

Analysis

Imports

```
library(mgcv)
library(tidyverse)
library(caret)
library(mice)

df <- read.csv("data/train_simple.csv", stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
df <- select(df, -coverage) # Doesn't seem particularly useful
```

Fit linear regression without imputing income

As lots of the incomes are zero, it makes sense to impute these, as employment status is a categorical variable anyway.

```
res <- lm(total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code +
          vehicle_class + income + monthly_premium_auto +
          employment_status*monthly_premium_auto +
          location_code*monthly_premium_auto, data = df)

summary(res)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code +
##     vehicle_class + income + monthly_premium_auto + employment_status *
##     monthly_premium_auto + location_code * monthly_premium_auto,
##     data = df)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -547.60  -63.02  -28.05   55.76 1412.19
##
## Coefficients:
##
##              Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept)    -5.851e+01  2.290e+01
## employment_statusEmployed      7.078e+01  2.057e+01
## employment_statusMedical Leave  -6.527e+00  2.851e+01
## employment_statusRetired       1.125e+02  3.148e+01
## employment_statusUnemployed    -1.402e+01  2.134e+01
## location_codeSuburban         1.934e+01  1.174e+01
## location_codeUrban           8.502e+00  1.466e+01
## vehicle_classLuxury Car       3.860e+01  1.591e+01
## vehicle_classLuxury SUV       2.375e+01  1.524e+01
## vehicle_classSports Car     -5.391e+00  7.451e+00
## vehicle_classSUV            -2.224e+00  5.266e+00
```

```
## vehicle_classTwo-Door Car          1.680e+00  3.685e+00
## income                             -1.284e-04  8.227e-05
## monthly_premium_auto               1.890e+00  2.372e-01
## employment_statusEmployed:monthly_premium_auto -7.825e-01  2.049e-01
## employment_statusMedical Leave:monthly_premium_auto 3.508e-01  2.926e-01
## employment_statusRetired:monthly_premium_auto -1.359e+00  3.236e-01
## employment_statusUnemployed:monthly_premium_auto 1.209e+00  2.141e-01
## location_codeSuburban:monthly_premium_auto 4.063e+00  1.219e-01
## location_codeUrban:monthly_premium_auto 2.366e+00  1.548e-01
##                                     t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                        -2.555 0.010652 *
## employment_statusEmployed          3.441 0.000583 ***
## employment_statusMedical Leave     -0.229 0.818890
## employment_statusRetired           3.572 0.000356 ***
## employment_statusUnemployed        -0.657 0.511302
## location_codeSuburban               1.647 0.099660 .
## location_codeUrban                 0.580 0.561942
## vehicle_classLuxury Car             2.426 0.015271 *
## vehicle_classLuxury SUV             1.559 0.119071
## vehicle_classSports Car            -0.724 0.469384
## vehicle_classSUV                   -0.422 0.672753
## vehicle_classTwo-Door Car           0.456 0.648411
## income                             -1.560 0.118711
## monthly_premium_auto                7.967 1.85e-15 ***
## employment_statusEmployed:monthly_premium_auto -3.819 0.000135 ***
## employment_statusMedical Leave:monthly_premium_auto 1.199 0.230527
## employment_statusRetired:monthly_premium_auto -4.198 2.72e-05 ***
## employment_statusUnemployed:monthly_premium_auto 5.649 1.67e-08 ***
## location_codeSuburban:monthly_premium_auto 33.337 < 2e-16 ***
## location_codeUrban:monthly_premium_auto 15.285 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 124.5 on 7764 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8129, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8125
## F-statistic: 1776 on 19 and 7764 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Impute income variable

```
df_big <- read.csv("data/train.csv", stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
df_big <- df_big %>% select(-Country, -Customer)
df_big$Income[df_big$Income == 0] <- NA
```

```
imputations <- complete(mice(df_big, method = "pmm", seed=1))
```

```
## Warning: Number of logged events: 26
```

```
df$income <- imputations$Income
```

```
head(df)
```

```
##   employment_status income location_code monthly_premium_auto
## 1      Employed    56274      Suburban           69
## 2      Unemployed    20325      Suburban           94
## 3      Employed    48767      Suburban          108
```

```
## 4      Unemployed 17723      Suburban      106
## 5      Employed  43836      Rural        73
## 6      Employed  62902      Rural        69
## total_claim_amount vehicle_class
## 1      384.8111 Two-Door Car
## 2      1131.4649 Four-Door Car
## 3      566.4722 Two-Door Car
## 4      529.8813      SUV
## 5      138.1309 Four-Door Car
## 6      159.3830 Two-Door Car
```

Look at linear regression for baseline

