
Project Report — A Dual–SPMA Framework for Convex MDPs

Shervin Khamooshian

Ahmed Magd

Pegah Aryadoost

Danielle Nguyen

School of Computing Science, Simon Fraser University
{ska309, ams80, paa40, tdn8}@sfu.ca

Abstract

We study a practical solver for Convex MDPs that combines a Fenchel–dual reformulation with a fast policy optimizer, Softmax Policy Mirror Ascent (SPMA). Fixing the dual variable yields a shaped-reward RL subproblem; SPMA serves as the policy player. We compare against a principled CMDP baseline, Natural Policy Gradient Primal–Dual (NPG–PD). We outline our method, experimental plan, and ablations, and provide early results placeholders.

1 Introduction

Convex MDPs (cMDPs) extend standard RL to objectives that are convex in the discounted occupancy measure d^π . Many problems (constrained RL, imitation, exploration) fit this form but are hard to optimize directly over d^π . Following Zahavy et al. [2021], we use Fenchel duality to obtain a *policy–cost* saddle formulation and then solve the policy step with SPMA [Asad et al., 2024]. We compare to NPG–PD, a policy-based CMDP method with non-asymptotic guarantees [Ding et al., 2020].

Contributions (draft). (i) Implement an outer–inner Dual–SPMA loop that reduces cMDPs to shaped-reward RL; (ii) instantiate SPMA as the policy player (tabular and linear FA) and dual updates via OMD/FTL; (iii) evaluate against NPG–PD on constrained tasks with convergence, violation, and efficiency metrics.

2 Related Work

Convex MDPs via Fenchel duality. Zahavy et al. [2021] reformulate $\min_{d \in K} f(d)$ as a saddle $\min_\pi \max_y \{\langle y, d^\pi \rangle - f^*(y)\}$ and propose a meta-algorithm alternating a cost player (FTL/OMD) with a policy player (standard RL under $r_y = -y$). **Policy optimization geometry.** SPMA performs mirror ascent in logit space and achieves linear convergence in tabular MDPs; with FA it uses a convex softmax classification projection [Asad et al., 2024]. **CMDP primal–dual.** NPG–PD updates policy by natural PG and multipliers by projected subgradient, with $O(1/\sqrt{T})$ averaged gap/violation guarantees [Ding et al., 2020].

3 Preliminaries

MDP and occupancies. For discounted MDP $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, P, \rho, \gamma)$,

$$d^\pi(s, a) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Pr_\pi(s_t = s, a_t = a), \quad K = \{d \geq 0 : \text{flow constraints hold}\}. \quad (1)$$

Convex MDP. We seek $\min_{d \in K} f(d)$ where $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex. Using the Fenchel conjugate f^* , the problem equals

$$\min_{\pi} \max_y L(\pi, y) := \langle y, d^\pi \rangle - f^*(y), \quad (2)$$

and for fixed y the policy subproblem is standard RL with shaped reward $r_y = -y$ (or $r_y(s, a) = -\phi(s, a)^\top y$ under features) [Zahavy et al., 2021].

4 Method: Dual-SPMA

Outer (dual) update. Given estimate \hat{d}^{π_t} or $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\phi]$, update y via OMD or FTL:

$$\text{OMD: } y_{t+1} = \arg \max_y \langle y - y_t, \hat{d}^{\pi_t} - \nabla f^*(y_t) \rangle - \frac{1}{\eta_2} B_r(y, y_t), \quad (3)$$

$$\text{FTL: } y_{t+1} = \nabla f \left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^t \hat{d}^{\pi_k} \right). \quad (4)$$

Inner (policy) update via SPMA. For tabular policies and step-size $\eta \leq 1 - \gamma$,

$$\pi_{t+1}(a|s) = \pi_t(a|s) (1 + \eta A_{\pi_t}^{(r_y)}(s, a)), \quad (5)$$

and under log-linear FA we project the ideal tabular step back into the class via convex softmax classification [Asad et al., 2024].

Estimators. In tabular settings we estimate d^π from finite-horizon rollouts; under FA we estimate feature expectations $\mathbb{E}_{d^\pi}[\phi]$ (Appendix ??).

Algorithm (sketch). Alternate K outer dual steps with m inner SPMA steps per outer iteration; return averaged iterates $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{y})$.

5 Baseline: NPG-PD for CMDPs

We implement NPG-PD with softmax policies: natural PG ascent on π , projected subgradient on λ in the Lagrangian $V_r^\pi(\rho) + \lambda(V_g^\pi(\rho) - b)$; report averaged optimality gap and constraint violation as in Ding et al. [2020].

6 Experimental Setup

Environments. Small tabular MDPs (grid/chain) with constraints or imitation; linear-feature variants for FA.

Metrics. (i) Saddle $L(\pi, y)$ (when f^* known); (ii) constraint value/violation; (iii) return under r_y ; (iv) convergence of $\|d^\pi\|_1$ (tabular) or $\|\mathbb{E}[\phi]\|$ (FA); (v) wall-clock/sample efficiency.

Baselines. NPG-PD; (optional) PPO/TRPO/MDPO for context.

Hyperparameters. Dual step η_2 , SPMA step η , inner steps m , rollout lengths, seeds (Appendix ??).

7 Results (placeholders)

Main comparisons. Dual-SPMA vs NPG-PD: convergence of $L(\pi, y)$, constraint violation, sample efficiency.

Ablations. OMD vs FTL; impact of m and η ; tabular vs FA.

Analysis. Stability, estimator variance, effect of dual regularization.

8 Discussion

When does Dual-SPMA help (simple inner loops, convex FA projection) and when does NPG-PD remain preferable (tight constraint control, established guarantees)?

9 Limitations and Future Work

Occupancy/feature estimation variance; coupling of inner/outer steps; FA bias. Next steps: variance reduction, neural FA, robust costs.

10 Conclusion

Dual-SPMA is a simple outer-inner approach to cMDPs that leverages shaped-reward RL and a fast policy optimizer. Early experiments (to be inserted) indicate competitive performance with NPG-PD on constrained tasks.

Reproducibility. Code, configs, and scripts to regenerate figures will be released with the final report.

References

- Reza Asad, Reza Babanezhad Harikandeh, Issam H. Laradji, Nicolas Le Roux, and Sharan Vaswani. Fast convergence of softmax policy mirror ascent for bandits & tabular MDPs. 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=f50jNMXIik>.
- Dongsheng Ding, Kaiqing Zhang, Tamer Başar, and Mihailo R. Jovanović. Natural policy gradient primal-dual method for constrained markov decision processes. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2020.
- Tom Zahavy, Brendan O’Donoghue, Guillaume Desjardins, and Satinder Singh. Reward is enough for convex mdps. volume 34, pages 25746–25759, 2021.