

algebraic topology exercises

Contents

Homework 1	1
0 Preliminaries	1
1 Based spaces and smash product	3
2 Mapping cylinders and Hurewicz cofibrations	4
3 Path spaces and fibrations	7
Homework 1.5	8
1 Exercise on model categories	8
2 Hatcher's exercise on Whitehead's theorem	10
Homework 2	11
Cohomology ring of \mathbb{CP}^n	16
Spectral sequences	17
1 Wang spectral sequence	17
2 Extra exercise on spectral sequences	19
Exam questions	20
1 Computation of $\pi_4(S^3)$	20
1.1 Solution from StackExchange	20
1.2 Solution from 754notes.pdf	20
References	21

Homework 1

0 Preliminaries

In the category of sets there is a bijection $\text{Hom}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \text{Hom}(X, \text{Hom}(Y, Z))$ that depends naturally on X , Y and Z . The notions related to this bijection are “Cartesian closed category”, “currying” and “internal Hom”.

Definition. A category \mathcal{C} is *Cartesian closed* if:

1. \mathcal{C} has all finite products (Caveat: some require that \mathcal{C} has all finite limits)
2. For any object Y the functor $- \times Y$ has a right adjoint, which we will denote by $\text{Map}(Y, -)$ or by $-^Y$.

Remark. By section 3 [here](#), the second property above implies that we get a functor $\text{Map}(-, -) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and moreover we get natural isomorphisms $\text{Hom}(X, \text{Map}(Y, Z)) \cong \text{Hom}(X \times Y, Z)$ and $\text{Map}(X, \text{Map}(Y, Z)) \cong \text{Map}(X \times Y, Z)$.

Lemma (Yoneda, [wiki](#)). Let F be a functor from a locally small category \mathcal{C} to \mathbf{Set} . Then for each object X of \mathcal{C} , the natural transformations $\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(X, -), F)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $F(X)$, that is

$$\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(X, -), F) \cong F(X)$$

Moreover, this isomorphism is natural in A and F when both sides are regarded as functors from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$ to \mathbf{Set} . ($\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the category of functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathbf{Set} .)

There is a contravariant version of Yoneda lemma asserting that if F is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathbf{Set} ,

$$\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(-, X), F) \cong F(X).$$

Corollary. $\text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(-, X), \text{Hom}(-, Y)) \cong \text{Hom}(X, Y)$.

Remark. The correspondence $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(-, X)$ is fully faithful, that is, the correspondence $\text{Hom}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(-, X), \text{Hom}(-, X'))$ is injective and bijective.

Exercise (a). Let \mathcal{C} be any category. Show that if for some objects X and X' we have $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \cong \text{Hom}(X', Y)$ for all objects Y , with isomorphisms being natural in Y , then $X \cong X'$. Dually, if $\text{Hom}(Y, X) \cong \text{Hom}(Y, X')$ naturally in Y , then also $X \cong X'$.

Solution. The latter correspondence sends isomorphisms to isomorphisms. Since we are given a natural isomorphism in the problem, we conclude $X \cong X'$. The dual statement follows from the analogue formulation of Yoneda lemma. \square

Exercise (b). Let \mathcal{C} be a Cartesian closed category and pt be the terminal object. Show that for any object X we have $X \cong \text{Map}(\text{pt}, X)$.

Solution. Using item (a) with X and $X' = \text{Map}(\text{pt}, X)$, it suffices to show that

$$\text{Hom}(Y, X) \cong \text{Hom}(Y, \text{Map}(\text{pt}, X))$$

for all objects Y and isomorphisms natural in Y .

Since \mathcal{C} is Cartesian closed, we have isomorphisms [natural](#) in Y


$$\text{Hom}(Y, \text{Map}(\text{pt}, X)) \cong \text{Hom}(Y \times \text{pt}, X) \cong \text{Hom}(Y, X)$$

since pt is a terminal object. Indeed:

Claim. In a Cartesian closed category \mathcal{C} with terminal object pt , we have that $Y \times \text{pt} \cong Y$ for any object Y .

Proof of claim. (**From [StackExchange](#)**) The universal property of the product $Y \times \text{pt}$ shows that the maps id_Y and $t_Y : Y \rightarrow \text{pt}$ must factor through some $u : Y \rightarrow Y \times \text{pt}$, making


$$\pi_1 \circ u = \text{id}_Y.$$



It is also true that $u \circ \pi_1 = \text{id}_{Y \times \text{pt}}$, since

- $\pi_1 \circ u \circ \pi_1 = \text{id}_Y \circ \pi_1 = \pi_1$ and
- $t_{Y \times \text{pt}} \circ u \circ \pi_1 = t_{Y \times \text{pt}}$

so by uniqueness of the universal property we get that $u \circ \pi_1 = \text{id}_{Y \times \text{pt}}$.



□

□

1 Based spaces and smash product

Definition. The appropriate analogue of the Cartesian product in the category of based spaces is the *smash product* $X \wedge Y$ defined by

$$X \wedge Y = X \times Y / X \vee Y.$$

Here $X \vee Y$ is viewed as the subspace of $X \times Y$ consisting of those pairs (x, y) such that either x is the basepoint of X or y is the basepoint of Y .

