# 14b. Exam + Q&A

Adv. Macro: Heterogenous Agent Models

Nicolai Waldstrøm

2024

Exam

## Exam info

- Portfolio part:
  - Ensure that assignments are in good shape
  - Use the feedback you have received!

#### Exam info

- Portfolio part:
  - Ensure that assignments are in good shape
  - Use the feedback you have received!
- Take-home part:
  - 36-hour take home
    - Solveable in 24 hours (hopefully less)
  - Will not feature any significant coding
  - Focus on how to solve and analyze model using GEModelTools
  - Analyze results using intuition from the lectures

 $\, \bullet \,$  Go through lecture slides + course plan

- $\, \bullet \,$  Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss()  $\Rightarrow$  model.ss)

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh()  $\Rightarrow$  model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.jac)

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh() ⇒ model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs() ⇒ model.jac)
  - Linear transition path (model.find\_IRFs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.IRF['x'])

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh() ⇒ model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs() ⇒ model.jac)
  - Linear transition path (model.find\_IRFs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.IRF['x'])
  - Non-linear transition path (model.find\_transition\_path() ⇒ model.path.x)

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh() ⇒ model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs() ⇒ model.jac)
  - Linear transition path (model.find\_IRFs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.IRF['x'])
  - Non-linear transition path (model.find\_transition\_path() ⇒ model.path.x)
- Troubleshooting in GEModelTools

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh() ⇒ model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs() ⇒ model.jac)
  - Linear transition path (model.find\_IRFs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.IRF['x'])
  - Non-linear transition path (model.find\_transition\_path() ⇒ model.path.x)
- Troubleshooting in GEModelTools
  - Check examples in notebook repo (github.com/NumEconCopenhagen/GEModelToolsNotebooks)

- Go through lecture slides + course plan
  - Ensure that you have a good understanding of the various models
  - Buffer-stock model, HANC, NK, HANK, HANK-SAM, IHANK etc.
- Redo exercises check with solutions in the github repo
- Ensure that you are comfortable with GEModelTools
  - Steady state (model.find\_ss() ⇒ model.ss)
  - Jacobians (model.\_compute\_jac\_hh() ⇒ model.jac\_hh, model.compute\_jacs() ⇒ model.jac)
  - Linear transition path (model.find\_IRFs()  $\Rightarrow$  model.IRF['x'])
  - Non-linear transition path (model.find\_transition\_path() ⇒ model.path.x)
- Troubleshooting in GEModelTools
  - Check examples in notebook repo
     (github.com/NumEconCopenhagen/GEModelToolsNotebooks)
  - Check documentation or source code

### Q&AI

• What was the motivation for linearization in Lecture 8? Was it to show that linearization to a first-order approximation gives the same result as aggregated uncertainty?

### Q&AI

- What was the motivation for linearization in Lecture 8? Was it to show that linearization to a first-order approximation gives the same result as aggregated uncertainty?
  - Consider a model A without aggregate uncertainty and model B
    with aggregate uncertainty. If we linearize (i.e. do a first-order
    approximation) w.r.t aggregate shocks, the impulse responses to
    the two models are the same.

- What was the motivation for linearization in Lecture 8? Was it to show that linearization to a first-order approximation gives the same result as aggregated uncertainty?
  - Consider a model A without aggregate uncertainty and model B
    with aggregate uncertainty. If we linearize (i.e. do a first-order
    approximation) w.r.t aggregate shocks, the impulse responses to
    the two models are the same.
- In the last lecture (Lecture 12), Jeppe used the decompose function in GEModelTools. What was the reason it couldn't be used in exercises for Lecture 11? And does it have something to do with linearization?

### Q&AI

- What was the motivation for linearization in Lecture 8? Was it to show that linearization to a first-order approximation gives the same result as aggregated uncertainty?
  - Consider a model A without aggregate uncertainty and model B
    with aggregate uncertainty. If we linearize (i.e. do a first-order
    approximation) w.r.t aggregate shocks, the impulse responses to
    the two models are the same.
- In the last lecture (Lecture 12), Jeppe used the decompose function in GEModelTools. What was the reason it couldn't be used in exercises for Lecture 11? And does it have something to do with linearization?
  - Yes, the build in decompose function in GEModelTools (decompose\_hh\_path) only-works with non-linear solution (find\_transition\_path()). It would be easy to write a similar function decompose using the linear solution to the model, but currently it is not there.

What is the difference between the model with aggregate uncertainty and models that only include idiosyncratic uncertainty? More specifically, how do I identify aggregate uncertainty in a model, and what are the implications of having aggregate uncertainty?

- What is the difference between the model with aggregate uncertainty and models that only include idiosyncratic uncertainty? More specifically, how do I identify aggregate uncertainty in a model, and what are the implications of having aggregate uncertainty?
  - No aggregate uncertainty = perfect foresight. No expectations w.r.t aggregate variables ( $w_{t+1}, r_{t+1}$  etc.), only w.r.t micro level het. ( $e_{it+1}$ )

- What is the difference between the model with aggregate uncertainty and models that only include idiosyncratic uncertainty? More specifically, how do I identify aggregate uncertainty in a model, and what are the implications of having aggregate uncertainty?
  - No aggregate uncertainty = perfect foresight. No expectations w.r.t aggregate variables  $(w_{t+1}, r_{t+1} \text{ etc.})$ , only w.r.t micro level het.  $(e_{it+1})$
  - Aggregate uncertainty  $\Rightarrow$  The value of aggregate shocks is unknown next period  $\Rightarrow$  Next-period endo. variables  $w_{t+1}$ ,  $r_{t+1}$  are uncertain

