Daniel Schwartz Social Network Analysis 09-12-22

Assignment 1

Part 1:

- 1.2 The nodes are the hunters. The network relation the advice taken by each hunter, hence in-degree centrality
- 1.3 The nodes are the players on each basketball team, in an off-the-court state. The relation is the cohesion between the players
- 1.4 The nodes are countries. The network relations are trade relations between countries and bilateral defense agreements.
- 2.1
 - 1. Dyadic
 - 2. Node
 - 3. Network
 - 4. Network
 - 5. Network
 - 6. Network
 - 7. Dyadic
 - 8. Network
 - 9. Network
- 2.2
- 1.
- a) Explanatory: Attitudes about religion (non-network)
- b) Outcome: Friendships (network)
- 2.
- a) Explanatory: Structural position (network)
- b) Outcome: Hunting success (non-network)
- 3.
- a) Explanatory: Cohesion (network)
- b) Outcome: Wins (non-network)
- 4.
- a) Explanatory: trade relations (network)
- b) Outcome: bilateral agreements (non-network)

5.

- a) Explanatory: time of adoption (non-network)
- b) Outcome: network centrality (network)

6.

- a) Explanatory: centralized information sharing (network)
- b) Outcome: sales (non-network)

7.

- a) Explanatory: political views (non-network)
- b) Outcome: friendship ties (network)

8.

- a) Explanatory: type of network (network)
- b) Outcome: task setting (non-network)

3.5

Similarities: Attribute Relational role: Other role Relational cognition: Affective

Relational events: Flows

3.8

Similarities: Participation Relational role: Other role

Relational cognition: Perceptual Relational events: Interaction

3.13

Similarities: Attribute Relational role: Other role Relational cognition: Affective

Relational events: Flows

Chapter 2

- 1.5 Directed
- 1.8 Directed
- 1.13 Undirected

Part 2:

a. Density: 0.139

b.

- 1. geodesic from member 7 to member 23: 4
- 2. Diameter: 5
- 3. The graph is connected because there is 1 component
- 4. The average geodesic distance is 2.34
- 4. The clustering coefficient is 0.256, which is greater than the network density, which means there is a presence of closure. The relationship *is* more likely to be present if each of two nodes is linked to a third
- 5. Member 34 is involved in the most relationships with 17, member 1 is second with 16 relationships. Member 12 has the least relationships with only 1. The distribution has the most members with 2-5 relationships, and then there are extremes with many relationships or only 1. Those with very many relationships may be the coaches.

6.

- a) size: 34
- b) density: 0.14 (c) diameter: 5 (d) # components: 1 (e) clustering coefficient: 0.256