Exercise. For a based space (X, x_0) let ΣX be $[0, 1] \times X / \{1\} \times X \cup \{0\} \times X \cup [0, 1] \times \{x_0\}$. Check that $\Sigma X \cong S^1 \wedge X$. In particular $S^n \cong S^1 \wedge S^{n-1} \cong (S^1)^{\wedge n}$.

Remark. Another way of defining the reduced suspension ΣX (I think) is

$$\Sigma X = (I \times X) / (t, x) \sim (0, y) \sim (1, y) \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Proof. To see that $\Sigma X \cong S^1 \wedge X$ simply notice that “both spaces are the quotient $X \times I$ with $X \times \partial I \cup \{x_0\} \times I$ collapsed to a point” (Hatcher, ex. 0.10). This is clear for ΣX . For $X \wedge S^1$, notice that collapsing $X \times \partial I$ to a point in $X \times I$ amounts to taking $X \times S^1$ and collapsing one copy of X to a point. Further, collapsing $x_0 \times I$ to a point amounts to collapsing the copy of S^1 in $X \vee S^1$ to a point.

Let’s try induction on n . If $n = 2$, the smash product $S^1 \wedge S^1$ is easily seen to be S^2 since it consists on collapsing the boundary $S^1 \vee S^1$ of the square whose quotient yields $S^1 \times S^1$. For the inductive step *Still incomplete...* \square

2 Mapping cylinders and Hurewicz cofibrations

Definition (wikipedia). Let X be a topological space and let $A \subset X$. We say that the pair (X, A) has the *homotopy extension property* if for any space Y , any homotopy $g_\bullet : A \rightarrow Y^I$ and any map $\tilde{g}_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{g}_0 \circ \iota = g_0$, there exists an *extension* of f_\bullet to a homotopy $\tilde{g}_\bullet : X \rightarrow Y^I$ such that $\tilde{g}_\bullet \circ \iota = g_\bullet$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g_\bullet} & Y^I \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \tilde{g}_\bullet & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_0} & Y \end{array}$$

A *Hurewicz cofibration* is a map $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ satisfying the homotopy extension property.

Exercise (a). Prove that an inclusion $f : A \rightarrow X$ is a Hurewicz cofibration if and only if $A \times I \cup X \times \{0\}$ is a retract of $X \times I$.

Remark. A little late I noticed the comment on Telegram that we may assume A to be a closed subspace. Maybe I wouldn’t have tried the solution following Miller if I had knew this earlier, hehe— still it was nice to see two different solutions.


Solution following Hatcher. (\implies) According to the former definition, choose $Y = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$. The inclusion $A \times I \hookrightarrow Y$ is an homotopy g_\bullet from A to Y . Also, the inclusion $X \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow Y$ is an extension \tilde{g}_0 . Then there exists an extension \tilde{g}_\bullet of the whole homotopy, which is just a map from $X \times I$ to Y . We have thus produced a retraction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I) = Y \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ X \times I & & \end{array}$$

(\impliedby) Now suppose that $(X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$ is a retract of $X \times I$. Let Y be any space, $g_\bullet : A \rightarrow Y^I$ an homotopy and \tilde{g}_0 a map such that $\tilde{g}_0 = g_0 \circ f$.


The homotopy g_\bullet along with \tilde{g}_0 yield a map $\varphi : (A \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}) \rightarrow Y \cup (X \times \{0\})$. The key observation is that if A is closed in X , then this map is continuous by the *gluing lemma*.

Then we simply compose the given retraction r with this map to obtain the homotopy extension:




A complicated argument in Hatcher's appendix shows that such a function is continuous even without the assumption that A is closed. \square

Solution following Miller. The homotopy extension property may be defined as a map $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ such that for any solid-arrow diagram as below, a dotted blue arrow exists making the whole diagram commute:



Now consider the pushout corresponding to ι and the inclusion $A \rightarrow A \times I$. By the universal property of the pushout, the former diagram must factor by the pushout, and we get the following diagram:



The implication (\implies) of our exercise again follows by choosing $Y = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$. For the implication (\impliedby) it appears that we have the same problem as before: we need to construct the blue dashed arrow from the rest of the diagram (using that the black dashed arrow has a left inverse), but it seems that the natural thing to do is defining this function from the two pieces just like before, and we must make sure it is continuous. \square

Definition. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Let $M_f = X \times [0, 1] \cup_f Y$ be the *mapping cylinder of f* , i.e. the pushout of $X \xrightarrow{\cong} X \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ and of $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Let $g : X \rightarrow M_f$ be the map $X \xrightarrow{\cong} X \times \{1\} \rightarrow M_f$. Let $h : M_f \rightarrow Y$ be the map that is induced by $X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y : (x, t) \mapsto f(x)$ and $\text{id}_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$. Observe that f is the composition of g and h .

Remark. In both exercises below you might have to use the fact that pushouts are colimits and that colimits commute with products in CGWH, i.e. $(\text{colim } A_i) \times B$ is canonically homeomorphic with $\text{colim}(A_i \times B)$.

Exercise.

- Show that h is a deformation retract, and in particular is a homotopy equivalence.
- Show that $g : X \rightarrow M_f$ is a cofibration. You may use exercise (a), but the direct proof might be simpler.

Solution.

