WORK LOG OF JUNE 19

DANIEL R. BARRERO R.

1

The comonad definition as a typeclass is

```
class Functor w => Comonad w where
  extract :: w a -> a
  duplicate :: w a -> w (w a)
```

And in Milewski's tic-tac-toe, the evaluator will be a comonad insurance, apparently. This evaluator defines a tree-to-vector behavior:

```
newtype W f a = W {runW :: forall n. f n -> Vec n a}
```

The following typings follow:

The type of runW is

```
\verb"runW" :: W f a -> forall (n :: Nat). f n -> Vec n a
```

And that of W is

```
W :: (forall (n :: Nat). f n -> Vec n a) -> W f a
```

Also, ghci gives the following answer when asked for the kind of W:

```
:k W > (Nat -> *) -> * -> *
```

Since a Comonad is also a Functor, we must first make a functor out of W f. For this, we first make Vec n into a functor via

Now, to obtain a Comonad instance, we must define the extract and duplicate maps. The first one is

```
extract :: W f a -> a
extract (W k) = case k ident of VCons a0 VNil -> a0
```

Given the functor he has defined, as well as the extract function above, one would expect the type of his duplicate to be

```
duplicate' :: W f a -> W f (W f a)
```

Date: June 20, 2025.

A naive function that typechecks is

Where the replycate function takes a value b0 and a vector of length n with type-a coefficients and produces a vector of the same length with n copies of the value b0:

```
replycate :: b -> Vec n a -> Vec n b
replycate b0 VNil = VNil
replycate b0 (VCons a0 as) = VCons b0 (replycate b0 as)
```

This suffices to make W f an instance of the Comonad typeclass:

```
instance Operad f => Comonad (W f) where
extract = extract'
duplicate = duplicate'
```