Climate Legislation Statistics

Preprocessing

Functions

First, some libraries are imported and functions made. In addition to the functions in functions.R, the following are made:

- annual_fish decrepit function that compares different types of legislation
- manipulate preprocessing function that combines same names and removes JRP
- com_comparison, com_comparison_readable Compares everything in a list of committees in a dataframe to everything else in dataframe.

In theory, should be the same, but has a very small and negligible difference in output

Data Import

Now, import the bill data. The following are made:

- csvxx Data for the year 20xx
- csv_total Combines all csvxx into one, combining DiH and DiS into DiF
- climate Addition to csv_total, adding fate (DIC, PIL, DIO), and dem (whether the year is democratic trifecta)
- df A separate dataframe that keeps track of how many bills passed and failed in each committee per vear
- cl Removes neutral bills, had DIC, PIL, DIO

Analysis

General Analysis

Number of bills between 2015 and 2023

```
nrow(csv_total)
```

```
## [1] 815
```

Count how many bills passed through each committee overall

```
mutate(df, total = pass + fail) %>%
   group_by(committee) %>%
   summarize(pass = sum(pass), fail = sum(fail), total = sum(total)) %>%
   print(n = 50)
```

```
## # A tibble: 25 x 4
      committee pass fail total
##
##
      <chr>
                <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
  1 H-A
                   35
                         34
                               69
##
   2 H-ACNR
                  135
                         57
                              192
## 3 H-CCT
                   23
                         16
                               39
## 4 H-CJ
                         1
                                5
```

```
## 5 H-CL
                         127
                               275
                   148
## 6 H-CTT
                     1
                           0
                                 1
## 7 H-E
                     5
                           5
                                10
## 8 H-F
                    31
                                57
                          26
## 9 H-GL
                    21
                          17
                                38
## 10 H-HWI
                     1
                           3
                                 4
## 11 H-MPPS
                     1
                           1
                                 2
## 12 H-PE
                     0
                          10
                                10
## 13 H-R
                    20
                          22
                                 42
## 14 H-ST
                           0
                                 1
                    1
## 15 H-T
                    16
                           6
                                22
## 16 S-ACNR
                   119
                               160
                          41
## 17 S-CJ
                     4
                                 4
                           0
## 18 S-CL
                   117
                          82
                               199
## 19 S-EH
                     5
                           0
                                 5
## 20 S-F
                    85
                          17
                               102
## 21 S-GL
                    27
                           7
                                34
## 22 S-LG
                    27
                                33
## 23 S-PE
                    2
                           3
                                 5
## 24 S-R
                    17
                          11
                                28
## 25 S-T
                    20
                           3
                                23
Over 100 bills?
mutate(df, total = pass + fail) %>%
    group_by(committee) %>%
    summarize(pass = sum(pass), fail = sum(fail), total = sum(total)) %>%
    filter(total >= 100)
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##
     committee pass fail total
##
     <chr>
               <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 H-ACNR
                  135
                         57
                              192
## 2 H-CL
                  148
                        127
                              275
## 3 S-ACNR
                         41
                  119
                              160
## 4 S-CL
                  117
                         82
                              199
## 5 S-F
                  85
                         17
                              102
How many bills per year?
group_by(csv_total, Year) %>%
    summarize(n = n())
## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##
      Year
               n
##
     <dbl> <int>
## 1
      2015
## 2
      2016
              74
## 3
      2017
              89
      2018
## 4
            100
## 5
      2019
              91
## 6 2020
              89
## 7
      2021
              62
## 8
      2022
             119
## 9 2023
             105
```