- We have that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \text{id} \times 1 \downarrow & \searrow g & \downarrow \text{id}_Y \\
 X \times I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_f \\
 & \searrow (x,t) \mapsto f(x) & \downarrow h \\
 & & Y
 \end{array}$$

We must show that there is a homotopy between the identity map on M_f and a retraction from M_f to Y . So we want $h : M_f \times I \rightarrow M_f$ such that

$$h(-, 0) = \text{id}_{M_f}, \quad \text{img } h(-, 1) \subset Y \quad \text{and} \quad h(-, 1)|_Y = \text{id}_Y$$

Since M_f is a pullback, we can see it as a colimit, that is

$$M_f = \text{colim}(X \times I \leftarrow X \rightarrow Y)$$

and, since colimits commute with products in CGWH, we get

$$M_f \times I = \text{colim}(X \times I \times I \leftarrow X \times I \rightarrow Y \times I)$$

that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times \{0\} \times I & \longrightarrow & Y \times I \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow ? \\
 X \times I \times I & \xrightarrow{\quad ? \quad} & M_f \times I \\
 & \searrow (x,t,s) \mapsto f(x) & \downarrow \text{dashed} \\
 & & M_f
 \end{array}$$

[I certainly got stuck in concluding...]

- c. [Also in progress...] Consider the following lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{H} & Z^I \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ M_f & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

□

3 Path spaces and fibrations

Exercise.

- a. Show that $\text{Map}(I, Y)$ deformation retracts on $\text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)$. Most likely you'll have to find a correct map $I \times I \rightarrow I$. Also show that $\text{Map}(I, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)$ is a Hurewicz fibration. The key map will be of the form $I \times I \rightarrow I \times I$.

Solution.

- a. ($\text{Map}(I, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)$ is a Hurewicz fibration.) Let A be any space. We must show that for any homotopy H and lift h_0 there exists an homotopy \tilde{H} as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{h_0} & \text{Map}(I, Y) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{H} & \downarrow p \\ A \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & \text{Map}(0, Y) \end{array}$$

From the isomorphism $\text{Map}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \text{Map}(X, \text{Map}(Y, Z))$ we may rewrite the problem as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \times \{0\}) \times I & & \\ & \searrow H_0 & \\ (A \times I) \times I & \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}} & Y \\ & \nearrow H & \\ (A \times I) \times \{0\} & & \end{array}$$

So we define the dashed arrow by

$$(a, s, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} H_0(a, 0, s - t) & \text{when } s - t \geq 0 \\ H(a, t - s, 0) & \text{when } s - t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

so that when $s = t$ the functions coincide, when $s = 0$ we get H and when $t = 0$ we get H_0 .

($\text{Map}(I, Y)$ **deformation retracts on** $\text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)$.) We must show there is a homotopy

$$h : \text{Map}(I, Y) \times I \longrightarrow \text{Map}(I, Y)$$

such that

$$h(-, 0) = \text{id}_{\text{Map}(I, Y)}, \quad h(-, 1) \subset \text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)$$

$$\text{and} \quad h(-, 1)|_{\text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)} = \text{id}_{\text{Map}(\text{pt}, Y)}.$$

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} I \times I &\rightarrow I \\ (s, t) &\mapsto s - st \end{aligned}$$

Our deformation retract may be written like

$$\begin{aligned} h : \text{Map}(I, Y) \times I &\longrightarrow \text{Map}(I, Y) \\ (f(s), t) &\longmapsto f(s - st) \end{aligned}$$

Then for $t = 0$ we have the identity on $\text{Map}(I, Y)$, and when $t = 1$ we have ev_0 .

□

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Let E_f be the pullback of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and of $\text{ev}_0 : \text{Map}(I, Y) \rightarrow Y$. Let $h : X \rightarrow E_f$ be the map that sends x to $(x, \text{const}(f(x)))$, where $\text{const}(f(x)) : I \rightarrow Y$ is the constant path at $f(x)$. Let $g : E_f \rightarrow Y$ be the composition of projection map $E_f \rightarrow \text{Map}(I, Y)$ with $\text{ev}_1 : \text{Map}(I, Y) \rightarrow Y$.

Exercise. b. Show that $h : X \rightarrow E_f$ is an inclusion of a deformation retract.

c. Show that $g : E_f \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration.

Homework 1.5

1 Exercise on model categories

Exercise (3.1.8 from Riehl). Verify that the class of morphisms \mathcal{L} characterized by the left lifting property against a fixed class of morphisms \mathcal{R} is closed under coproducts, closed under retracts, and contains the isomorphisms.

Solution. (Coproducts.) Suppose the maps $\ell_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ are in \mathcal{L} . Then their coproduct in the arrow category is the obvious map $\coprod A_i \rightarrow \coprod B_i$.

