## template

March 21, 2024

## 1 Recipe Data Analytics

Name(s): Daniel Warren

Website Link: https://danielpwarren.github.io/Recipe-Data-Analytics

```
[]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from pathlib import Path
import ast # To convert strings that resemble lists into actual lists
from itertools import chain
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
import math

import plotly.express as px

pd.options.plotting.backend = "plotly"
```

### 1.1 Step 1: Introduction

#### 1.1.1 Brainstormed Questions

- How do the ingredients and nutrition contribute to the rating of a recipe?
- How do the number of steps and expected time contribute to the rating?
- Are certain food categories (such as desert or dinner) more likely to recieve higher ratings?
- What terms in the description or tags correlate to higher ratings?
- What terms in the reviews correlate to higher ratings?

#### 1.1.2 Selected Question

How do the number of steps and expected time contribute to the rating?

#### 1.1.3 Loading the data:

```
[]: recipes_raw = pd.read_csv(Path("data") / Path("RAW_recipes.csv"))
   interactions_raw = pd.read_csv(Path("data") / Path("RAW_interactions.csv"))

[]: interactions_raw.columns
[]: Index(['user_id', 'recipe_id', 'date', 'rating', 'review'], dtype='object')
```

#### 1.2 Step 2: Data Cleaning and Exploratory Data Analysis

#### 1.2.1 Data Cleaning

In this step I will:

- combine the recipies and interactions dataframes into one dataframe.
- seperate tags, steps, and ingredients into arrays
- replace 0 star ratings with NaN and calculate an average rating for each recipe and store it in avg\_rating
- change the datatypes of rows where applicable

```
[]: interactions raw["rating"] = interactions raw["rating"].replace(0, np.nan)
     recipes_raw["tags"] = recipes_raw["tags"].apply(ast.literal_eval)
     recipes_raw["steps"] = recipes_raw["steps"].apply(ast.literal_eval)
     recipes_raw["ingredients"] = recipes_raw["ingredients"].apply(ast.literal_eval)
     recipes_raw["nutrition"] = recipes_raw["nutrition"].apply(ast.literal_eval)
     merged = recipes_raw.merge(
         interactions_raw, left_on="id", right_on="recipe_id", how="left"
     merged.head()
     avg_rating = merged.groupby("id")["rating"].mean()
     avg_rating
     merged = merged.set_index("id")
     merged["avg rating"] = avg rating
     recipes = merged.reset_index()
     recipes["date"] = pd.to_datetime(recipes["date"])
     recipes["submitted"] = pd.to_datetime(recipes["submitted"])
     recipes.drop(columns=["recipe id"], inplace=True)
     nutrient_names = [
         "calories",
         "total fat (PDV)",
         "sugar (PDV)",
         "sodium (PDV)",
         "protein (PDV)",
         "saturated fat (PDV)",
         "carbohydrates (PDV)",
     for index, nutrient in enumerate(nutrient_names):
         recipes[nutrient] = recipes["nutrition"].apply(lambda x: x[index])
         recipes[nutrient] = pd.to_numeric(recipes[nutrient])
```

# print(recipes.dtypes)

id	int64		
name	object		
minutes	int64		
contributor_id	int64		
submitted	datetime64[ns]		
tags	object		
nutrition	object		
n_steps	int64		
steps	object		
description	object		
ingredients	object		
n_ingredients	int64		
user_id	float64		
date	datetime64[ns]		
rating	float64		
review	object		
avg_rating	float64		
calories	float64		
total fat (PDV)	float64		
sugar (PDV)	float64		
sodium (PDV)	float64		
protein (PDV)	float64		
saturated fat (PDV)	float64		
carbohydrates (PDV)	float64		
dtype: object			

Note: user\_id, rating, and avg\_rating here all need to be floats as the columns contain NaN values that cant be represented as integers.

```
[]: for col in recipes.columns:
         print(f"{col}: {type(recipes[col][0])}")
    id: <class 'numpy.int64'>
    name: <class 'str'>
    minutes: <class 'numpy.int64'>
    contributor_id: <class 'numpy.int64'>
    submitted: <class 'pandas._libs.tslibs.timestamps.Timestamp'>
    tags: <class 'list'>
    nutrition: <class 'list'>
    n_steps: <class 'numpy.int64'>
    steps: <class 'list'>
    description: <class 'str'>
    ingredients: <class 'list'>
    n_ingredients: <class 'numpy.int64'>
    user_id: <class 'numpy.float64'>
    date: <class 'pandas._libs.tslibs.timestamps.Timestamp'>
```

```
rating: <class 'numpy.float64'>
review: <class 'str'>
avg_rating: <class 'numpy.float64'>
calories: <class 'numpy.float64'>
total fat (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
sugar (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
sodium (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
protein (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
saturated fat (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
carbohydrates (PDV): <class 'numpy.float64'>
```