How many progressive bills?

```
filter(csv_total, Pos == "Supported") %>%
    group_by(Year) %>%
    summarize(n = n())
## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##
      Year
               n
##
     <dbl> <int>
## 1 2015
## 2 2016
              44
## 3 2017
              49
## 4 2018
              84
## 5 2019
              68
## 6 2020
            72
## 7 2021
              55
## 8 2022
              86
## 9 2023
              62
What percent of legislation passes into law per year?
group_by(csv_total, Year) %>%
    summarize(rate = mean(Disposition == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 9 x 2
##
      Year rate
##
     <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 2015 0.302
## 2 2016 0.324
## 3 2017 0.337
## 4 2018 0.24
## 5 2019 0.297
## 6 2020 0.472
## 7 2021 0.581
## 8 2022 0.319
## 9 2023 0.343
How does democratic trifecta affect passage rates?
group_by(climate, dem) %>%
    summarize(percent = mean(fate == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
     dem
           percent
##
     <1g1>
             <dbl>
## 1 FALSE
             0.309
## 2 TRUE
             0.517
(tab <- table(climate$dem, climate$fate))</pre>
##
##
           DIC DIO PIL
##
     FALSE 412 47 205
     TRUE
            61 12 78
chisq.test(tab)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
```

```
## data: tab
## X-squared = 25.366, df = 2, p-value = 3.103e-06
How about for just progressive legislation?
progressive <- filter(climate, prog == 1)</pre>
group_by(progressive, dem) %>%
    summarize(percent = mean(fate == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##
     dem
           percent
##
     <lgl>
             <dbl>
## 1 FALSE
            0.272
## 2 TRUE
             0.559
(tab <- table(progressive$dem, progressive$fate))</pre>
##
##
           DIC DIO PIL
##
     FALSE 293 28 120
##
     TRUE
            46 10 71
chisq.test(tab)
##
   Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 39.574, df = 2, p-value = 2.55e-09
How about non-progressive?
regressive <- filter(climate, prog != 1)</pre>
group_by(regressive, dem) %>%
    summarize(percent = mean(fate == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##
     dem percent
     <lgl>
            <dbl>
##
## 1 FALSE
           0.381
## 2 TRUE
             0.292
(tab <- table(regressive$dem, regressive$fate))</pre>
##
##
           DIC DIO PIL
##
    FALSE 119 19 85
##
     TRUE
            15
                 2
chisq.test(tab)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 0.80029, df = 2, p-value = 0.6702
How does whether a bill is progressive change things?
```

```
group_by(climate, prog) %>%
    summarize(percent = mean(fate == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 3 x 2
      prog percent
##
##
     <int>
             <dbl>
## 1
        -1
             0.280
           0.5
## 2
         0
           0.336
## 3
         1
(tab <- table(climate$prog, climate$fate == "PIL"))</pre>
##
##
        FALSE TRUE
##
     -1
          103
                40
           52
                52
##
     0
##
     1
          377 191
chisq.test(tab)
##
##
   Pearson's Chi-squared test
## data: tab
## X-squared = 13.885, df = 2, p-value = 0.0009659
Remove neutral bills because those have high passage rate and are few
not_neutral <- filter(climate, prog != 0)</pre>
group_by(not_neutral, prog) %>%
    summarize(percent = mean(fate == "PIL"))
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##
      prog percent
##
     <int>
            <dbl>
## 1
        -1
             0.280
## 2
            0.336
         1
(tab <- table(not_neutral$prog, not_neutral$fate))</pre>
##
##
        DIC DIO PIL
##
     -1 87 16 40
     1 339 38 191
chisq.test(tab)
##
##
  Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 4.1939, df = 2, p-value = 0.1228
(tab <- table(not_neutral$prog, not_neutral$fate == "PIL"))</pre>
##
##
        FALSE TRUE
##
     -1
          103
                40
          377 191
##
     1
```

```
chisq.test(tab)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 1.4176, df = 1, p-value = 0.2338
What about year by year?
for (y in 2015:2023) {
    print(paste("Starting year", y))
    subset <- filter(not_neutral, year == y)</pre>
    print(tab <- table(subset$prog, subset$fate))</pre>
    print(chisq.test(tab))
}
## [1] "Starting year 2015"
##
        DIC DIO PIL
##
##
              0
     -1
          8
##
         28
              4 16
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 3.1253, df = 2, p-value = 0.2096
## [1] "Starting year 2016"
##
##
        DIC DIO PIL
##
     -1
          7
              0
         31
##
     1
              4
                  9
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 2.0015, df = 2, p-value = 0.3676
## [1] "Starting year 2017"
##
##
        DIC DIO PIL
##
     -1 10
              3
##
         33
              4 12
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## X-squared = 1.5023, df = 2, p-value = 0.4718
##
## [1] "Starting year 2018"
##
        DIC DIO PIL
##
##
     -1
          3
              2
##
         62
              4 18
     1
```

```
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 6.7768, df = 2, p-value = 0.03376
## [1] "Starting year 2019"
##
##
       DIC DIO PIL
##
    -1 5
             2 3
##
    1
        42
             8 18
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 0.70176, df = 2, p-value = 0.7041
## [1] "Starting year 2020"
##
       DIC DIO PIL
##
##
    -1 11
             0
##
        30
             5 37
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 12.99, df = 2, p-value = 0.001511
## [1] "Starting year 2021"
##
       DIC DIO PIL
##
##
    -1
        4
            1
                1
             5 34
##
       16
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 4.5675, df = 2, p-value = 0.1019
## [1] "Starting year 2022"
##
       DIC DIO PIL
##
##
    -1 18
             3 11
##
        58
             2 26
    1
## Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 3.3164, df = 2, p-value = 0.1905
## [1] "Starting year 2023"
##
       DIC DIO PIL
##
##
   -1 21 5 11
```

```
##
       39 2 21
##
   Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 3.7358, df = 2, p-value = 0.1544
Where do bills die?
dead <- filter(climate, fate != "PIL")</pre>
print(table(dead$fate))
##
## DIC DIO
## 473 59
print(table(dead$fate) / nrow(dead))
##
##
         DIC
                    DIO
## 0.8890977 0.1109023
How about for different progressiveness?
tab <- table(dead$fate, dead$prog)</pre>
print(tab)
##
##
          -1
               0
     DIC 87 47 339
##
     DIO 16
               5 38
print(sweep(tab, 2, colSums(tab), "/"))
##
##
                               0
                  -1
                                          1
##
     DIC 0.84466019 0.90384615 0.89920424
     DIO 0.15533981 0.09615385 0.10079576
##
What part of bills that died in committee died in their first committee?
dead_in_committee <- filter(csv_total, Disposition == "DIC")</pre>
dead_in_first <- filter(dead_in_committee, Com.2 == "")</pre>
nrow(dead_in_first) / nrow(dead_in_committee)
## [1] 0.8625793
Analysis of CL and ACNR
```