Explicitly, their coproduct is an arrow $\coprod \ell_i$ and a collection of maps $f_i : \ell_i \rightarrow \coprod \ell_i$ such that for any other object $m : A \rightarrow B$ in the arrow category and a map $g : \ell \rightarrow m$, the following diagram is completed uniquely:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & \coprod \ell_i \xrightarrow{\exists!} m \\ & \searrow g & \uparrow \\ & & \end{array} \quad \forall i$$

So we conclude that the source of $\coprod \ell_i$ is $\coprod A_i$ and its target $\coprod B_i$. Indeed, we really looking at

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\ell_i} & B_i \\ f_i^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_i^2 \\ \coprod A_i & \xrightarrow{\coprod \ell_i} & \coprod B_i \\ \exists! \downarrow & & \downarrow \exists! \\ A & \xrightarrow{m} & B \end{array}$$

Now consider the following lifting problem with respect to a morphism $r \in \mathcal{R}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod A_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \\ \coprod \ell_i \downarrow & & \downarrow r \in \mathcal{R} \\ \coprod B_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array}$$

Since $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$, we have maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & \longrightarrow & \coprod A_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \\ \mathcal{L} \ni \ell_i \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow r \in \mathcal{R} \\ B_i & \longrightarrow & \coprod B_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array}$$

which in turn means we have a unique map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_i & \longrightarrow & \coprod A_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \\ \mathcal{L} \ni \ell_i \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow r \in \mathcal{R} \\ B_i & \longrightarrow & \coprod B_i & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array}$$


by the universal property of the coproduct $\coprod B_i$.

To conclude we need to check that the triangles below and above the dashed arrow in the former diagram commute. This follows from the universal property of the coproducts


$\coprod A_i$ and $\coprod B_i$ since, *in general*,

$$\mathrm{Hom}\left(\coprod X_i, Y\right) \cong \prod \mathrm{Hom}(X_i, Y).$$

More explicitly, we now that the red paths in the following diagrams are the same:



and also



so the conclusion follows from the former comment.

(Closed under retracts.) Let us at least state what a retract of a morphism g should be in the arrow category. Recall that a retract is just

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & \searrow & \text{id}_X & \nearrow \end{array}$$

So in the arrow category we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bullet \\ & & \searrow & \text{id} & \nearrow \end{array}$$

□

2 Hatcher's exercise on Whitehead's theorem

Theorem 1 (Whitehead, May). If X is a CW complex and $e : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an n -equivalence, then $e_* : [X, Y] \rightarrow [X, Z]$ is a bijection if $\dim X < n$ and surjection if $\dim X = n$.

Theorem 2 (Whitehead, May). An n -equivalence between CW complexes of dimension less than n is a homotopy equivalence. A weak equivalence between CW complexes is a homotopy equivalence.

Theorem 3 (Whitehead (4.5), Hatcher). If a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between connected CW complexes induces isomorphisms $f_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y)$ for all n , then f is a homotopy equivalence. In case f is the inclusion of a subcomplex $X \hookrightarrow Y$, the conclusion is stronger: X is a deformation retract of Y .

Exercise (Hatcher 4.1.12). Show that an n -connected, n -dimensional CW complex is contractible.

Solution. Just recall that n -connectedness means that $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$, which means that X is contractible by theorem 2. \square

Homework 2

Definition (H-space, Hatcher p. 281). X is an **H-space**, 'H' standing for Hopf, if there is a continuous multiplication map $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and an identity element $e \in X$ such that the two maps $X \rightarrow X$ given by $x \mapsto \mu(x, e)$ and $x \mapsto \mu(e, x)$ are homotopic to the identity through maps $(X, e) \rightarrow (X, e)$.

Exercise (4.1.3). For an H-space (X, x_0) with multiplication $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$, show that the group operation in $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ can also be defined by the rule $(f + g)(x) = \mu(f(x), g(x))$.

Solution. According to the **Eckmann-Hilton argument**, we may show that $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ with the usual operation $+$ and the operation \oplus given by $(f \oplus g)(x) = \mu(f(x), g(x))$ coincide if we manage to show that for all $a, b, c, d \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$

$$(a + b) \oplus (c + d) = (a \oplus c) + (b \oplus d).$$

This follows from definitions. Recall that for $f, g \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$,

$$(f + g)(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \begin{cases} f(2s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) & s_1 \in [0, 1/2] \\ g(2s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n) & s_1 \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (a \oplus c) + (b \oplus d) &= \begin{cases} (a \oplus c)(2s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) & s_1 \in [0, 1/2] \\ (b \oplus d)(2s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n) & s_1 \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu(a(2s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), c(2s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)) & s_1 \in [0, 1/2] \\ \mu(b(2s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n), d(2s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n)) & s_1 \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases} \\ &= \mu(a + b, c + d) \\ &= (a + b) \oplus (c + d) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Exercise (4.1.19). Consider the equivalence relation \simeq_w generated by weak homotopy equivalence: $X \simeq_w Y$ if there are spaces $X = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n = Y$ with weak homotopy

equivalences $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ or $X_i \leftarrow X_{i+1}$ for each i . Show that $X \simeq_w Y$ iff X and Y have a common CW approximation.

Solution. (\Leftarrow) Suppose Z is a common CW approximation of X and Y , that is, Z is a CW complex and there are weak homotopy equivalences $Z \rightarrow X$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$. Then the sequence of spaces $X = X_1$, $Z = X_2$ and $Y = X_3$ shows that $X \simeq_w Y$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose Z is a CW approximation of X and let's show it can be made (somehow) into a CW approximation of Y . There is a weak homotopy equivalence $Z \rightarrow X$, and also a weak homotopy equivalence either $X = X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ or $X = X_1 \leftarrow X_2$. I wonder if this implies that the composition $Z \rightarrow X = X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is also a weak homotopy equivalence \square

Exercise (4.2.1). Use homotopy groups to show that there is no retraction $\mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^k$ for $n > k > 0$.

