Section 3.1 – Asymptotic notation

3.1-1 Let f(n) and g(n) be asymptotically nonnegative functions. Using the basic definition of Θ -notation, prove that $\max(f(n),g(n)) = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$.

Since f(n) and g(n) are both asymptotically nonnegative,

$$\exists n_0 \mid f(n) \geq 0 \ g(n) \geq 0 \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

From the definition of $\Theta(\cdot)$, we have

$$\exists c_1 \ c_2 \ n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid c_1 f(n) + c_1 g(n) \le \max(f(n), g(n)) \le c_2 f(n) + c_2 g(n) \ \forall n \ge n_0.$$

If $f(n) \geq g(n)$, we have

$$c_1 f(n) + c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 f(n) + c_2 g(n)$$
.

The right-hand-side inequality is trivially satisfied with $c_2 = 1$. To find c_1 , we notice that,

$$f(n) + g(n) \le 2f(n),$$

and say,

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The demonstration is similar for g(n) > f(n), with $c_1 = 1/2$ and $c_2 = 1$.

3.1-2 Show that for any real constants a and b, where b > 0, $(n+a)^b = \Theta(n^b)$.

From the definition of $\Theta(\cdot)$, we have

$$(n+a)^b = \Theta(n^b) \equiv \exists c_1 \ c_2 \ n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid c_1 n^b \le (n+a)^b \le c_2 n^b \ \forall n \ge n_0,$$

and from the binomial theorem, we have

$$(n+a)^b = \binom{b}{0} n^b a^0 + \binom{b}{1} n^{b-1} a^1 + \dots + \binom{b}{b-1} n^1 a^{b-1} + \binom{b}{b} n^0 a^b.$$

To find c_1 , we notice that for n big enough,

$$\binom{b}{i} n^{b-i} a^i - \binom{b}{i+1} n^{b-i+1} a^{i+1} \ge 0 \quad \forall \ i \in [0, 2, \dots, b,]$$

which implies

$$\binom{b}{0} n^b a^0 + \binom{b}{1} n^{b-1} a^1 \le (n+a)^b,$$

and also for n big enough,

$$\frac{n^b}{2} \le n^b + \binom{b}{1} n^{b-1} a^1,$$

which implies

$$\frac{n^b}{2} \le (n+a)^b,$$

and say

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

To find c_2 , we notice that for n big enough,

$$n^{b} = {b \choose 0} n^{b} a^{0} \ge {b \choose i} n^{b-i} a^{i} \quad \forall i \in 1, \dots, b,$$

which implies

$$(n+a)^b \le bn^b,$$

and say

$$c_2 = b$$
.

3.1-3 Explain why the statement, "The running time of algorithm A is at least $O(n^2)$," is meaningless.

Because the O-notation only bounds from the top, not from the bottom.

3.1-4 Is $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$? Is $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$?

From the definition of $O(\cdot)$, we have

$$2^{n+1} = O(2^n) \equiv \exists c \ n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le 2^{n+1} \le c \cdot 2^n \ \forall n \ge n_0.$$

To find c, we notice that,

$$2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n$$
.

and say c=2 and $n_0=0$.

From the definition of $O(\cdot)$, we have

$$2^{2n} = O(2^n) \equiv \exists c \ n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le 2^{2n} \le c \cdot 2^n \ \forall n \ge n_0.$$

To show that $2^{2n} \neq O(2^n)$, we notice that,

$$2^{2n} = 2^n \cdot 2^n,$$

which implies

$$c \ge 2^n$$
,

which is not possible, since c is a constant and n is not.

3.1-5 Prove Theorem 3.1.

To prove

$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \iff f(n) = O(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n) = \Omega(g(n)),$$

we need to show

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \to \Theta(n)$,

and

$$f(n) \neq O(g(n))$$
 or $f(n) \neq \Omega(g(n)) \rightarrow f(n) \neq \Theta(g(n))$.

From the definition of $O(\cdot)$, we have

$$f(n) = O(g(n)) \to \exists c_1 \ n_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \ \forall n \ge n_1,$$

and from the definition of $\Omega(\cdot)$, we have

$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \rightarrow \exists c_2 \ n_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le c_2 g(n) \le f(n) \ \forall n \ge n_2.$$

Putting the two above together, we show that

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \equiv \exists c_1 \ c_2 \ n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 g(n) \ \forall n \ge n_0 \equiv f(n) = \Theta(g(n)).$

3.1-6 Prove that the running time of an algorithm is $\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if its worst-case running time is O(g(n)) and its best-case running time is O(g(n)).

Let $f_b(n)$ and $f_w(n)$ be the best and worst-case running times of algorithm A, respectively.

If the running time of A is $\Theta(g(n))$, we have

$$f_b(n) = \Theta(g(n)),$$

and

$$f_w(n) = \Theta(g(n)).$$

From Theorem 3.1,

$$f_b(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \iff f_b(n) = O(g(n)) \text{ and } f_b(n) = \Omega(g(n)),$$

and

$$f_w(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \iff f_w(n) = O(g(n)) \text{ and } f_w(n) = \Omega(g(n)).$$

3.1-7 Prove that $o(g(n)) \cap \omega(g(n))$ is the empty set.

From the definition of $o(\cdot)$, we have

$$o(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \forall c_1 > 0 \ \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \ \forall n \ge n_1 \},$$

and from the definition of $\omega(\cdot)$, we have

$$\omega(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \forall c_2 > 0 \ \exists n_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le c_2 g(n) \le f(n) \ \forall n \ge n_2 \}.$$

Thus,

$$o(g(n)) \cap \omega(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \forall c_1 > 0 \ \forall c_2 > 0 \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le c_2 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \ \forall \ n \ge n_2 \},$$

which is the empty set, since for very large n f(n) cannot be less than $c_1g(n)$ and greater than $c_2g(n)$ for all $c_1, c_2 > 0$.

3.1-8 We can extend our notation to the case of two parameters n and m that can go to infinity independently at different rates. For a given g(n, m), we denote by O(g(n, m)) the set of functions

 $O(g(n,m)) = \{f(n,m) : \text{there exist positive constants } c, n_0, \text{ and } m_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n,m) \le cg(n,m) \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \text{ and } m \ge m_0\}.$

Give corresponding definitions for $\Omega(g(n,m))$ and $\Theta(g(n,m))$.

We denote by $\Omega(g(n,m))$ the set of functions

$$\Omega(q(n,m)) = \{ f(n,m) : \exists c \ n_0 \ m_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 < cq(n,m) \} < f(n,m) \ \forall n > n_0 \ \forall m > m_0 \}.$$

We denote by $\Theta(g(n,m))$ the set of functions

$$\Theta(g(n,m)) = \{ f(n,m) : \exists c_1 \ c_2 \ n_0 \ m_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 \le c_1 g(n,m) \le f(n,m) \le c_2 g(n,m) \ \forall n \ge n_0 \ \forall m \ge m_0 \}.$$