

# Practice exercises on smooth manifolds

Fifth meeting, 28 of January

Plan for today: correct an exercise from last time (Partition of unity), two more exercises from Partition of unity. Homework 1 from Differential Topology course (3 exercises). Questions about Homework 2 from Complex Surfaces.

## 1 Partition of unity

**Exercise 2.30 (!)** Let  $U \subset M$  be an open subset with compact closure, and  $V \supset M \setminus U$  another open subset. Prove that there exists  $U' \subset U$  such that the closure of  $U'$  is contained in  $U$ , and  $V \cup U' = M$ .

**Hint.** Use the previous exercise.

*Solution.* (Using ChatGPT.) Define the **boundary**  $\partial A$  of a set  $A$  in a topological space  $X$  to be the set of points  $x \in X$  such that every neighbourhood of  $x$  contains a point of  $A$  and a point of  $X \setminus A$ .

The boundary  $\partial U$  of our open set with compact closure  $U$  is compact: it is contained in the closure of  $U$  (since all its points are limit points of  $U$ ), and it is closed: every point in its complement has a neighbourhood that stays inside its complement; whether it is in  $U$ , or in  $M \setminus \bar{U}$ .

Now let's use Exercise 2.29. We can separate  $K_1 := \partial U$  and  $K_2 := \overline{U \setminus V}$ . Both are compact since they are closed sets in the compact set  $\bar{U}$ . And they are disjoint: if  $x \in \partial U \cap \overline{U \setminus V}$ , then  $x$  cannot be in  $U$  since it is a point of the boundary, meaning that  $x \in V$ , and since  $V$  is open, there is a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x$  contained in  $V$ . But  $x \in \overline{U \setminus V} = U \setminus V \cup \partial U \setminus V$ , so that  $x \in \partial U \setminus V$  since  $x \notin U$ . So every neighbourhood of  $x$  intersects  $U \setminus V$ . So there is a point of  $W$  not in  $V$ , a contradiction.

Then we use Exercise 2.29 to obtain disjoint neighbourhoods  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

Now let's show that  $U_2 \cap U := U'$  is the open set we are looking for, that is, that its closure is contained in  $U$  and  $V \cup U' = M$ . If a point in the closure of  $U'$  was outside  $U$ , then such a limit point would be in the boundary of  $U$ : any open neighbourhood must contain a point of  $U$  since it is a limit point of  $U$ , and also a point outside it, the limit point itself! But the boundary of  $U$  is disjoint from  $U_2$ . This shows that the closure of  $U'$  is inside  $U$ .

To show that  $V \cup U' = M$  pick a point in  $M \setminus V$ . Then  $U' := U_2 \cap U \supset K_2 := U \setminus V$  contains it.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.31 (!)** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be a countable locally finite cover of a Hausdorff topological space, such that a closure of each  $U_\alpha$  is compact. Prove that there exists another cover  $\{V_\alpha\}$  indexed by the same set, such that  $V_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ .

**Hint.** Use induction and the previous exercise.

*Solution.* In order to use Exercise 2.30 consider for every  $\alpha$  the set  $W_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} U_\beta$ . Then  $W_\alpha \supset M \setminus U_\alpha$ , so that there exists  $U'_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$  and  $W_\alpha \cup U'_\alpha = M$ . It remains to show that  $\{U'_\alpha\}$  is a cover. Let  $x \in M$  be any point. but how?

That's why the hint says use induction. We go one by one: consider  $U_1$ , an open set. The rest of the cover yields an open set like  $V$  from the last exercise, which contains the complement of  $U$ . Then that exercise yields a set  $U'_1 \subseteq U$  st  $V \cup U'_1 = M$ .

Now take  $n = 2$ . But don't use the original open cover: *substitute*  $U_1$  by  $U'_1$ . Obviously. (It works basically because of the second condition, explaining why we went through so much hustle to construct the set  $U'$ , anyway moving on.) The point is that now we get a set  $U'_2 \subseteq U_2$  which covers  $M$  along with  $U'_1$  and the rest of the  $U_\alpha$ .

