# Degenerations of the canonical series for curves (Part 1/2)

Eduardo Esteves IMPA 20 Septembro 2024

#### **Abstract**

# **Contents**

1	Introduction	1
2	What we do	2
3	Some combinatorics	3
4	What we do	3
5	The additional data (?)	4
6	After break	5
7	Regular differentials (Rosenlicht)	5
8	Kapranov	6

### 1 Introduction

What is the canonical series? Consider C a projective smooth connected curve over a closed field k. The cotangent bundle  $\omega_C$  is the same as the bundle of differentials, and the canonical bundle. It has complex dimension 1. The local sections are differentials.

A differential  $\alpha \in \Gamma(C, \omega_C)$  is holomorphic, it can be meromorphic. It is written as  $\alpha = fdt$  for some  $f \in k(C)$ . This leads to the notion of a *divisor* of a differential, which in this case is

$$div(\alpha) = \sum ord_p(t_p)p$$

and it is also the *canonical divisor*.

Now

$$\omega_{\rm C} = \Theta_{\rm C}(k)$$

The *genus*, which is a topological invariant, is also dim<sub>k</sub>  $\Gamma(C, \omega_C)$ .

$$deg(\omega_C) = deg(K) = 2g - 2$$

And

$$\mathbb{H} = \Gamma(C, \omega_C)$$

is the *canonical series*.

**Example** Smooth quartic plane curve

$$C=V(F)\subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

We have that deg(F) = 4, and

$$\begin{split} \omega_C &= \mathfrak{O}_C(1) = \mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|_C\\ g &= \frac{(d-1)(d-L)}{2} = 3\\ \mathfrak{O}_C(1) &= \mathfrak{O}_C(L \cap C)\\ deg(\mathfrak{O}_C(1)) &= 4 = 2g - 2\\ dim_k \, \Gamma(G\mathfrak{O}_C(1)) &= 3 = g \end{split}$$

Think of the canonical series as a space of linear sections of a line bundle, but also as a collection of divisors parametrized by  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 

Example (A singular quadric)

#### 2 What we do

Given a nodal curve X (at a node there are two "branches" that intersect) which is general for its topology (G = (V, E) dual graph) where

- V is the set of irreducible components). There is a correspondence of the vertices in this graph and curves in  $X : v \in V \iff X_v \subset X$ .
- E is the set of nodes. Here  $e \in E \iff N_e \in X$ . So an edge is a pair of points if the node belongs to the intersection of the corresponding curves:

$$e = \{u, v\} \iff N_e \in X_u \cap X_v$$

• The *genus function* associates to every component its geometric genus:

$$\begin{split} g: V &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0} \\ g(\nu) &= \text{geometric genus of } X_{\nu} \end{split}$$

(I think the geometric genus is the genus of the normalization of the variety.)

This is the combinatorial data attached to the curve.

We We look for a general curve with respect to the geometric genus, and say it is *general for its position* if the nodal points are in general position.

Then we have a stratification of

 $\overline{M} = \{ \text{stable curves X with finite automorphism group} \}$ 

And here *stable* means nodal. So for example you can have stability if the degree of  $\omega_C|_X$  is positive.

So the stratification is:

$$\overline{m}_{g} = | | m_{(G,g)}$$

where

 $\mathcal{M}_{G,g} = \{X \text{ s.t. } G \text{ is the dual graph of } X_{\omega} \text{ genus function } g.\}$ 

And we have that

$$\operatorname{codim} M_{G,q} = |E|,$$

the number of edges.

**Remark** These are graph curves. All their components are  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and they intersect in prescribed way.

# 3 Some combinatorics

We have the *genus formula*:

$$\begin{split} P_{\alpha}(X) &= \sum g_{\nu} + g(G) \\ g(G) &= |E| - |V| + 1 \\ g &= 3g - 3 - (2g - 2) + 1 \\ deg(F) &= 4 \end{split}$$

**Remark** When you have maximum number of edges, you force everything to be of a particular kind by the genus formula. (This follows from stability condition.)

**Remark** We may check stability looking if the canonical bundle is trivial.

**Remark** Stable  $\iff$  every component which is  $\mathbb{P}^1$  has at least 3 special points.

#### 4 What we do

Now let's finish the statement we started before:

Given a nodal curve X which is general for its topology, we describe all limits of the canonical series in any degeneration to X, and construct a parameter space for them.

**Exercise** Let X be a smooth projective variety such that  $K_X$  is ample. Prove that its automorphism group is finite.

We would like to study the moduli of stable curves. So we have a parameter for the objects we want to classify. Diaz-Cutievman described the locus of curves with special Weierstrass points.

Weierstrass points are such that the line (what line?) intersect the curve in at least (some bound). So for a quartic,

P is a W point 
$$\iff$$
 I(P; T<sub>p</sub>C \cap C)  $\geqslant$  3

and in fact

$$g^3 - g = 24 \text{ W points.}$$

**Remark** A general smooth curve of genus 3 has exactly 24 Weierstrass points.

**Exercise** The genus g curve has  $g^3 - g$  Weierstrass points.

- a. For g = 3 and plane quartics.
- b. For hyper-elliptic curve of genus 3 (also define W point in this case).
- c. For non-hyperelliptic genus 4 curve.
- d. Etc.

