_{y \in C} \left( \|x - x_0\| \|y\| + \langle x_0, y \rangle \right) \\ &\leq \|x - x_0\| \sup_{y \in C} \|y\| + \sup_{y \in C} \langle x_0, y \rangle. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\sigma_C(x) \le \sigma_C(x_0) + ||x - x_0|| \sup_{y \in C} ||y||.$$

By definition of  $\delta$  and M,

$$\sigma_C(x) < \sigma_C(x_0) + \varepsilon. \tag{1}$$

Similarly, reversing the role of x and  $x_0$ , we can prove that

$$\sigma_C(x_0) < \sigma_C(x) + \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we get

$$|\sigma_C(x) - \sigma_C(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

12.2. PROPERTIES 73

Since  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $|\sigma_C(x) - \sigma_C(x_0)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $||x - x_0|| < \delta$ , by definition,  $\delta_C$  is continuous.

**PROPOSITION 12.2.3.** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $\sigma_S = \sigma_{\text{conv}(S)} = \sigma_{\text{clconv}(S)}$ .

Proof.

Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$$\sigma_{S}(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in S \}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{conv}(S)}(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in \text{conv}(S) \}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{clconv}(S)}(x) = \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in \text{clconv}(S) \}.$$

It is easy to see that by the linearity of inner products,

$$\operatorname{conv} \big\{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in S \big\} = \big\{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in \operatorname{conv}(S) \big\}.$$

It is easy to see that by the linearity and the continuity of inner products,

$$\operatorname{clconv}\big\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in S\big\}=\big\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in\operatorname{clconv}(S)\big\}.$$

It is also easy to see that for any subset A of the reals,

$$\sup(A) = \sup(\operatorname{conv}(A)),$$

and

$$\sup(A) = \sup(\operatorname{cl}(A)).$$

So

$$\sigma_{S}(x)$$

$$= \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in S \}$$

$$= \sup \operatorname{conv} \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in S \}$$

$$= \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in \operatorname{conv}(S) \}$$

$$= \sigma_{\operatorname{conv}(S)}(x).$$

That is,  $\sigma_S(x) = \sigma_{\text{conv}(S)}(x)$ .

$$\sigma_S(x)$$

$$= \sup \{ \langle x, s \rangle : s \in S \}$$

```
\begin{split} &= \operatorname{sup}\operatorname{conv}\left\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in S\right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sup}\left\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in\operatorname{conv}(S)\right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sup}\operatorname{cl}\left\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in\operatorname{conv}(S)\right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sup}\left\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{conv}(S))\right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sup}\left\{\langle x,s\rangle:s\in\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{conv}(S))\right\} \\ &= \sigma_{\operatorname{clconv}(S)}(x). \end{split}
```

That is,  $\sigma_S(x) = \sigma_{\operatorname{clconv}(S)}(x)$ .

## 12.3 Supporting Hyperplane

**THEOREM 12.1** (Supporting Hyperplane Theorem). For any boundary point  $x_0$  of a convex set C, there exists a supporting hyperplane to C at  $x_0$ .

# Conjugacy

#### 13.1 Definition and Examples

**DEFINITION** (Convex Conjugate (Legendre–Fenchel Transformation)). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We define the **convex conjugate** of f, denoted by  $f^*$ , to be a function also from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$  given by

$$f^*(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \{ \langle y, x \rangle - f(y) \}.$$

**EXAMPLE 13.1.1.** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $\delta_S^* = \sigma_S$ .

Proof. Recall that

$$\delta_S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in S, \\ +\infty, & x \notin S, \end{cases}$$
$$\sigma_S(x) = \sup_{y \in S} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Now for any  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,

$$\delta_S^*(x)$$

$$= \sup_{y \in S} (\langle x, y \rangle - \delta_S(y))$$

$$= \sup_{y \in S} (\langle x, y \rangle - 0)$$

$$= \sup_{y \in S} \langle x, y \rangle$$
$$= \sigma_S(x).$$

So  $\delta_S^* = \sigma_S$ .

