

Symplectic and contact nature of Riemannian geometry

Graham Smith
PUC-Rio

1st and 8th of November 2024

Surfaces of constant extrinsic curvature k .

	\mathbb{H}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	S^3
$k > 1$	S^3	S^2	S^2
$k = 1$	Horospheres, horocycles		
$0 < k < 1$	ess. param., $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \sqcup \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\mathbb{C}\}$		

Remark Intrinsic curvature = extrinsic curvature + sectional curvature of the ambient space.

X a 3-manifold, take $x \in X$ and $v_x \in TX$. The Levi-Civita connection provides

$$\begin{aligned} T_v TX &\cong H_v TX \oplus V_x TX \\ &\cong \underbrace{T_x X}_{\text{hor.}} \oplus \underbrace{T_x X}_{\text{vert.}} \end{aligned}$$

- Saski metric

$$\langle (\xi, \mu), (\xi', \mu') \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle + \langle \mu, \mu' \rangle$$

- Symplectic form

$$\omega((\xi, \mu), (\xi', \mu')) = \langle \xi, \mu' \rangle - \langle \xi', \mu \rangle$$

which we may pull back to X via the musical isomorphism to obtain the *Saski symplectic form* of T^*X $\omega = \flat^* \omega_{\text{st}}$.

-

$$m((\xi, \mu), (\xi', \mu'))$$

- There is a complex structure I
- And a quadratic form

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_0 \\ I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

And a contact bundle pulling the luiville form from T^*X . And then there is a another complex structure J . So define $K := IJ$, which gives a **hyperkähler contact structure** on the unit tangent bundle.

Upshot We have created a pseudoholomorphic curve from a k -surface by taking the unit tangent normal. That is, consider the normal map as an embedding of our surface in the unit tangent bundle.

Theorem (Jurgens) $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^4$

- (i) complete,
- (ii) J -holomorphic
- (iii) $m|_{T\Sigma} \geq 0$

then Σ is a plane. (Morally, the graph of a linear function.)

Theorem (dim 3,4 Calabi, dim 5 \geq Pgorelov) $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- (i) f convex,
- (ii) $\det \text{Hess}(f) = 1$ (Monge-Ampère)

Then f is quadratic.

Proof. Short, done in seminar. □

And then we want to prove a compactness property. So we take a sequence of surfaces $(\Sigma_m, p_m) \subset S^1X$ with $p_m \in \Sigma_m$. Suppose $\| \cdot \|_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Then there exists B_m and q_m such that

- (i) $\| \cdot \|_m(q_m) = B_m$.
- (ii) $B_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ and,
- (iii) by a lemma that is easy to prove, $\forall r \in B_{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\| \cdot \|_m(q_m)}}}(q_m)$.

And then we rescale the metrics $g \rightarrow g_m = B_m^{-2}g$. That makes the metric be flatter and flatter, like zooming in, and also makes the shape operator of every surface have norm 1. and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S^1X, g_m) &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^5,) \\ \Sigma_m &\longrightarrow \Sigma_m \subset \mathbb{R}^5 \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the famous Cheeger Gromov topology in the limit, which is not so easily defined. And by Arzelá-Ascoli and Elliptic regularity magic (see M. Joshi Course notes) (regularity is not smoothness but some differentiability) the limit surface is compact, positive, J -homolomorphic. And by Jurgén's theorem they are flat. But that's a contradiction with the fact that the shape operators of these surfaces have norm 1.

So you have compactness. Yaaaaay!