

# STAT 946: Stochastic Differential Equations, Final Exam

Daniel Matheson (20270871)

**Note:** In all questions,  $\{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is the 1-dimensional standard Brownian motion. The filtration  $\{F_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  used for the SDEs is always the filtration generated by the driving process.

**Question 1:** Give an example for each of the following, and give an explanation:

- (a) A continuous-time martingale  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  which is not a Markov process.
- (b) A continuous-time martingale  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  which converges almost-surely to a limit  $X_\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , but does not converge in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ .

*Solution:*

- (a) Consider the stochastic process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  defined as:

$$X_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$$

This is a martingale as described in Theorem 3.2.1 in class,

because  $f(t, \omega) = \text{sgn}(B_t(\omega)) \in V_{[0, T]} \forall T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e

- (1)  $\text{sgn}(B_t)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable,
- (2)  $\text{sgn}(B_t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted (since  $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \forall s \leq t$ ), and
- (3)  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \text{sgn}^2(B_t) dt \right] = T < \infty \forall T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

However,  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is *not* Markov, because for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\{F_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  the filtration generated by the Brownian motion,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_t \in A \mid \mathcal{F}_s) &= \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_r) dB_r \in A \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right) \\ &\neq \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_r) dB_r \in A \mid \sigma(X_s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

because simply conditioning on  $\sigma(X_s)$  does not mean that we know the current value of  $\text{sgn}(B_s)$  or  $B_s$ , as we would with conditioning on  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , because  $\mathcal{F}_s \supseteq \mathcal{F}_r \forall r \leq s$ .

That is, the value of  $\text{sgn}(B_s)$  depends on all of the values  $\{\text{sgn}(B_r) \mid r \in [0, s]\}$  which we do not get any information about, other than their total integral with respect to  $B_t$ , from  $\sigma(X_s)$ . So the two probabilities will not necessarily be equal. Hence the process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is a martingale which does not have the Markov property.

- (b) Consider the random variables  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(-1, 1)$ . Hence  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0 \forall n$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{12}(1 - (-1))^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ , and  $\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = \text{Var}(X_n) + \mathbb{E}(X_n)^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ . Now define the process  $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t]} X_n \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[|M_t|] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t]} X_n \right| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t]} |X_n| \right] \leq \mathbb{E}[\lfloor t \rfloor] = \lfloor t \rfloor < \infty \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \end{aligned}$$

So  $M_t \in \mathcal{L}^1 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Note also that for  $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{[s]})$  and  $s \leq t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, t]} X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, s]} X_n + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap (s, t]} X_n\right] \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, s]} X_n + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap (s, t]} \mathbb{E}[X_n] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, s]} X_n = M_s \end{aligned}$$

So  $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is a martingale which converges almost surely to  $M_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ . However,  $M_\infty \notin \mathcal{L}^2$ , so  $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  cannot converge in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_\infty^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n\right)^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n^2 + 2 \sum_{n < m} X_n X_m\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n^2\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n < m} X_n X_m\right] \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n^2\right] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{3} = +\infty$  by Monotone Convergence Theorem. So  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty^2] = +\infty \implies M_\infty \notin \mathcal{L}^2$ .

(or if  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n < m} X_n X_m\right] = -\infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty^2]$  is not defined, so  $M_\infty \notin \mathcal{L}^2$ )

Hence  $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is a martingale which converges almost surely, but not in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ .

**Question 2:** Consider the SDE

$$dX(t) = \begin{bmatrix} dX_1(t) \\ dX_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_2(t) \\ \ln(1 + X_1^2(t) + X_2^2(t)) \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & X_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dB_1(t) \\ dB_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

with the initial condition  $X(0) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $B_1, B_2$  are two independent standard one-dimensional Brownian motions.

- (a) Show that this SDE has a unique strong solution.
- (b) Find the generator of this solution.