- What is the difference between the model with aggregate uncertainty and models that only include idiosyncratic uncertainty? More specifically, how do I identify aggregate uncertainty in a model, and what are the implications of having aggregate uncertainty?
  - No aggregate uncertainty = perfect foresight. No expectations w.r.t aggregate variables  $(w_{t+1}, r_{t+1} \text{ etc.})$ , only w.r.t micro level het.  $(e_{it+1})$
  - Aggregate uncertainty  $\Rightarrow$  The value of aggregate shocks is unknown next period  $\Rightarrow$  Next-period endo. variables  $w_{t+1}$ ,  $r_{t+1}$  are uncertain
- Implications of aggregate uncertainty:
  - Precautionary behavior w.r.t aggregates w, r
  - Non-linear business cycle simulation (ZLB etc.)
  - Needed to study large, once-in-a-lifetime shocks (e.g. financial crisis)

• **Q:** In the literature, HANC is also referred to as the Standard Incomplete Market (SIM) model. I find it difficult to understand the concept of "incomplete markets." Does it simply mean that risk is uninsurable such that agents instead must create a buffer by accumulating assets?

- Q: In the literature, HANC is also referred to as the Standard Incomplete Market (SIM) model. I find it difficult to understand the concept of "incomplete markets." Does it simply mean that risk is uninsurable such that agents instead must create a buffer by accumulating assets?
- **A**: Consider a simple HH problem with two individuals *A*, *B* where idiosynchratic income *e<sub>i</sub>* takes two values [0,1] and with *Ee<sub>i</sub>* = 0.5. With *complete insurance markets* it is possible for agents to enter a state-contigent contract which fully insures against any risk:
  - Bond 1  $B_1$  pays 1 in state  $e_A = 0$  and 0 if  $e_A = 1$
  - Bond 2  $B_2$  pays 0 in state  $e_A = 1$  and 1 if  $e_A = 0$

- Q: In the literature, HANC is also referred to as the Standard Incomplete Market (SIM) model. I find it difficult to understand the concept of "incomplete markets." Does it simply mean that risk is uninsurable such that agents instead must create a buffer by accumulating assets?
- **A**: Consider a simple HH problem with two individuals A, B where idiosynchratic income  $e_i$  takes two values [0,1] and with  $Ee_i = 0.5$ . With *complete insurance markets* it is possible for agents to enter a state-contigent contract which fully insures against any risk:
  - Bond 1  $B_1$  pays 1 in state  $e_A = 0$  and 0 if  $e_A = 1$
  - Bond 2  $B_2$  pays 0 in state  $e_A = 1$  and 1 if  $e_A = 0$
- If agents are risk aversion utility maximization will imply that A
  and B exactly buy and trade bonds such that they perfectly insure
  each other against risk
  - A buys enough of bond B<sub>1</sub> so that when she is in a bad state she is compensated for the earnings loss buy B, and vice versa. Both A, B end up with exactly the same income and wealth ex-post.

- Q: In the literature, HANC is also referred to as the Standard Incomplete Market (SIM) model. I find it difficult to understand the concept of "incomplete markets." Does it simply mean that risk is uninsurable such that agents instead must create a buffer by accumulating assets?
- **A**: Consider a simple HH problem with two individuals A, B where idiosynchratic income  $e_i$  takes two values [0,1] and with  $Ee_i = 0.5$ . With *complete insurance markets* it is possible for agents to enter a state-contigent contract which fully insures against any risk:
  - Bond 1  $B_1$  pays 1 in state  $e_A = 0$  and 0 if  $e_A = 1$
  - Bond 2  $B_2$  pays 0 in state  $e_A = 1$  and 1 if  $e_A = 0$
- If agents are risk aversion utility maximization will imply that A
  and B exactly buy and trade bonds such that they perfectly insure
  each other against risk
  - A buys enough of bond B<sub>1</sub> so that when she is in a bad state she is compensated for the earnings loss buy B, and vice versa. Both A, B end up with exactly the same income and wealth ex-post.

What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic
- Prioritize finding a topic which is closely related to what we have seen in this course
  - This enables you to start from the code you have been given in this course
  - (or can find in GEModelToolsNotebooks)

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic
- Prioritize finding a topic which is closely related to what we have seen in this course
  - This enables you to start from the code you have been given in this course
  - (or can find in GEModelToolsNotebooks)
- Example:

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic
- Prioritize finding a topic which is closely related to what we have seen in this course
  - This enables you to start from the code you have been given in this course
  - (or can find in GEModelToolsNotebooks)
- Example:
  - Q: Does automatic stabalizers reduce cyclical inequality?

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic
- Prioritize finding a topic which is closely related to what we have seen in this course
  - This enables you to start from the code you have been given in this course
  - (or can find in GEModelToolsNotebooks)
- Example:
  - Q: Does automatic stabalizers reduce cyclical inequality?
  - Paper: McKay & Reis (2016) »The role of automatic stabilizers in the US business cycle«

- What are your tips for writing about het-agent models for a master's thesis?
  - Find a research question that interests you
    - Not specific to MA in het-agent macro
  - Find a paper that is closely related to the topic
- Prioritize finding a topic which is closely related to what we have seen in this course
  - This enables you to start from the code you have been given in this course
  - (or can find in GEModelToolsNotebooks)
- Example:
  - Q: Does automatic stabalizers reduce cyclical inequality?
  - Paper: McKay & Reis (2016) »The role of automatic stabilizers in the US business cycle«
  - Build on: Basic HANK (lecture 9) or HANK-SAM (lecture 12)