

# Vowel Dynamics and Social Meaning in York, Northern England

Daniel Lawrence  
The University of Edinburgh  
daniel.lawrence@ed.ac.uk



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH  
School of Philosophy, Psychology  
and Language Sciences

## Introduction

- As time-varying acoustic events, speech sounds offer a wide range of variable cues which could potentially attach to the social meanings available in a speech community.
- However, research into the social perception of phonetic variation has typically focused on ‘static’ properties of speech events – for example, by testing listeners’ ability to use variation in average formant frequencies as a cue to social identity (Fridland, Bartlett & Kreuz, 2004).
- To address this gap, the present study explored listeners’ social perceptions of the GOAT vowel (/o/) in York, Northern England, with a view to discovering how variable patterns of fronting and diphthongization might be available as indexical cues in this community.

## Data

- 52 sociolinguistic interviews conducted in York, Northern England.
- Social perception data from the same individuals.

Birth year	Female	Male
1935-1960	7	5
1961-1980	8	11
1981-2000	10	11

## Fronting and diphthongization in York

- Change in /o/ involves the interaction of fronting and diphthongization
- Older speakers produced either a back diphthong or back monophthong
- Younger speakers produce a front diphthong OR a back monophthong
- A minority of younger speakers front /o/ primarily at the offglide, resulting in an upgliding diphthong
- GAM models:
- F1-F2 trajectory plotted by decade
- F2 intercepts plotted by YOB
- F2 slope vs intercept

## Social factors

- Somehow show social factors (trajectory plots?)

## Experimental design

## Social-indexical perception as signal detection

## Results

## Conclusion

### References

Campbell-Kibler, K. (2008). I'll be the judge of that: Diversity in social perceptions of (ING). *Language in Society*, 37(05), 637-659.

Campbell-Kibler, K. (2009). The nature of sociolinguistic perception. *Language Variation and Change*, 21(01), 135-156.

Eckert, P. (2008). Variation and the indexical field. *Journal of sociolinguistics*, 12(4), 453-476.

Fridland, V., Bartlett, K., & Kreuz, R. (2004). Do you hear what I hear? Experimental measurement of the perceptual salience of acoustically manipulated vowel variants by Southern speakers in Memphis, TN. *Language Variation and Change*, 16(01), 1-16.

Grosvald, M. (2009). Interspeaker variation in the extent and perception of long-distance vowel-to-vowel coarticulation. *Journal of Phonetics*, 37(2), 173-188.

Haddican, B., Foulkes, P., Hughes, V., & Richards, H. (2013). Interaction of social and linguistic constraints on two vowel changes in northern England. *Language Variation and Change*, 25(03), 371-403.

Levon, E., & Fox, S. (2014). Social Salience and the Sociolinguistic Monitor A Case Study of ING and TH-fronting in Britain. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 42(3), 185-217.

Munson, B. (2007). The acoustic correlates of perceived masculinity, perceived femininity, and perceived sexual orientation. *Language and Speech*, 50(1), 125-142.

Purnell, T., Idsardi, W., & Baugh, J. (1999). Perceptual and phonetic experiments on American English dialect identification. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 18(1), 10-30.