Solution (in progress...) Suppose there is a retraction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R}P^k & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{R}P^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}P^k \\ & & \searrow \text{id} & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

it induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_i(\mathbb{R}P^k) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(\mathbb{R}P^n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_i(\mathbb{R}P^k) \\ & & \searrow \cong & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

and then just notice that that map is zero. Indeed, recall that the homotopy groups of a covering space are the same as the base (because the homotopy groups of the fibers are trivial), so we have that $\pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^k) \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^k)$ will be zero. \square

Exercise (4.2.2). Show that the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ on $\pi_n(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial for n odd and nontrivial for n even.

Solution. (I have used [StackExchange](#) as suggested in Telegram chat, and also [this internet pdf](#).)

By exercise 4.1.4 the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ on $\pi_n(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ can be identified with the action of deck transformations $G(S^n) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. The nontrivial element in such a group is the antipodal map, and it acts on $\pi_n(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ by concatenation with the map induced on homotopy by the deck transformation (and a change of base point isomorphism).

Then we use Corollary 4.25, which says that the degree map $\pi_n(S^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. Then our action, which is a map $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \pi_n(S^n) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial for n odd and nontrivial for n even since antipodal map multiplies degree by $(-1)^{n+1}$. \square

Exercise (4.2.8). Show that the suspension of an acyclic CW complex is contractible.

Solution. (Warning: there are acyclic spaces with non-trivial homotopy groups.) Let's try to use Hurewicz theorem. Recall that by Freudenthal suspension theorem (coro 4.24) that if X is n -connected, then $\pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X)$ is an isomorphism for $k \leq 2n$. This makes π_1 of the suspension trivial. \square

Exercise (4.2.12). Show that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of connected CW complexes is a homotopy equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on π_1 and if a lift $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ to the universal covers induces an isomorphism on homology. [The latter condition can be restated in terms of homology with local coefficients as saying that $f_* : H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 X]) \rightarrow H_*(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 Y])$ is an isomorphism].

Exercise (4.2.13). Show that a map between connected n -dimensional CW complexes is a homotopy equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on π_i for $i \leq n$. [Pass to universal covers and use homology.]

Solution. Let X and Y be n -dimensional CW complexes and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_* : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n$. Let's try to use Hurewicz theorem, which states that a map between simply connected CW complexes is a homotopy equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on all homology groups.

Consider the universal covers \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} , which are simply connected and also [have CW structures](#). By prop. 4.1, the cover projections induce isomorphisms in the homotopy groups for all $i \geq 2$. By [StackExchange](#) there is a unique lift \tilde{f} to the universal covers making the diagram on the left commute, and by functoriality the diagram on the right also commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \pi_i(\tilde{Y}) \\ p_* \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow q_* \\ \pi_i(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \pi_i(Y) \end{array} \quad i \geq 2$$

We conclude that \tilde{f} is a **weak homotopy equivalence**, and by prop. 4.21 it induces isomorphisms on homology groups. Finally, by Hurewicz theorem (coro. 4.33) it is an homotopy equivalence and so is f . \square

Exercise (4.2.15). Show that a closed simply connected 3-manifold is homotopy equivalent to S^3 .

Solution. Since both S^3 and M are simply connected, by Whitehead's theorem it suffices to construct a map $M \rightarrow S^3$ that induces isomorphisms on $\pi_n(X, x_0)$. To construct the map first notice that M is 2-connected. To see that $\pi_2(M) = 0$ we notice that $H^2(M) \cong H_1(M) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \cong 0$ by Poincaré duality. By Universal Coefficient Theorem (?), we see that **(the free-torsion part is the same in homology and cohomology, yielding)** $H_2(M) = 0$ too. Now we use Hurewicz theorem, which tells us that the first non-zero homotopy group is isomorphic to the first non-zero homology group via the Hurewicz map h :

$\pi_3(M) \cong H_3(M)$. Further, since M is simply-connected, it is orientable by prop. 3.25, and by thm 3.26 $H_3(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

The generator of $\pi_3(M)$ is the map we need to apply Whitehead's theorem. Indeed, it is a map $f : S^3 \rightarrow M$ such that $h[f] = f_*(\alpha)$ with α a generator of $H_n(D^n, \partial D^n)$, is a generator of $H_3(M)$ by definition of the Hurewicz map. In other words, f_* maps generator to generator and thus is an isomorphism. Since the other homotopy groups are zero, we are done. \square

Exercise (4.2.31). (This was solved using comments from exercise lecture and again [this internet pdf.](#))

For a fiber bundle $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ such that the inclusion $F \hookrightarrow E$ is homotopic to a constant map, show that the long exact sequence of homotopy groups breaks into split short exact sequences giving isomorphisms $\pi_n(B) \cong \pi_n(E) \oplus \pi_{n-1}(F)$. In particular, for the Hopf bundles $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ and $S^7 \rightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$ this yields isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n(S^4) &\cong \pi_n(S^7) \oplus \pi_{n-1}(S^3) \\ \pi_n(S^8) &\cong \pi_n(S^{15}) \oplus \pi_{n-1}(S^7)\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\pi_7(S^4)$ and $\pi_5(S^{15}) \oplus \pi_{n-1}(S^7)$ contain \mathbb{Z} summands.