# 5 The additional data (?)

Take your variety. The drawing is a bunch of blue lines. Take another line (red). How do the y intersect?

$$\lim_{t\to 0} X_t \cap L = X \cap L.$$

And intersection with another curve F?

$$\lim_{t\to 0} X_t \cap L_0 = F \cap L_0$$

Let  $L = L_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_0L_1L_2L_3 + tF &= 0 \\ L_0 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by t,

$$LL_1L_2L_3 + F = 0$$
$$L_0 = 0$$

Now look at linear series generated by  $LL_1L_2L_3 \forall L$  and F on  $L_0=0.$   $L_0=X.$ 

$$(\alpha Y + \beta Z)L_1L_2L_3 + \gamma F = 0, \qquad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{P}^2$$
 
$$L_0 = 0$$

## 6 After break

Now we explain how these systems of divisor appear and how we are going to handle them.

The limit of the  $\stackrel{\vee}{\mathbb{P}}^2$  is some divisors.

We are considering a smoothing

$$\downarrow \\ B = \Delta_0 = \operatorname{Spec} k[[t]] \subset \mathbb{C}$$

And we have

 $\omega_{\mathfrak{X}/B}$  is the relativa canonical bundle

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/B} \right|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}} = \cap_{\mathfrak{X}_{\eta}} \\ \left. \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/B} \right|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma}} = \omega_{X} \subseteq \Omega_{X} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in V} \Omega_{\nu} \end{array}$$

where  $\Omega_{\nu}$  is the space of meromorphic differentials over  $C_{\nu}=\tilde{X}_{\nu}.$ 

So it's a family of bundles that is the canonical bundle on the general fibers and on the exeptional fiber it is the canonical bundle too.

# 7 Regular differentials (Rosenlicht)

$$(\eta_\nu)_\nu \in \bigoplus_\nu \Omega_\nu$$

$$res_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{a}}} \eta_{\mathfrak{v}} + res_{\mathfrak{p}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}}} \eta_{\mathfrak{w}} = 0$$

Now  $\eta_{\nu}$  can only have poles at the branches, and they should be simple.

**Remark** Looks like we have been computing how the bundles  $\mathcal{O}_L(1)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{L_i}$  look like when restricted to different subvarieties. So for example

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(1)(-L_0)|_{L_i} = \mathcal{O}_{L_i}(1)(-1)$$

is just a skyscraper sheaf.

In the end we concluded that  $(L_h, W_h)$  has infinitely many linear series in X where

$$W_{h} = \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}_{h})\Big|_{\mathfrak{X}_{0}} \cap \Gamma(X, L_{h})$$

So, importantly,

$$\{0 \neq s \in W_h \qquad Z(s) \subseteq X \qquad |Z(s)| < \infty\} = \qquad \text{lim divisors}$$

h vary.

$$\begin{split} \omega_X &\subseteq \Omega = \bigoplus \Omega_\nu \\ \omega_\mathfrak{X} \left( -\sum h(\nu) X_\nu \right) \Big|_X &\subseteq \Omega \\ \Omega_{X_\omega} \left( \sum p_u - \sum p_u \right) \end{split}$$

Canonical case

$$W_h \subseteq \Omega = \bigoplus \Omega_v$$

where  $\Omega_{\nu}$  is the space of meromorphic differentials on  $C_{\nu}=\tilde{X}.\ dim\,W_h=g.$ 

# 8 Kapranov

Take some k-vector spaces  $U_{\nu}$  and consider

$$U=\bigoplus_{\nu\in V}U_{\nu}$$

and take the grassmanian of subspaces of dimension g, and the torus action:

$$Gr(g,U) \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}^{\vee} = \{\psi : V \rightarrow k^{*}\}$$

and the projection maps:

$$\theta_I: \bigoplus_{\nu \in V} U_\nu \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\nu \in I} U_\nu, \qquad I \subseteq V$$

W general,  $\theta_{\rm I}\Big|_{W}$  has maximal rank.

So consider the orbit, it is a Chow variety:

$$\overline{[\mathbb{G}_m^\vee \cdot W} \in Chow(Gauss(g,U))$$

And we have the Chow quotient/Hilbert quotient/Mumford quotient (studied first by Thaddeus):

$$\overline{\{\overline{[\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}\cdot W]},W \text{ general}\}}\subseteq Chow(Gauss(g,V))$$

And then

$$\partial \stackrel{\{*\}}{=} \sum [\mathbb{G}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee} \cdot W_{i}], \quad Gauss(g, V)$$

The polytopes as rouled to  $W_i$  form a polyhedral decomposition of a certain polytope. Now

$$W\subseteq U \implies \mu_W: 2^V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which is a submodular function,

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu_W(I) = dim_k \, \theta_I(W) \\ \mu(I) &+ \mu(S) \geqslant \mu(I \cap J) + \mu(J \cup J) \\ P_\mu &= \{q \in \mathbb{R}^\vee : q(I) \leqslant \mu(I) \; \forall I, \; q(V) = \mu(V)\} \end{split}$$

# What Kapranov observed

$$K: \bigcup P_{W_i} = P_{W \text{ general}}$$