This works for all  $\alpha$ : there is  $U'_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$  such that  $U'_\alpha \cup U'_{\alpha-1} \cup \dots \cup U'_1 \cup \bigcup_{i>\alpha} U_i$  covers  $M$ .

Let's show that  $\{U'_\alpha\}$  is a cover. Suppose there's a point  $x$  outside  $U'_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . Then it is in  $\bigcup_{i>\alpha} U_i$  for all  $\alpha$ , meaning  $x$  is in an infinite amount of open sets of the locally finite cover  $U_i$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.34** Show that all derivatives of  $e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  at 0 vanish.

*Solution.* First notice that the function  $e^{-x^{-2}}$  is not defined at 0. However, the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0$  is zero, so that defining the function to be 0 at  $x = 0$  preserves continuity. The same will happen with its derivatives.

The first derivative is

$$\frac{d}{dx} e^{-x^{-2}} = 2x^{-3} e^{-x^{-2}}.$$

Since exponential decay is faster than polynomial decay, the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0$  is zero.

The second derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} e^{-x^{-2}} &= 2 \left( x^{-3} \frac{d}{dx} e^{-x^{-2}} - 3x^{-4} e^{-x^{-2}} \right) \\ &= 2 \left( x^{-3} 2x^{-3} e^{-x^{-2}} - 3x^{-4} e^{-x^{-2}} \right) \\ &= P_2(x) e^{-x^{-2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_2(x)$  is some polynomial, so that again the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0$  is zero. Proceeding by induction suppose that the  $n$ -th derivative is the product of some polynomial  $P_n(x)$

times  $e^{-x^{-2}}$ . Then the  $(n+1)$ -th derivative is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}}e^{-x^{-2}} &= \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^{-2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \left( P_n(x) e^{-x^{-2}} \right) \\ &= P'_n(x) e^{-x^{-2}} + P_n(x) \frac{d}{dx} e^{-x^{-2}} \\ &= P'_n(x) e^{-x^{-2}} + P_n(x) P_1(x) e^{-x^{-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Homework 1 from Differential Topology

**Problem 1** Let  $G(k, n)$  be the set of dimension  $k$  vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Construct a smooth structure on  $G(k, n)$  and compute its dimension.

*Solution.* (Ideas from [StackExchange](#), [?].) Every  $k$ -vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has an orthonormal base.  $O(k)$  acts on the set  $V(n, k)$  of orthonormal frames of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  since its transformations preserve the inner product. The equivalence relation  $\alpha \sim \beta$  iff  $\exists A \in O(k)$  st  $A\alpha = \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in V(n, k)$  is the same as asking that two bases generate the same vector space, so that the quotient space is  $G(n, k)$ .

$V(n, k)$  has a smooth structure making it a manifold of dimension  $nk - (k+1)k/2$  [[Wolfram](#)].

In order to use the Quotient Manifold Theorem (thm 21.10 in [?]) we need to check that the action  $O(k) \curvearrowright V(n, k)$  is smooth, free and proper. The latter follows from  $O(k)$  being compact (which in turn is because it is closed by being a level set of  $GL(k) \rightarrow Mat(k), A \mapsto A^T A$ , and bounded the normality condition). Freeness and smoothness are immediate.

The dimension of the quotient manifold  $G(n, k)$  is  $\dim V(n, k) - \dim O(k)$ . Since the dimension of  $O(k)$  is  $k(k-1)/2$ , subtracting we get  $\dim G(n, k) = k(n-k)$ . □

Next I show my progress following the proof of [?], which unfortunately is incomplete. Feel free to skip it.

*Proof.* (Proof in [?].) First we topologize  $G(n, k)$  as follows. We identify it with the quotient  $W/\sim$ , the set of  $k$ -frames (sets of  $k$  linearly independent vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) modulo the equivalence relation of spanning the same vector space. Since  $W \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^k$  it has a subspace topology, and  $G(n, k)$  has a quotient topology.

