Group X -- Final Report Evaluation

Names

Sufficient [10 - 13] [] Do they describe the distilled design from the available data? i.e. a UML diagram [] Do they describe the transformation from the old design to the new design based on the requirements and the distilled design? [] Are the code changes tested adequately? [] Are the techniques as seen in the lab sessions applied sufficiently?
Distinction [13 - 15] [] Scoping: Are refactorings motivated (at design level) in function of the future changes (=target of the reengineering exercise)? [] Are unchosen alternatives highlighted? [] Are the reengineering patterns used in their own process and do they recognize these patterns? [] Is there documentation for the reverse-engineered and the target design (design pattern architecture patterns, architecture styles)? [] Is there efficient testing in function of refactorings (i.e. more than just blind unit/regression testing)
Great Distinction [15-17] [] Is the end solution (=refactored design) evaluated with the requirements in mind they implemented a new feature, made a cost assessment, risk analysis, etc [] Are the techniques applied according to a plan? [] Was the application of techniques adapted based on their efficiency? Iterations + not just according to the book, but deliberate use of the most appropriate reengineering pattern. [] Did their process show a deeper insight into the application of the techniques?
Greatest distinction [17-20] [] Did the reflect on the process and/or the result? [] Did they try to create more efficient variants of reengineering patterns and did they formally document these? [] Was the tradeoff and/or reengineering process reusable to other representative reengineering projects?
Fine-Grained Criteria Used to determine the grade within the category. [] Scoping - Degree in which the impact on the source code was investigated and limited. [] Patterns - Degree in which the reengineering patterns were used and recognized in the own process. [] Reflection - Degree in which the project report shows a critical reflection on the applied process and translated into a learning process. [] Groups - Efficiency in which the process used the available manpower and how was the process directed. [] Reports - The quality of the previous reports submitted for this course.