

SL(4)-stuff (Part 2)

Investigations of SL(4)-structures

Graham Smith

PUC-Rio

October 10, 2024

We continue our investigation into the structure of convex cocompact representations of compact surface subgroups in semi-simple Lie groups, focusing this time on the anti de Sitter Case. We shall show how Thurston's constructions, including measured geodesic laminations and real trees, are realised geometrically inside anti de Sitter space, and we will see how k-surfaces serve to interpolate between these structures. Time permitting, we will study how these structures generalize to (1,3)-Anosov representations in $\mathrm{PSL}(4, \mathbb{R})$.

[Abstract.](#)

Contents

1 Anti de Sitter space	1
1.1 Teichmüller theory	2
1.2 Generalizing domain Γ	2
1.3 Beyrer-Kassel '23	2
1.4 Towards our result	3
1.4.1 Anti-De sitter space	3

1 Anti de Sitter space

$$\mathrm{AdS}^{3,1} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 - x_4^2 = -1\}$$

Projectivize everything and take an affine chart (y_1, y_2, y_3) . you compute that $y_1^2 + y_2^2 - y_3^2 = 1 - 1/x_4^2$. This is the inside of a hyperboloid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Remember that it is missing one point because it's an affine chart. So in reality it's a solid torus.

SL(4)-stuff (Part 2)

On complete maximal submanifolds in pseudo-hyperbolic space

Graham Smith

PUC-Rio

February 4, 2025

1.1 Teichmüller theory

Nowadays we think of Teichmüller space as

$$\{\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : \rho \text{ discrete, injective}\} / \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

Consider

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{a reductive Lie group}$$

(just think of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ or $\mathrm{U}(n)$)

First consider

$$\{\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G : \rho \text{ injective, discrete, Anosov/convex cocompact}\} / G$$

for a surface group $\Gamma = \pi_1(\Sigma)$

1.2 Generalizing domain Γ

So instead of surface group consider Γ a Gromov hyperbolic group, so $\Gamma = \pi_1(X^d)$ for some X compact hyperbolic.

1. Quasi-Fuchsian manifolds, $\Gamma := \pi_1(X^d)$, $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSO}(d+1, 1)$. For $d = 2$, Ahlfors-Bers.
2. More recently, Barbot 2015. $\Gamma = \pi_1(X^d)$, $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSO}(d, 2)$ e.c. Connected component of Fuchsian reps consist of convex co-compact reps.

Mostow: deformation space of $\pi_1(X^d) = \Gamma \subseteq \underbrace{\mathrm{PSO}(d, 1)}_{\text{isometries of } \mathbb{H}^d}$

Summary so far All deformations of quasiFuchsian representations are convex cocompact(that means that a cocompact action on a convex thing).

1.3 Beyrer-Kassel '23

Γ gromov hyp. $\partial\Gamma = \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$. Consider the representation space

$$\{\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSO}(p, q+q)\} / \mathrm{PSO}(p, q+1)$$

Then I think that the representations that are convex cocompact are:

$$\{\text{convex cocompact}\} = \text{union of (I think *some*) connected components.}$$

1.4 Towards our result

to understand a group you study the space it acts on

So take $\text{PSO}(p, q+1)$ and the space it acts on is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}^{p,q} &:= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1} : \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = 1\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q+1} : \|x\|_{p,q+1}^2 = -1\}\end{aligned}$$

Now have a look at different cases

1. $q = 0$ $\mathbb{H}^{p,0} = \mathbb{H}^p$.
2. $q = 1$ $\mathbb{H}^{p,1} = \text{AdS}^{p,1}$

1.4.1 Anti-De sitter space

In a coordinate chart, it looks like the inside space of a one sheeted hyperbola.

- Maximal spacelike totalic geodesic slices are copies of \mathbb{H}^p
- Maximal timelike totally geodesic slices are copies of \mathbb{S}^q with -1_X metric.

Now we look at **Fermi coordinates**: a foliation of X by (I think) geodesics orthogonal to Y . So if Y is a point then it's just geodesic coordinates.

We have taken Fermi coordinates about totally geodesic timelike sphere. It's an exercise to compute that the metric is

$$g = g_{\text{hyp}}^p - \cosh^2(R) g_{\text{sph}}^q$$

Then we look at stereographic coordinates...

Eventually we have discussed the space

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M^p = \mathbb{H}^{p,q} : M \text{ spacelike, maximal (minimal?), complete}\}$$

and the *ideal boundary map*

$$\partial_\infty : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B$$

which is continuous and

Theorem ∂_∞ is a homeomorphism,

$\forall B \in$