#### 13.2 Basic Properties

PROPOSITION 13.2.1. The convex conjugate function is convex.

*Proof.* If dom $(f) = \emptyset$ , then one can see that  $f^* \equiv -\infty$ . It is a pointwise supremum of affine functions.

PROPOSITION 13.2.2. The convex conjugate function is lower semi-continuous.

#### 13.3 Double Conjugate

**PROPOSITION 13.3.1.** Let f be any function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $f^{**} \leq f$ .

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$$f^{**}(x)$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - f^{*}(y) \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - \sup_{z \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle z, y \rangle - f(z) \right\} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ f(x) \right\}$$

$$= f(x).$$

That is,  $f^{**}(x) \leq f(x)$ . Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $f^{**}(x) \leq f(x)$ , we get  $f^{**} \leq f$ .

**PROPOSITION 13.3.2.** Let f be a proper function. Then f is convex and lower semi-continuous if and only if

$$f^{**} = f$$
.

**PROPOSITION 13.3.3.** Let f and g be functions from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $f \leq g$  implies  $f^* \geq g^*$  and  $f^{**} \leq g^{**}$ .

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$$f^*(x)$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \{ \langle y, x \rangle - f(y) \}$$

$$\geq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \{ \langle y, x \rangle - g(y) \}$$

$$= g^*(x).$$

That is,  $f^*(x) \ge g^*(x)$ . Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $f^*(x) \ge g^*(x)$ , we get  $f^* \ge g^*$ . Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$$f^{**}(x)$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - f^{*}(y) \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - \sup_{z \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle z, y \rangle - f(z) \right\} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - \sup_{z \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle z, y \rangle - g(z) \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ \langle y, x \rangle - g^{*}(y) \right\}$$

$$= g^{**}(x).$$

That is,  $f^{**}(x) \leq g^{**}(x)$ . Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $f^{**}(x) \leq g^{**}(x)$ , we get  $f^{**} \leq g^{**}$ .

#### PROPOSITION 13.3.4.

$$\operatorname{epi}(f^{**}) = \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{epi}(f)).$$

#### 13.4 Conjugates and Sub-Differentials

**THEOREM 13.1** (Fenchel-Young). Let f be a proper function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\forall x,y\in\mathbb{E}$ , we have

$$f(x) + f^*(y) \ge \langle x, y \rangle$$
.

**PROPOSITION 13.4.1.** Let f be a proper closed convex function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ ,

$$y \in \partial f(x) \iff x \in \partial f^*(y) \iff f(x) + f^*(y) = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Proof of  $y \in \partial f(x) \iff x \in \partial f^*(y)$ . For one direction, assume that  $y \in \partial f(x)$ . We are to prove that  $x \in \partial f^*(y)$ . Consider an arbitrary point  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ . Since  $y \in \partial f(x)$ , we get

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{E}, \quad \langle y, u - x \rangle < f(u) - f(x).$$

Rearranging yields

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{E}, \quad \langle y, u \rangle - f(u) \le \langle y, x \rangle - f(x).$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle y, u \rangle - f(u)) \le \langle y, x \rangle - f(x). \tag{1}$$

By definition of supremum, we have

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle y, u \rangle - f(u)) \ge \langle y, x \rangle - f(x). \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2), we get

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle y, u \rangle - f(u)) = \langle y, x \rangle - f(x).$$

