

# Suitable function

Daniel Nager  
daniel.nager@gmail.com

June 27, 2020

This file and referenced files are on the address <https://github.com/danielnager/xifrat/>

We will use a substitution table, for example:

5	3	0	4	1	10	9	2	8	6	11	7
3	7	5	0	9	6	2	8	10	1	4	11
0	5	4	11	6	8	1	9	2	10	7	3
4	0	11	7	10	2	6	1	9	8	3	5
1	9	6	10	7	5	11	4	0	3	8	2
10	6	8	2	5	4	3	7	11	0	9	1
9	2	1	6	11	3	4	0	5	7	10	8
2	8	9	1	4	7	0	5	3	11	6	10
8	10	2	9	0	11	5	3	7	4	1	6
6	1	10	8	3	0	7	11	4	5	2	9
11	4	7	3	8	9	10	6	1	2	5	0
7	11	3	5	2	1	8	10	6	9	0	4

to define a function  $c = f(a, b)$ , where  $c$  is the element in the  $a$ -th row and  $b$ -th column.

The following two properties hold:

$f(f(a, b), c) \neq f(a, f(b, c))$  – non-associativity in general

$f(f(a, b), f(c, d)) = f(f(a, c), f(b, d))$  – restricted commutativity

Next we define a list of  $N$  integers in the range  $[0, 11]$  to meet the size required. For 256 bits we need  $256/\log_2(12) = 71$  approximately. So let's set  $N = 71$ . This list can be interpreted as a 71 digit base-12 number.

Next we define a mixing procedure of elements of this kind,  $t$  and  $k$ ,  $N$ -element lists of numbers in the integer range  $[0, 11]$ .

The mixing procedure is:

```
function m(t,k) returns t

    for M number of rounds -- 64 for example
        //one-to-one mixing of k and t
        for i in 0..N-1
            t[i]<-f(t[i],k[i])
        end for
        // accumulative mixing of t with itself, t[-1]=t[N-1]
        for i in 0..N-1
            t[i]<-f(t[i],t[i-1])
        end for
    end for

return t
```

The function  $m$  is neither associative nor commutative, and meets the restricted commutativity property:

$$m(m(a, b), m(c, d)) = m(m(a, c), m(b, d))$$

With this a Secret agreement and a Digital signature can be done as explained in the document:

<https://github.com/danielnager/xifrat/blob/master/cryptosystem.pdf>

The computationally hard problem proposed is:

in  $c = m(t, k)$ , knowing  $c$  and  $t$ , find  $k$ .

Now lets define the secret agreement and the digital signature using the mixing function  $m$ . To put it more clear we will use the following notation:

$m(a, b)$  is written as (a b)

$m(m(a, b), m(c, d))$  is written as (a b)(c d)

For the secret agreement the procedure is the following:

Both Alice and Bob agree on some constant  $C$ . Alice chooses a random key  $K$ , and Bob does the same choosing a random key  $Q$ . Alice sends to Bob  $(C K)$ , Bob sends to Alice  $(C Q)$ . Alice computes using bob sent value  $(C Q)(K C)$ , and Bob does the same and computes  $(C K)(Q C)$ .

By the property of restricted commutativity  $(C Q)(K C)=(C K)(Q C)$

For the signature the procedure is the following:

Alice, the signer, chooses a public value  $C$  and a random key  $K$ . Its credentials are  $C$  and  $(C K)$ . To sing a value,  $H$ , Alice simply computes  $S=(H K)$ .  $H$  must be different from  $C$ .

Bob needs to verify if Alice has signed  $H$ . Computes  $(C C)(H K)$  and  $(C H)(C K)$ . Both values must be equal due to restricted commutativity if  $(H K)$  is a valid signature from Alice.

In order to do smaller signatures, of 128 bits in this case, we apply the following equality:

$$(CCK_2K_1)(H_1H_2K_1K_2) = (CH_1)(CH_2)(K_2K_1)(K_1K_2)$$

In this formula,  $C$  is a public constant provided by Alice, the signer,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are two 128 bit keys, and  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  is a 256 bits value to be signed split in two halves.

The credentials of Alice are  $(K_2K_1)$ ,  $(K_1K_2)$  and  $(CCK_2K_1)$ .

In order to sing a value represented by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , the Alice computes  $S = (H_1H_2K_1K_2)$ .

To verify the signature Bob computes  $(CH_1)$  and  $(CH_2)$  and checks for the initial equality to hold, as Bob has all the elements needed.

Daniel Nager - daniel.nager@gmail.com