Now fix  $V \in G(n, k)$ . To construct a chart consider the set

$$W_V = \{U \in G(n, k) : \text{orthogonal projection } U \rightarrow V \text{ is bijective}\}$$

and the function

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_V : W_V &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V^\perp) \\ U &\longmapsto \pi_{U, V^\perp} \circ \pi_{U, V}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_{X, Y}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Since the set  $\text{Hom}(V, V^\perp)$  is the space of matrices of  $\dim V \times \dim V^\perp = k(n - k)$ , we may write  $\text{Hom}(V, V^\perp) = \mathbb{R}^{k(n-k)}$ .

To complete the proof we must confirm that: (1)  $G(n, k)$  is Hausdorff and second countable, (2)  $W_V$  is open for all  $V$ , (3)  $\rho_V$  is a homeomorphism for all  $V$ , (4) transition maps  $\rho_V \circ \rho_{V'}^{-1}$  are smooth.

1. By Theorem 7.7 in [?], it's enough to show that the quotient projection  $q : W \rightarrow W/\sim$  is an open map and that the graph  $\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in W : x \sim y\}$  of  $\sim$  is closed in  $W \times W$ .

**( $q$  is open.)** Let  $Z \subset W$  be an open set. Showing that  $q$  is open means that  $q^{-1}(q(Z))$  is open. Let  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be an element (a frame) in  $q^{-1}(q(Z))$ . We will show that there is an (open) neighbourhood of  $\{z_i\}$  contained in  $q^{-1}(q(W))$ .

Since  $\{z_i\} \in q^{-1}(q(Z))$ ,  $\{z_i\}$  generates the same vector space as some frame  $\{z'_i\}$  in  $Z$ . Because  $Z$  is open there is an open neighbourhood  $Z'$  of  $\{z'_i\}$  contained in  $Z$ . The fact that  $\text{span}(z_i) = \text{span}(z'_i)$  means that there is a linear transformation  $A \in \text{GL}(k)$  mapping  $z_i \mapsto z'_i$ .

Notice that  $A$  does not act on elements of  $W$  pointwise: they are  $nk$ -dimensional vectors! However,  $A$  does act on elements of  $W$  when these are seen as frames within the  $k$ -dimensional vector space they span. Explicitly, a frame given as an  $n \times k$  matrix of rank  $k$  may be multiplied by  $A$  (a  $k \times k$  matrix) giving a  $n \times k$  matrix. The range of the product of this matrices is  $k$  since  $A$  is invertible, i.e. another frame.

Since  $A$  is a homeomorphism, the set  $A(Z') \subset Z$  is the required open neighbourhood of  $\{z_i\}$ .

**(Graph of  $\sim$  is closed.)** [Incomplete]

2. To see that  $W_V$  is open define  $\widetilde{W}_V$  to be the set of  $k$ -frames  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the orthogonal projection from  $\text{span}(u_i)$  onto  $V$  is bijective. Then  $\widetilde{W}_V/\sim = W_V$ . Since we have shown that the quotient map  $q$  is open, it's enough to show that  $\widetilde{W}_V$  is open.

Fix  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \widetilde{W}_V$ . It is clear from elementary properties of euclidean space that there is a neighbourhood of every  $u_i$  such that the vector space obtained by choosing one vector in each of these neighbourhoods orthogonally-projects bijectively onto  $V$ . Since we are using the product topology on  $W$ , it follows that  $\widetilde{W}_V$  is open.

