Daniel Schwartz Social Network Analysis 10-27-2022

Assignment 6

1.

Total number of cliques: 32

Largest clique:

- a. Cliqueld: CL_32
- b. Size: 6
- c. Executives: E3, E4, E6, E7, E11, E21

2.

- a. # clusters: 2
- b. Membership: With 2 clusters, only E38 is in one cluster, and everyone else is in the other

c.

1 2

1 0.14864865 0.08108108

2 0.08108108 NaN

3.

B-D: (3+3+3+2+2+1)/2 = 7

C-D: (3+3+3+2+2+1)/2 = 7

E-D: (4+4)/1 = 8

The edge with the greatest betweenness is E–D.

4.

Membership:

\$`1`

[1] "E1" "E8" "E9" "E10" "E13" "E16" "E20" "E24" "E25" "E26" "E29" "E31" "E32" "E34" "E35" "E38"

\$`2`

[1] "E2" "E3" "E4" "E6" "E7" "E11" "E14" "E15" "E19" "E21" "E22" "E27" "E28"

\$`3`

[1] "E5" "E12" "E23" "E30" "E33" "E36" "E37"

\$`4`

[1] "E17" "E18"

Modularity: 0.48

Density:

1 2 3 4 1 0.28333333 0.03365385 0.03571429 0.03125 2 0.03365385 0.51282051 0.03296703 0.00000 3 0.03571429 0.03296703 0.57142857 0.00000 4 0.03125000 0.00000000 0.00000000 1.00000 We see that for office, cluster 4 is only in office 1 while clusters one and two are more scattered. For projects, people in cluster 3 have completed more projects on average. For seniority, cluster 1 has the highest number of senior people while cluster 3 has the highest percentage of senior people.

```
> table(GirvanNewman[, "CL_4"], attributes$office)

1 2 3
1 4 9 3
2 5 5 3
3 4 3 0
4 2 0 0
> table(GirvanNewman[, "CL_4"], attributes$projects)

0 1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 27
1 0 0 0 3 2 1 2 1 2 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1
2 5 4 2 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1
2 5 4 2 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0
4 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
> table(GirvanNewman[, "CL_4"], attributes$seniority)

0 1
1 4 12
2 12 1
3 1 6
4 1 1
```