

# Evaluation and Selection of a GaN Power-Amplifier Die for Flip-Chip Integration on a Glass CPW Interposer

Daniel Tyukov

B.Sc. Thesis, Integrated Circuits Dept.  
Electrical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology  
Eindhoven, The Netherlands  
daniel.tyukov@student.tue.nl

**Abstract**—This paper presents a comparative data-sheet study of seven commercially-available GaN-on-SiC bare dies with the goal of selecting a power-amplifier device for subsequent flip-chip bonding onto a gold–titanium coplanar-waveguide (CPW) glass interposer. Key constraints are: (i)  $\geq 3$  W output power, (ii) DC–GHz bandwidth, (iii) pad opening  $\geq 75$   $\mu\text{m}$  to support thermo-acoustic gold-bump attachment, and (iv) peak drain current  $\geq 1$  A. Of the seven candidates, four satisfy every criterion; the Qorvo TGF2933 die offers the best compromise of electrical performance, die area, and assembly compatibility.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Glass interposers with low-loss CPW routing enable compact RF front-ends. To minimise parasitics, active dies are flipped and bump-bonded directly to the Au/Ti CPW pads. Selecting a suitable GaN power-amplifier die therefore requires not only meeting electrical specifications but also ensuring mechanical and metallurgical compatibility with the flip-chip process.

## II. DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

Table I summarises the mandatory targets communicated by the interposer technology team and overall system specification.

TABLE I  
PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

Parameter	Target
Package style	Bare die (no lid)
Frequency range	DC to $\geq 6$ GHz
Output power	$> 3$ W ( $P_{\text{SAT}}$ )
Peak drain current	$\geq 1$ A
Pad opening	$\geq 75$ $\mu\text{m}$ (square or equivalent)
Top metal	Au compatible with bumping
Attachment	Thermo-acoustic Au bumps (flip-chip)

## III. CANDIDATE DEVICES

Seven GaN HEMT dies were shortlisted based on public availability and price ceiling (\$ 50, not further discussed here). Device parameters extracted purely from the latest vendor data-sheets are compiled in Table II. Die area was calculated from published die dimensions.

## IV. SUITABILITY DISCUSSION

### A. Electrical Criteria

Four dies (GRF0030D, TGF2933, TGF2023-2-02, TGF2023-2-01) satisfy the  $\geq 1$  A current limit. All meet the 3 W output-power floor, with GRF0030D vastly exceeding it but at the cost of greater footprint and thermal dissipation. TGF2933 provides a balanced 7 W capability with broadband operation to 25 GHz, covering present and future demonstrator needs.

### B. Thermal Considerations

Die area correlates with junction-to-interposer thermal resistance. TGF2933's 0.459 mm<sup>2</sup> footprint yields the lowest thermal path, while GRF0030D's 1.23 mm<sup>2</sup> size adds 2 $\times$  spreading resistance. For the intended glass interposer, through-glass heat-spreader vias will be placed under the die; a smaller die minimises via count and routing blockage.

### C. Biasing and System Impact

Higher drain voltages demand wider CPW traces for breakdown margin. The 28 V class (TGF2933, GRF0030D) is preferred over 32–40 V devices to ease interposer design and reduce E-field at bump shoulders.

## V. DEVICE SELECTION

Considering all factors—electrical compliance, die area, bias voltage, bump complexity, and thermal design—the **Qorvo TGF2933** is selected as the optimal die for the demonstrator build. It meets or exceeds every requirement while minimising integration risk and interposer real estate.

## VI. CONCLUSION

A comprehensive data-sheet audit of seven GaN HEMT bare dies identified four electrically suitable devices for a flip-chip glass CPW interposer. The Qorvo TGF2933 offers the best overall fit, combining adequate output power, ample current headroom, compact area.

TABLE II  
DATA-SHEET COMPARISON OF ALL SEVEN GAN HEMT BARE DIES

Die	F <sub>range</sub> (GHz)	P <sub>SAT</sub> (W)	I <sub>MAX</sub> (A)	Gain (dB)	Pad min (μm)	Die area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Meets reqs?	Flip-chip notes
CGH60008D	DC–6	8	0.75	15	~100	0.755	<b>No</b> (current)	Au pads/backside OK; would need derated operation [1].
CGHV1J006D	0.01–18	6	0.80	17	202×102	0.672	<b>No</b> (current)	Au pads; high 40 V bias increases CPW voltage stress [2].
GRF0030D	DC–6	50	1.54	23.7	~100	1.23	<b>Yes</b>	Large die area raises cost and thermal load [3].
GRF0020D	DC–7	30	0.91	24.3	~100	0.955	<b>No</b> (current)	Near-limit current; otherwise suitable [4].
TGF2933	DC–25	7.2	2.0	15	90	<b>0.459</b>	<b>Yes</b>	Smallest die, 28 V bias, Au pads/backside, two active bumps [5].
TGF2023-2-02	DC–18	12	2.5	14.9	115	0.754	<b>Yes</b>	Higher 32 V bias; three-pad topology increases bump count [6].
TGF2023-2-01	DC–18	6	1.44	18	115	0.541	<b>Yes</b>	Electrical fit; assembly notes focused on wire-bonding [7].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] MACOM, “CGH60008D 8 W GaN HEMT Die,” Rev. 1.2, Nov. 2022.
- [2] MACOM, “CGHV1J006D 6 W GaN HEMT Die,” Rev. 2.0, Jul. 2022.
- [3] Guerrilla RF, “GRF0030D 50 V 30 W GaN HEMT Bare Die,” Rev. 0, Nov. 2024.
- [4] Guerrilla RF, “GRF0020D 50 V 20 W GaN HEMT Bare Die,” Rev. 0, Nov. 2024.
- [5] Qorvo, “TGF2933 DC–25 GHz 7 W GaN RF Transistor,” Rev. A, Jul. 2016.
- [6] Qorvo, “TGF2023-2-02 DC–18 GHz 12 W GaN HEMT,” Rev. E, Nov. 2019.
- [7] Qorvo, “TGF2023-2-01 DC–18 GHz 6 W GaN HEMT,” Rev. F, Dec. 2019.