1/13/23, 8:11 PM FeedPulse



My feedback




🏖 Peer feedback

Project Group 4 🔼





Blagoev, Daniil D.A. - Jelínek, Jakub J. - Nikolova, Rositsa R.P. - Toderaşcu, Iulia A.I.M. - Wang, Mike M.



Checkpoint 5 **Sprint D review** 14-12-2022





Blagoev, Daniil D.A. a month ago

Overall Sprint D was a success in our eyes. Our working process as a team was smoother than all of the previous sprints, thanks to which we managed to get a respectable amount of work done, such as login, integrating the sensors and camera to our backend, persistence layer, dockerizing the frontend, backend and database, documentation, etc. Git and Jira were kept up to date and we had no issues there. On the one hand, we did not manage to complete everything we promised in the previous planning meeting, but on the other hand we had promised quite a lot. Based on our performance this sprint and the amount of work we have left, we think it is highly probable that we will be able to get the project to a very respectable state by the end of the final sprint.



Describe what goes well according to your teacher, what you should pay more attention to and what is your next step to achieve the learning outcomes...

Post Feedback

1/13/23, 8:11 PM FeedPulse

Checkpoint 4 **Sprint C review** 16-11-2022





A No feedback submitted.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) a month ago

Good Sprint C review and Sprint D planning meeting was held on Nov 23rd. Good presentation and demonstration on what has been achieved in Sprint C, including license plate recognition, parking spot detection and appointment planning in Outlook. Client was very happy with the shown progress! Also good planning discussion with help of story points. Retrospective was done as part of the meeting. Though the reflections were done in the meeting Feedpulse seems not updated / out of sync with the latest group reflection and the self/peer reflections. Strategy documents on parking systems (good first draft version) and license plate recognition (chapter/subchapters outlined) diagrams and deployment document were created. These documents could be further elaborated and improved. Also consider consolidating all the research work in one document. Cultural awareness document was not part of the sprint deliverables.

Checkpoint 3 Sprint B review 02-11-2022





No feedback submitted.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 2 months ago

Good Sprint B review and Sprint C planning meeting was held on Nov 3rd. Good presentation on what has been achieved in Sprint B. Story points are well applied by the team. Interesting discussion on the planning of Sprint C with help of the estimated story points. Meaningful retrospective held as part of the meeting, resulting in a number of action points for improvement i.e. better integration of the different software components, more clear user stories and more clarity about the activities what are planned to to do. Though the reflections were done unfortunately Feedpulse was not updated with the group reflection and the self/peer reflections. Project plan has been updated with improving the chapter 2.2 on research. Research document has been created with the results of the research done. Software Architecture Document created with C4 model diagrams and a number of design decisions. The TICT quick scan was done. Test plan and a number of test cases were created. Overall good progress in all areas!

1/13/23, 8:11 PM FeedPulse

Checkpoint 2 **Sprint A review** 05-10-2022







Nikolova, Rositsa R.P. 3 months ago

I think we managed to deliver everything that was expected from us for sprint 1 and the feedback meeting with the Sioux went pretty well. In terms of process, I think we also did well. We were organized from the beginning, everyone showed initiative for taking up tasks and contributing to the project. We have weekly stand-ups - 3 times a week, where we discuss the progress and plan next steps. After creating the first documents, discussing possible solutions and having first feedback session with Sioux, I am convinced that it is much more clear for everyone what is the goal of the project and how exactly we are going to approach it.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 3 months ago

Good Sprint A review and Sprint B planning meeting was held on Oct 5th. Good progress was made in the first sprint and client feedback was taking up well. Ensure that all FeedPulse reflections are done, peer reviews and self reviews are now mostly missing. Regarding the project plan, overall a good plan, good that you already have given a main research question and a number of subquestions, could be improved by making the MRQ and (some) subquestions more specific, stakeholders are missing in the project plan. URS document has been created, improvement is to better number and format the steps and extensions. Naming of the use cases could also be improved. User stories with story points (!) and wire frames are also included in the URS. TICT tool first scan needs to be part of Sprint B.

Checkpoint 1 Sprint A review

28-09-2022





Jelínek, Jakub J. 3 months ago

Our group is working well

- we split the work evenly between all the members
- communication is fine, everyone follows the deadlines we set up
- our team has set up Jira Board, started URS, Project plan and research about license plates, outlook connection to api
- we need to finish our documentation for the first sprint
- we need to create a board out of backlog in Jira



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 3 months ago Good, I will create a new checkpoint for the Sprint A retrospective.

Privacy Policy - Developed by DRTEAM