#### 1.2.2 Univariate Analysis

In this step I will observe the distributions of relevant columns in the dataframe

```
[]: fig1 = px.bar(recipes["rating"].value_counts(), y="rating")
fig1.update_layout(title="Distribution of ratings")
fig1.update_xaxes(title="rating")
fig1.update_yaxes(title="count")
fig1.show()
```

```
[]: all_tags = list(chain.from_iterable(recipes["tags"]))
  tag_counts = pd.Series(all_tags).value_counts()

percentile_threshold = tag_counts.quantile(0.90)
  truncated_counts = tag_counts[tag_counts >= percentile_threshold]
  truncated_counts
```

```
fig4 = px.bar(truncated_counts)
fig4.update_layout(title="Distribution of most common tags (top 10%)")
fig4.update_xaxes(title="tag")
fig4.update_yaxes(title="count")
fig4.show()
```

#### 1.2.3 Bivariate Analysis

```
[]: fig4 = px.scatter(recipes, x="avg_rating", y="n_steps") fig4.show()
```

```
[]: recipes["truncated_minutes"] = recipes[recipes["minutes"] <= 12 * 60]["minutes"] fig5 = px.scatter(recipes, x="avg_rating", y="truncated_minutes") fig5.show()
```

#### 1.2.4 Interesting Aggregates

```
[]:
                           mean median
                                             min
                       n_steps n_steps n_steps
     n_ingredients
                      6.750000
                                      7
                                               2
                                                       20
     1
     2
                      5.969932
                                      5
                                               1
                                                       55
     3
                      5.539765
                                      5
                                               1
                                                       69
                                      5
     4
                      6.493830
                                               1
                                                       55
     5
                      7.329812
                                      6
                                               1
                                                       80
                                      7
     6
                      7.740900
                                               1
                                                       86
     7
                      8.297483
                                      8
                                               1
                                                       52
     8
                      9.052886
                                      8
                                               1
                                                       67
                                      9
     9
                      9.759984
                                               1
                                                       87
     10
                     10.698326
                                     10
                                               1
                                                       57
     11
                     11.187419
                                     10
                                               1
                                                       65
     12
                     11.782152
                                               1
                                                       57
                                     11
     13
                     12.549089
                                     11
                                               1
                                                       68
     14
                     13.540655
                                               1
                                                       88
                                     12
     15
                     14.356427
                                     13
                                               2
                                                       62
                     15.142857
                                     14
                                               2
                                                       77
     16
     17
                     15.656934
                                     15
                                               1
                                                       55
```

18	16.410724	15	3	65
19	16.675875	16	3	59
20	17.226623	17	2	76
21	18.733615	17	3	48
22	21.721402	27	4	59
23	17.753676	17	5	59
24	17.673203	17	4	44
25	19.140000	18	6	45
26	18.948454	21	5	49
27	18.088235	19	7	34
28	23.942857	23	5	76
29	18.551724	17	15	33
30	27.906250	23	6	62
31	18.916667	20	10	29
32	37.000000	40	28	40
33	6.000000	6	6	6

#### 1.3 Step 3: Assessment of Missingness

#### 1.3.1 NMAR Analysis

We will consider the review column. This column is the most likely to have NMAR missing data, since recipes with medium reviews could be correlated with missing review text.