Solution. Consider the long exact sequence in homotopy,

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_i(F) \rightarrow \pi_i(E) \rightarrow \pi_i(B) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(E) \rightarrow \cdots$$

This yields

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_i(E) \rightarrow \pi_i(B) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

To show that this sequence splits we show there is an arrow that goes backward in the last part of the previous sequence. Let f represent a homotopy class in $\pi_{i-1}(F)$ and construct the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^n & \dashrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^n/S^{n-1} \cong S^n & \rightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where the dashed arrow is defined by

$$D^n \ni v \mapsto \begin{cases} H(f(v/|v|), |v|) & v \neq 0 \\ \text{basepoint} & v = 0 \end{cases}$$

with respect to a nullhomotopy $H : F \times I \rightarrow E$ of the inclusion $F \hookrightarrow E$. \square

Exercise (4.2.32). Show that if $S^k \rightarrow S^m \rightarrow S^n$ is a fiber bundle, then $k = n - 1$ and $m = 2n - 1$. [Look at the long exact sequence of homotopy groups.]

Solution. From the previous exercise we have

$$\pi_i(S^n) = \pi_i(S^m) \oplus \pi_{i-1}(S^k).$$

Notice that the inclusion of the fiber in the total space is homotopic to a constant map because this is a fibration, ie. there are local neighbourhoods in the base where the preimage looks like $\mathbb{R}^n \times S^n$, implying that $n + k = m$, that is, $k < m$. So $\pi_k(S^m) = 0$.

Now if we take $i = n$, we get that

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_n(S^m) \oplus \pi_{n-1}(S^k).$$

Now observe that

- If $k = 0$ and $n = m > 1$ then $S^n = S^m$ is simply-connected and there is no non-trivial covering $S^m \rightarrow S^n$.
- $k = 0$ and $m = n = 1$, then there is $S^0 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow S^1$.
- $k > 0$ then $n < m$, so $\pi_n(S^m) = 0$ and then $\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_{n-1}(S^k)$. This means that $n - 1 \geq k$.

Now choose $i = k + 1$. We get that

$$\pi_{k+1}(S^n) \cong \pi_{k+1}(S^m) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

This means that, since $m = n + k$ because fiber bundle, we have $n + k \geq 2k + 1 > k + 1$. This implies that $\pi_{k+1}(S^m) = 0$. Finally $\pi_{k+1}(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \implies k + 1 \geq n$. \square

Exercise (4.2.34). Let $p : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ be the Hopf bundle and let $q : T^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be the quotient map collapsing the complement of a ball in the 3-dimensional torus $T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ to a point. Show that $pq : T^3 \rightarrow S^2$ induces the trivial map on π_* and \tilde{H}_* , but is not homotopic to a constant map.

Solution. First let's show that pq induces a trivial map on π_* and \tilde{H}_* . Recall that the product behaves good in homotopy groups, so that $\pi_1(T^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\pi_i(T^3) \cong 0$ for $i > 1$.

Now, notice that fiber bundles are Hurewicz fibrations (over second countable manifolds). This gives us a lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^3 \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow \\ T^3 \times I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^2 \end{array}$$

We get a map $g : T^3 \rightarrow S^3$ that factors through the fiber

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g : H_3(T^3) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_3(S^3) \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & H_3(S^1) & \end{array}$$

which makes $f_* : H_3(T^3) \rightarrow H_3(S^3)$ an isomorphism. □

Exercise. There is a fiber sequence $U(n) \hookrightarrow U(n+1) \rightarrow U(n+1)/U(n) \cong S^{2n+1}$. Use this to show that $\pi_k(U(n)) \rightarrow \pi_k(U(n+1))$ is isomorphism for $n > k/2$. Compute $\pi_k(U(n))$ for $n \geq 2$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$. In fact, if k is even then $\pi_k(U(N)) = 0$ and if k is odd then $\pi_k(U(N)) = \mathbb{Z}$, where again $N > k/2$. These equalities are known as Bott periodicity.

Solution. The required isomorphisms $\pi_k(U(n)) \rightarrow \pi_k(U(n+1))$ follow simply from the fact that S^{2n+1} is $2n+1$ -connected: in the long homotopy sequence of the fiber bundle we have

$$\pi_{k+1}(S^{2n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_k(U(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_k(U(n+1)) \longrightarrow \pi_k(S^{2n+1})$$

so when $2n+1 > k+1 \iff n > k/2$ the homotopy groups of the spheres vanish and we have an isomorphism.

The group $\pi_1(U(n))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . This follows from the fact that $U(1)$ is homeomorphic to a circle and by induction using the former isomorphism $\pi_1(U(n)) \cong \pi_1(U(n+1))$. We also have $\pi_2(U(1)) = 0$, so that again by induction we get $\pi_2(U(n)) = 0$. Finally, a similar argument shows $\pi_3(U(n)) = 0$. □

Cohomology ring of \mathbb{CP}^n

Exercise. Show that

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{CP}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/(\alpha^{n+1})$$

where α has degree 2.

