ECON 706: PROBLEM SET 5

DANIEL PFEFFER

Problem 1. Vector Autoregressions

Consider the following VAR

$$y_t = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{iid N}(0, \Sigma),$$

where y_t is an $n \times 1$ vector.

(i) Show that the conditional maximum likelihood estimation of Φ is equivalent to equation-by-equation OLS estimation:

$$y_{it} = \Phi_{0i} + \Phi_{1i} \cdot y_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it},$$

where Φ_{1i} is the *i*th row of the $n \times n$ matrix Φ_1 .

Solution. Let $X_t = (1, y_{t-1})'$, $\Phi = (\Phi'_0, \Phi'_1)'$, and $\Phi^i = (\Phi'_{0i}, \Phi'_{1i})'$. The least squares estimator for row (equation) i is given by

$$\hat{\Phi}^{i} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{it}',$$

and maximum likelihood estimator of Φ is

$$\hat{\Phi} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{t}'$$

$$= \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} \left(y_{1t} \quad y_{2t} \quad \cdots \quad y_{nt}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{1t} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{2t} \quad \cdots \quad \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{nt}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{1t}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{2t}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} X_{t}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{t} y_{nt}$$

$$= \left(\hat{\Phi}^{1} \quad \hat{\Phi}^{2} \quad \cdots \quad \hat{\Phi}^{n}\right)$$

$$= \left(\hat{\Phi}'_{01} \quad \hat{\Phi}'_{02} \quad \cdots \quad \hat{\Phi}'_{0n}\right)$$

$$= \left(\hat{\Phi}'_{11} \quad \hat{\Phi}'_{12} \quad \cdots \quad \hat{\Phi}'_{1n}\right).$$

Hence the conditional maximum likelihood estimation of Φ_1 is equivalent to equation-by-equation OLS estimation: $\hat{\Phi}_1 = (\hat{\Phi}_{11}, \hat{\Phi}_{12}, \dots, \hat{\Phi}_{1n})'$.

1

(ii) Under the improper prior distribution

$$p(\Phi, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-(n+1)/2}$$

can the posterior means of the VAR coefficients be computed equation-by-equation? Are coefficients of equation i a posteriori uncorrelated with coefficients from equation j?

Solution. Define the matrices $Y=(y_1',\ldots,y_T')'$ and $X=(X_1',\ldots,X_T')'$, and let y_0 be an $n\times 1$ vector with the initial observation. Then the likelihood function is

$$p(Y \mid y_0, \Phi, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(Y - X\Phi)'(Y - X\Phi)\right)\right).$$

Define

$$\hat{\Phi} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$

$$S = (Y - \hat{\Phi})'(Y - \hat{\Phi}),$$

and write

$$p(Y \mid y_0, \Phi, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1} (S + (\Phi - \hat{\Phi})' X' X (\Phi - \hat{\Phi}))\right)\right)$$
(1)

$$\propto |\Sigma|^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(S\Sigma^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(\Phi - \hat{\Phi})'X'X(\Phi - \hat{\Phi})\right)\right). \tag{2}$$

Let us also define $\beta = \text{vec}(\Phi)$ and $\hat{\beta} = \text{vec}(\hat{\Phi})$. Then

$$p(Y \mid y_0, \Phi, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left((\beta - \hat{\beta})'\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta})\right)\right),$$

which shows that the likelihood is characterized by a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\hat{\beta}$ and variance $\Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}$.

For the joint posterior, we have

$$p(\Phi, \Sigma \mid Y, y_0) \propto |\Sigma|^{-(T+n+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(S + (\Phi - \hat{\Phi})'X'X(\Phi - \hat{\Phi})\right)\right),$$

Equation (2) leads to a factorization of the form

$$p(\Phi, \Sigma \mid Y) = p(\Phi \mid \Sigma, Y)p(\Sigma \mid Y),$$

and so the conditional posterior is

$$p(\Phi \mid \Sigma, Y) \propto |\Sigma|^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left((\beta - \hat{\beta})'\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta})\right)\right),$$

which we identify with a Gaussian distribution of the (vectorzied) form

$$\operatorname{vec}(\Phi) \mid (\Sigma, Y) \sim N(\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\Phi}), \Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1}).$$

So under this prior, we see that the posterior mean is MLE estimator and from (i), which coincides with equation-by-equation least squares estimator. The equation-by-equation posterior means of the VAR coefficients are not uncorrelated since the covariance depends on Σ .

(iii) Download observations on real GDP growth and inflation from FRED for the period 1985:I to 2019:IV. Estimate a VAR(1) with intercept by OLS. Conditional on the OLS estimate, compute the eigenvalues of Φ_1 and the unconditional mean and variance of y_t . Compare your estimate of the unconditional mean and variance obtained from the estimated VAR to sample means and variances of y_t . Would you expect them to be approximately the same? Are they approximately the same?

