## Home assignment 2: spectral sequences

## The monodromy of Gauss-Manin local system

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\pi: E \to B$  be a locally trivial fibration with fiber F. The family of cohomology of fibers of  $\pi$  is locally trivial, (what does this mean precisely?) but it might have *the monodromy*. In other words, the group  $\pi_1(B)$  naturally acts on the algebra  $H^*(F)$  by autmorphisms. To obtain this action, take a loop in B and trivialize the family  $\pi$  along small intervals of this loop; this gives an identification of  $H^*(F)$  with itself, which might be non-trivial.

**Remark** (Understanding the monodromy action of cohomology). (From StackExchange) Let  $f: X \to U$  be a proper surjective submersion and fix  $u_0 \in U$ .

For any path  $\gamma \subset U_j$ , there is a canonical diffeomorphism  $\phi_{\gamma}: f^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \to f^{-1}(\gamma(1))$ , using  $\psi_i$  (by a theorem of Ehresmann, all the fibers of f are diffeomorphic).

Now, for any loop  $\gamma$ , split  $\gamma$  into paths  $\gamma_i \subset U_i$  and you can compose these diffeomorphisms to get a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi_{\gamma_n} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{\gamma_1} : f^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}_0) \to f^{-1}(\gamma(\mathfrak{u}_0))$$

It induces a map on homology: you can check that it is well defined up to homotopy.

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $\phi^* : \mathbb{Z} \to \operatorname{Aut}(H^*(F))$  be an automorphism induced by a homeomorphism  $\phi : F \to F$ . Construct a locally trivial family over a circle with monodromy in cohomology induced by  $\phi^*$ .

**Interpretation** Given an action  $\phi^* : \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(S^1) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H^*(F))$ , construct a fibre bundle such that  $\phi^*$  is the monodromy action on cohomology.

*Proof.* Consider the standard torus fibration  $T^2 \to S^1$ . Any path in the circle can be thought of as an number  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Perhaps the induced automorphism on cohomology is precisely the map  $\mathbb{Z} \ni a \mapsto na \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But I'm not looking for an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ... I need an automorphism of  $H^{\bullet}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ ...

## Leray-Serre spectral sequence

**Exercise 2.4.** Let  $\pi: E \longrightarrow B$  be a fibration with the fiber a torus. Assume the  $d_2 = 0$ . Prove that all differentials vanish.

*Proof.* Since  $d_2 = 0$ , we have that  $E_3^{p,q} = E_2^{p,q}$ . Then

$$d_3: H^p(B) \otimes H^q(T) \longrightarrow H^{p+3}(B) \otimes H^{q-2}(T),$$

so the only way it could be non-zero is for q = 2, which implies that

$$H^p(B) \otimes H^2(T) \cong H^{p+3}(B) \otimes H^0(T) \iff H^p(B) \cong H^{p+3}(B)$$

But I don't see why this couldn't happen...

**Exercise 2.5.** Let  $\pi: E \to B$  be a fibration with the fiber a torus. Assume that the pullback map  $\pi^*: H^2(B) \to H^2(E)$  is injective. Prove that all differentials  $d_i$  vanish.

*Solution.* I'm not sure how to use the hypothesis since I usually deal with the total space after computing the  $E_{\infty}$  page via the filtration...

**Exercise 2.6.** Let  $\pi: E \to B$  be a fibration with the fiber a complex projective space. Assume that  $d_2=0$  and  $d_3=0$ . Prove that all differentials  $d_i$  vanish.

*Solution.* Since a complex projective space hascohomology equal to the coefficients in even dimensions and 0 in odd dimensions, we have the following second page of the spectral sequence:

It is immediate that  $d_4$  is also zero, meaning that  $E_2 = E_3 = E_4 = E_5$ . However the case of  $d_5$  is not so obvious since we get a map

$$d_5: H^0(B) \to H^5(B)$$

that could be non-zero. The same will happen for all odd-index differentials.  $\Box$ 

**Exercise 2.7.** Let  $\tau: F \to E$  be the standard embedding map. Prove that the sequence

$$0\,\longrightarrow\, H^1(B)\,\stackrel{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow}\, H^1(E)\,\stackrel{\tau^*}{\longrightarrow}\, H^1(F)\,\stackrel{d_2}{\longrightarrow}\, H^2(B)\,\stackrel{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow}\, H^2(E)$$

is exact.

*Outline of solution.* In nLab we see how to construct such an exact sequence using certain connectedness assumptions on the base and the fiber. The idea is similar to Gysin and Wang exact sequences below: connectedness and Hurewicz theorem make the first cohomology groups (except the 0-th) vanish just like in the case of the sphere.

More explicitly, if the base is  $(n_1 - 1)$  connected and the fiber is  $(n_2 - 1)$ -connected,

$$H^{k}(B) = 0,$$
  $0 < k < n_{1}$   
 $H^{k}(F) = 0,$   $0 < k < n_{2}$ 

This means that the only possible non-vanishing differential is on the k-th page and on the form

$$d_k: E_k^{k,0} = H^k(B) \quad \longrightarrow \quad E_k^{0,k-1?} H^k(F)$$

As in my proofs below, to extend this to an exact sequence involving the cohomology of the total space we use the convergence of the spectral sequence (the  $E_{\infty}$  terms) and the associated filtration.

