

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Prof. Ryan Cotterell

## Danilo Dordevic: Assignment 1

ddordevic@student.ethz.ch, 21-254-888.

## Question 1

(a) The Hessian matrix of a given function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as the matrix of all of the function's second partial derivatives:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \triangleq \nabla^{2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}}(\boldsymbol{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

The i-th column of the Hessian matrix is:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{f}(\boldsymbol{x})_{:,i} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Noticing that j-th element of the vector 2 is a partial derivative with respect to variable  $x_j$  of the derivative function  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\boldsymbol{x})$ , we come to the conclusion that the vector 2 is nothing but the gradient of the said derivative function:

$$H_f(x)_{:,i} = \nabla \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)(x)$$
 (3)

The derivative function  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\boldsymbol{x})$  is the i-th element of the gradient of function  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ . The i-th element of any vector  $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v_i$ , can be extracted by computing the inner product between the i-th standard basis vector  $\boldsymbol{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the vector  $\boldsymbol{v} : \boldsymbol{e}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{v} = v_i$ . Similarly, the partial derivative with respect to variable  $x_i$  of function  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$  can be extracted from the derivative as such:

$$\nabla \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) (\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}))$$
(4)

Finally, plugging 4 into 3 leads to:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_f(\boldsymbol{x})_{:,i} = \nabla \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) (\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\top} \nabla f) (\boldsymbol{x})$$
 (5)

Equation 5 is a computation of just one column of the Hessian matrix 1. Concatenating all of the columns 5 into a matrix produces the following:

Moreover, noticing that the Hessian of a differentiable function is symmetric, i.e. it holds that  $\mathbf{H}_f(x)^{\top} = \mathbf{H}_f(x)$ . In other words, the rows and corresponding rows of the Hessian matrix are equal. Taking this into account, we can write:

$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{H}_f(x)_{:,i} = \boldsymbol{H}_f(x)_{i,:}^\top = (\nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_i^\top \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}))^\top)}$$

which is exactly what was asked for in the problem. Stacking these rows produces the Hessian matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla^{2} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} - (\nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\top} - \\ - (\nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_{2}^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\top} - \\ \vdots \\ - (\nabla (\boldsymbol{e}_{n}^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\top} - \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

To sum up, equation 7 is a representation of i-th row of the Hessian matrix. Equation 8 shows how stacking the rows represented in the form as in 7 produces the Hessian matrix. Equation 5 shows a possible representation of a i-th column of the Hessian matrix using standard basis vectors.

(b) Assuming that the computational graphs of the first partial derivatives of function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ , taking into account that computing the value of each partial derivative during the forward pass through its computational graph has computational complexity O(m), and that the computational complexity of the backwards pass of the backpropagation algorithm is the same as the forward pass, it can be concluded that computing the gradients of each partial derivative takes O(m) time.

The gradient of a partial derivative  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\boldsymbol{x})$  constitues the i-th column of the Hessian  $\nabla^2 f(x)$ :

$$\nabla \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) (\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_i} (\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_i} (\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_i} (\boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{H}_f(\boldsymbol{x})_{:,i}$$
(9)

Computing the entire Hessian would entail repeating the same process for each of n columns of the Hessian. Since computing each column has computational complexity O(m), computing the entire Hessian has computational complexity O(mn).

Naively computing the Hessian would require  $O(mn^2)$  time, since there are  $n^2$  entries in the Hessian matrix, each of which requires O(m) time to compute using the backpropagation algorithm. This naive way of computing the Hessian does not exploit the structure of the computational graph and the computational advantages that memoizing intermediate values brings.

- (c) Extrapolating the conclusions from the previous section (b), computing the entry values of the tensor of k-th order derivatives would have the following computational complexity:  $O(mn^{k-1})$ .
- (d) The diagonal of the Hessian matrix consists of the following elements:

$$diag\left(\nabla^{2} f(\boldsymbol{x})\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

Remaining consistent with the notation used in the problems statement,  $y_i$  will be used instead of f to denote the i-th output of the function f, over which the goal is to efficiently compute the second partial derivatives on the diagonal of the Hessian:

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j} \tag{11}$$

Applying the partial derivative operator to the expression 11 leads to the following chain of computations:

$$\frac{\partial^{2} y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left( \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \right) \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left( \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}^{2}} \left( z_{k} \right) \left( \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} (x_{j}) \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}} (z_{k}) \frac{\partial^{2} z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} (x_{j}) \right]$$

$$(12)$$

Analysing the last row of equation of 12, it can be seen that all factors in the sum can be computed easily:

- The term  $\frac{\partial^2 y_i}{\partial z_k^2}$  is easily found by just taking the second derivative of the function  $y_i(z_k)$ , which is one of the standard functions used to build the computational graph of the main function.
- The term  $\left(\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j}\right)^2$  is found by just taking the square of the term that is already computed by the standard backpropagation algorithm.
- The term  $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k}$  is already computed by the standard backpropagation algorithm
- The term  $\frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial x_j^2}$  is computed easily by just taking the second derivative of the function  $z_k(x_j)$ , which is one of the standard functions used to build the computational graph of the main function.

The final algorithm to calculate the diagonal elements of the Hessian would then represent an augmentation of the backpropagation algorithm, whereby it would be necessary to store and compute additional values alongside the forward propagation variable values and the derivative values. The additional values are exactly the second derivatives of variables at the end of each edge, i.e.  $\frac{\partial^2 y_i}{\partial z_k^2}$ , for variables  $y_i$ , which is the head of the node and  $z_k$  as its tail. The final algorithm consists of the following steps:

- (1) Perform a forward pass on the computational graph to obtain variable values:  $x_j, j \in \{1, 2, ..., N_x\}, z_k, k \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}, y_i, i \in \{1, 2, ..., N_y\}$
- (2) Perform the backwards pass on the computational graph to obtain derivative values:  $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}(x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k}(z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j}(x_j)$ , where the values  $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k}(z_k)$ , for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_y\}$ , are computed upstream, in the previous steps of the backpropagation algorithm, and subsequently memoized. Values  $\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j}$  are computed

- on the spot, while evaluating expression 11. The derivative is easily found since the functions  $z_k(x_j)$  and their gradient elements  $\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j}(x_j)$  are known.
- (3) This is the step which differs from the standard backpropagation algorithm: Instead of just memoizing the intermediate derivatives  $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_k}(z_k)$ , the second derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 y_i}{\partial z_k^2}(z_k)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_y\}$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  are also memoized, to be used in the computation of downstream second derivatives according to equation 12. Values  $\frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial x_j^2}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_x\}$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  are computed using the known second derivatives. This additional memoization effectively doubles the amount of values memoized per intermediate variable.

## Question 2

https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1f6HKHPpwrLbFtrUTivKDKr3F87XCxeSi?usp=sharing