Solution. The following code estimates the VAR(1) and the results are presented in Table 1.

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import statsmodels.api as sm
from statsmodels.tsa.api import VAR

gdp = pd.read_csv('GDPC1.csv', index_col='DATE')
infl = pd.read_csv('GDPDEF.csv', index_col='DATE')

ts = gdp.join(infl, how='outer')

# Convert to growth rates
ts = np.log(ts).diff(axis=0)
ts = ts.rename(columns={'GDPC1':'gdp_growth', 'GDPDEF': 'infl_growth'})
ts = ts.dropna()

mod = VAR(ts)
res = mod.fit(maxlags=1)
```

Table 1. VAR(1) results.

| | GDP | Inflation |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Constant | 0.005*** | 0.004*** |
| | (0.001) | (0.000) |
| Lag GDP | 0.372*** | 0.079** |
| | (0.080) | (0.027) |
| Lag Inflation | -0.164 | 0.612*** |
| | (0.192) | (0.065) |
| * $p < .1, ** p < .05,$ | | |
| *** $p < .01$ | | |

The eigenvalues of Φ_1 are 0.53 and 0.45, a strong indication of stability. Table 2 shows the sample mean and mean implied by the model, which are nearly the same.

Table 2. Means.

| | Sample | Model |
|-----------|--------|-------|
| GDP | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| Inflation | 0.005 | 0.005 |

The sample covariance matrix is

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{GDP} & \text{Inflation} \\ \text{GDP} & \begin{pmatrix} 0.000032 & 0.000001 \\ 0.000001 & 0.000006 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

and the covariance matrix implied by the model is

```
\begin{array}{cc} & & GDP & Inflation \\ GDP & \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0.000032 & 0.000001 \\ 0.000001 & 0.000006 \end{array} \right). \end{array}
```

Since the model implied estimates are computed using coefficients, which from (i) we know are equivalent to equitation-by-equation least squares estimates, these similarities noted above are not surprising. \Box

(iv) Write a program that generates draws from the posterior $(\Phi, \Sigma) \mid Y$ using direct sampling from the MNIW distribution. For each coefficient compute the posterior mean and a 90% credible interval. Tabulate your posterior estimates along with the OLS estimates.

Solution. The following code conducts the desired posterior analysis with an improper prior.

```
from numpy.random import multivariate_normal
# Function to generate inverse wishart deviates
def inv_wishart(df, S):
   n = S.shape[0]
   Z = multivariate_normal(np.zeros(n), np.linalg.inv(S), df)
   ZTZ = Z.T @ Z
   return np.linalg.inv(ZTZ)
# Data
ts = sm.add_constant(ts)
X = ts.values
XTX_inv = np.linalg.inv(X.T @ X)
# Vectorize coefficient vector
Phi_00 = res.intercept[0]
Phi_01 = res.coefs.flatten()[0]
Phi_02 = res.coefs.flatten()[1]
Phi_10 = res.intercept[1]
Phi_11 = res.coefs.flatten()[2]
Phi_12 = res.coefs.flatten()[3]
vec_Phi = [Phi_00, Phi_01, Phi_02, Phi_10, Phi_11, Phi_12]
# Direct sampling from MNIW
N = 100
post = []
for i in range(N):
   S_iw = inv_wishart(df=137, S=S_hat)
   S_mn = np.kron(S_iw, XTX_inv)
   post.append(multivariate_normal(vec_Phi, S_mn).tolist())
# Save posterior sample
post_df = pd.DataFrame(np.array(post))
post_df = post_df.rename(columns={0:'Phi_00', 1:'Phi_01', 2:'Phi_02',
```

```
3:'Phi_10', 4:'Phi_11', 5:'Phi_12'})

# Generate posterior mean and 90\% interval
from scipy.stats import bayes_mvs

for i in post_df.columns:
    print(bayes_mvs(post_df[i])[0])
```

The results of the above computations are summarized in Table 3. The "closeness" of the estimated posterior means to the OLS estimates is a consequence fact that the true posterior mean is the OLS estimator.

Table 3. Posterior Results

| | Mean | Lower | Upper | OLS |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Φ_{00} | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| Φ_{01} | 0.372 | 0.370 | 0.373 | 0.372 |
| Φ_{02} | -0.165 | -0.168 | -0.162 | -0.164 |
| Φ_{10} | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 |
| Φ_{11} | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.080 | 0.079 |
| Φ_{12} | 0.612 | 0.612 | 0.613 | 0.612 |