

Titre	Data Science Research
Nom de l'étudiant	Dmitriev Daniil
Maitre de stage	Meij Edgar - emej@bloomberg.net
Entreprise	Bloomberg
Lieu	London Ec2a 1pq - United Kingdom
Dates début et fin de stage	01.09.2020 - 29.01.2021

### Application of scientific and technical knowledge

Technical skills and knowledge

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil showed a good grasp of technical knowledge. Throughout his internship, he was able to quickly implement ideas and iterate over them quickly.

Ability to resolve complex problems

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil was able to quickly adapt to significant changes in his problem setting by contributing and pushing for new ideas at every stage.

Mastery of domain-specific methodologies

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil was able to quickly get a working setup and adapt to the company toolset. He was able to implement his ideas and find related works independently.

### Planning and management of work tasks

Definition of work objectives and management of priorities

☐ Excellent ☒ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil was always aware of the next steps in his project and documented them clearly. He was never blocked despite the changes in problem setting. However he could have prioritized planning for publication earlier on during his internship, which would have helped with the writing process as well as clarifying some priorities.

Evaluation of resources required

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil had a good grasp of the resources required to complete different items, so he was able to keep a consistent set of prioritized objectives on a week-to-week basis.

Work planning, monitoring of activities, and management of emergent issues

☐ Excellent
 ☒ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil documented his progress extensively and gave regular and clear updates on his work. He adapted well to emergent issues. He could have leveraged existing tools for task management more to better plan his work on a more day-to-day basis.

### Integration in the professional world

Respect for the organizations procedures/ rules and for their professional ethical codes

☒ Excellent
 ☐ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil always respected the company's procedures and made sure his work was compliant.

Capacity to work in teams

☒ Excellent
 ☐ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil integrated with the rest of the team well. He actively participated in team meetings and showed interest for team projects outside of his internship work.

Quality of the work delivered

☒ Excellent
 ☐ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil worked independently and was able to get positive results despite a challenging project setting. He documented his work clearly.

### Communication

Written communication (structure, clarity, coherence of reasoning)

☒ Excellent
 ☐ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil kept a comprehensive set of slides up to date throughout his internship as well as various documents about his experiments. This helped keep his approach clear at all times and give good updates to various audiences.

Oral communication (clarity, reasoning, self-confidence)

☐ Excellent
 ☒ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil communicated progress on his project clearly throughout his internship, both in regular (daily/weekly) meetings or in more ad-hoc presentations. He explained his work clearly but could have been more self-confident in his updates.

Interpersonal skills and engagement, including in a multicultural context

☒ Excellent
 ☐ Good
 ☐ Sufficient
 ☐ Insufficient
 ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil got along very well with everyone in the team. He showed interest in other people's work, actively participated in meetings and sought opportunities to meet with various people, including in different organizations.

## Independence

Ability to present and defend her/his own ideas

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil communicated his ideas clearly. While he could have been more confident and forceful to defend some of them, he was able to come up with original ideas throughout his internship.

Capacity to access sources of information and to evaluate them

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil was able to find relevant sources of information independently, including related articles and datasets.

Capacity to self-evaluate and to respond constructively to feedback

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarks

Daniil shared good insights on his internship. He was able to incorporate idea and feedback from others throughout his project.

Overall Evaluation

☒ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Sufficient ☐ Insufficient ☐ Non applicable

Remarque

Despite a challenging problem setting, Daniil was able to make significant contributions and get positive results. He clarified his project scope, ran successful experiments, documented his work clearly and presented it well.

Authorization visualization

☒ I authorize the visualization of the evaluation by the trainee