```
res <- lm(total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code +
          vehicle_class + income + monthly_premium_auto +
          employment_status*monthly_premium_auto +
          location_code*monthly_premium_auto, data = df)

summary(res)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code +
##     vehicle_class + income + monthly_premium_auto + employment_status *
##     monthly_premium_auto + location_code * monthly_premium_auto,
##     data = df)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -548.18  -62.94  -28.02   55.71 1411.93
##
## Coefficients:
##
##              Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept)    -5.927e+01  2.290e+01
## employment_statusEmployed      6.971e+01  2.057e+01
## employment_statusMedical Leave  -6.445e+00  2.851e+01
## employment_statusRetired       1.124e+02  3.149e+01
## employment_statusUnemployed    -1.143e+01  2.127e+01
## location_codeSuburban         1.945e+01  1.174e+01
## location_codeUrban            8.446e+00  1.466e+01
## vehicle_classLuxury Car        3.854e+01  1.591e+01
## vehicle_classLuxury SUV        2.378e+01  1.524e+01
## vehicle_classSports Car       -5.370e+00  7.452e+00
## vehicle_classSUV              -2.232e+00  5.266e+00
## vehicle_classTwo-Door Car      1.661e+00  3.685e+00
## income              -9.665e-05  8.128e-05
## monthly_premium_auto      1.889e+00  2.372e-01
## employment_statusEmployed:monthly_premium_auto  -7.824e-01  2.049e-01
## employment_statusMedical Leave:monthly_premium_auto  3.499e-01  2.926e-01
## employment_statusRetired:monthly_premium_auto  -1.359e+00  3.237e-01
## employment_statusUnemployed:monthly_premium_auto  1.210e+00  2.141e-01
## location_codeSuburban:monthly_premium_auto      4.064e+00  1.219e-01
## location_codeUrban:monthly_premium_auto        2.367e+00  1.548e-01
##
##              t value Pr(>|t|)
```

```
## (Intercept) -2.588 0.009675 **
## employment_statusEmployed 3.389 0.000704 ***
## employment_statusMedical Leave -0.226 0.821158
## employment_statusRetired 3.571 0.000358 ***
## employment_statusUnemployed -0.538 0.590877
## location_codeSuburban 1.656 0.097726 .
## location_codeUrban 0.576 0.564584
## vehicle_classLuxury Car 2.423 0.015425 *
## vehicle_classLuxury SUV 1.561 0.118629
## vehicle_classSports Car -0.721 0.471182
## vehicle_classSUV -0.424 0.671777
## vehicle_classTwo-Door Car 0.451 0.652116
## income -1.189 0.234440
## monthly_premium_auto 7.963 1.92e-15 ***
## employment_statusEmployed:monthly_premium_auto -3.819 0.000135 ***
## employment_statusMedical Leave:monthly_premium_auto 1.196 0.231792
## employment_statusRetired:monthly_premium_auto -4.198 2.73e-05 ***
## employment_statusUnemployed:monthly_premium_auto 5.649 1.67e-08 ***
## location_codeSuburban:monthly_premium_auto 33.347 < 2e-16 ***
## location_codeUrban:monthly_premium_auto 15.289 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 124.5 on 7764 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8129, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8125
## F-statistic: 1776 on 19 and 7764 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Exactly the same performance, great.

GAM

Does using a GAM improve performance:

```
res <- gam(total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code +
           vehicle_class + s(income) +
           s(monthly_premium_auto, by = employment_status) +
           s(monthly_premium_auto, by = location_code), data = df)

summary(res)

##
## Family: gaussian
## Link function: identity
##
## Formula:
## total_claim_amount ~ employment_status + location_code + vehicle_class +
##     s(income) + s(monthly_premium_auto, by = employment_status) +
##     s(monthly_premium_auto, by = location_code)
##
## Parametric coefficients:
##               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    116.989      8.308   14.082 < 2e-16 ***
## employment_statusEmployed    -4.566      8.428   -0.542  0.58799
## employment_statusMedical Leave    25.506      9.449    2.699  0.00696 **
## employment_statusRetired   -15.802     10.587   -1.493  0.13560
```

```

## employment_statusUnemployed      100.847      7.324  13.769 < 2e-16 ***
## location_codeSuburban             395.907      3.984  99.380 < 2e-16 ***
## location_codeUrban                228.393      4.650  49.113 < 2e-16 ***
## vehicle_classLuxury Car           8.303      19.458   0.427  0.66961
## vehicle_classLuxury SUV          -24.152      19.409  -1.244  0.21341
## vehicle_classSports Car          -5.686       7.910  -0.719  0.47224
## vehicle_classSUV                 -1.174       5.858  -0.200  0.84117
## vehicle_classTwo-Door Car         1.318       3.650   0.361  0.71807
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Approximate significance of smooth terms:
##
##                                edf Ref.df    F
## s(income)                     7.572  8.488 4.088
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusDisabled 4.530  5.395 2.656
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusEmployed 0.875  0.875 2.189
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusMedical Leave 4.146  4.868 5.605
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusRetired 0.875  0.875 0.664
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusUnemployed 8.814  8.870 6.874
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeRural 8.491  8.793 2.355
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeSuburban 8.248  8.728 3.946
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeUrban 0.875  0.875 5.008
##
##                                p-value
## s(income)                     6.40e-05 ***
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusDisabled 0.0262 *
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusEmployed 0.1664
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusMedical Leave 5.29e-05 ***
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusRetired 0.4461
## s(monthly_premium_auto):employment_statusUnemployed < 2e-16 ***
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeRural 0.0144 *
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeSuburban 3.39e-05 ***
## s(monthly_premium_auto):location_codeUrban 0.0364 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Rank: 92/93
## R-sq.(adj) = 0.816 Deviance explained = 81.8%
## GCV = 15297 Scale est. = 15186 n = 7784

```

Hmmm seems to do about the same, but I don't really know what I am doing. Perhaps this is due to the fact that it is mostly linear relationships (from EDA) so these result could be reasonable.

Things to do

See if we can get GAMs to work better. Calculate cross-validation absolute error for each model. Consider robust regression or quantreg as we are interested in absolute error, rather than the mean square error. Median regression is a thing. This will probably work better for absolute error?