*Solution:*

- (a) We showed in class that a linear SDE of the form

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= b(t, x)dt + \sigma(t, x)dB_t, \\ X_0 &= x \end{aligned}$$

has a unique strong solution under the following conditions for any  $T > 0$ :

$$|b(t, x)| + |\sigma(t, x)| \leq c(1 + |x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, T] \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (1)$$

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq D|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, T] \text{ for some } D \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (2)$$

But we are dealing with vector valued functions now, so instead of  $|\cdot|$  we will use  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , i.e

$$\|x\|_2 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\|A\|_2 = (a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 + a_{21}^2 + a_{22}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$$

So we want to show the following  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in [0, T]$  and some constants  $c, D \in \mathbb{R}^+$ :

$$\|b(t, x)\|_2 + \|\sigma(t, x)\|_2 \leq c(1 + \|x\|_2) \quad (3)$$

$$\|b(t, x) - b(t, y)\|_2 + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)\|_2 \leq D\|x - y\|_2 \quad (4)$$

$$(3) = \left(x_2^2 + (\ln(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2))^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\sigma(t, x)\|_2 \quad (5)$$

note that  $\ln(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2) \stackrel{\dagger}{=} \ln(1 + y^2) = \int_0^y \frac{2z}{1+z} dz$  by Fund. Thm. of Calc.

$\dagger$ : change of variables  $y^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ .

$$\leq \int_0^y 2dz = 2y = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \|\sigma(t, x)\|_2 &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \sqrt{2} + (x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\Delta \text{ ineq}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(1 + (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \sqrt{2}(1 + \|x\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (5) \leq \left(x_2^2 + \left((x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(1 + \|x\|_2)$$

$$= (x_1^2 + 2x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2}(1 + \|x\|_2) \leq 2\sqrt{2}(1 + \|x\|_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow c = 2\sqrt{2} \text{ satisfies (3)}$$

$$(4) = \|b(t, x) - b(t, y)\|_2 + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)\|_2$$

$$= \left((x_2 - y_2)^2 + (\ln(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2) - \ln(1 + y_1^2 + y_2^2))^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (x_2 - y_2) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \left| \ln \left(1 + \underbrace{x_1^2 + x_2^2}_{z^2}\right) - \ln \left(1 + \underbrace{y_1^2 + y_2^2}_{w^2}\right) \right| &= \left| \int_w^z \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln(1 + t^2) dt \right| \quad \text{by Fund. Thm. of Calc.} \\ &= \left| \int_w^z \frac{2t}{1 + t^2} dt \right| \leq 2|z - w| \\ &= 2 \left| (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (y_1^2 + y_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| = 2 \left| \|x\|_2 - \|y\|_2 \right| \\ &\leq 2\|x - y\|_2 \quad \text{by reverse triangle inequality} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow (4) &\leq \left( (x_2 - y_2)^2 + (2\|x - y\|_2)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + ((x_2 - y_2)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{5}\|x - y\|_2 + \|x - y\|_2 = (1 + \sqrt{5})\|x - y\|_2 \\
\Rightarrow D &= (1 + \sqrt{5}) \text{ satisfies (4).}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a unique strong solution to the SDE.

- (b) In order to find the generator, we refer to a theorem from class and use the fact that  $b(t, x), \sigma(t, x)$  are continuous on  $(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , so we have that the solution  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  of the SDE is a diffusion process with drift  $b(t, x)$  and diffusion matrix  $a(t, x) = \sigma\sigma^T$ .

We also know that the generator of such a process is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^2 b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (6)$$

In this case,  $b(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2(t) \\ \ln(1 + x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}$  so the diffusion matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}
a(t, x) &= \sigma\sigma^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_2(t) \\ x_2(t) & 1 + x_2^2(t) \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the generator of  $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ , by (6), is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + x_2(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + x_2(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} + (1 + x_2^2(t)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \\
&\quad + x_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ln(1 + x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + 2x_2(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + (1 + x_2^2(t)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) + x_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ln(1 + x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
&\text{(the second line if dealing with functions in } \mathcal{C}^2, \text{ giving equal mixed partials)}
\end{aligned}$$

**Question 3:** Suppose a stochastic process  $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  vanishes at  $t = 0$  (i.e  $Y_0 = 0$ ) and satisfies

$$dY_t = B_t dB_t$$

Find  $\mathbb{E}([Y]_t)$  where  $[Y]_t$  is the quadratic variation of  $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ .

*Solution:* For a semi-martingale  $X = X_0 + M + A$ , with  $X_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $M$  being a continuous local martingale vanishing at 0, and  $A$  being a finite variation process vanishing at 0, we have that  $[X]_t = [M]_t$ , because:

$$\begin{aligned}
[X]_t &= [X_0 + M + A]_t \\
&= [X_0 + M + A, X_0 + M + A]_t \\
&= [X_0, X_0]_t + 2[X_0, M]_t + 2[X_0, A]_t + 2[M, A]_t + [M, M]_t + [A, A]_t \\
&= [M, M]_t = [M]_t
\end{aligned}$$

Since all other co-quadratic variations are zero, because any co-quadratic variation with one of the processes being of finite variation is zero. We quickly prove this result before moving on:

WLOG consider the partition  $\tau_n^k = k \cdot 2^{-n}; n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$A_n(t, w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [X(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - X(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)] [Y(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - Y(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} [X, Y]_t$$

$$V_n(t, w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Y(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - Y(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} V[Y(\cdot, \omega); [0, t]], \text{ the total variation of } Y \text{ on } [0, t]$$

The proof of these two statements is far too long to include here, but we will use these to show that if  $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  is a finite variation process, and  $X$  is a continuous process then  $[X, Y]_t = 0$ :

$$A_n(t, w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [X(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - X(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)] [Y(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - Y(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)]$$

$$\leq \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |X(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - X(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Y(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - Y(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)|$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides gives that

$$\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |X(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - X(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} 0$$

since  $X$  is cts on compact  $[0, t]$ , so unif. cts  $\Rightarrow$  unif. (a.s) converge  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$  converge

$$\text{and } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Y(t \wedge \tau_{k+1}^n, \omega) - Y(t \wedge \tau_k^n, \omega)| \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} [Y(\cdot, \omega); [0, t]] < \infty \text{ by assumption}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_n(t, w) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [X, Y]_t = 0 \quad \text{since } \mathcal{L}^2 \text{ limits unique}$$

Write  $Y_t$  in the following form:

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t B_s dB_s = \int_0^t B_s dB_s \quad (7)$$

Where  $\int_0^t B_s dB_s$  is a continuous local martingale vanishing at 0, so  $Y_t$  is a semi-martingale with  $Y_0, A = 0$ .

Therefore we have that

$$[Y]_t = \left[ \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right]_t = [B \cdot B]_t \quad (8)$$

To find  $[B \cdot B]_t$  we use Itô's integration by parts formula, using the fact that  $(B \cdot B)_t$  is a continuous local martingale vanishing at 0, hence it is also a semi-martingale with initial value 0 and finite variation process  $A = 0$ :

$$[B \cdot B]_t = [B \cdot B, B \cdot B]_t$$

$$= (B \cdot B)_t^2 - (B \cdot B)_0^2 - 2 \int_0^t (B \cdot B)_s d(B \cdot B)_s$$

$$= \left( \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right)^2 - 0 - 2 \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s B_r dB_r \right) B_s dB_s$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left( \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right)^2 - 2 \underbrace{\int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} (B_s^2 - s) \right) B_s dB_s}_{\text{from Assignment 1}} \\
\Rightarrow [Y]_t &= [B \cdot B]_t = \left( \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right)^2 - \int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}([Y]_t) &= \mathbb{E}([B \cdot B]_t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right)^2 - \int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t B_s dB_s \right)^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s \right) \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t B_s^2 ds \right)}_{\text{by Itô's Isometry}} - \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We can apply Itô's Isometry because  $B_t \in V$ , i.e it is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable,  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted, and  $\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T B_t^2 dt \right) = \frac{1}{2}(T^2) < \infty \forall T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(B_s^2) ds - \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s \right)}_{\text{martingale}^\dagger} \quad (\text{Fubini thm}) \\
&= \int_0^t s ds \quad \text{since } \mathbb{E}(B_s^2) = s \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\
\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}([Y]_t) &= \int_0^t s ds = \frac{1}{2}t^2
\end{aligned}$$

$\dagger$ :  $\int_0^t (B_s^3 - sB_s) dB_s$  is a martingale by Thm 3.2.1 since  $B_t^3 - sB_t \in V_{[0,T]} \forall T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e it is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable,  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted, and  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T (B_s^3 - sB_s)^2 ds \right] < \infty \forall T \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (see below). So it has expectation zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T (B_s^3 - sB_s)^2 ds \right] &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [B_s^6 - 2sB_s^4 + s^2B_s^2] ds \quad (\text{Fubini thm}) \\
&= \int_0^T (15s^3 - 2s(3s^2) + s^2(s)) ds < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

using the 6<sup>th</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 2<sup>nd</sup> moments of the Normal distribution since  $B_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, s)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}([Y]_t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2$$