That is,

$$f^*(y) = \langle y, x \rangle - f(x).$$

Then

$$f^*(z) - f^*(y)$$

$$= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle z, u \rangle - f(u)) - \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle y, u \rangle \rangle - f(u))$$

$$= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} (\langle z, u \rangle - f(u)) - \langle y, x \rangle + f(x)$$

$$\geq \langle z, x \rangle - f(x) - \langle y, x \rangle + f(x)$$

#### 13.4. CONJUGATES AND SUB-DIFFERENTIALS

79

$$=\langle z-y,x\rangle.$$

That is,

$$\langle x, z - y \rangle \le f^*(z) - f^*(y).$$

So  $x \in \partial f^*(y)$ . This proves

$$y \in \partial f(x) \implies x \in \partial f^*(y).$$

Since  $f^{**} = f$ , similarly, we can prove that

$$x \in \partial f^*(y) \implies y \in \partial f(x).$$

**PROPOSITION 13.4.2.** Let f be a proper convex function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let x be a point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Assume that  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $f^{**}(x) = f(x)$ .

# The Proximal Operator

#### 14.1 Definitions

**DEFINITION** (Proximal Operator). Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . We define the **proximal operator** of f, denoted by  $\operatorname{prox}_f$ , to be a function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$  given by

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(x) := \underset{y \in \mathbb{E}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \big\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \big\}.$$

## 14.2 Examples

**EXAMPLE 14.2.1** (Soft Threshold). Let  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Let f be a function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) := \lambda |x|$ . Then

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(x) = \begin{cases} x + \lambda, & \text{if } x < -\lambda \\ 0, & \text{if } -\lambda \leq x \leq \lambda \\ x - \lambda, & \text{if } x > \lambda. \end{cases}$$

#### 14.3 Basic Properties

(bug)

**PROPOSITION 14.3.1.** Let f be a proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $\operatorname{prox}_f(x)$  is a singleton set.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Define a function  $h: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}^*$  by  $h(y) := \frac{1}{2} ||y-x||^2$ . Define a function  $g: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{R}^*$  by g(y) := f(y) + h(y). Then  $\operatorname{prox}_f(x) = \underset{y \in \mathbb{E}}{\operatorname{argmin}} g(y)$ . Note that h is proper, lower semi-continuous, and  $\beta$ -strongly convex for any  $\beta \in (0,1)$ . Since f and h are proper, g is proper (why?). Since f and h are lower semi-continuous, g is lower semi-continuous. Since f is convex and f is g-strongly convex, g is g-strongly convex. Since g is proper, lower semi-continuous, and strongly convex, g has a unique minimizer (why?). So  $\operatorname{prox}_f(x)$  is a singleton set.

not fully understood

**PROPOSITION 14.3.2.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $\operatorname{prox}_{\delta_C}$  and  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  are both singleton and  $\operatorname{prox}_{\delta_C} = \operatorname{proj}_C$ .

*Proof.* Since C is nonempty, convex, and closed,  $\delta_C$  is proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous and hence  $\operatorname{prox}_{\delta_C}$  is singleton. Since C is nonempty, convex, and closed,  $\operatorname{proj}_C$  is singleton. Let x and p be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb E$ . Then

$$\begin{split} p &\in \operatorname{prox}_{\delta_C}(x) \\ \iff p &\in \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathbb{E}} \{\delta_C(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \} \\ \iff \forall y \in \mathbb{E}, \delta_C(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \geq \delta_C(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|p - x\|^2 \\ \iff p \in C \text{ and } \forall y \in C, \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|p - x\|^2 \\ \iff p \in C \text{ and } \forall y \in C, \|y - x\| \geq \|p - x\| \\ \iff p \in \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} \|y - x\| \\ \iff p \in \operatorname{proj}_C(x). \end{split}$$

**PROPOSITION 14.3.3** (Firmly Non-Expansive). Let f be a proper closed convex function. Then  $\operatorname{prox}_f$  is firmly non-expansive.

#### 14.4 Prox Calculus Rules

PROPOSITION 14.4.1 (Scaling and Translation).

THEOREM 14.1 (Norm Composition).

