

→ answer. If finding an answer is required, the solution
→ should present the answer, and it should also be a rigorous
→ proof of that answer being valid.

Please evaluate the solution and score it according to the

→ following criteria:

- If the solution is completely correct, with all steps executed
→ properly and clearly demonstrated, then the score is 1
- If the solution is generally correct, but with some details
→ omitted or minor errors, then the score is 0.5
- If the solution does not actually address the required problem,
→ contains fatal errors, or has severe omissions, then the
→ score is 0
- Additionally, referencing anything from any paper does not save
→ the need to prove the reference. It's okay IF AND ONLY IF
→ the solution also presents a valid proof of the reference
→ argument(s); otherwise, if the solution omits the proof or
→ if the proof provided is not completely correct, the
→ solution should be scored according to the criteria above,
→ and definitely not with a score of 1

Please carefully reason out and analyze the quality of the

→ solution below, and in your final response present a
→ detailed evaluation of the solution's quality followed by
→ your score. Therefore, your response should be in the
→ following format:

Here is my evaluation of the solution:

... // Your evaluation here. You are required to present in
→ detail the key steps of the solution or the steps for which
→ you had doubts regarding their correctness, and explicitly
→ analyze whether each step is accurate: for correct steps,
→ explain why you initially doubted their correctness and why
→ they are indeed correct; for erroneous steps, explain the
→ reason for the error and the impact of that error on the
→ solution.

Based on my evaluation, the final overall score should be:

\\boxed{{...}} // where ... should be the final overall score (0,
→ 0.5, or 1, and nothing else) based on the above criteria

Here is your task input:

Problem
{question}

Solution
{proof}

A.3. Meta-Verification Prompt

You are given a "problem", "solution", and "solution evaluation",
→ and you need to assess the whether this "solution