

SL(4)-stuff

Investigations of SL(4)-structures

Graham Smith

PUC-Rio

October 3, 2024

Abstract. The studies of convex-cocompact $SO(3, 1)$, $SO(2, 2)$, and $SO(2, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ structures are the Lie-theoretic analogues of the studies of de Sitter, anti de Sitter, and Minkowski spacetimes respectively. The analytic treatments of these three theories are almost identical, suggesting a unified treatment involving Anosov SL(4)-structures. The main challenge in constructing such a unification is the absence of any invariant metric. It is thus necessary to enquire what structures may take their place. The purpose of this talk is to present some preliminary investigations into the theory of SL(4) structures aimed at resolving this problem.

Contents

1	Quasifuchsian representations	1
1.1	Some finite topology to understand hyperbolic ends	3
2	Fuchsian representations	3
3	Quasi-Fuchsian vs Fuchsian	4

1 Quasifuchsian representations

Start with older stuff: quasifuchsian representations in Lie groups. Let π be a compact surface group. Mod spaces of certain classes of $\rho : \Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

1. $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{PSO}(3, 1)$, which is the isometry group of \mathbb{H}^3 , with $dS^{2,1}$. What is a quasi-fuchsian representation?

Definition $\rho : \Pi \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a *quasi-fuchsian* representations if it preserves a Jordan curve (=embedded circle, a map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 = \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ continuous injective, a closed subset homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1). (I think the Jordan curve is in the boundary because the boundary is a 2-sphere. $\Pi \subseteq \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ discrete with limit set Γ).

2. De Sitter space is identified with the exterior of the unit ball (the interior is the hyperbolic space in Klein model). *Anti-de Sitter space* is

$$\text{AdS}^{2,1} = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) = [\text{PSO}(2, 2)]$$

and its boundary is

$$\partial \text{AdS}^{2,1} \cong S^1 \times S^1$$

Notice that $\text{AdS}^{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{RP}^3$.

Without getting too technical, the point is that $\rho : \Pi \rightarrow \text{PSO}(3, 2)$ is quasi-fuchsian when it preserves a (spacelike) J curve $S^1 \times S^1$.

Now suppose we have a representation $\rho : \Pi \rightarrow \text{PSO}(3, 1)$ that preserves a Jordan curve. Suppose $\text{Lim}(\rho(\Pi)) = c$

Exercise (To understand limit set) Take a point $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$, and let Γ be the set of divergent sequences in the group

$$\Gamma := \{(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \gamma_n\}$$

Also let

$$P := \{(\gamma_n \cdot p)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$$

Then show that for all $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\gamma_n \cdot p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has accumulation points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$.

Exercise Notice that Π only has hyperbolic elements, ie. $\forall \gamma, \rho\gamma$ is hyperbolic. Now show that $\text{Lim} = \{\rho(\gamma)_+ : \gamma \in \Pi\}$. That is, there are several ways of defining the limit set leading to the same object.

Because the representation preserves a Jordan curve, then it will preserve its convex hull. What is its convex hull, which is just taking all pairs of points in the boundary and joining them by geodesics.

Now also $\rho(\Pi)$ acts on properly discontinuously on \mathbb{H}^3 (and actually on the complement of the curve). So the quotient turns out to be

$$\mathbb{H}^3 / \rho(\Pi) \stackrel{\text{dif}}{\cong} \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$$

where $\Pi = \pi_1(\Sigma)$. And there's a hyperbolic metric.

Consider $K \subseteq \mathbb{H}^3 / \rho(\Pi)$. Then $K = \text{Conv}(c) / \rho(\Pi)$.

* a drawing *

Define a *quasi-fuchsian manifold* to be a quotient of \mathbb{H}^3 by a quasi-Fuchsian group.

1.1 Some finite topology to understand hyperbolic ends

Let M be a hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite topology. That means its fundamental group is finitely generated and it has no cusps ends. What's a cusp end. Consider for example the quotient of \mathbb{H}^2 by the translation $z \mapsto z + 1$ in the half-plane model; that quotient is a trumpet. That's a cusp. Another example is \mathbb{H}^3 with translations $x \mapsto x + (1, 0, 0)$ and $x \mapsto x + (0, 1, 0)$. And also suppose M is not compact.

Then there is a minimal convex set whose π_1 embeds into the π_1 of the ambient manifold. So, a minimal convex set that carries the topology of the manifold. Now the complement of that has *hyperbolic ends*.

2 Fuchsian representations

$\rho : \Pi \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is *Fuchsian* (not only quasi-Fuchsian) when it preserves a circle. $\mathrm{img} \rho \subseteq \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

Remark The class of conformal circles (circles + straight lines) is well defined, i.e. does not depend on parametrization. (*A proof with a big diagram*). Also, hyperbolic isometries map circles to circles.

Anyway, ρ is Fuchsian if it preserves a circle, and this means it preserves the totally geodesic space (convex hull?) enclosed by it. In this case, the hyperbolic metric in the quotient manifold is actually

$$g = \cosh^2(r)g_0 + dt^2$$

where $\Sigma = \{r = 0\}$.

How to see that? Take Fermi coordinates, these parametrize the manifold from the point of view of geodesics. Actually these are just geodesic coordinates, given by the exponential map (because differential of exponential is identity so it is nonsingular so \exp is a local diffeomorphism). It's when the "coordinate grid" is given by geodesics.

And then switch from spherical function to hyperbolic function:

$$g = \cos^2(s)dt^2 + ds^2 \longmapsto g = \cosh^2(s)dt^2 + ds^2$$

Now notice that $\mathbb{H}^3 \cong \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and that since the action of Π on \mathbb{H}^3 preserves the geodesic manifold enclosed by the circle, its action on the \mathbb{R} component is invariant,

Finally we define hyperbolic ends

Definition Let X be hyperbolic. Consider a function $h : X \longrightarrow]0, \infty[$ such that

1. $\|\nabla h\| = 1$.
2. h is convex $C^{1,1}$.
3. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, $h^{-1}([\varepsilon, \infty[)$ is complete.

We say X is a *hyperbolic end* if it has a *height function* h . Typically this h is distance to the convex hull.

Remark These three conditions are just enough to apply Hopf-Rinow theorem.

Upshot The ends of fuchsian manifold is foliated by constant curvature surfaces, the determinant of the shape operator of these surfaces is constant.

3 Quasi-Fuchsian vs Fuchsian

Remark de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spaces play the analogue roles of space forms in semi-Riemannian geometry. While de Sitter space is the unit sphere with Minkowsky metric (a one-sheeted hyperboloid), anti-de Sitter space is the "exterior" of this hyperboloid.

So, what is the difference between quasi-fuchsian and fuchsian? If the Jordan curve is not a circle, then its convex hull is a dimension 3 manifold, that is, its interior is not empty.

l.e.p. $\forall x$ in the convex hull there is an open geodesic segment Γ with $x \in \Gamma \subseteq \text{Convex}$.

Exercise c_n polygons \longrightarrow c Hausdorff.

1. $\text{Conv}(c_n) \longrightarrow \text{Conv}c$.
2. (Boundary?)

Definition *Geodesic lamination* is a closed subset which a union of disjoint complete geodesics.