3. [Incomplete]
4. [Incomplete]

□

**Problem 2** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be manifolds of dimension  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively, and let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth function whose rank is  $k$  for every point in an open set  $\tilde{U} \subset M$ . Prove that for each point  $p \in \tilde{U}$ , there exist charts  $(U, \phi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$  centered at  $p$  and  $f(p)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$  and

$$\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m) = (x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0).$$

*Solution.* (Adapted from the proof of Theorem B.4 in [?].) Since  $D_p f$  has rank  $k$  at  $p$ , we may assume the first  $k$  columns are linearly independent. Define a locally invertible map of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to itself by

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{m-k}) = (f_1(x, y), \dots, f_k(x, y), y).$$

where  $f_i$  are the coordinate functions of  $f$  for some charts of  $p$  and  $f(p)$ .  $G$  is locally invertible since it has nonsingular derivative at  $p$ . Notice that  $f \circ G^{-1}$  maps

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, f_{k+1} \circ G^{-1}(x, y), \dots, f_n \circ G^{-1}(x, y)).$$

**Notice that  $f \circ G^{-1}$  does not depend on  $y$  in a neighbourhood of  $p$ :** since  $G$  is locally a diffeomorphism, the rank of  $f \circ G^{-1}$  must be the same as that of  $f$ , and its derivative is

$$D_q(f \circ G^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial(f \circ G^{-1})_i}{\partial x^j} & \frac{\partial(f \circ G^{-1})_i}{\partial y^j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } k \leq i \leq n$$

so that the matrix  $\frac{\partial(f \circ G^{-1})_i}{\partial y^j}$  must be singular **for all  $q$  in a neighbourhood of  $p$**  (here we use that  $f$  has **constant** rank  $k$ ).

This allows us to define the function of (some subsets of)  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself

$$F(x, y) = (x, y_1 - f_{k+1} \circ G^{-1}(x), \dots, y_n - f_n \circ G^{-1}(x))$$

which is locally invertible: its derivative is

$$D_{f(p)} F(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ * & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$$

using that  $f_i \circ G^{-1}$  does not depend on  $y$  near  $p$ . Thus we may restrict our domains as necessary to obtain open sets  $U \ni p$  and  $V \ni f(p)$  such that

$$F \circ \hat{f} \circ G^{-1}(x, y) = F(x, f_{k+1} \circ G^{-1}(x, y), \dots, f_n \circ G^{-1}(x, y)) = (x, 0)$$

where  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is the coordinate representation of  $f$  with which we started.

□

**Problem 3** Let  $M$  be a compact manifold. Prove that does not exist a submersion  $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k, k > 0$ .

*Solution.* Since  $M$  is compact any real-valued function is bounded, so the composition of  $F$  with the modulus function  $\| \cdot \| \circ F$  is bounded and so is  $F$ . Now let  $x_0 \in M$  a point such that  $\|F(x)\|$  is maximum over  $M$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be the curve in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  given by  $\gamma(t) = F(x_0) + tF(x_0)$ . It corresponds to a vector at  $F(x_0)$  pointing in the direction of  $F(x_0)$ , so that the norm of points on  $\gamma$  for positive  $t$  is larger than that of  $F(x_0)$

Since  $D_{x_0} F$  is surjective, there is a vector such that its image under  $D_{x_0} F$  is  $[\gamma]$ . Namely,  $[F^{-1} \circ \gamma]$  for some local inverse of  $F$ . (Indeed:  $F_*[F^{-1} \circ \gamma] = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F \circ F^{-1} \circ \gamma.$ )

Now let  $x_1 := F^{-1} \circ \gamma(t_1)$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ . Then  $F(x_1)$  has a bigger norm than  $F(x_0)$ :

$$\|F(x_1)\| = \|\gamma(t_1)\| = \|F(x_0) + t_1 F(x_0)\| > \|F(x_0)\|$$

but  $\|F(x_0)\|$  is maximum.

**Remark** After consulting [the literature](#) I figured an easier proof: submersion is an open map since it is locally invertible in every point of its image (yielding at every such point an open neighbourhood that stays within  $f(X)$ ). Then  $f(X)$  is both open and closed, making  $f$  surjective since  $\mathbb{R}$  is connected. A contradiction, since  $f(X)$  must be bounded.

□

### 3 Homework 2 from Complex Surfaces