#### Question 4:

(a) Solve the SDE

$$dX_t = bX_t dt + dB_t$$

with the initial condition  $X_0 = x$  such that  $b, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Find the variance of  $X_t$

*Solution:*

(a) This is a linear SDE of the form

$$dX_t = (\alpha(t) + \beta(t)X_t)dt + (\gamma(t) + \delta(t)X_t)dB_t$$

such that  $\begin{cases} \alpha(t) = 0, & \beta(t) = b \\ \gamma(t) = 1, & \delta(t) = 0 \end{cases}$

which are clearly all adapted processes. So we know the solution is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \exp \left\{ \underbrace{\int_0^t \left( \beta(s) - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2(s) \right) ds + \int_0^t \delta(s)dB_s}_{u(t)} \right\} \cdot \left( X_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\alpha(s) - \delta(s)\gamma(s)}{u(s)} ds + \int_0^t \frac{\gamma(s)}{u(s)} dB_s \right) \\ \Rightarrow X_t &= \exp \left( \int_0^t b ds \right) \cdot \left( x + 0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{u(s)} dB_s \right) \\ \Rightarrow X_t &= e^{bt} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_t) &= \mathbb{E}(X_t^2) - (\mathbb{E}(X_t))^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( e^{bt} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right) \right)^2 \right]}_{(1)} - \underbrace{\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{bt} \left( x + \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right) \right] \right)^2}_{(2)} \\ (1) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{2bt} x^2 + e^{2bt} \left( \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right)^2 + 2xe^{2bt} \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \\ &= e^{2bt} x^2 + e^{2bt} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right)^2 \right] + 2xe^{2bt} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \\ (2) &= \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{bt} x + e^{bt} \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \right)^2 \\ &= e^{2bt} x^2 + e^{2bt} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \right)^2 + 2xe^{2bt} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \\ \Rightarrow (1) - (2) &= e^{2bt} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right)^2 \right] - \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s \right] \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= e^{2bt} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-2bs} ds \right] - 0 \right\} \quad \text{by It\^o's Isometry and } e^{-bs} \in V, \\ \text{so } \int_0^t e^{-bs} dB_s &\text{ is a martingale, therefore has expectation 0.} \\ &= e^{2bt} \int_0^t e^{-2bs} ds = e^{2bt} \frac{e^{-2bs}}{-2b} \Big|_0^t \\ \Rightarrow \text{Var}(X_t) &= \frac{e^{2bt}}{2b} (1 - e^{-2bt}) \end{aligned}$$

**Question 5:** For any one-dimensional continuous semimartingale vanishing at 0,  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ , define  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X})$  to be the unique strong solution of the SDE

$$dZ_t = Z_t dX_t$$

with the initial condition  $Z_0 = 1$ .

(a) Show that

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X})_t = \exp \left( X_t - \frac{1}{2} [X]_t \right)$$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  be two one-dimensional continuous semimartingales vanishing at 0. Show that

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X})\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} + [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}])$$

*Solution:*

(a) We saw a similar example in class, the SDE:

$$dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma X_t dB_t$$

$$\text{had solution } X_t = X_0 e^{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma B_t}$$

To solve this SDE, we used Itô's formula on the function  $\ln(X_t)$ . We will proceed with a similar method in this question, but using the version of Itô's formula for semi-martingales. i.e for  $f(x) = \ln(x)$  applied to  $Z_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(Z_t) - \underbrace{\ln(Z_0)}_{=\ln(1)=0} &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(Z_s) dZ_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(Z_s) d[Z]_s \\ \Rightarrow \ln(Z_t) &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} dZ_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t -\frac{1}{Z_s^2} d[Z]_s \end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $d[Z]_s = Z_s^2 d[X]_s$  to finish the proof. For the semi-martingale  $X = M + A$  ( $M$  a continuous local martingale vanishing at 0, and  $A$  a continuous finite variation process vanishing at 0), we know that

$$Z_t = \int_0^t Z_s dX_s = \int_0^t Z_s dM_s + \int_0^t Z_s dA_s, \quad (9)$$

$$\text{and } [Z]_t = [M]_t \quad \text{as proven in question 3} \quad (10)$$

The definition of the stochastic integral of  $Z$  over  $M$  is the unique (within indistinguishability) continuous local martingale vanishing at 0 which satisfies the following condition for any continuous local martingale  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ :

