TUM

TUM SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION, INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics

eBPF-Assisted Relays for Multimedia Streaming

Daniel Alexander Antonius Pfeifer

TUM SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION, INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics

eBPF-Assisted Relays for Multimedia Streaming

eBPF-Unterstützung für Multimedia-Streaming-Netzknoten

Author:	Daniel Alexander Antonius Pfeifer
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr. Ing. Jörg Ott
Advisor:	Mathis Engelbart, M.Sc.
Submission Date:	15.08.2024

I confirm that this bachelor's thesis in informatics is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, 15.08.2024   Daniel Alexander Antonius Pfeifer

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Citation Examples

Citation [Lam94]. Citation [Int24b]. Citation [Int24a]. Citation [Rod24].

1.2 Research Question

TODO

1.3 Scope

TODO

1.4 Structure of this Thesis

TODO

1.5 Source Code Repositories

TODO

2 Background and Related Work

2.1 QUIC

The Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) has been used as the backbone of the internet for more than 40 years. It has been designed to be reliable and to provide a connection-oriented way of transmitting data, but the modern environment of the internet with its need for increasing throughput make it hard for TCP to keep up. Limitations in the design and resulting issues like head-of-line blocking have raised demand for a newly designed protocol that can keep up with the modern internet. The 'Quick UDP Internet Connections' protocol, short QUIC protocol, is a transport layer protocol built on top of UDP that is designed to be reliable, cryptographically secure and more performant than TCP. It was intended to be the successor of TCP and it has its origins at Google before the standardization by the IETF began in 2016. QUIC, partly because it operates both in user- and kernel-space, has been designed to allow for a more rapid deployment cycle than TCP. Similar to TCP it is a connection-based protocol that uses TLS for encryption. As of beginning of 2024, QUIC already made up 7.7% of all internet traffic. With the main driver being Google's own services, like YouTube, where QUIC is already used by default. Together with HTTP/3, which is already implemented in more than 90% of all web browsers, this number is likely to increase even further.

2.1.1 Connections and Streams

Since QUIC is a connection-based protocol, some initial overhead to establish a connection is needed. However the design incorporates some features that aim for a more efficient way of establishing connections, e.g. by using 0-RTT (zero round trip time) handshakes. Latency improvements like the 0-RTT handshake however come at the cost of security, since that opens the door for replay attacks. Another part where QUIC tries to optimize connection management is the use of streams. Streams are designed to be lightweight and can be opened without the need of a handshake. It even goes as far that a single packet can contain the opening of a new stream, stream data as well as the closing of the stream. This allows for new techniques to improve data transmission and will also be part of the fast-relay setup in this thesis. Aside from streams, apparent since QUIC is based on UDP, it is also possible to send data via unreliable datagrams.

This further improves versatility of the protocol and allows for new ways of optimizing data transmission.

2.1.2 quic-go and moqtransport

The implementation of the proposed fast-relay setup will be based on the quic-go library, which provides a pure Go implementation of the QUIC protocol as specified in the standards RFC-9000, RFC-9221 as well as some others which are not that important in this thesis. Together with a modified version of the quic-go library, the fast-relay implementation will also use the moqtransport library. This library brings the 'Media over QUIC' (MoQ) protocol to Go and will be used as a media transport protocol when looking at the impact of fast-relays on adaptive real-time video streaming. The MoQ protocol is being standardized by the IETF since July 2023 and has yet to be finalized.

2.1.3 QUIC and Fast Relays

The QUIC protocol will be a fundamental part of the fast-relay setup in this thesis, yet the ideas used to make relays faster is not limited to QUIC and can be extended to other protocols as well. QUIC is chosen as an example protocl due to its increasing popularity which offers big potential in early adoption and deployment of fast-relays. Besides that, the existing implementations of QUIC related standards provide a good starting point for an implementation, despite the difficulties that the heavy encryption of QUIC brings with it. To mitigate missing technologies, mainly for offloading QUIC decryption and encryption onto hardware, the existing protocol libraries can also be modified easily to simulate any needed behavior.

2.2 eBPF

In 1992 a technology called 'Berkeley Packet Filter' (BPF) was introduced into the Unix kernel. By using BPF it is possible to attach a small BPF-program to some pre-defined hook points in the network stack of the kernel and filter packets there in a stateless manner. This provided more efficiency since the packets did not need to be copied into userspace anymore but could directly be processed in the kernel. One downside to such an approach however is that BPF-programs are limited by the so-called 'BPF-verifier' which needs to check every BPF-program for safety e.g. to avoid infinite loops or access to invalid memory from withing kernel space. Today, the initial technology of BPF has evolved into 'extended BPF' (eBPF) and allows for more versatile use cases.

2.2.1 eBPF Hook Points

The Linux kernel offers several hook points where eBPF-programs can be attached to. Two main ones are one to attach eBPF-programs to the Traffic Control (TC) subsystem and another one to attach them to the eXpress Data Path (XDP) subsystem. The XDP hook, which is directly located in the NIC-driver, lies lower in the network stack than the TC-hook, which is located in the link-layer. Despite being higher up in the network stack, the TC-hook has the big advantage that it offers ingress and egress processing while the XDP-hook is available for ingress processing only. This makes the XDP-hook suboptimal for the implementation of fast-relays since they heavily rely on processing packets at egress, after those were redirected from ingress. Figure Figure 2.1 illustrates again the relative positions of the TC and XDP hook points in the network stack.