#### 1.3.2 Missingness Dependency

We will further analyze the rating column, against the n\_ingredients and minutes coumns

```
[]: recipes["rating"].isna().mean()
```

#### []: 0.06413882241531552

```
[]: def dependency_test(df, col):
         print(f"Testing dependency of rating on {col}")
         observed_diff = abs(
             df[col][df["rating"].isna()].mean() - df[col][~df["rating"].isna()].
      →mean()
         )
         sim_diff = []
         shuffled = df.copy()
         for _ in range(1000):
             shuffled[col] = np.random.permutation(shuffled[col])
             sim_diff.append(
                 abs(
                     shuffled[col][shuffled["rating"].isna()].mean()
                     - shuffled[col][~shuffled["rating"].isna()].mean()
                 )
             )
```

```
print(observed_diff)
    print(f"{sim_diff[:5]}...{sim_diff[-5:]}")
    print((sim_diff >= observed_diff).mean())
dependency_test(recipes, "n_steps")
dependency_test(recipes, "n_ingredients")
dependency_test(recipes, "minutes")
Testing dependency of rating on n_steps
1.3386412335909217
[0.003422808128130228, 0.029716887844099205, 0.026874284631562162,
0.03580511620487847, 0.0012197906384159296]...[0.03516553048205928,
0.028414347852283584, 0.0817131580873518, 0.09159120425091771,
0.04407203406741189]
0.0
Testing dependency of rating on n_ingredients
0.1607379066254797
[0.022823195824098974,\ 0.03227485150578424,\ 0.00022450028443010694,
0.0763125912131315, 0.03253650174005962]...[0.008610179761413761,
0.059825492580415585, 0.07062738478805564, 0.004963250578450484,
0.05494461212576773]
0.0
Testing dependency of rating on minutes
51.45237039852127
[3.538001943248858, 10.787350786021463, 5.28527907291506, 29.27208882634673,
3.7211366552165543]...[21.879845332454295, 20.743497472852155,
16.779131967567665, 62.03786943660768, 9.079514775929198]
0.114
```

#### 1.4 Step 4: Hypothesis Testing

The hypotheses I plan to test are:

 $H_0$ : The number of steps and the expected time to complete a task have no effect on the task's rating. Any observed differences in ratings due to changes in the number of steps and expected time are purely due to chance.

 $H_1$ : The number of steps and the expected time to complete a task both negatively affect the task's rating. Tasks that have a higher number of steps and longer expected times to complete will have lower ratings, not due to chance.

```
[]: def permutation_test_correlation(df, col, rating_col="avg_rating"):
    clean_df = df.dropna(subset=[col, rating_col])

    print(f"Testing dependency of {rating_col} on {col}")
    observed_corr = clean_df[[col, rating_col]].corr().iloc[0, 1]

    sim_corr = []
```

```
for _ in range(1000):
    shuffled_rating = np.random.permutation(clean_df[rating_col].values)
    sim_corr_value = np.corrcoef(clean_df[col].values, shuffled_rating)[0,u]

if not np.isnan(sim_corr_value):
    sim_corr.append(sim_corr_value)

p_value = np.mean(np.abs(sim_corr) >= np.abs(observed_corr))
    print(f"Observed_correlation: {observed_corr}")
    print(f"P-value: {p_value}")

permutation_test_correlation(recipes, "n_steps")
    permutation_test_correlation(recipes, "minutes")
```

Testing dependency of avg\_rating on n\_steps Observed correlation: -0.0018214513463115766

P-value: 0.387

Testing dependency of avg\_rating on minutes Observed correlation: 0.00196393037826293

P-value: 0.259

#### 1.5 Step 5: Framing a Prediction Problem

I plan to predict the calories of each recipe.

The features I'll use for this are as follows - total fat (PDV) - protein (PDV)

#### 1.6 Step 6: Baseline Model

We will begin with a very rudimentary baseline model. We'll use a simple LinearRegression model and train it to predict calories based only on the recipes total fat and sugar.

[]: (156606.05998095873, 0.6582566526599032)

