A.1 Consider the parallel execution of the three program fragments below.

while (*true*) { **A**:
$$x := x+2$$
; }

while (*true*) { **B**:
$$y := y-1$$
; }

while(*true*) {
$$\mathbf{C}$$
: $x := x-1$; \mathbf{D} : $y := y+2$; }

Initially,
$$x = y = 0$$

Synchronize the system in order to maintain

10:
$$0 \le y$$
 11: $x \le 10$

Provide an argument for the absence of deadlock in the synchronized solution? Optional: can you think of an additional restriction that could cause a deadlock?



A.2 Solve the *Producer-Consumer* problem presented in the lecture slides.

What if there are several *Producers* and several *Consumers?*



A.3 Given N tasks of the form

while
$$(true)$$
 { $X(n)$; }

Where n=0 for the first process, n=1 for the second process, etc. Assume X(n) is a non-atomic program section that must be executed under mutual exclusion.

Synchronize this system such that:

a. the sections are executed one after the other, in order:

b. X(i) is executed at least as often as X(i+1), for $0 \le i < N-1$.

A complete solution must first state appropriate synchronization conditions.



A.4 Given a collection of tasks using system procedures *A0* and *A1*. Synchronize the execution of these procedures such that exclusion is provided and that one execution of *A0* and two executions of *A1* alternate:

A0; A1; A1; A0; A1; A1 ...

- Is there any danger of deadlock?
- What about the fairness?

A.6 Given N tasks of the following form

```
Proc Philosopher (n \mid 0 \le n < N) =
|[ while (true) \{
NonCriticalSection(n);
CriticalSection(n)
\}
```

The critical sections requires to use two resources out of a total of N resources; Philosopher(n) uses resources number n and n+1 (with addition modulo N). Solve this problem to avoid deadlocks and ensure fairness.



A.7 Consider the parallel execution of the three program fragments below.

while(*true*) { **A0**:
$$x := x+2$$
; **A1**: $y := y-1$; **A2**: $z := z-1$; }

while (*true*) { **B**:
$$y := y+2$$
; }

while (*true*) {
$$C0: z := z+1; C1: x := x-2; }$$

Initially,
$$x = y = z = 0$$

Synchronize the system in order to maintain

10:
$$x+y+z \le 10$$

11:
$$y \le 5$$

The direct solution may lead to a deadlock. Give a trace. Can you solve the issue by adding additional restrictions?



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.1i

```
Program topology: x = 2cA - cC and y = 2cD - cB
Sync cond: I0: \underline{c}B \le 2\underline{c}D and I1: 2\underline{c}A \le 10 + cC
Semaphores: s for 10, initially s = 0
                 t for 11, initially t = 10
P1: while( true ){ P^{2}(t); A: x := x+2 }
P2: while( true ){ P(s); B: y = y - 1 }
P3: while( true ){
        C: x = x-1; V(t)
        D: y := y+2; V^2(s)
```

Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.1ii

Deadlock means that a group of processes are blocked indefinitely. Since P3 does not contain *P*-operations, it is never blocked. Now, by contradiction, assume that either P1 or P2 is blocked on their *P*-operation on semaphores *t* and *s*, respectively. Since P3 is not blocked and always eventually execute a *V*-operation on *s* and *t*, P1 and P2 cannot be blocked indefinitely on their *P*-operations.

Additional restrictions that may cause deadlock:

- 1. x = y
- $2. \quad x \le y \le x+1$

Additional restrictions that do not cause a deadlock:

- 1. $a \times x \leq y$
- 2. axy≤x



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.3a

Synchronization conditions:

$$I_0: \underline{c}X(0) \le 1 + \underline{c}X(N-1)$$

 $I_1: \underline{c}X(1) \le \underline{c}X(0)$
.

Semaphore s_n for condition l_n Initially: $s_0 = 1$ $s_n = 0, 1 \le n \le N$

$$X(0) \rightarrow P(s_0); X(0); V(s_1)$$
.
.