2.2.2 Traffic Control Queuing Disciplines

The Linux Traffic Control Subsystem uses Queuing Disciplines (qdiscs) to define how packets are handled. TODO

2.2.3 eBPF Verifier

TODO

2.2.4 Important eBPF Concepts

TODO

2.2.5 eBPF and Fast Relays

TODO

2.3 Adaptive Bitrate Streaming

Multimedia streaming is a big part of the internet and many optimizations have been developed to improve the quality of service for the end-users. This includes considering (in real-time) parts of the clients connection state, such as available bandwith, and adapting the rate at which a server sends data. Such a process is called 'Adaptive Bitrate Streaming' and is employed in many of todays streaming setups. An example setup can be seen in Figure 2.3 where within the content delivery network multiple streams with different resolutions exist and the edge server that manages the connection to the client can switch between those streams based on the clients connection state. Youtube

Figure 2.1: Abstracted view of Traffic Control (TC) and eXpress Data Path (XDP) hook points in the Linux kernel network stack. The red loop indicates the 'shortcut' that is utilized by the fast relay. TC hook allows redirection directly to egress while XDP hook is only available for ingress processing.

Figure 2.2: Conventional layers of a network stack for client, server and relay. The red loop indicates again the 'short-cut' that is utilized by the fast relay and based on eBPF packet-forwarding. This avoids the need for the packet to traverse the entire network stack of the relay up to the userspace.

and Netflix are examples where, although more complex, similar setups are used to provide a better user experience.


Figure 2.3: A streaming server might send multiple streams with different resolutions to allow adapting to a users bitrate.

The way the example implementation of fast realys in this thesis is set up, is that the video server will encode into each packet its priority. For example I-frames have a high priority while P-frames have a lower priority. The relay then can decide not to forward certain packets to a client if the client is experiencing congestion.

TODO more specifics on how client state is found out.

3 Fast Relays

3.1 QUIC Adaptions

TODO

## 3.2 eBPF Setup

3.2.1 Different BPF Programs

Figure 3.1: The relay has to be equipped with three BPF programs.

In order to allow the relay to forward packets independently of the userspace, we need to equip the relay with three BPF programs as seem in figure. Those three programs are

- a program that handles incoming traffic **from** the clients (client ingress),

- a program that handles outgoing traffic **to** the clients (client egress) and

- a program that handles incoming traffic **from** the video server (server ingress).

Their responsibilities then are

- handling the initial registration of new clients and storing their information such as MAC addresses in a BPF map,

- intercepting the packets from the video server, duplicating and redirecting them to the egress program (as well as sending one unaltered packet to userspace for state management purposes),

- receiving the redirected packets at egress, altering them using the client specific data, deciding (based on packet priority and client congestion) if a packets should be dropped or sent, storing info on sent out packets for future congestion control purposes and finally sending them out to the clients.

This setup allows us to separate any state management and congestion control from the actual packet forwarding and thus makes leaving out any immediate userspace processing possible.

Following is a more detailed description of the responsibilities of each of the three programs.

Client Ingress

TODO

Server Ingress

TODO

Client Egress

The client egress program sees every packet that leaves the relay. This includes packets that have been redirected by the ingress program as well as packets that have been generated by the relay itself. Since the QUIC protocol works with packet numbers for a given connection it is necessary for the egress program to make sure the forwarded packets together with the userspace packets provide a consistent state. For this the egress program maintains its own packet number counter for each connection. That way only one counter has to be maintained and race conditions can be avoided. However, this also means that the packets sent by the userspace are likely to have a different packet number than the one chosen by the QUIC library. This might lead to inconsistencies again but can be avoided by not storing a packet from userspace right away in the packet history but only once the BPF has stored it, along with the changed packet number, in the map used for packet registration. This initially gives a brief window where a packet was sent out but is not saved in the history of the QUIC library but

once the packet is then processed by the userspace routine handling the registration, any incoming ACKs for this packet can be processed correctly. TODO

3.2.2 Packet Registration

In order to make the congestion control algorithm that is running in userspace usable we need to inform the QUIC library about the forwarded packets. This again happens via BPF maps and a separate go routine that continuously polls new entries in the map and processes them. Entries are then added to the packet history to allow the receipt of ACKs. Besides that, the congestion control algorithm will be informed about the forwarded packet in order to be able to react to potential congestion events.


Figure 3.2: Internal setup for registering forwarded packets as well as incorporating forwarding limitations for the BPF program.

3.3 User Space Avoidance

TODO

3.4 Packet Filtering and Dropping

TODO

3.5 Client Congestion

TODO

3.6 Subscription and State Management

TODO

3.7 Relay Caching

TODO

3.8 Compatibility

TODO

4 Testing

5 Future Work

5.1 Hardware Offload

This thesis heavily relies on the fact that the relay can access certain fields (e.g. packet numbers) of the packet, which are generally not accessible without prior decryption. In the current setup, this is made possible by turning off encryption alltogether but to be of any use in a real-world scenario, the encryption of incoming and the decryption of outgoing packets would need to be pushed down below the lowest used BPF hook point in the stack. This means that a hardware offload of encryption and decryption similar to what is done for TCP/IP checksums would be necessary.

6 Conclusion

List of Figures

List of Tables

Bibliography

[Int24a]   Internet-Engineering-Task-Force. *Media over QUIC Transport*. 2024.

[Int24b]   Internet-Engineering-Task-Force. *QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure Transport*. 2024.

[Lam94]   L. Lamport. *LaTeX : A Documentation Preparation System User's Guide and Reference Manual*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 1994.

[Rod24]   L. Rodriguez. *The Future of the Internet is here: QUIC Protocol and HTTP/3*. 2024.