Bills overall

```
com_comparison_readable(csv_total, "H-CL", TRUE)

##     pass_fail

##     committee pass fail

##     in     148     127

##     out     717     368

##

##     Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
```

```
## data: tab
## X-squared = 13.731, df = 1, p-value = 0.0002109
## [1] 148 127
com_comparison_readable(csv_total, "S-CL", TRUE)
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
        in 117
        out 748 413
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: tab
## X-squared = 2.0918, df = 1, p-value = 0.1481
## [1] 117 82
com_comparison_readable(csv_total, "H-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
        in
            135
                  57
        out 730 438
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: tab
## X-squared = 4.0166, df = 1, p-value = 0.04505
## [1] 135 57
com_comparison_readable(csv_total, "S-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
            119
                   41
        in
##
        out 746 454
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 8.5696, df = 1, p-value = 0.003418
## [1] 119 41
Only progressive bills
progressive <- filter(csv_total, Pos == "Supported")</pre>
com_comparison_readable(progressive, "H-CL", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
         in
              76 100
##
        out 513 255
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
```

```
## data: tab
## X-squared = 33.032, df = 1, p-value = 9.063e-09
## [1] 76 100
com_comparison_readable(progressive, "S-CL", TRUE)
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
        in
              61
        out 528 300
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 4.9558, df = 1, p-value = 0.026
## [1] 61 55
com_comparison_readable(progressive, "H-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
        in
              98
                  37
        out 491 318
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: tab
## X-squared = 6.4849, df = 1, p-value = 0.01088
## [1] 98 37
com_comparison_readable(progressive, "S-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
              86
        in
        out 503 337
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 19.562, df = 1, p-value = 9.737e-06
## [1] 86 18
Only regressive bills
regressive <- filter(csv_total, Pos == "Opposed")
com_comparison_readable(regressive, "H-CL", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
         in
              39
                   17
##
        out 110
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
```

```
## data: tab
## X-squared = 1.482, df = 1, p-value = 0.2235
## [1] 39 17
com_comparison_readable(regressive, "S-CL", TRUE)
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
         in
              29
         out 120
                   77
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 0.19807, df = 1, p-value = 0.6563
## [1] 29 15
com_comparison_readable(regressive, "H-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
         in
              21
                    14
         out 128
                   78
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: tab
## X-squared = 0.0027365, df = 1, p-value = 0.9583
## [1] 21 14
com_comparison_readable(regressive, "S-ACNR", TRUE)
##
           pass_fail
## committee pass fail
##
              19
        in
        out 130
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 0.64799, df = 1, p-value = 0.4208
## [1] 19 16
Let's look at how party affects everything
party_comparison(csv_total, TRUE)
##
       pass_fail
## party pass fail
##
      D 280 115
      R 403 393
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
```

```
## X-squared = 43.468, df = 1, p-value = 4.31e-11
##
        pass_fail
## party pass fail
       D 280 115
##
##
       R 403 393
And progressive bills
t <- party_comparison(progressive, TRUE)
        pass fail
## party pass fail
##
       D 233
       R 214 299
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 101.16, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
And finally regressive bills
t <- party_comparison(regressive, TRUE)
##
        pass_fail
## party pass fail
##
       D
           28
                50
##
       R
           90
                46
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 17.169, df = 1, p-value = 3.419e-05
What about when there is a split house?
filter(csv_total, Year %in% c(2022, 2023)) %>%
    party_comparison(TRUE)
##
        pass_fail
## party pass fail
##
       D
           96
##
       R
           96
                98
##
  Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 9.2565, df = 1, p-value = 0.002347
        pass_fail
## party pass fail
       D
           96
##
       R
           96
                98
filter(progressive, Year %in% c(2022, 2023)) %>%
    party_comparison(TRUE)
```

##

pass_fail

```
## party pass fail
##
      D 67
               13
              85
##
      R 51
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 41.625, df = 1, p-value = 1.106e-10
       pass_fail
## party pass fail
##
      D 67
               13
      R 51
##
              85
t <- filter(regressive, Year %in% c(2022, 2023)) %>%
   party_comparison(TRUE)
       pass_fail
## party pass fail
##
      D
         22
               35
      R 39
              12
##
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
##
## data: tab
## X-squared = 14.205, df = 1, p-value = 0.0001639
```