

Exercises

I.1 Consider the third solution for the mutual exclusion problem (take turns). Does the addition of the assignment $t := Y$ (resp. $t := X$) after crossing the bridge solve the problem? Discuss this.

I.2 Which variables are shared/private in this program fragment?

```
i := 0;  
while i ≠ 100 do  
    x := x + 1;  
    i := i + 1  
od
```

||

```
j := 0;  
while j ≠ 100 do  
    x := x + 1;  
    j := j + 1  
od
```

Exercises

I.3 Consider the program in I.2. Given that the initial value of x is 0, what will the final value be? Assume that the assignment $x := x + 1$ is atomic. (See also exercise I.5)

I.4 Consider the parallel execution of statements P and Q . Initially, variable x equals 0. What are the possible final values of x with

- a. $P: x := 1$ and $Q: x := 2$
- b. $P: x := x + 1$ and $Q: x := x + 2$
- c. $P: y := x; x := y + 1$ and $Q: x := x + 1$

Provide the traces. Assume atomic assignments.

Exercises

I.5 In most computers, an assignment like $x := x+1$ is not an atomic action. It is usually executed through copying via an internal register. For exercise I.2, the result looks like the program below.

```
i := 0;  
while i ≠ 100 do  
    r := x;  
    r := r+1;  
    x := r;  
    i := i+1  
od
```

||

```
j := 0;  
while j ≠ 100 do  
    s := x;  
    s := s+1;  
    x := s;  
    j := j+1  
od
```

If x is initially 0, what are the possible final values of x ?

Exercises

I.6 The order of the statements in Peterson's algorithm is of crucial importance. Show that the program is wrong if we swap the assignments to t and to bX (and the assignments to t and bY) by giving a counter example (a partial trace).

Is the algorithm fair?

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.1

To some extent, as long as the number of additions of $t := Y$ is finite. It increases the number of times process Y can "overtake" process X , and therefore reduces the waiting time of Y when X is slow and Y is fast. If there are N occurrences of $t := Y$, *then Y can take at most N consecutive turns before X executes.* It does not, however, ensure minimal waiting.

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.2

$i := 0;$

while $i \neq 100$ **do**

$x := x + 1;$

$i := i + 1$

od

\parallel

$j := 0;$

while $j \neq 100$ **do**

$x := x + 1;$

$j := j + 1$

od

Shared: x

Local: i, j

Outcome: depends on atomicity of $x := x+1$

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.3

$i := 0;$

while $i \neq 100$ **do**

$x := x + 1;$

$i := i + 1$

od

||

$j := 0;$

while $j \neq 100$ **do**

$x := x + 1;$

$j := j + 1$

od

Outcome: if $x := x+1$ is considered to be atomic and x is initially 0 then $x=200$ at the end of the program execution.

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.4

Assuming atomic assignments, the following traces are possible: (P moves, Q moves)

- a. $\{x = 0\} x := 1; x := 2 \{x = 2\}$
 $\{x = 0\} x := 2; x := 1 \{x = 1\}$
- b. $\{x = 0\} x := x + 1; x := x + 2 \{x = 3\}$
 $\{x = 0\} x := x + 2; x := x + 1 \{x = 3\}$
- c. $\{x = 0\} y := x; x := y + 1; x := x + 1 \{x = 2\} \{y = 0\}$
 $\{x = 0\} y := x; x := x + 1; x := y + 1 \{x = 1\} \{y = 0\}$
 $\{x = 0\} x := x + 1; y := x; x := y + 1 \{x = 2\} \{y = 1\}$

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.5i

```
i := 0;  
while i ≠ 100 do  
    r := x;  
    r := r + 1;  
    x := r;  
    i := i + 1  
od
```

||

```
j := 0;  
while j ≠ 100 do  
    s := x;  
    s := s + 1;  
    x := s;  
    j := j + 1  
od
```

Post: $2 \leq x \leq 200$

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.5ii

For $0 \leq m, n \leq 99$, consider the annotated trace

See lecture slides for a visual representation of this trace

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x = 0\} \\ & (i := 0)(j := 0) \\ & \{x = 0 \wedge i = 0 \wedge j = 0\} \\ & ((i \neq 100)(r := x)(r := r+1)(x := r)(i := i+1))^n \\ & \{x = n \wedge i = n \wedge j = 0\} \\ & (j \neq 100)(s := x) \\ & \{x = n \wedge i = n \wedge j = 0 \wedge s = n\} \\ & ((i \neq 100)(r := x)(r := r+1)(x := r)(i := i+1))^{99-n} \\ & \{x = 99 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = 0 \wedge s = n\} \\ & (s := s+1)(x := s)(j := j+1) \\ & \{x = n+1 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.5iii

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x = n+1 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = 1\} \\ & ((j \neq 100)(s := x)(s := s+1)(x := s)(j := j+1))^m \\ & \{x = n+m+1 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = m+1\} \\ & (i \neq 100)(r := x) \\ & \{x = n+m+1 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = m+1 \wedge r = n+m+1\} \\ & ((j \neq 100)(s := x)(s := s+1)(x := s)(j := j+1))^{99-m} \\ & \{x = n+100 \wedge i = 99 \wedge j = 100 \wedge r = n+m+1\} \\ & (r := r+1)(x := r)(i := i+1) \\ & \{x = n+m+2 \wedge i = 100 \wedge j = 100\} \\ & (i = 100)(j = 100) \\ & \{x = n+m+2\} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the postcondition that any value from 2 upto and including 200 is a possible final value of x .

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.6i

We discuss the four properties required for correctness:

Deadlock can not occur because

$$\begin{aligned} & P_X \text{ blocked} \wedge P_Y \text{ blocked} \\ \equiv & bY \wedge t \neq X \wedge bX \wedge t \neq Y \\ \Rightarrow & t \neq X \wedge t \neq Y \\ \equiv & \text{false} \end{aligned}$$

Minimal waiting is ensured

- Each component can take an arbitrary number of turns without the other one taking a turn.

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.6ii

Fairness (in competition) is ensured

**If bX holds and process Y makes progress,
then eventually a state will occur in which
 $t = X$ and Y will be blocked.**

In this state X will eventually execute its critical section

Note that fairness is about infinite traces.

Answers to exercises

2INC0: I.6iii

Mutual exclusion may be violated

Example trace: assuming bX and bY are initially false

(Px: t:=Y) (Py: t=X) (Py: bY:=true) (Py: !bX=true) {Py enters its critical section}
(Px: bX:=true) (Px: !(t!=X)) {Px enters its critical section}