This exact sequence begins at the ?-th group and ends at the 0-th cohomology. (The other way around)  $\Box$ 

**Exercise 2.8.** Let  $F = S^k$ , that is,  $\pi : E \to B$  is a sphere bundle. Prove that all differentials  $d_{k+1}$  vanish. Construct the *Gysin exact sequence* 

$$\cdots \to H^p(B) \to H^{p+k+1}(B) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^{p+k+1}(E) \to H^{p+1}(B) \to \cdots$$

Solution. (This argument is adapted from the construction of Wang exact sequence found in Wikipedia). We have that  $E_2^{p,q}=H^p(B)\otimes H^q(S^k)$  can only be non-zero for q=0,k. This means that the only non-zero differentials are of the form

$$d_{k+1}: E_2^{p,k} \cong H^p(B) \longrightarrow E_2^{p+k+1,0} \cong H^{p+k+1}(B)$$
 
$$H^0(B) \otimes H^k(S^k) \qquad H^1(B) \otimes H^k(S^k) \qquad \cdots \qquad H^k(B) \otimes H^k(S^k)$$
 
$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$
 
$$H^0(B) \otimes H^0(S^k) \qquad \cdots \qquad H^{k+1}(B) \otimes H^0(S^k) \qquad H^{k+2}(B) \otimes H^0(S^k)$$

which means that  $E^{k+1}=E^{\infty}.$  Since  $E^{k+1}=\ker d_{k+1}/\mathop{img} d_{k+1}$ , we can write

This is the "first half" of the Gysin sequence. For the other half we must compute the  $E_{\infty}$ terms. We use the filtration

$$H^n(E) = F^0 H^n \supset F^1 H^n \supset ... \supset F^n H^n$$

that we know to satisfy

$$\mathsf{E}^{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}_{\infty}\cong\frac{\mathsf{F}^{\mathfrak{p}}\mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{q}}}{\mathsf{F}^{\mathfrak{p}+1}\mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{q}}}.$$

We may write (I'm not completely sure why this works)

Putting this together with the first sequence we computed, we get that

$$\to \mathsf{E}^{\mathfrak{p},k}_{\infty} \to \mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathsf{B}) \overset{d_{k+1}}{\to} \mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}+k+1}(\mathsf{B}) \to \mathsf{E}^{\mathfrak{p}+k+1,0}_{\infty} \to \mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}+k+1}(\mathsf{E}) \to \mathsf{E}^{\mathfrak{p}+1,k}_{\infty} \to$$

and we simply remove the  $E_{\infty}$  terms to get the Gysin sequence

$$\longrightarrow H^p(B) \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} H^{p+k+1}(B) \longrightarrow H^{p+k+1}(E) \longrightarrow H^{p+1}(B) \longrightarrow$$

**Remark.** I still cannot see why the map  $H^{p+k+1}(B) \to H^{p+k+1}(E)$  is the map induced by the projection.

**Exercise 2.11.** Let  $\pi: E \to B$  be a fibration with  $B = S^k$ . Prove that all differentials except d<sub>k</sub> vanish. Construct an exact sequence

$$\cdots \, \to \, H^{p+k}(F) \, \stackrel{\tilde{d}_k}{\to} \, H^p(F) \, \stackrel{\mu}{\to} \, H^{p+k}(E) \, \to \, H^{p+k+1}(F) \, \to \, \cdots$$

where  $\mu$  is multiplication by  $\pi^* \operatorname{Vol}_{S^k}$  and  $\tilde{d}_k$  is equal to  $d_k$  after the identification  $H^p(F) =$  $H^k(S^k) \otimes H^p(F) = E_2^{k,p}$ 

Solution. Like in Exercise 2.8 we see that the only non-zero differentials are

$$d_k: H^0(S^k) \otimes H^{k+p} \longrightarrow H^k(S^k) \otimes H^{p+1}(F)$$

because  $E_2 = E_k$  looks like this:

Again like in Exercise 2.8 we obtain a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{E}^{0,\mathsf{q}}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{F}) \stackrel{d_k}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{q}-k+1}(\mathsf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{E}^{k,\mathsf{q}-k+1}_{\infty} \longrightarrow 0$$

Remark. The exercise has the map  $H^{p+k}(F) \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_k} H^p(F)$ , but my computations suggest it should be  $H^{p+k}(F) \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_k} H^{p+1}(F)$ .

Then we compute the  $\mathsf{E}_\infty$  terms using a filtration

$$\mathsf{H}^{\mathbf{n}}(\mathsf{E}) = \mathsf{F}^{0}\mathsf{H}^{\mathbf{n}} \supset \mathsf{F}^{1}\mathsf{H}^{\mathbf{n}} \supset \ldots \supset \mathsf{F}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathsf{H}^{\mathbf{n}}, \qquad \mathsf{E}_{\infty}^{\mathsf{p},\mathsf{q}} = \frac{\mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{p}+\mathsf{q}}}{\mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{p}+1}\mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{p}+\mathsf{q}}}$$

which yields

$$0 \, \longrightarrow \, \mathsf{E}_{\infty}^{k-1,q-k+1} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathsf{E}) \, \longrightarrow \, \mathsf{E}_{\infty}^{0,\mathfrak{q}} \, \longrightarrow \, 0$$

and then we get

$$\cdots \to H^q(E) \to H^q(F) \to H^{q-k+1}(F) \to H^{q+1}(E) \to H^{q+1}(F) \to \cdots$$

Remark. As in Exercise 2.8, I don't know why the map  $H^{q-k+1}(F) \to H^{q+1}(E)$  should be multiplication by the volume form of  $S^k$ .

**Exercise last.** Generators here (horizontal), generators there (vertical, 1,3,5), "Extend generators by Leibniz rule, and then they just kill everyting"