Proof. The CW structure of \mathbb{CP}^n consists of one cell for every even dimension. This gives us the following chain complex:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{array}$$

which yields the cohomology

$$H^i(\mathbb{CP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2n \\ 0, & i \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{CP}^n) = H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n) \oplus \dots \oplus H^{2n}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$$

This means that the underlying group of the cohomology ring is the same as that of

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/(\alpha^{n+1})$$

where α has degree 2. To show that these groups are also isomorphic as algebras we can use Poincaré duality as follows.

Consider the case $n = 2$, where we may immediately multiply the generator of second cohomology group with itself:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) \times H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) &\rightarrow H^4(\mathbb{CP}^2) \\ (\alpha, \alpha) &\mapsto \alpha \smile \alpha = \alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Poincaré duality this map is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form, so it must map generator to a generator. **The fact that the product of the generator in degree 2 is the generator of degree 4** yields an homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] &\rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{CP}^n) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n) \end{aligned}$$

with kernel (α^{n+1}) as desired.

Now the case of \mathbb{CP}^3 is:

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(\mathbb{CP}^3) \times H^4(\mathbb{CP}^3) &\rightarrow H^6(\mathbb{CP}^3) \\ (\alpha, \alpha^2) &\mapsto \alpha \smile \alpha^2 = \alpha^3 \end{aligned}$$

which also maps generator to generator, producing the desired algebra isomorphism. Notice we have used the group isomorphism $H^4(\mathbb{CP}^3) \approx H^4(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ when denoting the generator of $H^4(\mathbb{CP}^3)$ as α^2 . Such an isomorphism is induced by inclusion $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ via relative cohomology exact sequence.

The case for dimension n follows by induction. □

Spectral sequences

1 Wang spectral sequence

Let's use course notes from S. Burkin and [wikipedia](#) to understand Wang spectral sequence.

We will use the following result:

Theorem 4 (Serre spectral sequence for homology). Let $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$ be a fibration with B path-connected. If $\pi_1(B)$ acts trivially on $H_*(F; G)$, then there is a spectral sequence $\{E_{p,q}^r, d_r\}$ with

•

$$d_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-q,q+r-1}^r \quad \text{and} \quad E_{p,q}^{r+1} = \ker d_{p,q}^r / \text{img } d_{p,q}^r$$

• The groups

$$F_p H_n := \text{img}(H_n(X_p) \rightarrow H_n(X))$$

where the map $H_n(X_p) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ is just the induced map by inclusion $X_p \hookrightarrow X$, form a filtration

$$0 \subset F_0 H_n \subset \dots \subset F_n H_n = H_n(X; G)$$

of $H_n(X; G)$ such that

$$E_{p,n-p}^\infty \cong F_p H_n / F_{p-1} H_n.$$

Another way to write this is

$$H_{p,q}^\infty = F_p H_{p+q} / F_{p-1} H_{p+q}.$$

•

$$E_{p,q}^2 \cong H_p(B; H_q(F; G))$$

To start consider a fibration over a sphere $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$. According to our theorem for Serre spectral sequences, we know that the n -th page looks as follows:

n	$H_n(F)$	$H_n(F)$
	\vdots	\vdots
2	$H_2(F)$	$H_2(F)$
1	$H_1(F)$	$H_1(F)$
0	$H_0(F)$	$H_0(F)$
	$0 \quad \dots \quad n$	

We can see there can be nontrivial differentials only on the n th page, so that $E^{n+1} = E^n$. Moreover, the differentials that don't vanish are of the form

$$E_{n,q}^n \xrightarrow{d_{n,q}^n} E_{0,q+n-1}^n$$

For $d_{n,q-n}^n$ we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_{n,q-n}^n \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} E_{n,q-n}^n \xrightarrow{d_{n,q-n}^n} E_{0,q-1}^n \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} \text{coker } d_{n,q-n}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

But all that is just

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker d_{n,q-n}^n & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & E_{n,q-n}^n & \xrightarrow{d_{n,q-n}^n} & E_{0,q-1}^n \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} \text{coker } d_{n,q-n}^n \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{\ker d_{n,q-n}^n}{\text{img } d_{n,q-n}^{n-1}} & \longrightarrow & E_{n,q-n}^2 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,q-1}^2 \longrightarrow \frac{E_{n,q-n}^n}{\text{img } d_{n,q-n}^n} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{n,q-n}^\infty & \longrightarrow & H_{q-n}(F) & \longrightarrow & H_{q-1}(F) \longrightarrow E_{0,q-1}^\infty \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

This is the first "half" of the Wang sequence. For the other half recall that by the theorem of Serre spectral sequence for homology we know that

$$E_{p,n-p}^{\infty} = F_p H_n / F_{p-1} H_n$$

for a filtration on the n -th homology group of the total space

$$\emptyset = F_{-1} \subset F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_n = H_n(E).$$

And recall that we may also write

$$E_{p,q}^{\infty} = F_p H_{p+q} / F_{p-1} H_{p+q}.$$

Then we can see that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{0,q}^{\infty} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_q(E) & \longrightarrow & E_{n,q-n}^{\infty} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ & & \frac{F_0 H_q(F)}{0 F_{-1} H_q(F)} & & & & \frac{F_n H_q(E)}{F_{n-1} H_q(E)} \end{array}$$

But

$$E_{0,q}^{\infty} = \frac{F_0 H_q(F)}{0 F_{-1} H_q(F)} \stackrel{\text{why?}}{=} H_q(F)$$