#### 1.7 Step 7: Final Model

Here I create new features by dividing calories against the gram measurements of protein, carbs, and fat.

```
[]: from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
     from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
     from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
     from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso
     from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
     from sklearn.preprocessing import Binarizer, PolynomialFeatures
     feature_engineering = ColumnTransformer(
         Γ
             # Fitting a polynomial maps to the total fat should increase the
             # accurace of the model as it carries more calories
             ("poly_fat", PolynomialFeatures(degree=2), ["total fat (PDV)"]),
             # As well, since the fat seems to be the most important feature, we can
             # binarize it to see if it has a significant impact on the model
             ("high_fat", Binarizer(threshold=21.0), ["total fat (PDV)"]),
         ],
         remainder="passthrough",
     )
     final_model_pipeline = Pipeline(
         Γ
             ("feature_engineering", feature_engineering),
             ("regressor", Lasso()),
         ]
```

```
param_grid = {
         "regressor_alpha": [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1],
         "regressor__max_iter": [1000, 10000, 100000],
         "regressor_tol": [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1],
         "regressor__fit_intercept": [True, False],
     }
     grid_search = GridSearchCV(final_model_pipeline, param_grid, cv=5, scoring="r2")
     grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)
     best_params = grid_search.best_params_
     best_score = grid_search.best_score_
     print("Best Parameters:", best_params)
     print("Best R-squared:", best_score)
     y_pred = grid_search.predict(X_test)
     new_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
    new_r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)
     print("Test MSE:", mse)
    print("Test R-squared:", r2)
    Best Parameters: {'regressor_alpha': 0.1, 'regressor_fit_intercept': False,
    'regressor_max_iter': 1000, 'regressor__tol': 0.01}
    Best R-squared: 0.7983434554034269
    Test MSE: 156606.05998095873
    Test R-squared: 0.6582566526599032
[]: feature_engineering = ColumnTransformer(
         ("poly_fat", PolynomialFeatures(degree=2), ["total fat (PDV)"]),
             ("high_fat", Binarizer(threshold=33.6), ["total fat (PDV)"]),
         ],
         remainder="passthrough",
     final_model_pipeline = Pipeline(
             ("feature_engineering", feature_engineering),
             ("regressor", Lasso(alpha=0.1, max_iter=1000, tol=0.01,
      →fit_intercept=False)),
         ]
     final_model_pipeline.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
y_pred = final_model_pipeline.predict(X_test)
new_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
new_r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)

print("Test MSE:", new_mse)
print("Test R-squared:", new_r2)
print("MSE Improvement:", mse - new_mse)
print("R-squared Improvement:", new_r2 - r2)
```

Test MSE: 155977.12096335526 Test R-squared: 0.659629113758629 MSE Improvement: 628.9390176034649

R-squared Improvement: 0.0013724610987257968

#### 1.8 Step 8: Fairness Analysis

The fairness analysis for this model is an interesting one as the model I ended up with is very simple. Still, we will create a group using the mean protein (PDV) as this seems to be a less decisive element in our model so it will be interesting to see if the models RMSE for both sides of the value are the same.

 $H_0$ : The model is fair, its mean RMSE for values lower than the mean protein in the dataset is close the the RMSE of values greater than the mean protein

 $H_1$ : The model is not fair and there is a bias towards either lower or higher protein values

```
[]: from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
    import numpy as np

protein_median = data["protein (PDV)"].mean()

high_protein_test = X_test[
          data.loc[X_test.index, "protein (PDV)"] > protein_median
]
low_protein_test = X_test[
          data.loc[X_test.index, "protein (PDV)"] <= protein_median
]

y_test_high_protein = y_test[high_protein_test.index]
y_test_low_protein = y_test[low_protein_test.index]
y_pred_high_protein = final_model_pipeline.predict(high_protein_test)
y_pred_low_protein = final_model_pipeline.predict(low_protein_test)

rmse_high_calorie = np.sqrt(
    mean_squared_error(y_test_high_protein, y_pred_high_protein)
)</pre>
```

[]: (625.5672862708465, 168.57409034869306, 456.9931959221534)

```
[]: def permutation_test(
         y_true_high, y_pred_high, y_true_low, y_pred_low, n_permutations=1000
     ):
         y_concat = np.concatenate([y_true_high, y_true_low])
         observed_rmse_diff = np.sqrt(
            mean_squared_error(y_true_high, y_pred_high)
         ) - np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_true_low, y_pred_low))
         permuted_diffs = []
         for _ in range(n_permutations):
            np.random.shuffle(y_concat)
            y_perm_high = y_concat[: len(y_true_high)]
            y_perm_low = y_concat[len(y_true_high) :]
            rmse_perm_high = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_perm_high, y_pred_high))
             rmse_perm_low = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_perm_low, y_pred_low))
            permuted_diffs.append(rmse_perm_high - rmse_perm_low)
         p_value = np.mean(np.abs(permuted_diffs) >= np.abs(observed_rmse_diff))
         return p_value
     p_value = permutation_test(
         y_test_high_protein,
         y_pred_high_protein,
         y_test_low_protein,
         y_pred_low_protein,
         n_permutations=10000,
     print(f"P-value from permutation test: {p_value}")
```

P-value from permutation test: 0.0933