**PROPOSITION 14.4.2.** Let  $f_1, ..., f_m$  be proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Define a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^*$  by  $f((x_i)_{i=1}^m) := \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i)$ . Then

$$prox_f((x_i)_{i=1}^m) = (prox_{f_i}(x_i))_{i=1}^m.$$

*Proof.* Since each  $f_i$  is proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous, f is proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous. Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^m$  and  $(p_i)_{i=1}^m$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then

$$(p_i)_{i=1}^m = \text{prox}_f((x_i)_{i=1}^m)$$

#### 14.5 The Second Prox Theorem

**PROPOSITION 14.5.1.** Let f be a proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let x and p be points in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$  if and only if

$$x - p \in \partial f(p)$$
.

**PROPOSITION 14.5.2.** Let f be a proper, convex, and lower semi-continuous function from  $\mathbb{E}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Let x and p be elements of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$  if and only if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{E}, \quad \langle y - p, x - p \rangle \le f(y) - f(p).$$

*Proof.* Forward Direction:

Assume that  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$ . I will show that  $\forall y \in \mathbb{E}, \ \langle y - p, x - p \rangle \leq f(y) - f(p)$ . Let y be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Define for each  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  a point  $p_{\lambda}$  by  $p_{\lambda} := \lambda y + (1-\lambda)p$ . Then

$$p = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^{2} \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p_{\lambda}\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p_{\lambda}\|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ (x - p_{\lambda}) + (x - p) \right], \left[ (x - p_{\lambda}) - (x - p) \right] \right\rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ 2x - \lambda y - (1 - \lambda)p - p \right], \left[ p - \lambda y - (1 - \lambda)p \right] \right\rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ 2(x - p) + \lambda(p - y) \right], \left[ \lambda(p - y) \right] \right\rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(p_{\lambda}) + \lambda \left\langle x - p, p - y \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \|p - y\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le f(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)p) + \lambda \left\langle x - p, p - y \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \|p - y\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \le \lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(p) + \lambda \left\langle x - p, p - y \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \|p - y\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda \left\langle y - p, x - p \right\rangle \le \lambda f(y) - \lambda f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \|p - y\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle y - p, x - p \rangle \le f(y) - f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \|p - y\|^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle y - p, x - p \rangle \le f(y) - f(p).$$

#### **Backward Direction:**

Assume that  $\forall y \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $\langle y - p, x - p \rangle \leq f(y) - f(p)$ . I will show that  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$ . Let y be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{E}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \langle y - p, x - p \rangle & \leq f(y) - f(p) \\ \iff f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \langle x - p, p - y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 \\ \iff f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \langle x - p, p - y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|p - y\|^2 \\ \iff f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|(x - p) + (p - y)\|^2 \\ \iff f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 \\ \iff p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

### 14.6 Moreau Decomposition

**THEOREM 14.2** (Moreau Decomposition). Let f be a proper closed convex function from  $\mathbb E$  to  $\mathbb R^*$ . Then

$$\operatorname{prox}_f + \operatorname{prox}_{f^*} = \operatorname{Id}.$$

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We are to prove that

$$\operatorname{prox}_{f}(x) + \operatorname{prox}_{f^{*}}(x) = x.$$

Let p denote  $\operatorname{prox}_f(x)$ . Since f is proper convex and lower semi-continuous and  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$ , we get

$$x - p \in \partial f(p)$$
.

Since  $x - p \in \partial f(p)$ , we get  $p \in \partial f^*(x - p)$ . It follows that  $x - p = \operatorname{prox}_{f^*}(x)$ . Substitute  $p = \operatorname{prox}_f(x)$  and rearrange the equation, we get

$$\operatorname{prox}_{f}(x) + \operatorname{prox}_{f^{*}}(x) = x.$$

# Ellipsoids

**DEFINITION** (Ellipsoid). Let v be a point in some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}$ . We define an **ellipsoid**, centered at point v, to be a set of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{E} : (x - v)^T A (x - v) = 1\}$$

where A is some d by d positive definite matrix.

## 15.1 Properties

**PROPOSITION 15.1.1.** The eigenvectors of A define the principal axes of the ellipsoid.