This is the other "half" of the Wang sequence. It only remains to put both halves together and conclude that

$$\dots \rightarrow H_q(F) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_q(E) \rightarrow E_{n,q-n}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{d_{n,q-n}^n} H_{q-1}(F) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(E) \rightarrow E_{0,q-1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \dots$$

But we may remove the E^{∞} terms to get

$$\dots \rightarrow H_q(F) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_q(E) \rightarrow H_{q-n}(F) \xrightarrow{d_{n,q-n}^n} H_{q-1}(F) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(E) \rightarrow H_{q-n-1}(F) \rightarrow \dots$$

2 Extra exercise on spectral sequences

Exercise (June 20). Let $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ be a Serre fibration, \mathbb{F} a field and suppose the $\pi_1(B) \curvearrowright H_*(F, \mathbb{F})$ is trivial. Suppose $\chi(B)$ and $\chi(F)$ exist. Show that $\chi(E) = \chi(F) \cdot \chi(B)$.

Solution. Recall that for a topological space X ,

$$\chi(X) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H_i(X, \mathbb{F}).$$

Also recall that when the coefficients in a spectral sequence are a field, we have the following nice expression for the associated graded:

$$H_n(X) \cong \bigoplus_p E_{p,n-p}^{\infty} = \bigoplus_p F_p H_n / F_{p-1} H_n$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(E) &= \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H_i(E) \\
&= (-1)^i \sum_i \dim \left(\bigoplus_p F_p / F_{p-1} \right) \\
&= (-1)^i \sum_i \dim E_{p,n-p}^\infty
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\chi(F) \cdot \chi(B) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H_i(F) \cdot \sum_j (-1)^j \dim H_j(B) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} E_{j,i}^r.$$

If we understand the last equation, our exercise is solved once we show that

Claim. The expression $\sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} E_{j,i}^r$ does not depend on r .

Here's a few facts that will turn out helpful

- $H_i(X, \mathbb{F}^k) \cong \bigoplus_k H_i(X, \mathbb{F})$
- $H_i(X, V) = V \otimes H_i(X, \mathbb{F})$ for a vector space V over \mathbb{F} .
- $H_p(B, H_q(F, \mathbb{F})) \cong H_q(F, \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_p(B, \mathbb{F})$.
- For a chain complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_k \rightarrow C_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

we have

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \dim C_i = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H_i(C_\bullet)$$

□

Exam questions

1 Computation of $\pi_4(S^3)$

1.1 Solution from [StackExchange](#)

1.2 Solution from [754notes.pdf](#)

When we apply the Postnikov tower to S^3 , we obtain from the third and fourth levels a fibration

$$K(\pi_4(S^3), 4) \hookrightarrow Y_4 \rightarrow Y_3 = K(\mathbb{Z}, 3).$$

Now we do the homology spectral sequence of this fibration. We get the following second page

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
 4 & \pi_4 & & & \pi_4 \\
 3 & & & & \\
 2 & & & & \\
 1 & & & & \\
 0 & \pi_4 & & & \pi_4 \\
 \hline
 E^2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3
 \end{array}$$

where $\pi_4 = \pi_4(S^3)$. But we don't know what lies further up nor right.

We should look at the 5th page, since the differential

$$d_{5,0}^5 : E_{5,0}^5 \rightarrow E_{0,4}^5$$

has chances of being non-trivial.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc}
 4 & \pi_4 & & & & \pi_4 \\
 3 & & & & & \\
 2 & & & & & \\
 1 & & & & & \\
 0 & \pi_4 & & & \pi_4 & ? \\
 \hline
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5
 \end{array}$$

Recall that a CW decomposition of S^3 is given by a 3-cell and a 0-cell. Since Y_4 is obtained from S^3 by attaching cells of dimension $n + 2$, we get that

$$H_4(Y_4) = H_5(Y_5) = 0.$$

We know there is a filtration on homology

$$0 = F_{-1}H_n \subset F_0H_n \subset \dots \subset F_nH_n = H_n(Y_4)$$

such that

$$E_{p,q}^\infty = F^p H^{p+q} / F^{p-1} H^{p+q}.$$

But the 4th and 5th homology groups are zero, so the filtration is zero and so are the groups $E_{p,q}^\infty$ with $p + q = 4, 5$. And of course the groups $E_{5,0}^6$ and $E_{0,4}^6$ are (always) already $E_{5,0}^\infty$ and $E_{0,4}^\infty$, meaning

$$0 = E_{5,0}^6 = \frac{\ker d^5}{\text{img } d^5} \implies \pi_4(S^3) \cong H_5(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)).$$

...so finally we are convinced that we are looking for $H^5(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)\mathbb{Z})$.

and because the generator squared is minus the generator, the group must be $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

References

- [1] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000 (cit. on pp. 4, 11).
- [2] J.P. May. *A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, 1999. ISBN: 9780226511832 (cit. on p. 10).
- [3] H.R. Miller. *Lectures On Algebraic Topology*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2021. ISBN: 9789811231261. URL: <https://books.google.com.br/books?id=LIZGEAAQBAJ> (cit. on pp. 4, 5).
- [4] Emily Riehl. *Homotopical categories: from model categories to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories*. 2020. arXiv: 1904.00886 [math.AT] (cit. on p. 8).