```
3. The most important part is **defect analysis**: In this part,
   ↪ your core task is to check whether the errors or defects of
   ↪ the "solution" pointed out in the "solution evaluation" are
   ↪ reasonable. In other words, any positive components about
   ↪ the "solution" in the "solution evaluation", regardless of
   ↪ whether they are reasonable, are not within your evaluation
   ↪ scope.

- For example: If the "solution evaluation" says that a certain
   ↪ conclusion in the "solution" is correct, but actually this
   ↪ conclusion is incorrect, then you do not need to care about
   ↪ this point. All parts that the "solution evaluation"
   ↪ considers correct do not belong to your evaluation scope.
- Specifically: If the "solution evaluation" believes that the "
   ↪ solution" is completely accurate and has not found any
   ↪ errors or defects, then regardless of whether the "solution"
   ↪  itself is actually accurate, even if there are obvious
   ↪ errors, you should still consider its analysis of errors to
   ↪ be reasonable.

**Importantly**, for defects found by the "solution evaluation",
   ↪ you need to analyze two points simultaneously:

- whether this defect actually exists
- whether the "solution evaluation"'s analysis of this defect is
   ↪ accurate

These two aspects constitute the analysis of defects.

4. About **expression analysis**, if there are certain expression
   ↪  errors in the "solution evaluation", even minor errors in
   ↪ details, you need to identify them. However, please note
   ↪ that identifying incorrect steps in the "solution" as
   ↪ correct steps does not constitute an **expression error**.

In practice, expression errors include but are not limited to:

- If the "solution evaluation" identifies some reasoning step(s)
   ↪ in the "solution" as incorrect, then it cannot further
   ↪ indicate that subsequent conclusion(s) depending on those
   ↪ reasoning step(s) are wrong, but can only indicate that
   ↪ subsequent conclusion(s) are "not rigorously demonstrated."
- Typos and calculation errors made by "solution evaluation"
- Inaccurate restatement of content from "solution"

5. Finally, you need to present your analysis of the "solution
   ↪ evaluation" in your output and also rate its quality based
   ↪ on the rules below:

First, if there is at least one unreasonable defect among the
   ↪ defects found by the "solution evaluation", then you only
   ↪ need to do **defect analysis**:

- If all defects found by the "solution evaluation" are
   ↪ unreasonable, then you should rate it with \(0\)
```