.
$$X(N-2) \to P(s_{N-2}); X(N-2); V(s_{N-1})$$

 $I_{N-1}: \underline{c}X(N-1) \le \underline{c}X(N-2)$ $X(N-1) \to P(s_{N-1}); X(N-1); V(s_0)$

Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.3b

Drop synchronization condition I_0 and sem s_0

Synchronization conditions:

Semaphore
$$s_n$$
 for **SC** l_n **Initially:** $s_n = 0$, $1 \le n \le N$

$$I_{1}: \underline{c}X(1) \leq \underline{c}X(0)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$I_{N-1}: \underline{c}X(N-1) \leq \underline{c}X(N-2)$$

$$X(0) \rightarrow X(0); V(s_{1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$No P$$

$$X(N-2) \rightarrow P(s_{N-2}); X(N-2); V(s_{N-1})$$

$$X(N-1) \rightarrow P(s_{N-1}); X(N-1)$$

Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.4i

- Synchronization conditions:
- $\underline{c}A1 \le 2\underline{c}A0$ sem: s, initially s = 0
- $2\underline{c}A0 \le 2 + \underline{c}A1$ sem: t, initially t = 2
- $A0 \rightarrow P^2(t)$; A0; $V^2(s)$
- $A1 \rightarrow P(s)$; A1; V(t)
- Careful, we must also ensure mutual exclusion between calls to A0 and A1:



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.4ii

- sem: m0, initially m0 = 1
- m1, initially m1 = 1
- $A0 \rightarrow P^{2}(t)$; P(m0); A0; V(m0); $V^{2}(s)$ ——danger of
- A1 → P(s); P(m1); A1; V(m1); V(t)

Deadlock (see greedy consumers in lecture slides)

- Solution
- $A0 \rightarrow P(m0)$; $P^2(t)$; A0; V(m0); $V^2(s)$
- $A1 \rightarrow P(s)$; P(m1); A1; V(m1); V(t)



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.6i

Model each resource by a binary semaphore $f_n: 0 \le n < N$, initially set to 1

When all philosophers try to acquire their forks in the same order $P(f_n)$; $P(f_{n+1})$, then a deadlock occurs when they all succeed in performing their first P-operation. This can be resolved by

- 1. A single philosopher, say number N-1 that executes its P-operations in the reverse order $P(f_0)$; $P(f_{N-1})$
- 2. Philosopher n performs $P(f_n)$; $P(f_{n+1})$ when n is even and $P(f_{n+1})$; $P(f_n)$ when n is odd

These solutions are fair when strong semaphores are used. Otherwise starvation can occur.



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.6ii

We prove absence of deadlock for solution 1. Let

```
DL ≡ "All processes are blocked on a P-operation"
```

```
DL and phil N-1 blocked P(f_0)
```

- \Rightarrow DL and phil N-1 blocked $P(f_0)$ and phil 0 blocked $P(f_1)$
 - . . .
- \Rightarrow DL and phil N-1 blocked $P(f_0)$ and phil N-2 blocked $P(f_{N-1})$
- \Rightarrow phil N-2 blocked $P(f_{N-1})$ and $\underline{c}P(f_{N-1}) = \underline{c}V(f_{N-1})$
- \Rightarrow phil N-2 blocked $P(f_{N-1})$ and $f_{N-1} = 1$
- \Rightarrow false

Similarly, we derive a contradiction when *phil N-1* is blocked on $P(f_{N-1})$



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.7i

```
Program topology: x = 2\underline{c}A0 - 2\underline{c}C1 and y = 2\underline{c}B - \underline{c}A1 and z = \underline{c}C0 - \underline{c}A2

Synchronization conditions: SC0 for I0 and SC1 for I1.

SC0: 2\underline{c}A0 + 2\underline{c}B + \underline{c}C0 \le 10 + \underline{c}A1 + \underline{c}A2 + 2\underline{c}C1

SC1: 2\underline{c}B \le 5 + \underline{c}A1

Semaphores: s for I0, initially s = 10

t for I1, initially t = 5

P1: while( true) { P^2(s); A0: x := x + 2; A1: y := y - 1; V(s); V(t); A2: z := z - 1; V(s); } P2: while( true) { P^2(t); P^2(s); P
```



Answers to exercises 2INC0: A.7ii

```
Program topology: x + 2z = 2((\underline{c}A0 - \underline{c}A2) + (\underline{c}C0 - \underline{c}C1))

Topology invariants: 0 \le \underline{c}A0 - \underline{c}A2 \le 1 and 0 \le \underline{c}C0 - \underline{c}C1 \le 1

Hence we have system invariant 12: 0 \le x + 2z \le 4

Let 13: y \le z + 6, then 12 and 13 => 10. Hence realize 11 and 13.

Semaphore: u for 13, initially u = 6

P1: while( true) { A0: x := x + 2; A1: y := y - 1; V(t); V(u); P(u); A2: z := z - 1; }

P2: while( true) { P^2(u); P^2(t); B: y := y + 2; }

P3: while( true) { C0: z := z + 1; V(u); C1: x := x - 2; }
```