FQ-CoDel Project

Daniel Larsson daniellarsson054@gmail.com

Martin Wahlberg martin_p95@hotmail.se

March 2018

1 Explanation

All the results that are presented in the tables are obtained from multiple simulation runs with a different set of parameters. The fixed parameters was

- \bullet Interarrival time 20 ms
- Sparseflow packetsize 218 Byte
- Bandwidth 10 Mbps
- ullet Number of bulkflows Either set to 1 or 0 depending on the scenario

The number of sparseflows was then changed between each round to observe the queuing latency. The two graphs provides a visual representation of the tabulated values.

2 Results

| Number of sparseflows | Queueing delay (ms) | Sparseness (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 0.0000 | 100 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 100 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 100 |
| 6 | 0.0000 | 100 |
| 8 | 0.0143 | 100 |
| 10 | 0.0288 | 100 |
| 12 | 0.0041 | 100 |
| 14 | 0.0028 | 100 |
| 16 | 0.0097 | 100 |
| 18 | 0.0383 | 100 |
| 20 | 0.0203 | 100 |
| 22 | 0.0241 | 100 |
| 24 | 0.0157 | 100 |
| 26 | 0.0174 | 100 |
| 28 | 0.0184 | 100 |
| 30 | 0.0376 | 100 |
| 32 | 0.0397 | 100 |
| 34 | 0.0136 | 100 |
| 36 | 0.0262 | 100 |
| 38 | 0.0400 | 100 |
| 40 | 0.0402 | 100 |
| 42 | 0.0453 | 100 |
| 44 | 0.0441 | 100 |
| 46 | 0.0704 | 100 |
| 48 | 0.0608 | 100 |
| 50 | 0.0867 | 100 |
| 52 | 0.0723 | 100 |
| 54 | 0.0699 | 100 |
| 56 | 0.0646 | 100 |
| 58 | 0.0637 | 100 |
| 60 | 0.0783 | 100 |
| 62 | 0.0953 | 100 |
| 64 | 0.0795 | 100 |
| 66 | 0.1199 | 100 |
| 68 | 0.1639 | 100 |
| 70 | 0.1425 | 100 |

Table 1: 0 bulk

| Number of sparseflows | Queueing delay (ms) | Sparseness (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 72 | 0.1369 | 100 |
| 74 | 0.1043 | 100 |
| 76 | 0.1494 | 100 |
| 78 | 0.2070 | 100 |
| 80 | 0.2324 | 100 |
| 82 | 0.2367 | 100 |
| 84 | 0.2377 | 100 |
| 86 | 0.1981 | 100 |
| 88 | 0.3128 | 100 |
| 90 | 0.3101 | 100 |
| 92 | 0.3041 | 100 |
| 94 | 0.2507 | 100 |
| 96 | 0.4173 | 100 |
| 98 | 0.3290 | 100 |
| 100 | 0.3929 | 100 |
| 102 | 0.5005 | 100 |
| 104 | 0.5725 | 100 |
| 106 | 0.7471 | 100 |
| 108 | 0.8363 | 100 |
| 110 | 1.0559 | 100 |
| 111 | 1.2830 | 100 |
| 112 | 1.2563 | 100 |
| 113 | 1.4117 | 100 |
| 114 | 1.5342 | 100 |
| 115 | 14.979 | 0 |

Table 2: Zero bulkflows

| Number of sparseflows | Queueing delay (ms) | Sparseness (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 0.6107 | 100 |
| 2 | 0.5982 | 100 |
| 4 | 0.6343 | 100 |
| 6 | 0.6082 | 100 |
| 8 | 0.6492 | 100 |
| 10 | 0.6077 | 100 |
| 12 | 0.6087 | 100 |
| 14 | 0.6086 | 100 |
| 16 | 0.6334 | 100 |
| 18 | 0.6091 | 100 |
| 20 | 0.6245 | 100 |
| 22 | 0.6159 | 100 |
| 24 | 0.6245 | 100 |
| 26 | 0.6326 | 100 |
| 28 | 0.6328 | 100 |
| 30 | 0.6237 | 100 |
| 32 | 0.6304 | 100 |
| 34 | 0.6233 | 100 |
| 36 | 0.6399 | 100 |
| 38 | 0.6657 | 100 |
| 40 | 0.6750 | 100 |
| 42 | 0.6339 | 100 |
| 44 | 0.6749 | 100 |
| 46 | 0.6713 | 100 |
| 48 | 0.6824 | 100 |
| 50 | 0.6830 | 100 |
| 52 | 0.6504 | 100 |
| 54 | 0.7177 | 100 |
| 56 | 0.7087 | 100 |
| 58 | 0.6937 | 100 |
| 60 | 0.7069 | 100 |
| 62 | 0.6891 | 100 |
| 64 | 0.7012 | 100 |
| 66 | 0.6795 | 100 |
| 68 | 0.7977 | 100 |
| 70 | 0.7437 | 100 |
| 72 | 0.7866 | 100 |
| 74 | 0.7316 | 100 |
| 76 | 0.8221 | 100 |
| 78 | 0.8259 | 100 |
| 80 | 0.8207 | 100 |

Table 3: One bulkflow

| Number of sparseflows | Queueing delay (ms) | Sparseness (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 82 | 0.8747 | 100 |
| 84 | 0.8686 | 100 |
| 86 | 0.8275 | 100 |
| 88 | 0.9016 | 100 |
| 90 | 0.9044 | 100 |
| 92 | 0.8915 | 100 |
| 94 | 0.9102 | 100 |
| 96 | 0.9535 | 100 |
| 98 | 1.0675 | 100 |
| 100 | 1.0871 | 100 |
| 102 | 1.1246 | 82.2 |
| 104 | 1.2016 | 53.5 |
| 106 | 1.1790 | 24.7 |
| 107 | 1.4885 | 10.2 |
| 108 | 12.365 | 0 |

Table 4: 1 bulk