$$\left[ \int_0^\cdot Z_s dM_s, \psi \right]_t = \int_0^t Z_s d[M, \psi]_s$$



However,  $Z_t = \int_0^t Z_s dM_s$  is itself a continuous local martingale, so we can consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[Z]_t &= \left[ \int_0^\cdot Z_s dM_s, \int_0^\cdot Z_s dM_s \right]_t \\
&= \int_0^t Z_s d \left[ M, \int_0^\cdot Z_r dM_r \right]_s \\
&= \int_0^t Z_s d \left[ \int_0^\cdot Z_r dM_r, M \right]_s \quad (\text{co-quadratic variation is symmetric}) \\
\text{but } \left[ \int_0^\cdot Z_r dM_r, M \right]_s &= \int_0^s Z_r d[M]_r \quad \text{by def'n again and } [M, M]_t = [M]_t \\
\Rightarrow d \left[ \int_0^\cdot Z_r dM_r, M \right]_s &= Z_s d[M]_s \\
\Rightarrow [Z]_t &= \int_0^t Z_s (Z_s d[M]_s) = \int_0^t Z_s^2 d[M]_s \\
&= \int_0^t Z_s^2 d[X]_s \quad \text{since } [X]_s = [M]_s
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, returning to our Itô Formula derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln(Z_t) &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} dZ_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s^2} d[Z]_s \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} \underbrace{Z_s dX_s}_{\text{by SDE}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s^2} Z_s^2 d[X]_s = X_t - \frac{1}{2} [X]_t \\
\Rightarrow Z_t &= \exp \left( X_t - \frac{1}{2} [X]_t \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y) = \exp \left( X_t - \frac{1}{2} [X]_t \right) \exp \left( Y_t - \frac{1}{2} [Y]_t \right) \quad \text{by part (a)}$$

Note that  $(X + Y + [X, Y])$  is also a continuous semi-martingale vanishing at 0, because  $[X, Y]$  is a finite variation process vanishing at 0, so we can apply part (a):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]) &= \exp \left( X_t + Y_t + [X, Y]_t - \frac{1}{2} [X + Y + [X, Y]]_t \right) \\
\text{but } [X + Y + [X, Y]]_t &= [X + Y + [X, Y], X + Y + [X, Y]]_t \\
&= [X]_t + [Y]_t + 2 \underbrace{[X, [X, Y]]_t}_{=0} + 2 \underbrace{[Y, [X, Y]]_t}_{=0} + 2 \underbrace{[[X, Y], [X, Y]]_t}_{=0} + 2 [X, Y]_t
\end{aligned}$$

Where the terms above are zero because  $[X, Y]_t$  is a finite variation process (see proof in Question 3). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]) &= \exp \left( X_t + Y_t + [X, Y]_t - \frac{1}{2} ([X]_t + [Y]_t + 2 [X, Y]_t) \right) \\
&= \exp \left( X_t + Y_t - \frac{1}{2} ([X]_t + [Y]_t) \right) = \mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

**Question 6:** Consider the PDE

$$\frac{\partial g(t, x)}{\partial t} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 g(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \quad \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (11)$$

with the initial condition  $g(0, x) = f(x)$  where  $f \in C_0^2$  is given. From general theory, it is known that there exists a unique bounded solution. Write down this solution in terms of a Brownian Motion.

*Solution:* In order to find this solution, we use the Feynman-Kac formula, which states that: For  $f \in C_0^2, q \in C_b$ , i.e  $q$  bounded,  $g(t, x) = \mathbb{E}^x \left[ \exp \left( - \int_0^t q(X_s) ds \right) f(X_t) \right]$  solves the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{L}g - qg, \quad \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ g(0, x) &= f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

when  $\mathcal{L}$  is the generator of the Itô diffusion resulting as the solution of  $dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dB_t$ , which as we saw previously is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \\ \text{for } a(t, x) &= \sigma \sigma^T \end{aligned}$$

To get (11) in the form as in (12), we observe that  $q = 0$  and we must find the Itô Diffusion which has generator  $\mathcal{L} = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ , which simply amounts to  $a = a_{11} = 4 \Rightarrow \sigma(X_t) = 2$ , i.e

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= 2dB_t \\ \Rightarrow X_t &= 2 \int_0^t dB_t = 2B_t \quad \text{since } B_0 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow g(t, x) &= \mathbb{E}^x [f(2B_t)] \end{aligned}$$